



On strong algebraability of families of non-measurable functions of two variables

Szymon Głąb¹ · Mateusz Lichman¹ · Michał Pawlikowski¹

Received: 29 August 2023 / Accepted: 2 April 2024
© The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

Recently Tomasz Natkaniec in (Natkaniec in Rev R Acad Cenc Exactas Fis Nat Ser A Mat RACSAM, 115(1):10, 2021) studied the lineability problem for several classes of non-measurable functions in two variables. In this note we improve his results in the direction of algebraability. In particular, we show that most of the classes considered by Natkaniec contain free algebras with 2^c many generators.

Keywords Lineability · Algebraability · Non-measurable functions · Sup-measurable functions · Separately measurable functions · Jones functions

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary · 46B87 · Secondary · 26B40, 03E75

1 Introduction

The last 20 years have seen a huge development in the study of the existence of large and rich algebraic structures within the subsets of linear spaces, function algebras and their Cartesian products. The topic already has its own place in the Mathematical Subject Classification—46B87, and both a monograph (see [1]) and a review article (see [6]) are devoted to it. The customary name for problems in this area is lineability or algebraability problems. These problems occur in many areas of mathematics.

The second author acknowledges with thanks support received from Lodz University of Technology via “FU²N- a fund for the improvement of skills of young scientists”.

This article has been completed while the second and third authors were Doctoral Candidates in the Interdisciplinary Doctoral School at the Lodz University of Technology, Poland.

✉ Szymon Głąb
szymon.glab@p.lodz.pl

Mateusz Lichman
mateusz.lichman@wp.pl

Michał Pawlikowski
michal-pawlikowski4@wp.pl

¹ Institute of Mathematics, Lodz University of Technology, Wólczńska 215, Lodz 93-005, Poland

Recently Tomasz Natkaniec in [18] considered the lineability problem for several classes of non-measurable functions of two variables. Most of his results are optimal in the sense that given families are 2^c -lineable in the algebra of all real functions of two variables which is itself of cardinality 2^c .

Improving all the results of [18] in the direction of algebraicity is the main goal of this paper:

- In [18, Theorem 3 and Theorem 9] it is proved that the family of all sup-measurable functions that are non-measurable is 2^c -lineable; first it is proved under CH, then under $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = c$. Both of these imply condition (A) (see Sect. 2.7), which in turn implies that the family is strongly 2^c -algebraic, see Theorem 5.
- In [18, Theorem 4 and Theorem 10] it is proved that the family of all weakly sup-measurable functions which are neither measurable nor sup-measurable is 2^c -lineable; first it is proved under CH, then under $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = c$. We prove in Theorem 6 that (A) implies that the family is strongly 2^c -algebraic.
- In [18, Theorem 12] it is proved that the family of all non-measurable separately measurable functions (see Sect. 2.3) is 2^c -lineable. We prove that this family is strongly 2^c -algebraic, see Theorem 8.
- In [18, Theorem 13] it is proved that the family of all non-measurable functions $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose all vertical and horizontal sections are Darboux Baire one is c -lineable. We prove that this family is strongly c -algebraic, see Theorem 9. Our proof is relatively simple compared to Natkaniec's.
- In [18, Theorem 16] it is proved that the family of all non-measurable functions having all vertical sections approximately continuous (see Sect. 2.5) and all horizontal sections measurable is 2^c -lineable under the assumption that $\text{cov}(\mathcal{N}) = \text{add}(\mathcal{N})$ (see Sect. 2.8). In Theorem 10 we improve it to strong 2^c -algebraicity.

For completeness, we show that the family of all measurable functions that are not sup-measurable is strongly 2^c -algebraic, see Theorem 4. Furthermore, in Sect. 2.6 we define a family of sup-Jones functions. We prove that this family is 2^c -lineable, see Theorem 11.

