

Mirgand Shabozovich Shabozov

On widths of periodic functions in L_2

Received: 23 June 2012 / Accepted: 12 May 2013 / Published online: 4 June 2013
© The Author(s) 2013. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Exact values are obtained of the n -widths of 2π -periodic functions of the form

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathcal{K}(x-t)\varphi(t)dt = (\mathcal{K} * \varphi)(x)$$

in space $L_2[0, 2\pi]$ and satisfy condition

$$\left(\int_0^h \omega_m^p(\varphi; t) \sin^\gamma nt dt \right)^{1/p} \leq 1, \quad 0 < h \leq \pi/n, \gamma > 0, 0 < p \leq 2,$$

where $\omega_m(\varphi; t)$ – m th order modulus of continuity of function $\varphi(x) \in L_2[0, 2\pi]$. Some further generalizations are included.

Mathematics Subject Classification 41A10

المخلص

يتم الحصول على القيم المضبوطة لـ n -عرض الدوال الدروية- 2π والتي تأخذ الشكل

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \kappa(x-t)\varphi(t)dt = (\kappa * \varphi)(x)$$

في الفضاء $L_2[0, 2\pi]$ وتحقق الشرط

$$\left(\int_0^h \omega_m^p(\varphi; t) \sin^\gamma nt dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq 1, \quad 0 < h \leq \frac{\pi}{n}, \gamma > 0, 0 < p \leq 2,$$

حيث $\omega_m(\varphi; t)$ يمثل رتبة الاستمرار- m للدالة $\varphi(x) \in L_2[0, 2\pi]$. نعرض أيضا بعض التعميمات الإضافية.



1 Introduction

Let $L_2 \equiv L_2[0, 2\pi]$ denote a space of Lebesgue measurable 2π -periodic real functions $f(x)$ with finite norm

$$\|f\| = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(x)|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} < \infty.$$

We will study certain issues regarding best trigonometric polynomial approximation of $f(x) \in L_2$ which can be represented as convolution

$$f(x) \stackrel{df}{=} (\mathcal{K} * \varphi)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathcal{K}(x-t)\varphi(t)dt, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{K}(t) \in L_2, \varphi(t) \in L_2$ with following Fourier series

$$\mathcal{K}(t) \sim \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_l e^{ilt}, \quad a_l = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathcal{K}(t) e^{-ilt} dt, \quad l = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots \quad (2)$$

$$\varphi(t) \sim \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} b_l e^{ilt}, \quad b_l = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(t) e^{-ilt} dt, \quad l = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots \quad (3)$$

For $\varphi(t) \in L_2$ let us denote as $\Delta_m(\varphi; h)$ the L_2 -difference norm of m th order with step h

$$\Delta_m(\varphi; h) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{k=0}^m (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} \varphi(x + (m-k)h) \right|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2},$$

and denote *modulus of continuity* of m -order of function $\varphi(t) \in L_2$ as

$$\omega_m(\varphi; \delta) = \sup \{ \Delta_m(\varphi; h) : |h| \leq \delta \}.$$

A set of all trigonometric polynomials of order not higher than n we denote as

$$\mathcal{T}_n = \left\{ T_n(t) : T_n(t) = \sum_{|k| \leq n} c_k e^{ikt} \right\}.$$

Expression

$$E_n(f) = E(f, \mathcal{T}_{n-1}) = \inf \{ \|f - T_{n-1}\| : T_{n-1}(t) \in \mathcal{T}_{n-1} \}$$

will denote the best approximation of function $f(x) \in L_2$ by subspace \mathcal{T}_{n-1} . From (2), (3), it immediately follows that

$$f(x) \sim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_k b_k e^{ikx}. \quad (4)$$

It is well known that the best approximation of function $f(x)$ by subspace \mathcal{T}_{n-1} is expressed by a partial sum

$$S_{n-1}(f; x) = \sum_{k=-n+1}^{n-1} a_k b_k e^{ikx}$$



of the Fourier series (4). Then

$$E_n(f) = \|f - S_{n-1}(f)\| = \left\{ \sum_{|k| \geq n} |a_k b_k|^2 \right\}^{1/2}. \tag{5}$$

In approximation theory in L_2 , problems of finding the exact constants in Jackson-type inequalities

$$E_n(f) \leq \chi n^{-r} \omega_m \left(f^{(r)}, \frac{t}{n} \right), f(x) \in L_2^r, t > 0,$$

were studied, for instance, in [1–3, 5, 7–13, 15–20], where various approximative characteristics which lead to improving bounds from above for estimates of constant χ , are considered.

