

Changwen Li · Xiaolan Yi

Finite groups with some \mathcal{F} -supplemented subgroups II

Received: 14 March 2012 / Accepted: 19 June 2012 / Published online: 7 July 2012
© The Author(s) 2012. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract In this paper, we obtain a new characterization of p -nilpotent groups under the assumption that some maximal subgroups of Sylow subgroup are \mathcal{F} -supplemented. As its applications, we generalize many known results.

Mathematics Subject Classification 20D10 · 20D20

المخلص

نحصل في هذه الورقة على تمييز جديد للزمر معدومة القوى- p في ظل افتراض أن بعض زمر سيلو الجزئية الأعظمية هي مكتملة \mathcal{F} . كتطبيقات لهذا التمييز، نُعمم الكثير من النتائج المعروفة.

1 Introduction

This article deals only with finite groups. The notion and terminologies used in this paper are standard. The reader is referred to the monograph of Guo [6].

A formation \mathcal{F} is said to be saturated if it contains every group G with $G/\Phi(G) \in \mathcal{F}$. A formation \mathcal{F} is said to be S -closed if every subgroup of G belongs to \mathcal{F} whenever $G \in \mathcal{F}$. It is well known that the class of all p -nilpotent groups \mathcal{N}_p and the class of all supersolvable groups \mathcal{U} are S -closed saturated formation. A chief factor A/B of a group G is called \mathcal{F} -central if $[A/B](G/C_G(A/B)) \in \mathcal{F}$. The symbol $Z_\infty^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ denotes the \mathcal{F} -hypercenter of a group G , that is, the product of all such normal subgroups of G whose G -chief factors are \mathcal{F} -central. We say a subgroup H of a group G has an \mathcal{F} -supplement T in G if G has a subgroup $T \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $G = HT$.

In the literature, groups with a system of special supplemented subgroups were studied by many authors; see, for example, [1, 2, 13, 14]. In 2007, Guo [5] introduced the following concept of \mathcal{F} -supplemented subgroups again, which is also a generalization of c -normal, c -supplemented and \mathcal{U}_c -normal subgroups.

Definition 1.1 A subgroup H of a group G is said to be \mathcal{F} -supplemented in G if there exists a subgroup T of G such that $G = HT$ and $(H \cap T)H_G/H_G$ is contained in the \mathcal{F} -hypercenter $Z_\infty^{\mathcal{F}}(G/H_G)$ of G/H_G , where \mathcal{F} is a formation of finite groups.

C. Li (✉)
School of Mathematical Science, Jiangsu Normal University, Xuzhou 221116, China
E-mail: lcw2000@126.com

X. Yi
School of Science, Zhejiang Sci-Tech University, Hanzhou 310018, China



In [18], by using some \mathcal{F} -supplemented subgroups, Yi et al. have given some conditions under which a finite group belongs to some saturated formations containing \mathcal{U} . The purpose of this paper is to go further into the influence of \mathcal{F} -supplemented subgroups on the structure of finite groups. Some new characterizations of p -nilpotency are obtained. We not only extend some results in [5] and [18], but also give more simple proofs.

2 Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1 [5, Lemma 2.2] *Let G be a group and $H \leq K \leq G$. Then*

- (1) *If H is \mathcal{F} -supplemented in G and \mathcal{F} is S -closed, then H is \mathcal{F} -supplemented in K .*
- (2) *Suppose that H is normal in G . Then K/H is \mathcal{F} -supplemented in G/H if and only if K is \mathcal{F} -supplemented in G .*
- (3) *Suppose that H is normal in G . Then, for every \mathcal{F} -supplemented subgroup E in G satisfying $(|H|, |E|) = 1$, HE/H is \mathcal{F} -supplemented in G/H .*

Lemma 2.2 [10, Lemma 2.3] *Let G be a group and p a prime such that $p^{n+1} \nmid |G|$ for some integer $n \geq 1$. If $(|G|, (p-1)(p^2-1)\cdots(p^n-1)) = 1$, then G is p -nilpotent.*

Lemma 2.3 [16, Lemma 2.8] *Let G be a group and p a prime dividing $|G|$ with $(|G|, p-1) = 1$. If N is normal in G of order p , then N lies in $Z(G)$.*

Lemma 2.4 *Let p be a prime and G a group with $(|G|, p-1) = 1$. Suppose that P is a Sylow p -subgroup of G such that every maximal subgroup of P has a p -nilpotent supplement in G , then G is p -nilpotent.*

