Non-*neoformans* Cryptococcal Infections: a Systematic Review

T. Khawcharoenporn, A. Apisarnthanarak, L. M. Mundy

Abstract

Non-neoformans cryptococci have been generally regarded as saprophytes and rarely reported as human pathogens. However, the incidence of infection due to these organisms has increased over the past 40 years, with Cryptococcus laurentii and Cryptococcus albidus, together, responsible for 80% of reported cases. Conditions associated with impaired cell-mediated immunity are important risks for non-neoformans cryptococcal infections and prior azole prophylaxis has been associated with antifungal resistance. The presence of invasive devices was a significant risk factor for Cryptococcus laurentii infection (adjusted OR = 8.7; 95% CI = 1.48-82.9; p = 0.003), while predictors for mortality included age \geq 45 years (aOR = 8.4; 95% CI = 1.18-78.82; p = 0.004) and meningeal presentation (aOR = 7.0; 95%) CI = 1.85-60.5; p= 0.04). Because clinical manifestations of non-neoformans cryptococcal infections are most often indistinguishable from Cryptococcus neoformans, a high index of suspicion remains important to facilitate early diagnosis and prompt treatment for such infections.

Infection 2007; 35: 51–58 DOI 10.1007/s15010-007-6142-8

Introduction

Cryptococcal infections are serious and life-threatening, with presentations most often caused by *Cryptococcus neoformans* in immunocompromised hosts [1]. Other cryptococcal species have traditionally been considered non-pathogenic; however, there has been an incremental rise in non-*neoformans* cryptococcal infections over the past four decades [2–5]. This increase may reflect enhanced awareness of such infections, improved laboratory detection of non-*neoformans* species in the *Cryptococcus* genus and a rise in the number of at-risk patients. We conducted a systematic review of the literature to characterize the epidemiology, risk factors, pathogenesis, clinical manifestations and treatment of non-*neoformans* cryptococcal infection in humans.

Methods

A comprehensive search was performed for cases reported in the English literature using the Pubmed databases from inception through April 2006. Search terms included "fungus", "infection", "Cryptococcus", "non-neoformans", "adeliensis", "albidus", "curvatus", "humicolus", "laurentii", "luteolus", "macerans" and "uniguttulatus". References in each manuscript were reviewed to identify additional cases of non-neoformans cryptococcal infection. Thirty-eight articles were identified, reporting a total of 44 human cases of non-neoformans cryptococcal infection.

Statistical Analysis

Categorical variables were compared using Chi-square or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate. Continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney U Test. Multivariate analysis was used to adjust for confounders of risk factors and mortality. All tests were two-tailed, with p value < 0.05 considered significant.

Epidemiology

Non-*neoformans* species have generally been identified from various environmental sources and are widely distributed geographically, inclusive of the Caribbean, Antarctic and the Himalaya regions (Table 1). Some non-*neoformans* species, such as *Cryptococcus laurentii* and *Cryptococcus uniguttulatus*, had been reported to colonize humans [3, 6].

The prevalence of cryptococcal infection, in particular *C. neoformans* infection, increased during the acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) pandemic. After the first report of *Cryptococcus luteolus* in a child with measles [7], more sporadic non-*neoformans* cases were reported.

T. Khawcharoenporn, A. Apisarnthanarak (corresponding author) Division of Infectious Diseases, Faculty of Medicine, Thammasart University Hospital, Pratumthani 12120, Thailand; Phone: 668-1-987-2030, Fax: 662-332-8522, e-mail: anapisarn@yahoo.com L. M. Mundy

Saint Louis University School of Public Health, Saint Louis, MO, USA

Received: May 27, 2006 • Revision accepted: January 8, 2007

Cryptococcal species	Environmental sources	Geographic distribution	Prevalence rate
C. neoformans	Soil, pigeon nests, excreta of pigeons, canar- ies, milk and cockatoos (var. <i>neoformans</i>)	Worldwide (var. neoformans)	2.9–13.3% (HIV- infected individuals
	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i> and related eucalyptus species, koalas and oppossums (var. <i>gattii</i>)	Tropicals and subtropical regions (var. <i>gattii</i>)	0.001% (non-HIV- infected individuals
Non- <i>neoformans</i> cryptococci	Air, water, wood, soil, pigeon excreta food: cheese, fruits, pork products, beans, and wine	Worldwide including the Caribbean, Antarctica, and the Himalayas	NAª

However, the overall prevalence of non-*neoformans* cryptococcal infection, in comparison to *C. neoformans*, remains relatively low.