Here, we study approximative properties of convolution (1) and consider the following extremal characteristic

$$\chi_{m,n,p,\gamma}(h) \stackrel{df}{=} \sup_{\substack{\varphi \in L_2 \\ \varphi \neq const}} \frac{2^m |a_n|^{-1} E_n(f)}{\left(\int_0^h \omega_m^p(\varphi; t) \sin^\gamma nt dt \right)^{1/p}}, \tag{6}$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \geq 0, 0 < p \leq 2, 0 < h \leq \pi/n, a_n$ -Fourier coefficient of function $\mathcal{K}(t)$, defined by (2).

Theorem 1.1 For arbitrary function $\mathcal{K}(t) \in L_2$, whose Fourier coefficients satisfy $|a_0| \neq 0, |a_k| k^{1/p} \geq |a_{k+1}| (k+1)^{1/p}, k \geq 1, 0 < p \leq 2$ for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and arbitrary $\gamma \geq 0, 0 < h \leq \pi/n$ it holds that

$$\chi_{m,n,p,\gamma}(h) = \left\{ \int_0^h \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2} \right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma nt dt \right\}^{-1/p}. \tag{7}$$

There exists a function $f_0(x) \in L_2$ which can be represented as convolution (1) for which the upper bound in (6) is attained and the equality (7) then holds.

Proof Obviously, for function $\varphi(t) \in L_2$ with Fourier representation (3) the following inequality holds

$$\omega_m(\varphi; t) \geq \Delta_m(\varphi; t) \geq \left\{ \sum_{|k| \geq n} |b_k|^2 \left(2 \sin \frac{kt}{2} \right)^{2m} \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Let us use the Minkowski inequality (e.g., [4], p. 32)

$$\left(\int_0^h \left(\sum_{|k| \geq n} |f_k(t)|^2 \right)^{p/2} dt \right)^{1/p} \geq \left(\sum_{|k| \geq n} \left(\int_0^h |f_k(t)|^p dt \right)^{2/p} \right)^{1/2}, \quad 0 < p \leq 2.$$

We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^h \omega_m^p(\varphi; t) \sin^\gamma nt dt \right)^{1/p} &\geq \left(\int_0^h \left\{ \sum_{|k| \geq n} |b_k|^2 \left(2 \sin \frac{kt}{2} \right)^{2m} \cdot (\sin nt)^{2\gamma/p} \right\}^{p/2} dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(2^m \int_0^h \left\{ \sum_{|k| \geq n} |b_k|^2 \cdot (1 - \cos kt)^m \cdot (\sin nt)^{2\gamma/p} \right\}^{p/2} dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &\geq \left(2^m \sum_{|k| \geq n} \left(|b_k|^p \cdot \int_0^h (1 - \cos kt)^{mp/2} \cdot \sin^\gamma nt dt \right)^{2/p} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In the work [9] particularly it's proved that the function natural argument

$$\varphi(k) = k \int_0^h (1 - \cos kt)^{mp/2} \cdot \sin^\gamma nt dt$$

does not decrease under the pointed meanings of parameters p, h, γ in the sphere $Q = \{k : |k| \geq n\}$ derivative $\varphi'(k) > 0$, therefore

$$\min\{\varphi(k) : |k| \geq n\} = \varphi(n) = n \int_0^h (1 - \cos nt)^{mp/2} \sin^\gamma nt dt$$

where inequality follows

$$\int_0^h (1 - \cos kt)^{mp/2} \cdot \sin^\gamma nt dt \geq \frac{n}{k} \int_0^h (1 - \cos nt)^{mp/2} \cdot \sin^\gamma nt dt. \quad (9)$$

In accordance with theorem related to Fourier coefficients $\{a_k\}$ of series (2) follows that $|a_n| \cdot n^{1/p} \geq |a_k| \cdot k^{1/p}$, $k \geq n$, $0 < p \leq 2$ and so we have $n \cdot |a_n|^p \geq k \cdot |a_k|^p$ or $\frac{n}{k} \geq \left|\frac{a_k}{a_n}\right|^p$ taking in account inequality (9) we get

$$\int_0^h (1 - \cos kt)^{mp/2} \cdot \sin^\gamma nt dt \geq \left|\frac{a_k}{a_n}\right|^p \int_0^h (1 - \cos nt)^{mp/2} \cdot \sin^\gamma nt dt$$