The paper is organised as follows. In Sect. 2 we give all the ingredients. We have divided it into several subsections to help the reader navigate. In Sect. 3 we cook up the proofs.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Lineability and strong algebraicity

Let \mathcal{L} be a vector space, $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ and κ be a cardinal number. We say that A is κ -lineable if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a κ -dimensional subspace of \mathcal{L} . If we take \mathcal{L} to be a commutative algebra, $A \subseteq \mathcal{L}$, then we say that A is *strongly κ -algebraic* if $A \cup \{0\}$ contains a κ -generated subalgebra B which is isomorphic to a free algebra.

Note that the set $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ is a set of free generators of some free algebra if and only if the set of all elements of the form $x_{\alpha_1}^{k_1} x_{\alpha_2}^{k_2} \cdots x_{\alpha_n}^{k_n}$, where k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n are non-negative integers non-equal to 0 and $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \dots < \alpha_n < \kappa$, is linearly independent; equivalently, for any $k \geq 1$, any non-zero polynomial P in k variables without a constant term and any distinct $x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_k} \in X$, we have that $P(x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_k}) \in A \setminus \{0\}$. Note that if $P(x_{\alpha_1}, \dots, x_{\alpha_k})$ is non-zero for any distinct $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$, then $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subseteq A \setminus \{0\}$ (consider $P(x) = x$) and elements of $\{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ are different (consider $P(x, y) = x - y$).

We will use this observation without mentioning it in every single proof of algebrability or lineability.

It turns out that $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$, or equivalently $\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{c}}$, contains a set of free generators with cardinality $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$, see [3].

2.2 Sup-measurable functions

Given a real function f in one variable and a real function F in two variables, we can define the *Carathéodory superposition* of F and f as a real function F_f in one variable given by $F_f(x) = F(x, f(x))$. A function $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *sup-measurable* if F_f is Lebesgue measurable for every Lebesgue measurable $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. By [5, Lemma 3.4] (this lemma can be already found in [14, Lemma 1, p. 215], see also [15, Lemma 1, p. 312]), it is sufficient to check the measurability of F_f only for continuous functions f . There are measurable functions that are not sup-measurable: consider $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, F = \chi_{X \times \{0\}}$, where $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is non-measurable. The problem whether sup-measurable functions are measurable is undecidable in ZFC. On the one hand under the continuum hypothesis (CH) there is a sup-measurable function that is non-measurable, see [11] and [16] for the first such constructions. On the other hand there is a model of ZFC in which every sup-measurable function is measurable [19].

In [14], the following notion has been introduced: a function $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *weakly sup-measurable* if the superposition F_f is measurable for any continuous and almost everywhere differentiable function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

2.3 Separately measurable functions

For a function $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}$ we denote by $F(\cdot, y)$ the horizontal section of F at y , i.e. the function $x \mapsto F(x, y)$. Similarly, $F(y, \cdot)$ is the vertical section of F at y .

We say that a function $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *separately measurable* if all horizontal and vertical sections of F are measurable. A separately measurable function needs not to be measurable. To see this, consider a set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ which has full outer measure but its intersection with each vertical and each horizontal line is a finite set (e.g. $A = \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} A_\alpha$, where $A_\alpha, \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$, are like in Lemma 1 for $Y = \mathbb{R}^2$). A has full outer measure, but every vertical section of A is null. Therefore, by Fubini’s Theorem, A is non-measurable. Consider the characteristic function χ_A of A . Clearly χ_A is non-measurable. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\{y \in \mathbb{R}: (x, y) \in A\}$ is finite. Since $y \mapsto \chi_A(x, y)$ takes non-zero values on a finite set, it is measurable. Similarly, $x \mapsto \chi_A(x, y)$ is measurable for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$. So χ_A is separately measurable. Note that if $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has the property that $\{(x, y): F(x, y) \neq 0\} \subseteq A$, then F is separately measurable by the very same argument.

The following observation, which is a slight modification of [18, Lemma 11], will be a useful tool for us.

