ERRATUM

Erratum to: Measurement of the background activities of a ^{100}Mo -enriched powder sample for an AMoRE crystal material by using a single high-purity germanium detector

Su-yeon Park¹ · Insik Hahn² · Woon Gu Kang³ · Gowoon Kim³ · Eunkyung Lee³ · Douglas S. Leonard³ · Vladimir Kazalov⁴ · Yeong Duk Kim⁵ · Moo Hyun Lee⁶ · Elena Sala⁷

Published online: 15 February 2022
© The Korean Physical Society 2022

Erratum to:

**Journal of the Korean Physical Society, Vol. 76, No. 12,
June 2020, pp. 1060~1066**
<https://doi.org/10.3938/jkps.76.1060>

We found some typos on detection efficiency values during the writing of the paper. They are corrected as follows (Table 2).

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.3938/jkps.76.1060>.

✉ Gowoon Kim
kkw-owo@hanmail.net

¹ Department of Physics, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 03760, Korea

² Department of Science Education, Ewha Womans University, Seoul, 03760, Korea and Center for Exotic Nuclear Studies, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon 34126, Korea

³ Center for Underground Physics, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon 34126, Korea

⁴ Baksan Neutrino Observatory, Institute for Nuclear Research of the Russian Academy of Science, Kabardino-Balkaria 361609, Russia

⁵ Center for Underground Physics, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon, 34126, Korea Department of Physics and Astronomy, Sejong University, Seoul, 05006, Korea and Institute for Basic Science (IBS) School, University of Science and Technology (UST), Daejeon 34113, Korea

⁶ Center for Underground Physics, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon 34126, Korea and Institute for Basic Science (IBS) School, University of Science and Technology (UST), Daejeon 34113, Korea

⁷ Center for Axion and Precision Physics Research, Institute for Basic Science (IBS), Daejeon 34051, Korea

Table 2 Simulated detection efficiencies ε_P and ε_L for the powder sample and the labels, respectively, for two simulation cases representing the extremes in the possible label positions

Isotope	Energy [keV]	Detection efficiency (%)			
		Case 1		Case 2	
		ε_P	ε_L	ε_P	ε_L
^{228}Ac	911.2	1.7	1.2	1.6	2.4
	969.0	1.6	1.2	1.6	2.3
^{228}Th	^{212}Pb 238.6	2.8	2.0	2.8	5.1
	^{208}Tl 583.2	1.9	1.4	1.9	2.6
	2614.5	0.8	0.7	0.8	1.0
^{226}Ra	^{214}Pb 295.2	3.1	2.2	3.1	5.3
	352.0	2.8	2.0	2.8	4.4
	^{214}Bi 609.3	1.9	1.5	1.9	2.7
	1120.3	1.5	1.2	1.5	2.0
	1764.5	1.3	1.0	1.3	1.8
^{40}K	1460.8	1.4	1.1	1.3	2.0

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.