Using the last inequality let's continue (8)

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \left(2^m \sum_{|k| \geq n} \left(|b_k|^p \cdot \left|\frac{a_k}{a_n}\right|^p \cdot \int_0^h (1 - \cos nt)^{mp/2} \cdot \sin^\gamma nt dt \right)^{2/p} \right)^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{2^m}{|a_n|} \cdot \left(\int_0^h \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2} \right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma nt dt \right)^{1/p} \cdot \left\{ \sum_{|k| \geq n} |a_k b_k|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &= \frac{2^m}{|a_n|} \cdot \left(\int_0^h \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2} \right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma nt dt \right)^{1/p} \cdot E_n(f), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\frac{2^m |a_n|^{-1} E_n(f)}{\left(\int_0^h \omega_m^p(\varphi; t) \sin^\gamma nt dt \right)^{1/p}} \leq \left\{ \int_0^h \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2} \right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma nt dt \right\}^{-1/p}, \quad (10)$$

or, equivalently

$$\chi_{m,n,p,\gamma}(h) \leq \left\{ \int_0^h \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2} \right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma nt dt \right\}^{-1/p}. \quad (11)$$

The upper bound for $\chi_{m,n,p,\gamma}(h)$ is obtained.



In order to obtain the lower bound, it suffices to consider in L_2 a function (convolution)

$$f_0(x) = (\mathcal{K} * \varphi_0)(x) = a_n e^{inx}, \varphi_0(t) = e^{int},$$

and easily verified relations

$$E_n(f_0) = |a_n|, \\ \omega_m(\varphi_0; t) = 2^m \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2} \right)^m, \quad 0 < t \leq \pi/n.$$

Using the definition (6) of $\chi_{m,n,p,\gamma}(h)$ we write

$$\chi_{m,n,p,\gamma}(h) \geq \frac{2^m \cdot |a_n|^{-1} \cdot E_n(f_0)}{\left(\int_0^h \omega_m^p(\varphi_0, t) \sin^\gamma nt dt \right)^{1/p}} \\ = \left\{ \int_0^h \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2} \right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma nt dt \right\}^{-1/p}, \tag{12}$$

Combining upper (11) and lower (12) bounds gives us the desired equality (7). Theorem 1.1 is proven. \square

2 Main theorems

We recall the necessary concepts and definitions which will be used later.

Let S be the unit ball in L_2 , \mathfrak{M} a convex centrally symmetric set in L_2 , $\Lambda_n \subset L_2$ an n -dimensional space, $\Lambda^n \subset L_2$ a subspace of codimension n , $\mathcal{L} : L_2 \rightarrow \Lambda_n$ a continuous linear operator, and $\mathcal{L}^\perp : L_2 \rightarrow \Lambda_n$ a continuous orthogonal projection operator. The quantities

$$b_n(\mathfrak{M}, L_2) = \sup \{ \sup \{ \varepsilon > 0; \varepsilon S \cap \Lambda_{n+1} \subset \mathfrak{M} \} : \Lambda_{n+1} \subset L_2 \}, \\ d_n(\mathfrak{M}, L_2) = \inf \{ \sup \{ \inf \{ \|f - g\| : g \in \Lambda_n \} : f \in \mathfrak{M} \} : \Lambda_n \subset L_2 \}, \\ \delta_n(\mathfrak{M}, L_2) = \inf \{ \inf \{ \sup \{ \|f - \mathcal{L}f\| : f \in \mathfrak{M} \} : \mathcal{L}L_2 \subset \Lambda_n \} : \Lambda_n \subset L_2 \}, \\ d^n(\mathfrak{M}, L_2) = \inf \{ \sup \{ \|f\| : f \in \mathfrak{M} \cap \Lambda^n \} : \Lambda^n \subset L_2 \}, \\ \Pi_n(\mathfrak{M}, L_2) = \inf \left\{ \inf \left\{ \sup \left\{ \|f - \mathcal{L}^\perp f\| : f \in \mathfrak{M} \right\} : \mathcal{L}^\perp L_2 \subset \Lambda_n \right\} : \Lambda_n \subset L_2 \right\},$$

are called, correspondingly, Bernstein, Kolmogorov, linear, Gelfand, and projection n -widths of the set \mathfrak{M} in the space L_2 . Since L_2 is a Hilbert space, the n -widths listed above are related by (see, e.g., [6, 14]):

$$b_n(\mathfrak{M}, L_2) \leq d^n(\mathfrak{M}, L_2) \leq d_n(\mathfrak{M}, L_2) = \delta_n(\mathfrak{M}, L_2) = \Pi_n(\mathfrak{M}, L_2). \tag{13}$$