Pathogenesis

Cryptococcus laurentii Complex

C. laurentii has been reported to have a high degree of intraspecies heterogeneity and has been divided into phylogenetic groups I and II [8]. The physiologic and biochemical characteristics of the various species in the complex are very similar. However, the species in phylogenetic group I, such as *Cryptococcus flavescens* and *Cryptococcus aureus*, can be distinguished from phylogenetic group II, by their combination of assimilation patterns of D-glucosamine, *N*acetyl-D-glucosamine, DL-lactic acid, 1,2-propanediol and sodium nitrite and vitamin requirements [8].

Transmission, Virulence Factors and Host Immune Response

There is general agreement that most cryptococcal infections are acquired by inhalation of infectious propagules. Notably, two cases of healthcare-associated infections have been reported with transmission either from direct inhalation of airborne yeast in close geographic proximity or through respiratory care procedures with contaminated instruments by medical personnel [9, 10]. In addition, one case of mother-to-child transmission of C. neoformans has been reported [11]. The virulence factors of Cryptococcus spp. have been ascribed to capsule formation against phagocytosis, the expression of the laccase enzyme, and production of melanin [12–14]. The presence of the polysaccharide capsule in non-neoformans cryptococci shares common features with that of C. neoformans [3]. The process of melanin deposition observed in non-neoformans cryptococci is responsible for the alteration in cell wall integrity, immune evasion and decreased susceptibility

to antifungal therapy [14]. However, the level of laccase activity expressed in these non-*neoformans* cryptococci is lower than that seen in *C. neoformans* [3, 14]. Once the yeast enters the human host, macrophages are responsible for phagocytosis and the production of proinflammatory cytokines for recruitment of inflammatory cells [13, 15]. T-cell lymphocytes, especially Th-1 subtypes, then produce cytokines to activate fungicidal activity of macrophages and the transformation of alveolar macrophages into giant cells from which the ingestion of large encapsulated yeast cells occur as part of granuloma formation [13, 15].

Risk Factors

The likelihood of *neoformans* cryptococcal infection rises dramatically in individuals with impaired cell-mediated immunity, inclusive of lymphoproliferative disorders, advanced HIV infection (CD4 counts < 100 cells/µl) and hematologic malignancies [13, 16, 17]. Other recognized risk factors include use of steroid or chemotherapeutic agents, organ transplantation [13], impaired humoral immunity such as hyper-IgM syndrome [18–20], non-HIV lymphopenia [21], and direct or indirect exposures to pigeon excreta [22, 23]. The majority (48%) of non-*neoformans* cryptococcal cases had impaired cell-mediated immunity (i.e., neutropenia, hematologic malignancy, steroid or immunosuppressive drug use, or organ transplantation) while 16% had comorbid HIV infection with a mean CD4 count < 100 cells/µl.

From our analysis, the presence of invasive devices (aOR = 8.7; 95% CI = 1.48-82.9; p = 0.003) was a significant risk factor associated with *C. laurentii* infection. Clinical presentations for patients with *C. laurentii* and *C. albidus* were similar (Table 2), yet patients with *C. laurentii* infection were younger (p = 0.01) and more likely to survive (p = 0.01).

Characteristics	C. laurentii (n = 20)	<i>C. albidus</i> (n = 18)	p value
ge, mean years ± SD	30 ± 19	46 ± 21	0.01
Nale sex	12 (60)	12 (67)	NS
Jnderlying diseases			
Hematologic malignancy	3 (15)	5 (28)	NS
HIV diseases	3 (15)	3 (17)	NS
Solid tumor	3 (15)	1 (5)	NS
Other ^a	9 (45)	8 (44)	NS
lisk factors			
Invasive devices ^b	11 (55)	o (o)	0.003
Prior steroid exposure	4 (20)	3 (17)	NS
Prior immunosuppressant exposure	o (o)	4 (23)	NS
Prior azole or amphotericin B exposure	4 (20)	1 (5)	NS
CD4 count < 100 cells/µl	2 (10)	2 (11)	NS
Exposure to pigeon excreta	1 (5)	1 (5)	NS
Non-medication-associated neutropenia ^c	3 (15)	o (o)	NS
Clinical manifestations			
Blood stream infection	11 (55)	6 (33)	NS
Neurological ^d	4 (20)	6 (33)	NS
Pulmonary ^e	1 (5)	2 (11)	NS
Ophthalmologic ^f	2 (10)	2 (11)	NS
Cutaneous infection	2 (10)	2 (11)	NS
Peritonitis	2 (10)	o (o)	NS
Freatment			
Amphotericin B ± flucytosine	9 (45)	7 (39)	NS
Fluconazole	6 (30)	3 (17)	NS
Ketoconazole	1 (5)	1 (5)	NS
Non-antifungal treatment ^g	1 (5)	5 (28)	NS
Dutcome			
Died	o (o)	5 (28)	0.01

