CORRECTION

Correction to: Pretreated Indian Gooseberry (*Emblica officinalis*) Segments: Kinetic, Quality and Microstructural Parameters

Rishika Tewari¹ · Vivek Kumar¹ · H. K. Sharma²

Accepted: 14 June 2021 / Published online: 23 June 2021
© The Institution of Engineers (India) 2021

Correction to: J. Inst. Eng. India Ser. A (June 2021) 102(2):523–534
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40030-021-00538-9>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The original version of the article, published on 17 May, 2021 in volume 102, issue 2, page 523–534 contained a mistake. The citation references in Table 1 were incorrect. The corrected version is given below.

The original article can be found online at
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40030-021-00538-9>.

✉ Vivek Kumar
viveksachan99@rediffmail.com

¹ Department of Food Technology, Harcourt Butler Technical University, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh 208002, India

² Department of Food Engineering and Technology, Sant Longowal Institute of Engineering and Technology, Longowal, Punjab 148106, India

Table 1 Statistical analysis of models under different drying conditions of Indian gooseberry segments

Model name and expression	Drying methods	R^2	RMSE	χ^2	Constants						
					k	k_1	a	b	c	n	g
Lewis [12] $MR = \exp(-kt)$	TD	0.996	5.87×10^{-3}	3.25×10^{-4}	0.624	—	—	—	—	—	—
	VD	0.978	2.49×10^{-3}	1.90×10^{-3}	0.521	—	—	—	—	—	—
	SD	0.987	2.01×10^{-2}	1.26×10^{-3}	0.429	—	—	—	—	—	—
	FD	0.982	3.52×10^{-3}	1.50×10^{-3}	0.217	—	—	—	—	—	—
Modified Page [13] $MR = \exp(-kt)^n$	TD	0.996	5.87×10^{-3}	3.45×10^{-4}	0.790	—	—	—	—	0.790	—
	VD	0.976	2.49×10^{-3}	2.08×10^{-3}	0.721	—	—	—	—	0.721	—
	SD	0.986	2.01×10^{-2}	1.34×10^{-3}	0.655	—	—	—	—	0.655	—
	FD	0.981	3.50×10^{-2}	1.6×10^{-3}	0.466	—	—	—	—	0.466	—
Handerson and Pabis [14] $MR = a \exp(-kt)$	TD	0.997	3.90×10^{-2}	2.33×10^{-4}	0.643	—	1.032	—	—	—	—
	VD	0.982	1.87×10^{-2}	1.56×10^{-3}	0.543	—	1.054	—	—	—	—
	SD	0.988	1.62×10^{-2}	1.10×10^{-3}	0.456	—	1.043	—	—	—	—
	FD	0.983	3.10×10^{-2}	1.4×10^{-3}	0.225	—	1.030	—	—	—	—
Logarithmic [15] $MR = a \exp(-kt) + c$	TD	0.998	2.83×10^{-3}	1.77×10^{-4}	0.608	—	1.043	—	—0.019	—	—
	VD	0.995	4.05×10^{-3}	3.67×10^{-4}	0.420	—	1.373	—	—0.326	—	—
	SD	0.996	4.63×10^{-3}	3.30×10^{-4}	0.261	—	1.127	—	—0.114	—	—
	FD	0.996	6.20×10^{-3}	2.97×10^{-4}	0.149	—	1.190	—	—0.206	—	—
Wang and Singh [16] $MR = 1 + at + bt^2$	TD	0.979	3.13×10^{-2}	1.84×10^{-3}	—	—	—0.430	0.046	—	—	—
	VD	0.995	4.90×10^{-2}	4.08×10^{-4}	—	—	—0.326	0.026	—	—	—
	SD	0.997	3.22×10^{-3}	2.14×10^{-4}	—	—	—0.388	0.039	—	—	—
	FD	0.993	1.20×10^{-2}	5.48×10^{-4}	—	—	—0.161	0.006	—	—	—
Two terms [17] $MR = a \exp(-kt) + b \exp(-k_1t)$	TD	0.997	3.97×10^{-3}	2.64×10^{-4}	0.643	0.643	0.516	0.516	—	—	—
	VD	0.982	3.16×10^{-3}	1.5×10^{-3}	0.543	0.543	0.517	0.517	—	—	—
	SD	0.987	1.62×10^{-2}	1.25×10^{-3}	0.225	0.225	0.521	0.521	—	—	—
	FD	0.979	1.87×10^{-2}	1.87×10^{-3}	0.456	0.456	0.527	0.527	—	—	—
Midilli [18] $MR = a \exp(-kt^n) + bt$	TD	0.998	7.96×10^{-4}	5.30×10^{-5}	0.579	—	1.000	0.001	—	1.128	—
	VD	0.996	3.85×10^{-3}	3.84×10^{-4}	0.433	—	1.007	—0.032	—	1.128	—
	SD	0.996	3.91×10^{-3}	3.00×10^{-4}	0.323	—	0.994	—0.007	—	1.053	—
	FD	0.952	5.32×10^{-2}	5.06×10^{-3}	0.246	—	0.00012	—0.048	—	—0.022	—
Verma et al. [19] $MR = a \exp(-kt) + (1-a) \exp(-gt)$	TD	0.999	7.55×10^{-4}	4.72×10^{-5}	0.853	—	1.193	—	—	—	2.176
	VD	0.993	6.85×10^{-3}	6.22×10^{-4}	0.763	—	22.180	—	—	—	0.788
	SD	0.996	5.20×10^{-2}	3.77×10^{-4}	0.715	—	32.41	—	—	—	0.869
	FD	0.990	1.80×10^{-3}	8.59×10^{-4}	0.345	—	36.030	—	—	—	0.350

TD tray drying, VD vacuum drying, SD sun drying, FD freeze drying.