For $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, arbitrary $0 < p \leq 2$, $\gamma \geq 0$ and $0 < h \leq \pi/n$ in L_2 let us define a class of functions

$$\mathcal{F} \equiv \mathcal{F}(m, n, p, \gamma, h) \stackrel{df}{=} \left\{ f(x) = (\mathcal{K} * \varphi)(x) : \left(\int_0^h \omega_m^p(\varphi; t) \sin^\gamma nt dt \right)^{1/p} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.1 *It holds that*

$$\lambda_{2n}(\mathcal{F}; L_2) = \lambda_{2n-1}(\mathcal{F}; L_2) = E_n(\mathcal{F}) = 2^{-m} |a_n| \chi_{m,n,p,\gamma}(h), \quad 0 < p \leq 2, \tag{14}$$

where

$$E_n(\mathcal{F}) = \sup \{ E_n(f) : f \in \mathcal{F} \},$$

$\lambda_n(\cdot)$ -any of the above-listed n -widths $b_n(\cdot)$, $d^n(\cdot)$, $d_n(\cdot)$, $\lambda_n(\cdot)$ or $\Pi_n(\cdot)$. In particular, if $h = \pi/n$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{2n}(\mathcal{F}; L_2) &= \lambda_{2n-1}(\mathcal{F}; L_2) = E_n(\mathcal{F}) = 2^{-m} |a_n| \chi_{m,n,p,\gamma}(\pi/n) \\ &= 2^{-\left(m+\frac{\gamma}{p}\right)} |a_n| n^{1/p} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{mp+\gamma+1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{mp}{2} + \gamma + 1\right)} \right\}^{-1/p},\end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma(u)$ -is Euler's gamma function.

Proof From inequality (10) for an arbitrary function $f(x) \in \mathcal{F}$ we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}E_n(\mathcal{F}) &= \sup\{E_n(f) : f \in \mathcal{F}\} \\ &\leq 2^{-m} |a_n| \left\{ \int_0^h \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2}\right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma nt dt \right\}^{-1/p} = 2^{-m} |a_n| \chi_{m,n,p,\gamma}(h)\end{aligned}$$

from which, considering (12), we derive an upper bound for all listed widths

$$\lambda_{2n}(\mathcal{F}; L_2) \leq \lambda_{2n-1}(\mathcal{F}; L_2) \leq E_n(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2^{-m} |a_n| \chi_{m,n,p,\gamma}(h). \quad (15)$$

In order to obtain a lower bound in subspace \mathcal{T}_n , let us consider a ball

$$\mathcal{B}_{2n+1} \stackrel{df}{=} \{T_n(x) \in \mathcal{T}_n : \|T_n\| \leq 2^{-m} |a_n| \chi_{m,n,p,\gamma}(h)\}$$

and show that it belongs to class \mathcal{F} .

Let $T_n(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^n c_k e^{ikx} \in \mathcal{B}_{2n+1}$. Since according to conditions in Theorem 1.1 $a_k \neq 0$, $k = -n, \dots, n$, function

$$\varphi(t) = \sum_{k=-n}^n (c_k/a_k) e^{ikt}$$

satisfies the convolution

$$T_n(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathcal{K}(x-t) \varphi(t) dt,$$

we should prove that

$$\left(\int_0^h \omega_m^p(\varphi; t) \sin^\gamma nt dt \right)^{1/p} \leq 1.$$

For this we need an inequality from [6, p.104]

$$\omega_m(\varphi; t) \leq 2^m \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2}\right)^m \left(\sum_{k=-n}^n \left| \frac{c_k}{a_k} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq 2^m \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2}\right)^m \frac{\|T_n\|}{|a_n|}. \quad (16)$$

