ORIGINAL ARTICLE



# **Derrisisoflavones H–K and One Isoflavan Derivative from** *Derris robusta*



Guo-Zhu Wei · Mei-Fen Mao · Xiang-Mei Li · Fu-Cai Ren · Fei Wang

Received: 7 January 2016/Accepted: 31 January 2016/Published online: 19 February 2016 © The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

**Abstract** Four hitherto unknown prenylated isoflavonoids, named derrisisoflavones H-K (1–4) and one new isoflavan, namely 6-hydroxyisosativan (5), were isolated from the ethanol extract of *Derris robusta*. Their structures were elucidated on the basis of extensive spectroscopic studies. To our knowledge, derrisisoflavones J (3) and K (4) are the first examples of hydroxyethylated isoflavonoid.

Graphical Abstract





# **1** Introduction

*Derris* is a genus belonging to the Leguminosae family with about 800 species that are widely distributed in

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s13659-016-0090-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

tropical, subtropical areas of Asia and Africa [1]. Published studies have shown that the genus is a rich source of pterocarpans, flavonoids, particularly prenylated isoflavonoids and flavonoids [2–5] and these phytochemicals are associated with a broad spectrum of biological activities, including insecticidal, antimicrobial, cytotoxic, and antioxidant activities [3–7]. As part of a BioBioPha [http:// www.chemlib.cn/] objective to assemble a large-scale natural product library valuable in the discovery of new drug leads from nature [8–10], the phytochemical investigation on the twigs and leaves of *Derris robusta* led to the

G.-Z. Wei · M.-F. Mao · X.-M. Li · F.-C. Ren · F. Wang (⊠) BioBioPha Co., Ltd., Kunming 650201, People's Republic of China e-mail: f.wang@mail.biobiopha.com

isolation of four new prenylated isoflavonoids, named derrisisoflavones H–K (1–4), and a new isoflavan, namely 6-hydroxyisosativan (5). This paper describes the isolation and structural elucidation of five new compounds (Fig. 1).

## 2 Results and Discussion

Compound **1** was obtained as a yellow amorphous powder and had a molecular formula  $C_{26}H_{26}O_7$  determined by its HRE-SIMS, showing a negative molecular ion peak at m/z 449.1608  $[M - H]^-$  (calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{25}O_7$ , 449.1606). The UV spectrum of **1** with a set of absorption maxima at 268, 297 (sh), 359 nm suggested that it had an isoflavone skeleton as the chromophore [11]. This inference was further supported by characteristic proton singlet at  $\delta_H 8.17$  (H-2) and  $sp^2$  methine carbon at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  155.6 (C-2). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (Table 1) showed a set of signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.05 (br. s), 6.87 (br. d, J = 8.0 Hz) and 6.82 (d, J = 8.0 Hz) due to a 1,3,4-trisubstituted benzene ring, two aromatic or olefinic protons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.86 (s) and 5.30 (t, J = 6.8 Hz), one methylene signal at  $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.64 (d, J = 6.8 Hz), and five methyl singlets at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.11, 1.85, 1.67, 1.60 and 1.60. The <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DEPT) spectrum (Table 2) displayed a total of 26 carbon resonances, including five methyls, one methylene, six methines and 14 quaternary carbons. The above NMR spectroscopic features were very similar to those of 5,4'-dihydroxy-8-(3,3-dimethylallyl)-2"methoxyisopropylfurano[4,5:6,7]isoflavone [12], and the most dramatic difference was the presence of an additional hydroxy group in 1. The hydroxy group was located at C-3', on the basis of the HMBC correlations from the protons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$ 7.05 (br. s, H-2') and 6.87 (br. d, J = 8.0 Hz, H-6') to the



