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#### Abstract

Using the Komatu integral operator, new subclasses of analytic functions are introduced. For these classes, several Fekete-Szegö type coefficient inequalities are derived.
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الملخص
باستخدام مؤثر كوماتو التكاملي، تم تقلديم صفوف جزئية جديدة من الدوال التحليلية. تم اشتقاق عدة متراجحات معاملات من نوع فيكيتي - سزيجو لهذه
الصفوف.

## 1 Introduction and definitions

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+a_{3} z^{3}+\cdots \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic in the unit disk

$$
\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\} .
$$

Also let $\mathcal{S}$ denote the subclass of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of univalent functions in $\mathbb{U}$.
Fekete and Szegö proved a noticeable result that the estimate

$$
\left|a_{3}-\lambda a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq 1+2 \exp \left(\frac{-2 \lambda}{1-\lambda}\right)
$$

holds for $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. This inequality is sharp for each $\lambda$ (see [8]). The coefficient functional

$$
\phi_{\lambda}(f)=a_{3}-\lambda a_{2}^{2}=\frac{1}{6}\left(f^{\prime \prime \prime}(0)-\frac{3 \lambda}{2}\left(f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right)^{2}\right)
$$

on $f \in \mathcal{A}$ represents various geometric quantities as well as in the sense that this behaves well with respect to the rotation, namely

$$
\phi_{\lambda}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-i \theta} f\left(\mathrm{e}^{i \theta} z\right)\right)=\mathrm{e}^{2 i \theta} \phi_{\lambda}(f) \quad(\theta \in \mathbb{R}) .
$$

[^0]In fact, other than the simplest case when

$$
\phi_{0}(f)=a_{3},
$$

we have several important ones. For example,

$$
\phi_{1}(f)=a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}
$$

represents $S_{f}(0) / 6$, where $S_{f}$ denotes the Schwarzian derivative

$$
S_{f}(z)=\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right)^{2}
$$

Moreover, the first two non-trivial coefficients of the $n$-th root transform

$$
\left(f\left(z^{n}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}=z+c_{n+1} z^{n+1}+c_{2 n+1} z^{2 n+1}+\cdots
$$

of $f$ with the power series (1.1), are written by

$$
c_{n+1}=\frac{a_{2}}{n}
$$

and

$$
c_{2 n+1}=\frac{a_{3}}{n}+\frac{(n-1) a_{2}^{2}}{2 n^{2}}
$$

so that

$$
a_{3}-\lambda a_{2}^{2}=n\left(c_{2 n+1}-\mu c_{n+1}^{2}\right),
$$

where

$$
\mu=\lambda n+\frac{n-1}{2} .
$$

Thus, it is quite natural to ask about inequalities for $\phi_{\lambda}$ corresponding to subclasses of $\mathcal{S}$. This is called Fekete-Szegö problem. Actually, many authors have considered this problem for typical classes of univalent functions (see, for instance [1-6,8,11-13, 15, 16]).

Recently, Komatu [14] introduced a certain integral operator $L_{a}^{\delta}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{a}^{\delta} f(z)=\frac{a^{\delta}}{\Gamma(\delta)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{a-2}\left(\log \frac{1}{t}\right)^{\delta-1} f(z t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
a>0 ; \delta \geq 0 ; f(z) \in \mathcal{A} ; z \in \mathbb{U} .
$$

Thus, if $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is of the form (1.1), then it is easily seen from (1.2) that (see [14])

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{a}^{\delta} f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\frac{a}{a+n-1}\right)^{\delta} a_{n} z^{n} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the relation (1.3), it is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(L_{a}^{\delta+1} f(z)\right)^{\prime}=a L_{a}^{\delta} f(z)-(a-1) L_{a}^{\delta+1} f(z) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{a}^{\delta}\left(z f^{\prime}(z)\right)=z\left(L_{a}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that:

(i) For $a=1$ and $\delta=k$ ( $k$ is any integer), the multiplier transformation $L_{1}^{k} f(z)=I^{k} f(z)$ was studied by Flett [9] and Salagean [18];
(ii) For $a=1$ and $\delta=-k\left(k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}\right)$, the differential operator $L_{1}^{-k} f(z)=D^{k} f(z)$ was studied by Salagean [18];
(iii) For $a=2$ and $\delta=k$ ( $k$ is any integer), the operator $L_{2}^{k} f(z)=L^{k} f(z)$ was studied by Uralegaddi and Somanatha [19];
(iv) For $a=2$, the multiplier transformation $L_{2}^{\delta} f(z)=I^{\delta} f(z)$ was studied by Jung et al. [10].