From (16) we immediately obtain

$$\left(\int_0^h \omega_m^p(\varphi; t) \sin^\gamma nt dt \right)^{1/p} \leq \frac{2^m \|T_n\|}{|a_n|} \cdot \left(\int_0^h \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2}\right)^m \sin^\gamma nt dt \right)^{1/p} \leq 1.$$

It is therefore proven that $\mathcal{B}_{2n+1} \subset \mathcal{F}$. This inclusion, relation (13) and the definition of Bernstein width, imply the lower bound



$$\lambda_{2n}(\mathcal{F}; L_2) \geq \lambda_{2n-1}(\mathcal{F}; L_2) \geq E_n(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2^{-m} |a_n| \chi_{m,n,p,\gamma}(h). \tag{17}$$

From inequalities (15), (17) we obtain equality (14), which concludes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

Let $W^{(r)}L_2$ ($r \in \mathbb{N}$, $W^{(0)}L_2 = L_2$) denote a class of functions $f(x) \in L_2$, with absolutely continuous derivatives up to order $(r - 1)$, and derivative $f^{(r)}(x) \in L_2$. In [14, p. 36] it is proven that function $f(x) \in W^{(r)}L_2$ can be represented as

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) dt + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \mathcal{D}_r(x - t) f^{(r)}(t) dt,$$

where $\mathcal{D}_r(u) - 2\pi$ -periodic function, defined by

$$\mathcal{D}_r(u) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(ku - \pi r/2)}{k^r}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{F}^{(r)} \equiv \mathcal{F}^{(r)}(m, n, p, \gamma, h) = \left\{ f : f \in W^{(r)}L_2, \left(\int_0^h \omega_m^p(f^{(r)}; t) \sin^\gamma nt dt \right)^{1/p} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Since for $f(x) \in W^{(r)}L_2$, $|a_n| = n^{-r}$, condition $|a_j|j^{1/p} \geq |a_{j+1}|(j + 1)^{1/p}$ implies that $p \geq 1/r$ and the following holds.

Corollary 2.2 For any $m, n, r \in \mathbb{N}$, $1/r \leq p \leq 2$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq rp - 1$ and $0 < h \leq \pi/n$ it holds that

$$\lambda_{2n}(\mathcal{F}^{(r)}; L_2) = \lambda_{2n-1}(\mathcal{F}^{(r)}; L_2) = E_n(\mathcal{F}^{(r)}) = 2^{-m} n^{-r} \chi_{m,n,p,\gamma}(h).$$

In particular, for $h = \pi/n$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{2n}(\mathcal{F}^{(r)}; L_2) &= \lambda_{2n-1}(\mathcal{F}^{(r)}; L_2) = E_n(\mathcal{F}^{(r)}) = 2^{-m} n^{-r} \chi_{m,n,p,\gamma}(\pi/n) \\ &= 2^{-\left(m + \frac{\gamma}{p}\right)} n^{-r + \frac{1}{p}} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{mp + \gamma + 1}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{\gamma + 1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{mp}{2} + \gamma + 1\right)} \right\}^{-1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Note, that for $\gamma = 0$ the result of Theorem 2.1 for Kolmogorov width was already obtained in [6, p.102]. Set

$$(nh - \pi)_+ = \{0, \text{ if } nh \leq \pi; 1, \text{ if } nh > \pi\}.$$

Let $\Phi(u)$ be an arbitrary continuous increasing function on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the condition

$$\lim \{\Phi(u) : u \rightarrow 0\} = \Phi(0) = 0.$$

We shall designate by $\mathcal{F}(\Phi) := \mathcal{F}(m, n, p, \gamma, h; \Phi)$ the class of functions $f(x) = (\mathcal{K} * \varphi)(x)$, where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < p \leq 2$ and $\gamma > 0$, satisfying the condition

$$\left(\int_0^h \omega_m^p(\varphi; t) |\sin nt|^\gamma dt \right)^{1/p} \leq \Phi(h)$$

for all $h \in (0, 2\pi]$. Theorem 2.1 was proved under the condition for $nh \leq \pi$.