Lemma 1 *Let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a measurable set with positive measure. There exists a family $\{A_\alpha: \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ of pairwise disjoint subsets of Y such that*

- (1) *each A_α has full outer measure (in Y);*
- (2) *all horizontal and vertical sections of $\bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} A_\alpha$ have at most one element.*

2.4 Darboux Baire one functions

We say that that a function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Darboux if it has the intermediate value property. We say that f is Baire one if it is a pointwise limit of a sequence of continuous functions. The latter is equivalent to the fact that $f^{-1}[U]$ is F_σ for any open $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

The next simple lemma will be a useful tool in our investigations.

Lemma 2 *Let F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n be a partition of \mathbb{R} into F_σ sets, f_1, \dots, f_n be Baire one functions. Then $\ell = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \chi_{F_i}$ is a Baire one function.*

Proof Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be open. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \ell^{-1}[U] &= \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \chi_{F_i}(x) \in U \right\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \left\{ x \in F_i : \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) \chi_{F_i}(x) \in U \right\} \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{x \in F_i : f_i(x) \in U\} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (F_i \cap f_i^{-1}[U]) \end{aligned}$$

is an F_σ set, since the family of all F_σ sets is closed under finite unions and intersections. □

2.5 Approximately continuous functions

A function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called approximately continuous if it is continuous in the density topology, i.e. for any open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ the set $f^{-1}[U]$ is measurable and has density one at each of its points. It turns out that every approximately continuous function is Darboux of the first Baire class. If N is a null set, then by Zahorski Theorem [7, Theorem 6.5] there exists an approximately continuous function $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $g^{-1}(0)$ is a null cover of N . Then every $h_n = n \min\{g, 1/n\} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is approximately continuous as a composition of a continuous function $x \mapsto n \min\{x, 1/n\}$ and an approximately continuous function g . Furthermore, $h_n^{-1}(0)$ is a null cover of N and there exists n such that the measure of $\mathbb{R} \setminus h_n^{-1}(1)$ is less than 1.

2.6 Jones functions

A function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *Jones function* if for every closed set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ with an uncountable projection on the x -axis we have $f \cap K \neq \emptyset$. Equivalently, if for any perfect subset $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and continuous function $g : P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have $f \cap g \neq \emptyset$.

One can show that Jones functions are perfectly everywhere surjective and have connected graphs (in fact, they are almost continuous in the sense of Stallings, see [13]).

Jones functions were introduced by F. B. Jones in [12]. The Author considered solutions of the Cauchy equation $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$. He constructed a function that satisfies the equation and the above definition.

One could ask if there is a function $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which all Carathéodory superpositions with continuous functions are Jones. Note that this problem is trivial – it suffices to define $F(x, y) = g(x)$, where g is any Jones function. Therefore we also consider inverted Carathéodory superpositions: we say that a function $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *sup-Jones* if for every continuous function $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the functions $F_f(x) := F(x, f(x))$, $F^f(x) := F(f(x), x)$ are Jones.

2.7 Condition (A)

Consider the following condition.

- (A) There exists a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is a union of a family of pairwise disjoint partial functions $\{f_\alpha: \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ such that each f_α has positive outer measure and $\{x \in \mathbb{R}: f(x) = g(x)\}$ is a null set for each continuous $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

In [20] von Weizsäcker noted that if $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) := \min\{|A|: A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \text{ is not a null set}\} = \mathfrak{c}$, then there exists a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which has full outer measure and $\{x \in \mathbb{R}: f(x) = g(x)\}$ is a null set for every continuous $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Under the same assumption, by [18, Lemma 8], such a function can be decomposed into \mathfrak{c} many partial functions of full outer measure. In fact, with the assumption $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$ such a family of pairwise disjoint partial functions can be defined in a similar way to von Weizsäcker’s definition of a single function.