Using the operator $L_{a}^{\delta}$, we now introduce the following classes:
Definition 1.1 We say that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{S}_{a, \delta}(b)$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{b}\left(\frac{z\left(L_{a}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{L_{a}^{\delta} f(z)}-1\right)\right\}>0 \\
& \quad(a>0 ; \delta \geq 0 ; b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\} ; z \in \mathbb{U})
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 1.2 We say that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is in the class $\mathcal{C}_{a, \delta}(b)$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re}\left\{1+\frac{1}{b} \frac{z\left(L_{a}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime \prime}}{\left(L_{a}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}\right\}>0 \\
& \\
& (a>0 ; \delta \geq 0 ; b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\} ; z \in \mathbb{U})
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in \mathcal{C}_{a, \delta}(b) \Leftrightarrow z f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{S}_{a, \delta}(b) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have starlike and convex function classes,

$$
\mathcal{S}_{a, 0}(1)=\mathcal{S}^{*} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{C}_{a, 0}(1)=\mathcal{C}
$$

respectively.
We denote by $\mathcal{P}$ a class of the analytic functions in $\mathbb{U}$ with

$$
p(0)=1 \text { and } \operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\}>0
$$

We shall require the following lemmas.
Lemma 1.3 [7] Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$. Then

$$
\left|c_{n}\right| \leq 2 \quad(n \geq 1)
$$

Lemma 1.4 [17] Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$. Then for any complex number $v$

$$
\left|c_{2}-v c_{1}^{2}\right| \leq 2 \max \{1,|2 v-1|\}
$$

and the result is sharp for the functions given by

$$
p(z)=\frac{1+z^{2}}{1-z^{2}}, \quad p(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}
$$

Lemma 1.5 [7] Let $p \in \mathcal{P}$ with $p(z)=1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$. Then

$$
\left|c_{2}-\frac{1}{2} \mu c_{1}^{2}\right| \leq 2+\frac{1}{2}(|\mu-1|-1)\left|c_{1}\right|^{2} .
$$

## 2 Main results

Theorem 2.1 Let $a>0 ; \delta \geq 0 ; b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{a, \delta}(b)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|a_{2}\right| \leq 2|b|\left(\frac{a+1}{a}\right)^{\delta}  \tag{2.1}\\
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leq|b|\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta} \max \{1,|1+2 b|\} \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a(a+2)}{(a+1)^{2}}\right)^{\delta} a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq|b|\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}
$$

Proof Denote

$$
L_{a}^{\delta} f(z)=z+A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+\cdots
$$

Then by (1.3), we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=\left(\frac{a}{a+1}\right)^{\delta} a_{2}, \quad A_{3}=\left(\frac{a}{a+2}\right)^{\delta} a_{3} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the definition of the class $\mathcal{S}_{a, \delta}(b)$, there exists $p \in \mathcal{P}$ such that

$$
\frac{z\left(L_{a}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{L_{a}^{\delta} f(z)}=1-b+b p(z)
$$

so that

$$
\frac{z\left(1+2 A_{2} z+3 A_{3} z^{2}+\cdots\right)}{z+A_{2} z^{2}+A_{3} z^{3}+\cdots}=1-b+b\left(1+c_{1} z+c_{2} z^{2}+\cdots\right)
$$

which implies the equality

$$
z+2 A_{2} z^{2}+3 A_{3} z^{3}+\cdots=z+\left(A_{2}+b c_{1}\right) z^{2}+\left(A_{3}+b c_{1} A_{2}+b c_{2}\right) z^{3}+\cdots
$$