Fig. 1 Structures of compounds 1-5

No.	<b>1</b> <sup>a</sup>	2 <sup>b</sup>	3 <sup>a</sup>	<b>4</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>5</b> <sup>a</sup>
2	8.17 (s)	8.51 (s)	7.97 (s)	8.00 (s)	4.17 (ddd, 10.2, 3.3, 1.9, H <sub>eq</sub> )
					3.90 (t, 10.2, H <sub>ax</sub> )
3					3.43 (dddd, 10.7, 10.2, 5.3, 3.3, H <sub>ax</sub> )
4					2.91 (dd, 15.9, 10.7, H <sub>ax</sub> )
					2.73 (ddd, 15.9, 5.3, 1.9, H <sub>eq</sub> )
5					6.51 (s)
8			6.37 (s)	6.36 (s)	6.37 (s)
2'	7.05 (br. s)	7.05 (d, 1.6)	7.19 (d, 2.0)	7.25 (d, 2.3)	
3'					6.38 (d, 2.5)
5'	6.82 (d, 8.0)	6.81 (d, 8.0)	6.79 (d, 8.2)	6.82 (d, 8.2)	6.36 (dd, 8.4, 2.5)
6′	6.87 (br. d, 8.0)	6.85 (dd, 8.0, 1.6)	7.15 (dd, 8.2, 2.0)	7.21 (dd, 8.2, 2.3)	6.95 (d, 8.4)
1″	6.86 (s)	3.48 (d, 7.3)	2.92 (t, 7.3)	3.30 (overlapped)	
2″		5.29 (t, 7.3)	3.68 (t, 7.3)	5.22 (t, 7.2)	
4″	1.60 (s)	1.62 (s)		1.65 (s)	
5″	1.60 (s)	1.79 (s)		1.77 (s)	
1′′′′	3.64 (d, 6.8)	7.07 (s)	3.32 (d, 7.3)	2.87 (t, 7.0)	
2'''	5.30 (t, 6.8)		5.33 (t, 7.3)	3.78 (t, 7.0)	
4′′′	1.67 (s)	1.56 (s)	1.72 (s)		
5′′′	1.85 (s)	1.56 (s)	1.72 (s)		
5-OH		13.21 (s)			
3'-OH		9.14 (br. s)			
4′-OH		9.14 (br. s)			
7-OCH <sub>3</sub>					3.78 (s)
4'-OCH <sub>3</sub>					3.71 (s)
3"-OCH <sub>3</sub>	3.11 (s)				
3///-ОСН.		3.00 (s)			

Table 1 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopic data for derrisisoflavones H-K (1-4) and 6-hydroxyisosativan (5)

<sup>a</sup> Measured in CD<sub>3</sub>OD ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.30 ppm)

<sup>b</sup> Measured in DMSO- $d_6$  ( $\delta_H$  2.50 ppm)

carbon at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  123.7 (s, C-3) (Fig. 2). The HMBC correlations from the protons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.64 (2H, d, J = 6.8 Hz, H-1<sup>'''</sup>) to the carbons at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  158.6 (s, C-7), 105.2 (s, C-8), and 152.4 (s, C-9) verified the location of the prenyl group [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.67, 1.85 (each s), 3.64 (d, J = 6.8 Hz), and 5.30 (t, J = 6.8 Hz)] at C-8. Furthermore, the correlations from the proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.86 (s, H-1<sup>''</sup>) to the carbons at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  154.2 (s, C-5), 114.1 (s, C-6), and 158.6 (s, C-7) confirmed that the furan ring, derived from a prenyl group, was fused along the C-6 to C-7 bond. Consequently, the structure of **1** was determined and named as derrisisoflavone H.