Later we will prove that condition (A) implies strong $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ -algebraability of the family of all sup-measurable functions which are non-measurable. Thus, by the result of Rosłanowski and Shelah, [19] condition (A) is independent of ZFC. It is unclear to us whether condition (A) is equivalent to the existence of a non-measurable sup-measurable function or to the equality $\text{non}(\mathcal{N}) = \mathfrak{c}$.

2.8 Condition $\text{cov}(\mathcal{N}) = \text{add}(\mathcal{N})$

The minimal cardinal number κ such that the real line can be covered by κ many null sets is denoted by $\text{cov}(\mathcal{N})$ and it is between ω_1 and \mathfrak{c} . Similarly, the minimal cardinal number κ such that some union of κ many null sets is not null is denoted by $\text{add}(\mathcal{N})$. Clearly $\omega_1 \leq \text{add}(\mathcal{N}) \leq \text{cov}(\mathcal{N})$. The equality $\text{cov}(\mathcal{N}) = \text{add}(\mathcal{N})$ means that \mathbb{R} can be covered by κ many null sets but any union of less than κ many of them is null, where κ is the common cardinal $\text{cov}(\mathcal{N})$ and $\text{add}(\mathcal{N})$. This condition is independent of ZFC, see [4] for details. For example, it is fulfilled under CH, where both $\text{cov}(\mathcal{N})$ and $\text{add}(\mathcal{N})$ are ω_1 .

2.9 Almost perfectly everywhere surjective functions and Bernstein sets

We say that $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *almost perfectly everywhere surjective* if its range $f[\mathbb{R}]$ is one of the following: \mathbb{R} , $[0, \infty)$, or $(-\infty, 0]$, and $f[P] = f[\mathbb{R}]$ for any perfect set $P \subset \mathbb{R}$. Note that this notion is different from that of a perfectly everywhere surjective function known in the literature, cf. [9]. Let us denote the family of all almost perfectly everywhere surjective functions by \mathcal{APES} . It follows from [3, Theorem 2.2] that \mathcal{APES} is strongly $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ -algebraable.

This notion is connected to the following. A set $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is called a *Bernstein set* if $B \cap P \neq \emptyset$ and $(\mathbb{R} \setminus B) \cap P \neq \emptyset$ for every perfect subset P of \mathbb{R} . It is known that such sets are non-measurable. Let f be an almost perfectly everywhere surjective function. Then $f^{-1}(x)$ is a Bernstein set for every $x \in f[\mathbb{R}]$. Therefore f is non-measurable and $\{f^{-1}(x): x \in f[\mathbb{R}]\}$ is a partition of \mathbb{R} .

2.10 Approximately differentiable and nowhere approximately differentiable functions

A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *approximately differentiable* at a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ if there exists a measurable set $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that x is its density point, and the restriction $f \upharpoonright E$ is

differentiable at x . A function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *nowhere approximately differentiable* if it is approximately differentiable at no $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

We will use the following observation. Let g be a continuous and almost everywhere differentiable function, and let f be a continuous and nowhere approximately differentiable function. Then the set $E := \{x \in \mathbb{R}: f(x) = g(x)\}$ has measure zero. To see this, first note that E is closed, since both g and f are continuous. Suppose, on the contrary, that E has positive measure. By the Lebesgue Density Theorem, E has a density point, say x , at which g is differentiable. This implies that f is approximately differentiable at x , which is a contradiction.

2.11 Grande’s construction of non-measurable function with Darboux Baire one sections

In [17] Lipiński constructed an example of a non-measurable function $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose all vertical and horizontal sections are Darboux Baire one functions. Another such construction is due to Grande [10, Theorem 2]. The paper is written in French and is therefore not easily accessible. Here we present Grande’s construction, slightly modified for our purposes. The difference is this. The function $h: X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ used below was constant and equal to 1 in Grande’s original construction.