Proof If $p^2 \nmid |G|$, then G is p -nilpotent by Lemma 2.2. Now we assume that $p^2 \mid |G|$. Let P_1 be a maximal subgroup of P . By the hypothesis, P_1 has a p -nilpotent supplement K_1 in G . Let $K_{1p'}$ be a normal Hall p' -subgroup of K_1 . Then, obviously, $K_{1p'}$ is a Hall p' -subgroup of G . Hence $G = P_1K_1 = P_1N_G(K_{1p'})$. We claim that $K_{1p'}$ is normal in G . Indeed, if $K_{1p'}$ is not normal in G , then $P \cap N_G(K_{1p'}) < P$. It follows that P has a maximal subgroup P_2 such that $P \cap N_G(K_{1p'}) \leq P_2$. It is clear $P_1 \neq P_2$. By the hypothesis, P_2 has also a p -nilpotent supplement K_2 in G . By repeating the above argument, we can find a Hall p' -subgroup $K_{2p'}$ of G such that $G = P_2K_2 = P_2N_G(K_{2p'})$. If $p = 2$, then $K_{1p'}$ and $K_{2p'}$ are conjugate in G by applying a deep result of Gross (see [4, Main Theorem]). If $p > 2$, then G is a solvable group by Feit–Thompson Theorem and so $K_{1p'}$ and $K_{2p'}$ are conjugate in G . Since $K_{2p'}$ is normalized by K_2 , there exists an element $g \in P_2$ such that $K_{2p'}^g = K_{1p'}$. Then $G = (P_2N_G(K_{2p'}))^g = P_2N_G(K_{1p'})$. This induces that $P = P \cap G = P \cap P_2N_G(K_{1p'}) = P_2(P \cap N_G(K_{1p'})) = P_2$. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.5 [11, Lemma 2.6] *Let H be a solvable normal subgroup of a group G ($H \neq 1$). If every minimal normal subgroup of G which is contained in H is not contained in $\Phi(G)$, then the Fitting subgroup $F(H)$ of H is the direct product of minimal normal subgroups of G which are contained in H .*

Lemma 2.6 *Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing \mathcal{U} . If there is a normal subgroup H of a group G such that $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$ and every cyclic subgroup of H with prime order or order 4 not having supersolvable supplement in G is \mathcal{U} -supplemented in G , then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof Suppose that the assertion is false and let (G, H) be a counterexample for which $|G||H|$ is minimal. Let K be any proper subgroup of H . By Lemma 2.1(1), the hypothesis of the theorem still holds for (K, K) . By the choice of G , K is supersolvable. By [6, Theorem 3.11.9], H is solvable. Since $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$, $G^{\mathcal{F}} \leq H$. Let M be a maximal subgroup of G such that $G^{\mathcal{F}} \not\leq M$ (that is, M is an \mathcal{F} -abnormal maximal subgroup of G). Then $G = MH$. We claim that the hypothesis holds for $(M, M \cap H)$. In fact, $M/M \cap H \cong MH/H = G/H \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\langle x \rangle$ be any cyclic subgroup of $M \cap H$ with prime order or order 4. It is clear that $\langle x \rangle$ is also a cyclic subgroup of H with prime order or order 4. If $\langle x \rangle$ has a supersolvable supplement U in G , then $\langle x \rangle$ has a supersolvable supplement $U \cap M$ in M . If $\langle x \rangle$ is \mathcal{U} -supplemented in G , then $\langle x \rangle$ is also \mathcal{U} -supplemented in M by Lemma 2.1(1). Therefore, the hypothesis holds for $(M, M \cap H)$. By the choice of G , $M \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, by [6, Theorem 3.4.2], the following conditions hold: (1) $G^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a p -group, where $G^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the \mathcal{F} -residual of G ; (2) $G^{\mathcal{F}}/\Phi(G^{\mathcal{F}})$ is a chief factor of G and $\exp(G^{\mathcal{F}}) = p$ or $\exp(G^{\mathcal{F}}) = 4$ (if $p = 2$ and $G^{\mathcal{F}}$ is non-abelian). Let L be an arbitrary cyclic subgroup of $G^{\mathcal{F}}$ with prime order or order 4. Suppose that L