Compound **2**, was purified as a yellow amorphous powder and had the same molecular formula as **1** based on its HRESIMS (neg.): m/z 449.1607  $[M - H]^-$  (calcd. for  $C_{26}H_{25}O_7$ , 449.1606). The NMR spectroscopic data of **2** (Tables 1, 2) were very similar to those of **1**. The structural discrepancy was only from the switch positions of prenyl group and furan ring on ring A. The positions were verified by the HMBC correlations from the protons at  $\delta_H$  3.48 (2H, d, J = 7.3 Hz, H-1") and 13.21 (s, 5-OH) to the carbon at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  154.6 (s, C-5), and from the protons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.07 (s, H-1<sup>'''</sup>) and 8.51 (s, H-2) to the carbon at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  147.7 (s, C-9), respectively. Accordingly, the structure of **2** was elucidated as shown and given the name derrisisoflavone I.

Compound 3, was isolated as a white amorphous powder, with a molecular formula of C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>6</sub> according to its HRESIMS (pos.): m/z 405.1305 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 405.1309). The general features of NMR spectra (Tables 1, 2) of 3 were similar to those of lupalbigenin, a diprenylated isoflavone [13], except for the signals of a hydroxyethyl moiety [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.92 (t, J = 7.3 Hz), 3.68 (t, J = 7.3 Hz);  $\delta_{\rm C}$  26.8 (t), 61.9 (t)] instead of one of prenyl group in the latter. The hydroxyethyl group was linked to C-6 on the basis of the following HMBC correlations: from the protons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.92 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, H-1") to the carbons at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  161.3 (s, C-5), 109.9 (s, C-6) and 164.2 (s, C-7), and from the protons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.97 (s, H-2) and 6.37 (s, H-8) to the carbon at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  157.9 (s, C-9). Similarly, the connection of the prenyl group to C-3' was established by the correlation from the proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.19 (d, J = 2.0 Hz,

Table 2  $^{13}$ C NMR spectroscopic data for derrisisoflavones H–K (1–4) and 6-hydroxyisosativan (5)

No.	1 <sup>a</sup>	<b>2</b> <sup>b</sup>	<b>3</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>4</b> <sup>a</sup>	<b>5</b> <sup>a</sup>
2	155.6 (d)	154.1 (d)	154.5 (d)	154.6 (d)	71.0 (t)
3	123.7 (s)	123.5 (s)	125.0 (s)	124.7 (s)	33.1 (d)
4	184.2 (s)	181.4 (s)	182.4 (s)	182.3 (s)	31.4 (t)
5	154.2 (s)	154.6 (s)	161.3 (s)	160.5 (s)	116.4 (d)
6	114.1 (s)	107.6 (s)	109.9 (s)	113.1 (s)	141.0 (s)
7	158.6 (s)	157.0 (s)	164.2 (s)	163.7 (s)	148.1 (s)
8	105.2 (s)	107.9 (s)	94.2 (d)	93.9 (d)	101.4 (d)
9	152.4 (s)	147.7 (s)	157.9 (s)	157.6 (s)	148.8 (s)
10	107.6 (s)	107.4 (s)	106.2 (s)	106.1 (s)	115.0 (s)
1'	123.7 (s)	121.5 (s)	123.4 (s)	123.4 (s)	121.4 (s)
2'	117.5 (d)	116.8 (d)	131.4 (d)	132.8 (d)	157.2 (s)
3'	146.2 (s)	145.0 (s)	129.5 (s)	126.7 (s)	102.3 (d)
4′	146.8 (s)	145.8 (s)	156.5 (s)	157.0 (s)	160.8 (s)
5'	116.3 (d)	115.5 (d)	115.8 (d)	116.1 (d)	105.6 (d)
6'	121.8 (d)	120.3 (d)	128.7 (d)	129.5 (d)	128.8 (d)
1″	102.7 (d)	21.6 (t)	26.8 (t)	22.3 (t)	
2"	161.3 (s)	120.9 (d)	61.9 (t)	123.4 (d)	
3″	74.7 (s)	132.1 (s)		132.1 (s)	
4″	25.5 (q)	25.5 (q)		26.0 (q)	
5″	25.5 (q)	17.6 (q)		17.9 (q)	
1'''	22.9 (t)	101.2 (d)	29.3 (t)	35.1 (t)	
2'''	122.2 (d)	159.3 (s)	123.9 (d)	63.0 (t)	
3'''	133.8 (s)	72.8 (s)	133.1 (s)		
4'''	25.9 (q)	24.9 (q)	25.9 (q)		
5'''	18.0 (q)	24.9 (q)	17.9 (q)		
7-OCH <sub>3</sub>					56.3 (q)
4'-OCH <sub>3</sub>					55.5 (q)
3"-OCH <sub>3</sub>	51.4 (q)				
3 <sup>'''</sup> -OCH <sub>3</sub>		50.4 (q)			