Let $C \subseteq [0, 1]$ be a Cantor set of positive measure with $0, 1 \in C$. Let $a_0 = 0, b_0 = 1$ and $\{(a_n, b_n): n \geq 1\}$ be an enumeration of the gaps. Define

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} g_n(x) & \text{if } x \in (a_n, b_n) \text{ for some } n \geq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $g_n: (a_n, b_n) \rightarrow (0, 1], n \geq 1$ are continuous surjections onto $(0, 1]$, with

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a_n^+} g_n(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow b_n^-} g_n(x) = 0.$$

Due to the density of the gaps in C , any modification of the function g on C with values in $[0, 1]$ preserves the intermediate value property. Let $B \subseteq C \setminus \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \{a_n, b_n\}$ be a closed set with positive measure.

Let $X \subseteq B \times B$ be a non-measurable set in which all vertical and horizontal sections have at most one element (e.g. $X = \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} A_\alpha$, where $A_\alpha, \alpha < \mathfrak{c}$, are like in Lemma 1 for $Y = B \times B$). Let $h: X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ be any function. Define

$$F[h](x, y) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus B, \\ g(y) & \text{if } x \in B \text{ and } (x, y) \notin X, \\ h(x, y) & \text{if } (x, y) \in X. \end{cases}$$

Note that $F[h]^{-1}(0) \cap (C \times C) = (C \times C) \setminus X$, so $F[h]$ is non-measurable.

We will show that all vertical and horizontal sections of $F[h]$ are Darboux Baire one functions.

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus B$, then $F[h](x, \cdot)$ is constant. Assume that $x \in B$. Then $F[h](x, \cdot)$ is either g or g modified at some point $y \in C$, where $(x, y) \in X$, so it has the intermediate value property. By Lemma 2, $F[h](x, \cdot)$ is also Baire one.

Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Consider the function

$$\ell(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus B, \\ g(y) & \text{if } x \in B. \end{cases}$$

Note that $F[h](\cdot, y)$ is either ℓ or ℓ modified at one point $x \in C$, provided that $(x, y) \in X$, so it has the intermediate value property. By Lemma 2, $F[h](\cdot, y)$ is also Baire one.

2.12 Exponential like functions

We say that a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *exponential like* if

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e^{\beta_i x}, x \in \mathbb{R}$$

for some positive integer n , non-zero real numbers $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and distinct non-zero real numbers β_1, \dots, β_n . The notion was described in [2], where the Authors proved that if $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is an arbitrary family and there exists a function $F \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $f \circ F \in \mathcal{A}$ for every exponential like function f , then \mathcal{A} is strongly \mathfrak{c} -algebraable.

2.13 Ultrafilters on ω

By an *ultrafilter* on ω we mean any maximal non-trivial family of subsets of ω which is closed under taking supersets and finite intersections. Endowing ω with the discrete topology, we denote by $\beta\omega$ its Stone-Ćech compactification, that is, the set of all ultrafilters on ω endowed with the topology which basic sets are of the form

$$\beta a = \{U \in \beta\omega : a \in U\},$$

where $a \subset \omega$. We will identify ω with the family of all principal ultrafilters $\delta_n = \{a \subset \omega : n \in a\}, n \in \omega$.

Using the fact that if X is a compact space and $f: \omega \rightarrow X$ is any function, then f is continuous and therefore there exists a continuous extension $\bar{f}: \beta\omega \rightarrow X$ of f (see e.g. Theorem 3.6.5 in [8]), we prove the following.

Lemma 3 *Let $f: \omega \rightarrow m$ be any function. Let $\bar{f}: \beta\omega \rightarrow m$ be a continuous extension of f , let $i < m$ and $u = f^{-1}(i)$. Then $\bar{f}(U) = i$ for every $U \in \beta u$.*

Proof The extension \bar{f} exists as f is continuous. Take any $U \in \beta u$ and suppose that $\bar{f}(U) \neq i$. Take a neighbourhood V of $\bar{f}(U)$ with $i \notin V$. Then there is basic open set βb with $U \in \beta b \cap \beta u \subset \bar{f}^{-1}[V]$. By the density of ω in $\beta\omega$, there is $k \in \omega \cap \beta u \cap \beta b \subset \bar{f}^{-1}[V]$, so $\bar{f}(k) \neq i$. However, $f(k) = i$ because $k \in u$, a contradiction. \square