has a supersolvable supplement T in G . Clearly, $G^{\mathcal{F}} = G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap LT = L(G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap T)$. Since $G^{\mathcal{F}}/\Phi(G^{\mathcal{F}})$ is abelian, $(G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap T)\Phi(G^{\mathcal{F}})/\Phi(G^{\mathcal{F}}) \trianglelefteq G/\Phi(G^{\mathcal{F}})$. Notice that $G^{\mathcal{F}}/\Phi(G^{\mathcal{F}})$ is a chief factor of G , we have $G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap T \leq \Phi(G^{\mathcal{F}})$ or $G^{\mathcal{F}} = (G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap T)\Phi(G^{\mathcal{F}}) = G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap T$. If the former holds, then $L = G^{\mathcal{F}} \trianglelefteq G$. Since $G/G^{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{F}$, $G \in \mathcal{F}$ by [5, Lemma 2.3], a contradiction. Therefore $G^{\mathcal{F}} = G^{\mathcal{F}} \cap T$, and so $T = G$ is supersolvable, a contradiction. Hence every cyclic subgroup of H with prime order or order 4 is \mathcal{U} -supplemented in G . Now we can get the final contradiction with the same argument in the proof of [5, Theorem 3.2]. \square

Lemma 2.7 [12, Theorem B] *Let \mathcal{F} be any formation, and G a group. If $H \trianglelefteq G$ and $F^*(H) \leq Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$, then $H \leq Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$.*

Lemma 2.8 [3, IV, 3.11] *If \mathcal{F}_1 and \mathcal{F}_2 are two saturated formations such that $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_2$, then $Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{F}_1}(G) \leq Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{F}_2}(G)$.*

3 Main results

Theorem 3.1 *Let G be a group and p a prime such that $(|G|, (p - 1)(p^2 - 1) \cdots (p^n - 1)) = 1$ for some integer $n \geq 1$. If there exists a Sylow p -subgroup P of G such that every n -maximal subgroup (if it exists) of P not having a p -nilpotent supplement in G is \mathcal{N}_p -supplemented in G , then G is p -nilpotent.*

Proof Suppose that the theorem is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order.

- (1) $O_{p'}(G) = 1$.
 Assume that $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$. Since P is a Sylow p -subgroup of G , $PO_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of $G/O_{p'}(G)$. Let $M/O_{p'}(G)$ be an n -maximal subgroup of $PO_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)$. Then $M = P_nO_{p'}(G)$, where P_n is an n -maximal subgroup of P . If P_n has a p -nilpotent supplement K in G , then $M/O_{p'}(G)$ has a p -nilpotent supplement $KO_{p'}(G)/O_{p'}(G)$ in $G/O_{p'}(G)$. If P_n is \mathcal{N}_p -supplemented in G , then $M/O_{p'}(G)$ is \mathcal{N}_p -supplemented in $G/O_{p'}(G)$ by Lemma 2.1(3). Therefore $G/O_{p'}(G)$ satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. The minimal choice of G yields that $G/O_{p'}(G)$ is p -nilpotent, and so G is p -nilpotent, a contradiction.
- (2) $Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{N}_p}(G) = 1$.
 Suppose that $Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{N}_p}(G) \neq 1$. Then we may take a minimal normal N of G which is contained in $Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{N}_p}(G)$. By Step (1), N is not a p' -group. Consequently, the order of N is p . By Lemma 2.1(2), G/N satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem. Thus the minimal choice of G yields that G/N is p -nilpotent. By Lemma 2.3, $G/Z(G)$ is p -nilpotent, which implies that G is p -nilpotent, a contradiction.
- (3) $O_p(G) \neq 1$.
 By Lemma 2.2, $p^{n+1} \parallel |P|$ and so there exists a non-identity n -maximal subgroup of P . By Lemma 2.4, P has an n -maximal subgroup H which has no p -nilpotent supplement in G . Thus by the hypothesis, G has a non- p -nilpotent subgroup T of G such that $G = HT$ and $(H \cap T)H_G/H_G$ is contained in the \mathcal{N}_p -hypercenter $Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{N}_p}(G/H_G)$ of G/H_G . If $O_p(G) = 1$, then $H_G = 1$. It follows that $H \cap T \leq Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{N}_p}(G) = 1$. Then $|T|_p = p^n$ and so T is p -nilpotent by Lemma 2.2, a contradiction. Therefore $O_p(G) \neq 1$.
- (4) Every n -maximal subgroup of P has a p -nilpotent supplement in G .
 Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in $O_p(G)$. Clearly, N is an elementary abelian p -subgroup. Invoking Lemma 2.1(2) and the minimal choice of G , G/N is p -nilpotent. Since \mathcal{N}_p is a saturated formation, we have that N is a unique minimal normal subgroup of G contained in $O_p(G)$ and $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$. Hence there exists a maximal subgroup M of G such that $G = N \rtimes M$. It follows that $M \cong G/N$ is p -nilpotent. It is easy to see that $O_p(G) \cap M$ is normal in G . Then the uniqueness of N yields that $N = O_p(G)$. Let P_n be an arbitrary n -maximal subgroup of P . We will show P_n has a p -nilpotent supplement in G . If not, then by the hypothesis, G has a non- p -nilpotent subgroup T of G such that $G = P_nT$ and $(P_n \cap T)(P_n)_G/(P_n)_G$ is contained in the \mathcal{N}_p -hypercenter $Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{N}_p}(G/(P_n)_G)$ of $G/(P_n)_G$. If $(P_n)_G \neq 1$, then $N \leq (P_n)_G \leq P_n$, and so P_n has a p -nilpotent supplement M in G , a contradiction. Thus we may assume $(P_n)_G = 1$. Consequently, we have $P_n \cap T \leq Z_{\infty}^{\mathcal{N}_p}(G) = 1$. In view of Lemma 2.2, T is p -nilpotent, a contradiction.
- (5) Final contradiction.
 By Step (4), every maximal subgroup of P has a p -nilpotent supplement in G , and so G is p -nilpotent by Lemma 2.4, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 3.2 Let p be the smallest prime dividing the order of G and P a Sylow p -subgroup of G . If every maximal subgroup of P not having a p -nilpotent supplement in G is \mathcal{N}_p -supplemented in G , then G is p -nilpotent.