<sup>a</sup> Measured in CD<sub>3</sub>OD ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  49.0 ppm)

<sup>b</sup> Measured in DMSO- $d_6$  ( $\delta_C$  39.5 ppm)



Fig. 2 Selected HMBC ( ) correlations of derrisisoflavone H (1)

H-2') to the carbon at 29.3 (t, C-1'''). Therefore, the structure of 3 was characterized and named as derrisisoflavone J.

Compound 4 was afforded as a white amorphous powder and possessed the same molecular formula as 3 according to its HRESIMS (pos.): m/z 405.1307 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 405.1309). The NMR data (Tables 1, 2) were very similar to those of **3**, which allowed us to infer that their structural discrepancy may result from the different substitution patterns of the hydroxyethyl and prenyl groups. This deduction was confirmed by the HMBC correlations from the protons at 3.30 (overlapped, H-1") to  $\delta_{\rm C}$  160.5 (s, C-5), 113.1 (s, C-6) and 163.7 (s, C-7), and from the proton at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.25 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, H-2') to  $\delta_{\rm C}$  35.1 (t, C-1"'). Therefore, the structure of **4** was established as shown and given the name derrisisoflavone K.

Compound 5, a white amorphous powder, had a molecular formula of  $C_{17}H_{18}O_5$  by its HRESIMS (pos.): *m*/  $[M + Na]^+$  (calcd. for  $C_{17}H_{18}O_5Na$ , z 325.1031 325.1046). Its <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum (Table 1) displayed an ABX-type aromatic proton system [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.38 (d, J = 2.5 Hz), 6.36 (dd, J = 8.4, 2.5 Hz), and 6.95 (d, J = 8.4 Hz)], two aromatic singlets at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.51 and 6.37, two methoxy signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.78 and 3.71, and a set of signals [ $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.17 (ddd, J = 10.2, 3.3, 1.9 Hz, H<sub>eq</sub>-2), 3.90 (t, J = 10.2 Hz, H<sub>ax</sub>-2), 3.43 (dddd, J = 10.7, 10.2, 5.3, 3.3 Hz,  $H_{ax}$ -3), 2.91 (dd, J = 15.9, 10.7 Hz,  $H_{ax}$ -4), and 2.73 (ddd, J = 15.9, 5.3, 1.9 Hz,  $H_{ea}$ -4)] due to ring C protons of an isoflavan. The above NMR signals were similar to those of isosativan (also called 7-Omethylvestitol) [14], and a prominent difference was two aromatic singlets at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.51 and 6.37 instead of one of ABX-type system of isosativan. By careful analysis of the MS and NMR data, the isoflavan was inferred as a hydroxylated derivative of isosativan. The additional hydroxy group was located at C-6 by the HMBC correlations from the proton singlet at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  6.51 (H-5) to the carbon at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  31.4 (t, C-4), and from the methoxy signal at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  3.78 (7-OMe) to the carbons at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  148.1 (s, C-7) and 101.4 (d, C-8). Thereupon, the structure of 5 was established and named 6-hydroxyisosativan. The absolute configuration at C-3 was postulated as being R-form in the light of a negative specific rotation value (-11.7, MeOH), consistent with those of (3R)-vestitol derivatives [15].