Table 2

Patient characteristics, underlying diseases, risk factors, clinical manifestations, treatment and outcome of C. laurentii and C. albidus,

Data are no. (%) of patients, unless otherwise indicated. Categorical variables were compared using Chi-square or Fisher exact test, as appropriate. Continuous variables were compared using the Mann–Whitney U test. All p values were two-tailed; p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant; NS: non-significance; ^a Includes juvenile rheumatoid arthritis, alcoholic liver disease, chronic kidney disease, diabetes mellitus, prematurity, dermatomyositis, X-linked hyper IgM syndrome and chronic renal allograft dysfunction; ^b Includes insertion of central venous catheter, peripheral intravenous central catheter, urinary catheter, peritoneal dialysis catheter and intraventricular drain; ^c Neutropenia caused by hematologic malignancy itself, unrelated to immunosuppressive agents; ^d Includes meningitis;^e Includes pneumonia, lung abscess and empyema thoracis; ^f Includes keratitis, scleral ulcer and endophthalmitis; ^g Includes catheter removal + peritoneal irrigation with normal saline solution, infected cornea removal and no treatment

Clinical Manifestations

Non-*neoformans* cryptococci has been reported to cause infection in many organ systems (Table 2). The bloodstream (17/44; 39%) and central nervous system (CNS) (14/44; 32%) were the most common sites of non-*neoformans* cryptococcal infection. The CNS manifestations were more commonly recognized in HIV-infected hosts (4/7; 57%) than in hosts without HIV infection (10/37; 27%) (p = 0.05).

Bloodstream Infection

Seventeen patients with non-*neoformans* cryptococcemia presented with either fever, hypothermia or septic shock [2, 4, 5, 9, 24–35]. Risk factors included the presence of an invasive device (9/17; 53%), neutropenia (7/17; 41%) and AIDS (3/17; 18%). The latex agglutination test was performed in four cases (24%); only one (1/4; 25%) had a positive cryptocoocal antigen titer (titer of 1:40). The reduced sensitivity of antigen testing (25% in non-*neoformans* vs. 99% in *C. neoformans*) may be related to the antigenic differences among the species, a lower organism burden in non-*neoformans* cryptococcemia, or limitations of the assay [3, 24, 36].

Central Nervous System Infection

Among the 14 patients with CNS infection [2, 3, 5, 22, 37–43], meningeal signs were reported for 50% of the cases. Clinical presentations were protean and included fever, headache, nausea, vomiting, paresthesia, gait disturbance, flaccid or spastic paralysis and altered mental status. The organisms were isolated from cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) in all cases and CSF findings were similar to those reported for *neoformans* infections.

Pulmonary Infection

With the exception for one case that had acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [30], most cases manifested as chronic, indolent illnesses [9, 25, 44–46]. The type and extent of pulmonary involvement included pneumonia, lung abscess and empyema [9, 26, 44–46]. The diagnoses were confirmed by positive culture results from different sources including bronchial swab or biopsy, sputum, or fluid from abscess and pleural space. Chest radiograph findings included localized opacities, disseminated nodular infiltration mimicking miliary patterns, cavity lesions, hilar node enlargement, pleural fluid and diffuse bilateral opacification with or without a pattern similar to that seen in ARDS. Notable, the investigators of one report suggested that *C. albidus* may be an etiologic agent of summer-type hypersensitivity pneumonitis [47].

Other Body Site Infections

Non-*neoformans* cryptococci can infect other organ systems such as skin, eyes, the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and lymph nodes [25]. Skin infections included cutaneous nodules, erythematous patches and plaque [23, 27, 30, 48, 49].

Ocular manifestations included deteriorating vision, painless scleral ulcer and corneal infiltrates [50–53]. Two patients receiving chronic ambulatory peritoneal dialysis had peritonitis [25, 54, 55].

Treatment

The choices and duration of treatment for non-*neoformans* cryptococci infections depend on the anatomical sites of involvement, the host-immune status and the severity of infection. Recommendations regarding the treatment for non-*neoformans* cryptococcal infections are limited to date due to the small number of empirically treated cases and lack of controlled trial data.