Corollary 3.3 [7, Theorem 3.4] Let G be a group and P a Sylow p -subgroup of G , where p is the smallest prime dividing $|G|$. If all maximal subgroups of P are c -normal in G , then G is p -nilpotent.

Corollary 3.4 [8, Theorem 3.4] Let G be a group and P a Sylow p -subgroup of G , where p is the smallest prime dividing $|G|$. If all maximal subgroups of P are c -supplemented in G , then G is p -nilpotent.

Corollary 3.5 [9, Theorem 3.1] Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of a group G , where p is a prime divisor of $|G|$ with $(|G|, p - 1) = 1$. If every maximal subgroup of P is c -supplemented in G , then G is p -nilpotent.

Corollary 3.6 [14, Theorem 3.1] Let p be a prime dividing the order of a group G with $(|G|, p - 1) = 1$. Suppose that every maximal subgroup of P is c -supplemented in G and $G \in C_{p'}$, then $G/O_p(G)$ is p -nilpotent and $G \in D_{p'}$.

Corollary 3.7 If every maximal subgroup of any noncyclic Sylow subgroup of G not having a supersolvable supplement in G is \mathcal{U} -supplemented in G , then G is a Sylow tower group of supersolvable type.

Proof Let p be the smallest prime dividing $|G|$ and P a Sylow p -subgroup of G . If P is cyclic, then G is p -nilpotent obviously. If P is not cyclic, then G is also p -nilpotent by Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 2.8. Let U be the normal p -complement of G . By Lemma 2.1(1), U satisfies the hypothesis of the corollary. Therefore, it follows by induction that U , and so G is a Sylow tower group of supersolvable type. \square

Corollary 3.8 Let p be a prime and G a group with $(|G|, (p - 1)(p^2 - 1) \cdots (p^n - 1)) = 1$ for some integer $n \geq 1$. Suppose that G has a normal subgroup H such that G/H is p -nilpotent and H has a Sylow p -subgroup P such that every n -maximal subgroup (if it exists) of P not having a p -nilpotent supplement in G is \mathcal{N}_p -supplemented in G . Then G is p -nilpotent.

Proof We distinguish two cases:

Case I. $P = H$.

Since G/P is p -nilpotent, we can let K/P be the normal p -complement of G/P . By The Schur–Zassenhaus Theorem, there exists a Hall p' -subgroup $K_{p'}$ of K such that $K = PK_{p'}$. By Lemma 2.1(1), every n -maximal subgroup (if it exists) of P not having a p -nilpotent supplement in K is \mathcal{N}_p -supplemented in K . Applying Theorem 3.1, we have K is p -nilpotent and so $K = H \times K_{p'}$. Hence, $K_{p'}$ is a normal p -complement of G and G is p -nilpotent.