3 Results

Theorem 4 *The family of all measurable functions that are not sup-measurable is strongly $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ -algebraable.*

Proof C is the Cantor ternary set. By $\{h_{\xi} : \xi < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}\}$ we denote a set of free generators of an algebra in \mathbb{R}^C . Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be a non-measurable set. For $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$ we define

$$F_{\xi}(x, y) = h_{\xi}(y)\chi_{X \times C}(x, y).$$

Then $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : F_{\xi}(x, y) \neq 0\}$ is a null set for each $\xi < \mathfrak{c}$, so functions F_{ξ} are measurable.

For $\xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_k$ and polynomial P in k variables without constant term we have

$$F(x, y) := P(F_{\xi_1}, F_{\xi_2}, \dots, F_{\xi_k})(x, y) = P(h_{\xi_1}(y), h_{\xi_2}(y), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(y))\chi_{X \times C}(x, y).$$

Let $y_0 \in C$ be such that $P(h_{\xi_1}(y_0), h_{\xi_2}(y_0), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(y_0))$ is non-zero. Define g to be a constant function, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$

$$g(x) = y_0.$$

Then

$$F_g = P(h_{\xi_1}(y_0), h_{\xi_2}(y_0), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(y_0))\chi_X$$

is a scaled characteristic function of a non-measurable set X , so F is not sup-measurable. In particular, F is non-zero. □

Theorem 5 *Assume (A). Then the family of all non-measurable sup-measurable functions is strongly 2^c -algebrable.*

Proof Let f and $f_\alpha, \alpha < c$, be as in (A). By $\{h_\xi : \xi < 2^c\}$ we denote a set of free generators of an algebra in \mathbb{R}^c . For $\xi < c$ we define

$$F_\xi(x, y) = \sum_{\alpha < c} h_\xi(\alpha)\chi_{f_\alpha}(x, y).$$

For $\xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_k$ and polynomial P in k variables without constant term we have

$$F := P(F_{\xi_1}, F_{\xi_2}, \dots, F_{\xi_k}) = \sum_{\alpha < c} P(h_{\xi_1}(\alpha), h_{\xi_2}(\alpha), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(\alpha))\chi_{f_\alpha}$$

Since $P(h_{\xi_1}(\beta), h_{\xi_2}(\beta), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(\beta))$ is non-zero for some $\beta < c$, we have

$$f_\beta \subseteq F^{-1}(P(h_{\xi_1}(\beta), h_{\xi_2}(\beta), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(\beta))) \subseteq f.$$

Therefore $F^{-1}(P(h_{\xi_1}(\beta), h_{\xi_2}(\beta), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(\beta)))$ has positive outer measure and null vertical sections (as a subset of a graph of a function), so, by Fubini's Theorem, it is non-measurable. In particular, F is non-zero.

Let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. Note that if $F(y, g(y)) \neq 0$, then $y \in \{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) = g(x)\}$, which is a null set. So $x \mapsto F(x, g(x))$ is measurable, and consequently F is sup-measurable. □

Theorem 6 *Assume (A). Then the family of all weakly sup-measurable functions that are neither sup-measurable nor measurable is strongly 2^c -algebrable.*

Proof Let f and $f_\alpha, \alpha < c$, be as in (A). Let $\{h_\xi : \xi < 2^c\}$ be a set of free generators of an algebra in \mathbb{R}^c . Let $\{p_\xi : \xi < 2^c\}$ be a set of free generators spanning an algebra in $\mathcal{APES} \cup \{0\}$ (in fact, we could replace \mathcal{APES} by any strongly 2^c -algebrable family of non-measurable functions). Let $h: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous nowhere approximately differentiable function. For $\xi < 2^c$ we define $G_\xi: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$G_\xi(x, y) = \sum_{\alpha < c} h_\xi(\alpha)\chi_{f_\alpha \setminus h}(x, y) + p_\xi(x)\chi_h(x, y).$$