Cryptococcus laurentii

Amphotericin B alone was used for treatment of fungemia, meningitis, lung abscess, cutaneous infection and peritonitis with 100% cure in nine cases. The mean induction period was 14 days followed by fluconazole treatment for meningitis [5]. In patients with fungemia, the mean duration of treatment was 25 days (ranged 14–33 days) [31–35], while it was longer for lung abscess (42 days) [46] and peritonitis (60 days) [54]. In one patient with peritonitis, successful treatment was restricted to removal of the peritoneal catheter followed by peritoneal irrigation with saline solution [55]. In one patient with fungemia, a 14-day course of amphotericin B plus flucytosine was successful [4]. Fluconazole was successfully used in six patients with fungemia (mean duration 17 days) [4, 35], meningitis (mean duration 36 days) [18, 42] and endophthalmitis (150 days) [52].

Cryptococcus albidus

Amphotericin B alone was used in pneumonia, empyema, meningitis and fungemia cases with 86% treatment success (6/7 cases). The mean duration of treatment for meningitis was 60 days [22], with 17 days (ranged 14–20 days) for fungemia [28, 29] and 90 days for empyema [47]. One patient developed leukopenia and anemia as side effects of conventional amphotericin B (1 mg/kg/day) and therapy was switched to itraconazole [52]. A non-pharmacological intervention involving removal of infected tissue was used successfully in a patient with keratitis after corneal transplantation. Fluconazole was used successfully in all patients with cutaneous infections with a 56-day mean treatment period [48].

Overall, spontaneous recovery of non-*neoformans* cryptococcal infection may occur in less severe cases with non-CNS infections. In these cases, non-pharmocologic treatments, such as catheter or infected-tissue removal, were successful alone or in combination with antifungal agents [51, 54, 55]. Amphotericin B, at the similar dose and duration recommended for *C. neoformans* infection, [56] seemed effective for non-*neoformans* cryptococcal infection. Fluconazole has been used as initial therapy in selected patients with non-CNS infection. However, longer duration of treatment, drug susceptibility, and the hostimmune status are factors to consider for optimal CNS treatment. Newer azoles, such as, voriconazole and posaconazole has been shown to be active against *C. neoformans* in in-vitro studies [57–60], although their clinical efficacy has not been well studied in clinical trials. Echinocandins, such as caspofungin, micafungin and anidulafungin have demonstrated potent antifungal activity toward a variety of fungi but not *Cryptococcus* spp. [61–63].

Predictors of Mortality

Characteristics of patients with non-*neoformans* cryptococcal infection, stratified by survival, are compared in table 3. However, the attributable mortality could not be determined due to limited clinical data for each report. Predictors for overall mortality included age \geq 45 years (adjusted OR = 8.4; 95% CI = 1.18–78.82; p = 0.004) and CNS infection (aOR = 7.0; 95% CI = 1.85–60.5; p = 0.04).

Drug Resistance

Host comorbidities, drug intolerance, poor drug compliance and pharmacokinetic issues can each contribute to anticryptococcal drug resistance. For most C. neoformans clinical isolates, primary drug resistance to the standard antifungal agents, such as the polyenes and azoles, was uncommon while drug resistance to flucytosine has been increasingly observed [64]. The widespread use of azoles in immunocompromised hosts has contributed to the apparent azole resistance [64]. For non-neoformans cryptococci, antifungal susceptibility testing has been reported for 16/44 (36%) cases, 15 (94%) of whom had clinical isolates susceptible to amphotericin B. The exception was a case with C. laurentii who had two subsequences episodes of C. neoformans meningitis followed by C. laurentii meningoencephalitis. Prior exposure to amphotericin B for the treatment of C. neoformans meningitis may have contributed to the emergence of amphotericin B-resistant C. laurentii [42].

Table	3
-------	---

Characteristics	Non-survival (n = 6)	Survival (n = 37)	P value
Age, mean years ± SD	55 ± 19	33 ± 21	0.004
Male sex	2 (33)	24 (65)	NS
Underlying diseases and risk factors			
Hematologic malignancy	3 (50)	6 (17)	NS
HIV diseases	1 (17)	6 (17)	NS
Solid tumor	1 (17)	4 (11)	NS
Invasive devices ^a	o (o)	10 (27)	NS
Prior immunosuppressant or steroid exposure	3 (50)	9 (24)	NS
Organ infections			
Blood stream	2 (33)	14 (38)	NS
Meningitis	4 (67)	8 (22)	0.04
CSF findings ^b			
CSF glucose < 50% of blood glucose	1 (50)	1 (20)	NS
Protein > 45 g/dl	2 (100)	3 (60)	NS
WBC > 100 cells/µl	1 (50)	3 (60)	NS
Positive Indian Ink smear	1 (50)	2 (40)	NS
Length of hospital stay, mean days \pm SD	39 ± 35	36 ± 29	NS
Fluconazole resistance	1 (17)	6 (16)	NS
Treatment			
Amphotericin B ± flucytosine	4 (67)	20 (54)	NS
Fluconazole	o (o)	10 (29)	NS
Non-treatment	2 (33)	3 (8)	NS