Case II. $P < H$.

By Lemma 2.1(1), every n -maximal subgroup (if it exists) of P not having a p -nilpotent supplement in H is \mathcal{N}_p -supplemented in H . A new application of Theorem 3.1 yields that H is p -nilpotent. Now, let $H_{p'}$ be the normal p -complement of H . Obviously, $H_{p'} \trianglelefteq G$. By Lemma 2.1(3), it is easy to see that every n -maximal subgroup (if it exists) of $PH_{p'}/H_{p'}$ not having a p -nilpotent supplement in $G/H_{p'}$ is \mathcal{N}_p -supplemented in $G/H_{p'}$. Thus, $G/H_{p'}$ satisfies the hypotheses for the normal subgroup $H/H_{p'}$. By induction $G/H_{p'}$ is p -nilpotent, and so G is p -nilpotent. \square

Theorem 3.9 Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing \mathcal{U} . A group $G \in \mathcal{F}$ if and only if there is a normal subgroup H of G such that $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$ and every maximal subgroup of any noncyclic Sylow subgroup of H not having a supersolvable supplement in G is \mathcal{U} -supplemented in G .

Proof The necessity is obvious. We only need to prove the sufficiency. Suppose that the assertion is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order.

By Lemma 2.1(1), every maximal subgroup of any noncyclic Sylow subgroup of H not having a supersolvable supplement in H is \mathcal{U} -supplemented in H . By Corollary 3.7, H is a Sylow tower group of supersolvable type. Let q be the largest prime divisor of $|H|$ and let Q be a Sylow q -subgroup of H . Then Q is normal in G . Obviously, $(G/Q)/(H/Q) \cong G/H \in \mathcal{F}$. It is easy to see that every maximal subgroup of any noncyclic Sylow subgroup of H/Q not having a supersolvable supplement in G/Q is \mathcal{U} -supplemented in G/Q by Lemma 2.1(3). By the minimality of G , we have $G/Q \in \mathcal{F}$. If Q is cyclic, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$ by [5, Lemma 2.3], a contradiction. Hence, we may assume that Q is noncyclic. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in Q . We can also prove $G/N \in \mathcal{F}$ easily. Since \mathcal{F} is a saturated formation, N is the unique



minimal normal subgroup of G contained in Q and $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$. So there exists a maximal subgroup M of G such that $G = NM$ and $N \cap M = 1$. By Lemma 2.5, we have $Q = F(Q) = N$. Let M_q be a Sylow q -subgroup of M . Then $G_q = NM_q$ is a Sylow q -subgroup of G . Let $N_1 = N \cap Q_1$, where Q_1 is a maximal subgroup of G_q containing M_q . Then $G_q = NQ_1$, N_1 is a maximal subgroup of N and $N_1 \trianglelefteq G_q$. Let T be any supplement of N_1 in G , then $N_1T = G$ and $N = N \cap N_1T = N_1(N \cap T)$. This implies that $N \cap T \neq 1$. But since $N \cap T$ is normal in G and N is a minimal normal subgroup of G , $N \cap T = N$. So $T = G$ is the unique supplement of N_1 in G . Since G is not supersolvable, N_1 is \mathcal{U} -supplemented in G . From $T = G$, we have $N_1 \leq N \cap Z_\infty^{\mathcal{U}}(G)$. By the minimality of N , $Z_\infty^{\mathcal{U}}(G) \cap N = 1$ or $N \leq Z_\infty^{\mathcal{U}}(G)$. If the latter holds, then $N_1 = 1$ and so $|N| = q$. By [5, Lemma 2.3], $G \in \mathcal{F}$, a contradiction. Therefore $Z_\infty^{\mathcal{U}}(G) \cap N = 1$. We have also $|N| = q$, the same contradiction as above. \square

Theorem 3.10 *Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing \mathcal{U} and let G be a group. Then $G \in \mathcal{F}$ if and only if there is a normal subgroup H such that $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$ and every cyclic subgroup of $F^*(H)$ with prime order or order 4 not having supersolvable supplement in G is \mathcal{U} -supplemented in G .*