Equating the coefficients of both sides, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2}=b c_{1}, \quad A_{3}=\frac{b}{2}\left(c_{2}+b c_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that, on account of (2.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{2}=b\left(\frac{a+1}{a}\right)^{\delta} c_{1}, \quad a_{3}=\frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}\left(c_{2}+b c_{1}^{2}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account (2.5) and Lemma 1.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{2}\right| \leq 2|b|\left(\frac{a+1}{a}\right)^{\delta} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Lemma 1.4

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{3}\right| & =\left|\frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}\left(c_{2}+b c_{1}^{2}\right)\right| \\
& \leq|b|\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta} \max \{1,|1+2 b|\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by Lemma 1.3

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{3}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a(a+2)}{(a+1)^{2}}\right)^{\delta} a_{2}^{2}\right| & =\left|\frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}\left(c_{2}+b c_{1}^{2}\right)-\frac{b^{2} c_{1}^{2}}{2}\left(\frac{a(a+2)}{(a+1)^{2}}\right)^{\delta}\left(\frac{a+1}{a}\right)^{2 \delta}\right| \\
& =\left|\frac{b c_{2}}{2}\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}\right| \\
& \leq|b|\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

as asserted.
Now, we consider functional $\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right|$ for complex $\mu$.
Theorem 2.2 Let $a>0 ; \delta \geq 0 ; b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{a, \delta}(b)$, then for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq|b|\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta} \max \left\{1,\left|1+2 b-4 \mu b\left(\frac{(a+1)^{2}}{a(a+2)}\right)^{\delta}\right|\right\}
$$

Moreover for each $\mu$, there is a function in $\mathcal{S}_{a, \delta}(b)$ such that equality holds.
Proof Taking into account (2.5) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2} & =\frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}\left(c_{2}+b c_{1}^{2}\right)-\mu b^{2} c_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{a+1}{a}\right)^{2 \delta}  \tag{2.7}\\
& =\frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}\left(c_{2}-\tau c_{1}^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\tau=-b+2 \mu b\left(\frac{(a+1)^{2}}{a(a+2)}\right)^{\delta}
$$

Then, with the aid of Lemma 1.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq|b|\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta} \max \left\{1,\left|1+2 b-4 \mu b\left(\frac{(a+1)^{2}}{a(a+2)}\right)^{\delta}\right|\right\} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as asserted. An examination of the proof shows that equality is attained for the first case when $c_{1}=0$ and $c_{2}=2$ and the corresponding $f \in \mathcal{S}_{a, \delta}(b)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(L_{a}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{L_{a}^{\delta} f(z)}=\frac{1+(2 b-1) z^{2}}{1-z^{2}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and likewise for the second case when $c_{1}=c_{2}=2$ the corresponding $f \in \mathcal{S}_{a, \delta}(b)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z\left(L_{a}^{\delta} f(z)\right)^{\prime}}{L_{a}^{\delta} f(z)}=\frac{1+(2 b-1) z}{1-z} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively.
Taking $\delta=0$ and $b=1$ in Theorem 2.2, we have
Corollary 2.3 [12] If $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$, then for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \max \{1,|4 \mu-3|\}
$$

Moreover for each $\mu$, there is a function in $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ such that equality holds.

We next consider the case when $\mu$ and $b$ are real. Then we have:
Theorem 2.4 Let $a>0 ; \delta \geq 0 ; b>0$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}_{a, \delta}(b)$, then for $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \begin{cases}b\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}\left[1+2 b-4 \mu b\left(\frac{(a+1)^{2}}{a(a+2)}\right)^{\delta}\right] & \text { if } \mu \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a(a+2)}{(a+1)^{2}}\right)^{\delta}  \tag{2.11}\\ b\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta} & \text { if } \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a(a+2)}{(a+1)^{2}}\right)^{\delta} \leq \mu \leq \frac{1+b}{2 b}\left(\frac{a(a+2)}{(a+1)^{2}}\right)^{\delta} \\ b\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}\left[-1-2 b+4 \mu b\left(\frac{(a+1)^{2}}{a(a+2)}\right)^{\delta}\right] & \text { if } \mu \geq \frac{1+b}{2 b}\left(\frac{a(a+2)}{(a+1)^{2}}\right)^{\delta}\end{cases}
$$