Data are no. (%) of patients, unless otherwise indicated. Categorical variables were compared using Chi-square or Fisher exact test, as appropriate. Continuous variables were compared using the Mann–Whitney U test. All p values were two-tailed; p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant; NS: non-significance; CSF: cerebrospinal fluid; WBC: white blood cell count; ^a Includes central venous catheter and urinary catheter; ^b Total number of patients with meningitis that had available lumbar puncture information was two in non-survival group and five in survival group

Species	Diagnosis	Underlying diseases	Prophylactic drug	Dose	Dura- tion ^a	Reasons of failure	Refer- ences
C. adeliensis	Meningtitis	AML, lymphoma	Itraconazole	400 mg/day	NA	Reduced susceptibility to itraconazole	38
C. albidus	Fungemia	AML, status post APCT	Fluconazole	200 mg/day	NA	Resistance to fluconazole	29
C. laurentii	Fungemia	Leukemia post BMT	Ketoconazole	400 mg/day	22 days	Resistance to ketoconazole	9
C. laurentii	Fungemia	AML	Amphoteri- cin B	1 mg/kg/day	14 days	NA	31
C. laurentii	Fungemia	Solid tumor	Ketoconazole	200 mg/day	4 days	Resistance to ketoconazole	31
C. laurentii	Fungemia	NHL	Itraconazole	1,200 mg/day	5 days	Resistance to ketoconazole	31

For non-*neoformans* cryptococci, primary resistance was most frequent for fluconazole and flucytosine [4, 32, 37–39, 46–39, 50]. Fluconazole resistance was more common in patients who previously received azole prophylaxis (83%) than azole-naive patients (50%). Itraconazole, ketoconazole and voriconazole susceptibility testing was reported for only a few cases [2, 32, 34, 37, 38]. In a small subset of cases with available data, amphotericin B was active against non-*neoformans* cryptococci. Hence, in patients with prior azole exposure, fluconazole susceptibility testing seems prudent.

Prevention

Three general strategies have been recommended for prevention of *C. neoformans* infection: (1) avoidance of close contact with pathogen-rich environments, (2) improvement of host defenses, i.e., by using granulocyte-macrophage colonystimulating factor (GM-CSF) or granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF) or use of combination antiretroviral therapy in HIV-infected patients and (3) antifungal prophylaxis.

Antifungal Prophylaxis

Data relevant to antifungal prophylaxis for non-*neoformans* cryptococci is sparce. There are no guideline recommendations for non-*neoformans* cryptococcal prophylaxis in HIV-infected patients. Until additional data become available, we suggest that the prophylaxis of non-*neoformans* cryptococcal infections in HIV-infected persons be similar to that for *C. neoformans* infections.

For high-risk patients without HIV infection, precise recommendations for primary prophylaxis of non*neoformans* cryptococcal infections are non-existent. In this systematic review, six patients who received primary prophylaxis for fungal infections developed non-*neoformans* cryptococcal infections (Table 4). The reasons for failure were possibly due to drug intolerance, reduced susceptibility or resistance to the prophylactic agents. Large comparative clinical trials would help characterize the "high risk" patients most likely to benefit from anti-cryptococcal prophylaxis.

Conclusion

With the increase in immunocompromised patients worldwide and the widespread use of immunosuppressive agents, non-neoformans cryptococci have been increasingly recognized as human pathogens. Epidemiological studies suggest that non-neoformans cryptococci have a diverse geographic distribution and that persons with impaired cell-mediated immunity or invasive devices are predisposed to these infections. Infection can involve many organ systems with clinical manifestations similar to C. neoformans infection. Amphotericin B remains the mainstay of the treatment and azole agents are reasonable alternatives for patients with less severe, non-disseminated infection. Drug susceptibility testing should guide treatment. Patients with advanced age and meningitis may have higher risk for mortality and should be promptly diagnosed and treated. Avoidance of organism-rich environments, improvement of host defenses and antifungal prophylaxis may help prevent non-neoformans cryptococcal disease.