#### **3** Experimental Section

#### 3.1 General Experimental Procedures

Optical rotation was measured on a Jasco P-1020 automatic digital polarimeter. UV data were obtained from HPLC online analysis. NMR spectra were carried out on a Bruker AV-400, Bruker DRX-500 or Bruker AV-600 instrument with deuterated solvent signals used as internal standards. ESI and HRESIMS were performed with a Shimadzu LC-IT-TOF mass spectrometer equipped with an ESI interface (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). Silica gel 200–300 mesh (Qingdao Marine Chemical Inc., Qingdao, China),

Chromatorex C-18 (40–75  $\mu$ m, Fuji Silysia Chemical Ltd., Japan) and Sephadex LH-20 (Amersham Biosciences, Uppsala, Sweden) were used for normal pressure column chromatography (CC). Fractions were monitored and analyzed by TLC, in combination with Agilent 1200 series HPLC system equipped by Extend-C18 column (5  $\mu$ m, 4.6  $\times$  150 mm).

## 3.2 Plant Material

The twigs and leaves of *D. robusta* were collected from the Pu'er region of Yunnan Province, People's Republic of China, in May 2011, and identified by Mr. Yu Chen of Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences. A voucher specimen (BBP0350022DR) was deposited at BioBioPha Co., Ltd.

#### 3.3 Extraction and Isolation

The air-dried and powdered twigs and leaves (12.0 kg) of D. robusta were extracted with EtOH-H<sub>2</sub>O (95:5, v/v;  $3 \times 20$  L, each 4 days) at room temperature, and the combined filtrates were concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude extract (ca. 870 g), which was further fractionated by silica gel CC successively eluted with a gradient of increasing acetone in petroleum ether (PE) (10:1  $\rightarrow$  0:1, v/v) and then MeOH to obtain nine fractions A-I. Fraction D (PE/acetone, 5:1, v/v) was subjected to silica gel CC (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/ MeOH, 100:0  $\rightarrow$  100:1, v/v) and Sephadex LH-20 (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/ MeOH, 1:1, v/v) to give 5 (14 mg). Fraction E (PE/acetone, 4:1, v/v) was isolated on silica gel CC (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH,  $100:1 \rightarrow 5:1, v/v)$ , RP-18 (30 % MeOH/H<sub>2</sub>O, v/v), and Sephadex LH-20 (MeOH) to yield 1 (7 mg), 2 (11 mg), and 4 (14 mg). Fraction H (PE/acetone, 1:1) was purified by silica gel CC (CHCl<sub>3</sub>/MeOH, 10:1  $\rightarrow$  2:1, v/v) and repeated Sephadex LH-20 (MeOH) to afford 3 (10 mg). The retention times  $(t_{\rm R})$  of 1–5 on an analytical HPLC Extend-C18 column  $(20 \% \rightarrow 100 \%$  MeOH in H<sub>2</sub>O over 8.0 min followed by 100 % MeOH to 13.0 min, 1.0 ml/min, 25 °C) were 10.32, 10.12, 9.13, 9.16, and 7.76 min, respectively.

#### 3.4 Derrisisoflavone H (1)

Yellow amorphous powder; UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 268 (4.75), 297 (sh) (4.23), 359 (3.60) nm; <sup>1</sup>H NMR data: see Table 1; <sup>13</sup>C NMR data: see Table 2; ESIMS (neg.): *m/z* 449 [M - H]<sup>-</sup>; HRESIMS (neg.): 449.1608 [M - H]<sup>-</sup> (calcd. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, 449.1606).

# 3.5 Derrisisoflavone I (2)

Yellow amorphous powder; UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 263 (4.75), 302 (sh) (4.35), 357 (sh) (3.68) nm; <sup>1</sup>H NMR data:

#### 3.6 Derrisisoflavone J (3)

 $[M - H]^{-}$  (calcd. for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>25</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, 449.1606).