Theorem 2.3 Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < p \leq 2$, $\gamma > 0$. Let $\Phi(h) \in C[0, 2\pi]$ and assume that the following infimum Q is attained at some $h_* \in [0, \pi/n]$

$$\inf_{0 < h \leq 2\pi} \frac{\Phi(h)}{\left(\int_0^{\min(h, \frac{\pi}{n})} \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2}\right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma nt dt + \left(h - \frac{\pi}{n}\right)_*\right)^{1/p}} = Q. \tag{18}$$

Then we have

$$\lambda_{2n}(\mathcal{F}(\Phi); L_2) = \lambda_{2n-1}(\mathcal{F}(\Phi); L_2) = E_n(\mathcal{F}(\Phi)) = 2^{-m} |a_n| Q,$$

where $\lambda_k(\cdot)$ are any of the k -widths of $b_k(\cdot)$, $d_k(\cdot)$, $d^k(\cdot)$, $\delta_k(\cdot)$, $\Pi_k(\cdot)$.

Proof Following the reasoning in [6, pp. 105–107], from inequality (10) and from relation (13) for every $h \in [0, \pi/n]$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{2n}(\mathcal{F}(\Phi); L_2) &\leq \lambda_{2n-1}(\mathcal{F}(\Phi); L_2) \\ &\leq \Pi_{2n-1}(\mathcal{F}(\Phi); L_2) \leq E_n(\mathcal{F}(\Phi)) \\ &\leq E_n(\mathcal{F}(\Phi)) \leq 2^{-m} |a_n| \left(\int_0^h \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2}\right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma nt dt\right)^{-1/p} \Phi(h), \end{aligned}$$

from which

$$\Pi_{2n-1}(\mathcal{F}(\Phi); L_2) \leq 2^{-m} |a_n| Q. \tag{19}$$

To obtain the lower bound for the Bernstein n -width consider

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2n+1} = \{T_n : T_n \in \mathcal{T}_n, \|T_n\| \leq 2^{-m} |a_n| Q\}.$$

We wish to prove that $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2n+1} \subset \mathcal{F}(\Phi)$. Using equality (16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^h \omega_m^p(T_n^{(r)}; t) |\sin nt|^\gamma dt\right)^{1/p} &= \left(\int_0^{\pi/n} \omega_m^p(T_n^{(r)}; t) \sin^\gamma nt dt + \int_{\pi/n}^h \omega_m^p(T_n^{(r)}; t) |\sin nt|^\gamma dt\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq 2^m |a_n|^{-1} \|T_n\| \left(\int_0^{\pi/n} \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2}\right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma nt dt + \int_{\pi/n}^h |\sin nt|^\gamma dt\right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^{\pi/n} \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2}\right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma nt dt + \left(h - \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right)^{1/p} Q \leq \Phi(h). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality implies $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2n+1} \subset \mathcal{F}(\Phi)$ and therefore

$$b_{2n-1}(\mathcal{F}(\Phi); L_2) \geq b_{2n-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{2n+1}; L_2) \geq 2^{-m} |a_n| Q. \tag{20}$$

Theorem 2.3 follows from (19) and (20). □

A natural question that arises is: for which values of α does the function $\Phi(h) = h^\alpha$ satisfy the condition of Theorem 2.3. It is obvious that for all $h \in [\pi/n, 2\pi]$, the result will follow if

$$\frac{d}{dh} \left\{ h^\alpha \left(\int_0^{\pi/n} \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2}\right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma nt dt + \left(h - \frac{\pi}{n}\right)\right)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \right\} \geq 0. \tag{21}$$

Doing the differentiation we obtain an inequality which is equivalent to (21),

$$\alpha p \left\{ \int_0^{\pi/n} \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2} \right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma ntdt + \left(h - \frac{\pi}{n} \right) \right\} - h \geq 0. \tag{22}$$

The inequality (22) we write in the following form

$$\alpha p \left\{ \int_0^{\pi/n} \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2} \right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma ntdt - \frac{\pi}{n} \right\} \geq h(1 - \alpha p).$$

But as

$$\int_0^{\pi/n} \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2} \right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma ntdt - \frac{\pi}{n} \leq 0,$$

it is necessary that we must have $1 - \alpha p \leq 0$, so that $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{p}$.