References

- Apisarnthanarak A, Powderly WG: Treatment of acute cryptococcal disease. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2001; 2: 1259–1268.
- Kordossis T, Avlami A, Velegraki A, Stefanou I, Georgakopoulos G, Papalambrou C, Legakis NJ: First report of Cryptococcus laurentii meningitis and a fatal case of Cryptococcus albidus cryptococcaemia in AIDS patients. Med Mycol 1998; 36: 335–339.
- McCurdy LH, Morrow JD: Infections due to non-neoformans cryptococcal species. Compr Ther 2003; 29: 95–101.

- Johnson LB, Bradley SF, Kauffman CA: Fungaemia due to Cryptococcus laurentii and a review of non-neoformans cryptococcaemia. Mycoses 1998; 41: 277–280.
- Khawcharoenporn T, Apisarnthanarak A, Kiratisin P, Mundy LM, Bailey TC: Evaluation of a possible case of Cryptococcus laurentii meningitis in a patient with HIV infection: a case report and review of the literature. Hawaii Med J 2006; 65: 260–263.
- Bauters TG, Swinne D, Boekhout T, Noens L, Nelis HJ: Repeated isolation of Cryptococcus laurentii from the oropharynx of an immunocompromized patient. Mycopathologia 2002; 153: 133–135.
- Binder L, Csillag A, Toth G: Diffuse infiltration of the lungs associated with Cryptocococcus luteolus. Lancet 1956; 270: 1043–1045.
- Tashima M, Sugita T, Shinoda T, Nakase: T Three new combinations from the Cryptococcus laurentii complex: Cryptococcus aureus, Cryptococcus carnescens and Cryptococcus peneaus. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2003; 53: 1187–1194.
- Krcmery V Jr, Kunova A, Mardiak: J Nosocomial Cryptococcus laurentii fungemia in a bone marrow transplant patient after prophylaxis with ketoconazole successfully treated with oral fluconazole. Infection 1997; 25: 130.
- 10. Wang CY, Wu HD, Hsueh PR: Nosocomial transmission of cryptococcosis. N Engl J Med 2005; 352: 1271–1272.
- Sirinavin S, Intusoma U, Tuntirungsee S: Mother-to-child transmission of cryptococcus neoformans. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2004; 23: 278–279.
- 12. Harrison T: S Cryptococcus neoformans and cryptococcosis. J Infect 2000; 41: 12–17.
- 13. Perfect JR, Casadevall A: Cryptococcosis. Infect Dis Clin North Am 2002; 16: 837–874.
- 14. Ikeda R, Sugita T, Jacobson ES, Shinoda T Laccase and melanization in clinically important Cryptococcus species other than Cryptococcus neoformans. J Clin Microbiol 2002; 40: 1214–1218.
- Segal E, Baum GL Epidemiology, pathogenesis, immunopathogenesis and clinical manifestations of cryptococcosis. In: Baum GL, Segal E (eds) Pathogenic yeasts and yeast infections. CRC Press, Florida, pp 1994; 205–217.
- Perfect JR: Cryptococcus neoformans. In: Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Dolin R (eds): Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases. Churchill Livingstone, New York 2005, pp 2997–3009.
- 17. Zimmerman LE, Rappaport H: Occurrence of cryptococcosis in patients with malignant disease of reticuloendothelial system. Am J Clin Pathol 1954; 24: 1050.
- Iseki M, Anzo M, Yamashita N, Matsuo N: Hyper-IgM immunodeficiency with disseminated cryptococcosis. Acta Paediatr 1994; 83: 780–782.
- Husain A, Wagener MM, Singh N: Cryptococcus neoformans infection in organ transplant recipient: variables influencing clinical characteristics and outcome. Emerg Infect Dis 2001; 32: 145–150.
- Tabone MD, Leverger G, Landman J, Asnar C, Boccon-Gibod L, Lasfargues G: Disseminated lymphonodular cryptococcosis in a child with x-linked hyper-IgM immunodeficiency. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1994; 13: 77–79.
- 21. Dev D, Basran GS, Slater D Consider: HIV negative immunodeficiency in cryptococcosis. BMJ 1994; 308: 1436.
- 22. da Cunha T, Lusins J: Cryptococcus albidus meningitis. South Med J 1973; 66: 1230.
- Vlchkova-Lashkoska M, Kamberova S, Starova A, Goleva-Mishevska L, Tsatsa-Biljanovska N, Janevska V, Petrovska M: Cutaneous Cryptococcus laurentii infection in a human immunodeficiency virus-negative subject. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2004; 18: 99–100.