White amorphous powder; UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 213 (4.59), 267 (4.56), 338 (sh) (3.59) nm; <sup>1</sup>H NMR data: see Table 1; <sup>13</sup>C NMR data: see Table 2; ESIMS (pos.): *m/z* 405 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS (pos.): *m/z* 405.1305 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 405.1309).

#### 3.7 Derrisisoflavone K (4)

White amorphous powder; UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 214 (4.59), 268 (4.57), 336 (sh) (3.67) nm; <sup>1</sup>H NMR data: see Table 1; <sup>13</sup>C NMR data: see Table 2; ESIMS (pos.): *m/z* 405 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS (pos.): *m/z* 405.1307 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 405.1309).

# 3.8 6-Hydroxyisosativan (5)

White amorphous powder; UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 226 (sh) (4.22), 287 (3.89) nm;  $[\alpha]_D^{23} - 11.7$  (*c* 0.2, MeOH); <sup>1</sup>H NMR data: see Table 1; <sup>13</sup>C NMR data: see Table 2; ESIMS (pos.): *m/z* 325 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>; HRESIMS (pos.): *m/z* 325.1031 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> (calcd. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na, 325.1046).

Acknowledgments This work was financially supported by the "Large-scale Compound Library" project of National Development and Reform Commission of China.

#### **Compliance with Ethical Standards**

Conflict of Interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

#### References

- Editorial Committee of Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae, Flora Reipublicae Popularis Sinicae. Academic Press: Beijing 40, 194–195 (1994)
- X.M. Li, M.F. Mao, F.C. Ren, X.J. Jiang, P. Hai, F. Wang, Nat. Prod. Bioprospect. 5, 287–291 (2015)
- S. Morel, J.J. Helesbeux, D. Séraphin, S. Derbré, J. Gatto, M.C. Aumond, Y. Abatuci, P. Grellier, M.A. Beniddir, P. Le Pape, F. Pagniez, M. Litaudon, A. Landreau, P. Richomme, Phytochem. Lett. 6, 498–503 (2013)

- T. Sekine, M. Inagaki, F. Ikegami, Y. Fujii, N. Ruangrungsi, Phytochemistry 52, 87–94 (1999)
- W. Mahabusarakam, S. Deachathai, S. Phongpaichit, C. Jansakul, W.C. Taylor, Phytochemistry 65, 1185–1191 (2004)
- P. Decharchoochart, J. Suthiwong, P. Samatiwat, V. Kukongviriyapan, C. Yenjai, J. Nat. Med. 68, 730–736 (2014)
- S.A. Rao, P.V. Srinivas, A.K. Tiwari, U.M.S. Vanka, R.V.S. Rao, K.R. Dasari, M.J. Rao, J. Chromatogr. B 855, 166–172 (2007)
- 8. F. Wang, Y. Gao, L. Zhang, J.K. Liu, Org. Lett. 12, 2354-2357 (2010)
- F. Wang, Y.J. Li, F.C. Ren, G.Z. Wei, J.K. Liu, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 59, 484–487 (2011)
- F. Wang, X.L. Li, G.Z. Wei, F.C. Ren, J.K. Liu, Nat. Prod. Bioprospect. 3, 238–242 (2013)

- G.R. Wang, W.Z. Tang, Q.Q. Yao, H. Zhong, Y.J. Liu, J. Nat. Med. 64, 358–361 (2010)
- X.L. Li, N.L. Wang, M.S. Wong, A.S.C. Chen, X.S. Yao, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 54, 570–573 (2006)
- L. Pistelli, K. Spera, G. Flamini, S. Mele, I. Morelli, Phytochemistry 42, 1455–1458 (1996)
- A.L. Piccinelli, M.C. Fernandez, O. Cuesta-Rubio, I.M. Hernández, F. De Simone, L. Rastrelli, J. Agric. Food Chem. 53, 9010–9016 (2005)
- S. Yahara, T. Ogata, R. Saijo, R. Konishi, J. Yamahara, K. Miyahara, T. Nohara, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 37, 979–987 (1989)