Proof The necessity is obvious. We only need to prove the sufficiency. If some subgroup of $F^*(H)$ has a supersolvable supplement in G , then $G/F^*(H) \in \mathcal{F}$ and in this case $G \in \mathcal{F}$ by Lemma 2.6. Hence we may assume that every cyclic subgroup of $F^*(H)$ with prime order or order 4 is \mathcal{U} -supplemented in G . By Lemma 2.1(1), every cyclic subgroup of $F^*(H)$ with prime order or order 4 is \mathcal{U} -supplemented in $F^*(H)$. By [5, Theorem 3.2], $F^*(H)$ is supersolvable. In particular, $F^*(H)$ is solvable and so $F^*(H) = F(H)$. Now by [18, Lemma 3.3.3], $F^*(H) \leq Z_\infty^{\mathcal{U}}(G)$ and since $Z_\infty^{\mathcal{U}}(G) \leq Z_\infty^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$ by Lemma 2.8, we have $F^*(H) \leq Z_\infty^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$. By Lemma 2.7, $H \leq Z_\infty^{\mathcal{F}}(G)$. It follows from $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$ that $G \in \mathcal{F}$. \square

Corollary 3.11 [17, Theorem 3.2] *Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing \mathcal{U} . Suppose that G is a group with a normal subgroup H such that $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$. If all minimal subgroups and all cyclic subgroups of $F^*(H)$ are c -normal in G , then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Corollary 3.12 [15, Theorem 1.2] *Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing \mathcal{U} . Suppose that G is a group with a normal subgroup H such that $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$. If all minimal subgroups and all cyclic subgroups with order 4 of $F^*(H)$ are c -supplemented in G , then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments. The project is supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (No:11101369) and the Natural Science Foundation of the Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions (No:10KJD110004).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

1. Alsheik Ahmad, A.Y.; Jaraden, J.J.; Skiba, A.N.: On \mathcal{U}_c -normal subgroups of finite groups. *Algebra Colloq.* **14**, 25–36 (2007)
2. Ballester-Bolinchés, A.; Guo, X.: On complemented subgroups of finite groups. *Arch. Math.* **72**, 161–166 (1999)
3. Doerk, K.; Hawkes, T.: *Finite Solvable Groups*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin (1992)
4. Gross, F.: Conjugacy of odd order Hall subgroups. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **19**, 311–319 (1987)
5. Guo, W.: On \mathcal{F} -supplemented subgroups of finite groups. *Manuscripta Math.* **127**, 139–150 (2008)
6. Guo, W.: *The Theory of Classes of Groups*. Science Press, Beijing (2000)
7. Guo, X.; Shum, K.P.: On c -normal maximal and minimal subgroups of Sylow p -subgroups of finite groups. *Arch. Math.* **80**, 561–569 (2003)
8. Guo, X.; Shum, K.P.: Finite p -nilpotent groups with some subgroups c -supplemented. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* **78**, 429–439 (2005)
9. Guo, X.; Shum, K.P.: On p -nilpotency of finite groups with some subgroups c -supplemented. *Algebra Colloq.* **10**, 259–266 (2003)
10. Li, C.: On weakly s -permutably embedded subgroups. *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **52**, 21–29 (2011)
11. Li, D.; Guo, X.: The influence of c -normality of subgroups on the structure of finite groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **150**, 53–60 (2000)
12. Skiba, A.N.: On two questions of L. A. Shemetkov concerning hypercyclically embedded subgroups of finite groups. *J. Group Theory* **13**, 841–850 (2010)
13. Wang, Y.: c -Normality of groups and its properties. *J. Algebra* **180**, 954–965 (1996)
14. Wang, Y.: Finite groups with some subgroups of Sylow subgroups c -supplemented. *J. Algebra* **224**, 467–478 (2000)



15. Wei, H.: On c -supplemented maximal and minimal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **132**, 2197–2204 (2004)
16. Wei, H.; Wang, Y.: On c^* -normality and its properties. J. Group Theory **10**, 211–223 (2007)
17. Wei, H.; Wang, Y.; Li, Y.: On c -normal maximal and minimal subgroups of Sylow subgroups of finite groups II. Commun. Algebra **31**, 4807–4816 (2003)
18. Yi, X.; Miao, L.; Zhang, H.; Guo, W.: Finite groups with some \mathcal{F} -supplemented subgroups. J. Algebra Appl. **9**, 669–685 (2010)