- 24. Loison J, Bouchara JP, Gueho E, de Gentile L, Cimon B, Chennebault JM, Chabasse D: First report of Cryptococcus albidus septicaemia in an HIV patient. J Infect 1996; 33: 139–140.
- 25. Shinde SM, Vanarse KS, Pandit AN: Systemic humicolus cryptococcosis. Indian Pediatr 2004; 41: 1162–1164.
- 26. Gluck JL, Myers JP, Pass LM: Cryptococcemia due to Cryptococcus albidus. South Med J 1987; 80: 511–513.
- 27. Lin SR, Peng CF, Yang SA, Yu HS: Isolation of Cryptococcus albidus var. albidus in patient with pemphigus foliaceus. Gaoxiong Yi Xue Ke Xue Za Zhi 1989; 5: 126–128.
- Wells GM, Gajjar A, Pearson TA, Hale KL, Shenep JL: Brief report. Pulmonary cryptosporidiosis and Cryptococcus albidus fungemia in a child with acute lymphocytic leukemia. Med Pediatr Oncol 1998; 31: 544–546.
- 29. Ramchandren R, Gladstone DE: Cryptococcus albidus infection in a patient undergoing autologous progenitor cell transplant. Transplantation 2004; 77: 956.
- Lee YA, Kim HJ, Lee TW, Kim MJ, Lee MH, Lee JH, Ihm CG: First report of Cryptococcus albidus-induced disseminated cryptococcosis in a renal transplant recipient. Korean J Intern Med 2004; 19: 53–57.
- Krcmery V, Krupova I, Denning DW: Invasive yeast infections other than Candida spp. in acute leukaemia J Hosp Infect 1999; 41: 181–194.
- Averbuch D, Boekhoutt T, Falk R, Engelhard D, Shapiro M, Block C, Polacheck I Fungemia in a cancer patient caused by fluconazoleresistant Cryptococcus laurentii. Med Mycol 2002; 40: 479–484.
- Cheng MF, Chiou CC, Liu YC, Wang HZ, Hsieh KS: Cryptococcus laurentii fungemia in a premature neonate. J Clin Microbiol 2001; 39: 1608–1611.
- 34. Kiertiburanakul S, Sungkanuparph S, Pracharktam R: Cryptococcus laurentii fungemia: a case report. J Infect Dis Antimicrob Agents 2001; 18: 112–114.
- 35. Krcmery V Jr, Oravcova E, Spanik S, Mrazova-Studena M, Trupl J, Kunova A, Stopkova-Grey K, Kukuckova E, Krupova I, Demitrovicova A, Kralovicova K: Nosocomial breakthrough fungaemia during antifungal prophylaxis or empirical antifungal therapy in 41 cancer patients receiving antineoplastic chemotherapy: analysis of aetiology risk factors and outcome. J Antimicrob Chemother 1998; 41: 373–380.
- Ikeda R, Sugita T, Shinoda T Serological relationships of Cryptococcus spp.: distribution of antigenic factors in Cryptococcus and intraspecies diversity. J Clin Microbiol 2000; 38: 4021–4025.
- 37. McCurdy LH, Morrow JD: Ventriculitis due to Cryptococcus uniguttulatus. South Med J 2001; 94: 65–66.
- Rimek D, Haase G, Luck A, Casper J, Podbielski A: First report of a case of meningitis caused by Cryptococcus adeliensis in a patient with acute myeloid leukemia. J Clin Microbiol 2004; 42: 481–483.
- Dromer F, Moulignier A, Dupont B, Gueho E, Baudrimont M, Improvisi L, Provost F, Gonzalez-Canali G: Myeloradiculitis due to Cryptococcus curvatus in AIDS. AIDS 1995; 9: 395–396.
- Melo JC, Srinivasan S, Scott ML, Raff MJ: Cryptococcus albidus meningitis. J Infect 1980; 2: 79–82.
- Simon G, Simon G, Erdos M, Marodi L: Invasive Cryptococcus laurentii disease in a nine-year-old boy with X-linked hyper-immunoglobulin M syndrome. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2005; 24: 935–937.
- 42. Manfredi R, Fulgaro C, Sabbatani S, Legnani G, Fasulo G: Emergence of amphotericin B-resistant Cryptococcus laurentii meningoencephalitis shortly after treatment for Cryptococcus neoformans meningitis in a patient with AIDS. AIDS Patient Care STDS 2006; 20: 227–232.
- Lindsberg PJ, Pieninkeroinen I, Valtonen M: Meningoencephalitis caused by Cryptococcus macerans. Scand J Infect Dis 1997; 29: 430–433.