Moreover for each $\mu$, there is a function in $\mathcal{S}_{a, \delta}(b)$ such that equality holds.
Proof By (2.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}=\frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}\left[c_{2}-\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}+\frac{c_{1}^{2}}{2}\left(1+2 b-4 \mu b\left(\frac{(a+1)^{2}}{a(a+2)}\right)^{\delta}\right)\right] . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, let $\mu \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a(a+2)}{(a+1)^{2}}\right)^{\delta}$. In this case, by (2.12), Lemma 1.3 and Lemma 1.5 give

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| & \leq \frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}\left[2-\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}+\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}\left(1+2 b-4 \mu b\left(\frac{(a+1)^{2}}{a(a+2)}\right)^{\delta}\right)\right] \\
& \leq b\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}\left[1+2 b-4 \mu b\left(\frac{(a+1)^{2}}{a(a+2)}\right)^{\delta}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{a(a+2)}{(a+1)^{2}}\right)^{\delta} \leq \mu \leq \frac{1+b}{2 b}\left(\frac{a(a+2)}{(a+1)^{2}}\right)^{\delta}$. Then, using the above calculations, we get

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq b\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}
$$

Finally, if $\mu \geq \frac{1+b}{2 b}\left(\frac{a(a+2)}{(a+1)^{2}}\right)^{\delta}$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| & \leq \frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}\left[2-\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}+\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}\left(-1-2 b+4 \mu b\left(\frac{(a+1)^{2}}{a(a+2)}\right)^{\delta}\right)\right] \\
& \leq \frac{b}{2}\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}\left[2+\frac{\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}}{2}\left(-2-2 b+4 \mu b\left(\frac{(a+1)^{2}}{a(a+2)}\right)^{\delta}\right)\right] \\
& \leq b\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta}\left[-1-2 b+4 \mu b\left(\frac{(a+1)^{2}}{a(a+2)}\right)^{\delta}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equality is attained for the second case on choosing $c_{1}=0, c_{2}=2$ in (2.9) and in (2.10) $c_{1}=c_{2}=2$; $c_{1}=$ $2 i, c_{2}=-2$ for the first and third case, respectively. Thus the proof is complete.

Using the relation (1.6), we easily obtain bounds of coefficients and a solution of the Fekete-Szegö problem in $\mathcal{C}_{a, \delta}(b)$.
Theorem 2.5 Let $a>0 ; \delta \geq 0 ; b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{a, \delta}(b)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|a_{2}\right| \leq|b|\left(\frac{a+1}{a}\right)^{\delta}, \\
& \left|a_{3}\right| \leq \frac{|b|}{3}\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta} \max \{1,|1+2 b|\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\left|a_{3}-\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{a(a+2)}{(a+1)^{2}}\right)^{\delta} a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{|b|}{3}\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta} .
$$

Reasoning in the same line as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we obtain

Theorem 2.6 Let $a>0 ; \delta \geq 0 ; b \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{a, \delta}(b)$, then for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \frac{|b|}{3}\left(\frac{a+2}{a}\right)^{\delta} \max \left\{1,\left|1+2 b-3 \mu b\left(\frac{(a+1)^{2}}{a(a+2)}\right)^{\delta}\right|\right\}
$$

Moreover for each $\mu$, there is a function in $\mathcal{C}_{a, \delta}(b)$ such that equality holds.
Taking $\delta=0$ and $b=1$ in Theorem 2.6, we have
Corollary 2.7 [12] If $f \in \mathcal{C}$, then for $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$
\left|a_{3}-\mu a_{2}^{2}\right| \leq \max \left\{\frac{1}{3},|\mu-1|\right\}
$$

Moreover for each $\mu$, there is a function in $\mathcal{C}$ such that equality holds.
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