Evidently, for all $h \in [\pi/n, 2\pi]$ we have:

$$\max \{h(1 - \alpha p) : h \in [\pi/n, 2\pi]\} = \frac{\pi}{n}(1 - \alpha p).$$

So from (22) we get

$$\alpha \geq \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \frac{n}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/n} \left(\sin \frac{nt}{2} \right)^{mp} \sin^\gamma ntdt \right\}^{-1} = \frac{1}{p} \left\{ \frac{\pi}{2^\gamma} \frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{mp}{2} + \gamma + 1 \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{mp+\gamma+1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left(\frac{\gamma+1}{2} \right)} \right\}, \tag{23}$$

where $\Gamma(u)$ is Euler’s gamma-function.

Thus, it is proved that for the function $\Phi(h) = h^\alpha$, $\alpha \geq 0$, condition (18) is guaranteed if α satisfies inequality (23), which does not depend upon n .

Finally, we note that the results of Theorem 2.3 contain in particular results of papers [2,5,7,17,18,20].

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

1. Ainulloev, N.: The values of widths of certain classes of differentiable functions in L_2 (in Russian). Dokl. Akad. Nauk Tadzh. SSR **27**(8), 415–418 (1984)
2. Chernykh, N.I.: On the best L_1 -approximation of periodic functions by trigonometric polynomials. Mat. Zametki **2**(5), 513–522 (1967) (in Russian)
3. Esmaganbetov, M.G.: Widths of classes in $L_2[0, 2\pi]$ and minimization of exact constants in Jackson-type inequalities. Mat. Zametki **65**(6), 816–820 (1999) (in Russian)
4. Hardy, G.G.; Littlewood, G.; Polya, G.: Inequalities, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1952)
5. Ligun, A.A.: Some inequalities between best approximations and module of continuity in L_2 . Mat. Zametki **24**(6), 785–792 (1978)
6. Pinkus, A.: n -Widths in Approximation Theory. Springer, Berlin (1985)
7. Shabozov, M.Sh.: Widths of classes of periodic differentiable functions in the space $L_2[0, 2\pi]$. Mat. Zametki **87**(4), 616–623 (2010)
8. Shabozov, M.Sh.; Yusupov, G.A.: Some inequalities between best approximation and averaged modulus of continuity in L_2 space. Dokl. Math. **82**(3), 892–895 (2010)
9. Shabozov, M.Sh.; Yusupov, G.A.: Best polynomial approximation in L_2 of classes of 2π -periodic functions and exact values of their widths. Mat. Zametki **90**(5), 764–775 (2011)
10. Shabozov, M.Sh.; Vakarchuk, S.B.: On the best approximation of periodic functions by trigonometric polynomials and the exact values of widths of functions classes in L_2 . Anal. Math. **308**(2), 1–13 (2012)
11. Shalaev, V.V.: Widths in L_2 of classes of differentiable functions, defined by higher-order module of continuity. Ukr. Math. Zhurnal **43**(1), 125–129 (1991)

12. Taikov, L.V.: Inequalities containing best approximations and the modulus of continuity of functions in L_2 . *Mat. Zametki*, **20**(3), 433–438 (1976) (in Russian)
13. Taikov, L.V.: Structural and constructive characteristic of functions in L_2 . *Mat. Zametki* **25**(2), 217–223 (1979) (in Russian)
14. Tikhomirov, V.M.: Some problems in approximation theory. Moscow University Publication, Moscow (1976) (in Russian)
15. Vakarchuk, S.B.: On best polynomial approximations in L_2 on certain classes of 2π -periodic functions and exact values of n -widths. *Mat. Zametki* **70**(3), 334–345 (2001) (in Russian)
16. Vakarchuk, S.B.: Best polynomial approximation in L_2 and widths of some classes of functions. *Ukr. Math. Zhurnal* **56**(11), 1458–1466 (2004)
17. Vakarchuk, S.B.: Exact constants in Jackson-type inequities and exact values of widths. *Mat. Zametki* **78**(5), 792–796 (2005) (in Russian)
18. Vakarchuk, S.B.: Jackson-type inequalities and widths of function classes in L_2 . *Mat. Zametki* **80**(1), 11–19 (2006) (in Russian)
19. Vakarchuk, S.B.; Zabutnaya, V.I.: A sharp inequality of Jackson–Stechkin type in L_2 and the widths o functional classes. *Mat. Zametki* **86**(5), 328–336 (2009) (in Russian)
20. Vasilev, S.N.: The Sharp Jackson–Stechkin inequality in L_2 with the modulus of continuity generated by an arbitrary finite-difference operator with constant coefficients. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk.* **385**(1), 11–14 (2002)