- 44. Krumholz RA Pulmonary cryptococcosis. A case due to Cryptococcus albidus. Am Rev Respir Dis 1972; 105: 421–424.
- Horowitz ID, Blumberg EA, Krevolin L: Cryptococcus albidus and mucormycosis empyema in a patient receiving hemodialysis. South Med J 1993; 86: 1070–1072.
- Lynch JP 3rd, Schaberg DR, Kissner DG, Kauffman CA: Cryptococcus laurentii lung abscess. Am Rev Respir Dis 1981; 123: 135–138.
- Miyagawa T, Hamagami S, Tanigawa N: Cryptococcus albidusinduced summer-type hypersensitivity pneumonitis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2000; 161: 961–966.
- Narayan S, Batta K, Colloby P, Tan CY: Cutaneous cryptococcus infection due to C. albidus associated with Sezary syndrome. Br J Dermatol 2000; 143: 632–634.
- 49. Kamalam A, Yesudian P, Thambiah AS: Cutaneous infection by Cryptococcus laurentii. Br J Dermatol 1977; 97: 221–223.
- Garelick JM, Khodabakhsh AJ, Lopez Y, Bamji M, Lister M: Scleral ulceration caused by Cryptococcus albidus in a patient with acquired immune deficiency syndrome. Cornea 2004; 23: 730–731.
- de Castro LE, Sarraf OA, Lally JM, Sandoval HP, Solomon KD, Vroman DT: Cryptococcus albidus keratitis after corneal transplantation. Cornea 2005; 24: 882–883.
- 52. Custis PH, Haller JA, de Juan E Jr: An unusual case of cryptococcal endophthalmitis. Retina 1995; 15: 300–304.
- 53. Ritterband DC, Seedor JA, Shah MK, Waheed S, Schorr I: A unique case of Cryptococcus laurentii keratitis spread by a rigid gas permeable contact lens in a patient with onychomycosis. Cornea 1998; 17: 115–118.
- 54. Sinnott JT 4th, Rodnite J, Emmanuel PJ, Campos A: Cryptococcus laurentii infection complicating peritoneal dialysis. Pediatr Infect Dis J 1989; 8: 803–805.
- Mocan H, Murphy AV, Beattie TJ, McAllister TA: Fungal peritonitis in children on continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. Scott Med J 1989; 34: 494–496.

- Saag MS, Graybill RJ, Larsen RA, Pappas PG, Perfect JR, Powderly WG, Sobel JD, Dismukes WE: Practice guidelines for the management of cryptococcal disease. Clin Infect Dis 2000; 30: 710–718.
- 57. Cuenca-Estrella M, Gomez-Lopez A, Mellado E, Buitrago MJ, Monzon A, Rodriguez-Tudela JL: Head-to-head comparison of the activities of currently available antifungal agents against 3,378 Spanish clinical isolates of yeasts and filamentous fungi. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2006; 50: 917–921.
- Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Boyken L, Rice C, Tendolkar S, Hollis RJ, Doern GV, Diekema DJ: Global trends in the antifungal susceptibility of Cryptococcus neoformans (1990 to 2004). J Clin Microbiol 2005; 43: 2163–2167.
- 59. van Duin D, Cleare W, Zaragoza O, Casadevall A, Nosanchuk JD: Effects of voriconazole on Cryptococcus neoformans. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004; 48: 2014–2020.
- 60. Sabatelli EJ, Loebenberg D, Mendrick CA, Patel R, Norris C, McNicholas PM: In vitro activities of posaconazole, fluconazole, itraconazole, voriconazole, and amphotericin B against approximately 18,000 strains of clinically significant yeasts and mould. In: 44th Interscience Conference of Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Washington, DC, USA 2004, p M-1810
- Johnson MD, Perfect JR: Caspofungin: first approved agent in a new class of antifungals. Expert Opin Pharmacother 2003; 4: 807–823.
- 62. Denning DW Echinocandin: antifungal drugs. Lancet 2003; 362: 1142–1151.
- 63. Morrison VA: Echinocandin antifungals: review and update. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2006; 4: 325–342.
- 64. Perfect JR, Cox GM: Drug resistance in Cryptococcus neoformans. Drug Resist Updat 1999; 2: 259–269.