For $\xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_k$ and polynomial P in k variables without constant term we have

$$G := P(G_{\xi_1}, \dots, G_{\xi_k}) = \sum_{\alpha < c} P(h_{\xi_1}(\alpha), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(\alpha))\chi_{f_\alpha \setminus h} + P(p_{\xi_1}(x), \dots, p_{\xi_k}(x))\chi_h(x, y).$$

We need to show that G is weakly sup-measurable, non-measurable and is not sup-measurable (then clearly G is also non-zero).

We already know that $F := \sum_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} P(h_{\xi_1}(\alpha), h_{\xi_2}(\alpha), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(\alpha))\chi_{f_\alpha}$ is non-measurable – see the proof of Theorem 5. Note that $\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : F(x, y) \neq G(x, y)\} \subseteq \{(x, h(x)) : x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Since the graph of h has measure zero, then G is also non-measurable.

Let us show that G is not sup-measurable. Consider $G_h(x) = G(x, h(x)) = p(x)$ where $p(x) := P(p_{\xi_1}(x), \dots, p_{\xi_k}(x))$ is almost perfectly everywhere surjective. As we have noticed in Section 2.9, almost perfectly everywhere surjective functions are non-measurable. Therefore G is not sup-measurable.

To finish the proof we need to check that G is weakly sup-measurable. To do this, we fix a continuous almost everywhere differentiable function g . Then

$$G_g(x) = \begin{cases} F_g(x) & \text{if } h(x) \neq g(x), \\ p(x) & \text{if } h(x) = g(x). \end{cases}$$

Consider the set $E := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : g(x) = h(x)\}$. As we have noticed in Section 2.10, E is a null set. This shows that G_g and F_g are equal on a set of full measure. We have shown in the proof of Theorem 5 that F_g is measurable, and so is G_g . Therefore G is weakly sup-measurable. \square

Corollary 7 *Assume (A). The family of all weakly sup-measurable functions that are not sup-measurable is strongly $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ -algebrable.*

Theorem 8 *The family of all non-measurable separately measurable functions is strongly $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ -algebrable.*

Proof Let $\{h_\xi : \xi < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}\}$ denote a set of free generators of an algebra in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathfrak{c}}$. Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a family described in Lemma 1 (for $Y = \mathbb{R}^2$). Let $A = \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} A_\alpha$. For $\xi < 2^{\mathfrak{c}}$ we define $F_\xi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows

$$F_\xi(x, y) = \sum_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} h_\xi(\alpha)\chi_{A_\alpha}(x, y).$$

For $\xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_k$ and polynomial P in k variables without constant term we have

$$F := P(F_{\xi_1}, F_{\xi_2}, \dots, F_{\xi_k}) = \sum_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} P(h_{\xi_1}(\alpha), h_{\xi_2}(\alpha), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(\alpha))\chi_{A_\alpha}.$$

Let us show the F is non-measurable. There exists $\beta < \mathfrak{c}$ such that $P(h_{\xi_1}(\beta), h_{\xi_2}(\beta), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(\beta)) \neq 0$. Then

$$A_\beta \subseteq F^{-1}(P(h_{\xi_1}(\beta), h_{\xi_2}(\beta), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(\beta))) \subseteq A.$$

Therefore $F^{-1}(P(h_{\xi_1}(\beta), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(\beta)))$ has positive outer measure (as a superset of A_β) and null vertical sections (as a subset of A), so, by Fubini’s Theorem, it is non-measurable. Consequently, F is non-measurable. In particular, F is non-zero.

Since each vertical and horizontal section of A has at most one element and $\{(x, y) : F(x, y) \neq 0\} \subseteq A$, then, using what we observed in Sect. 2.3, we get that F is separately measurable. \square

Theorem 9 *The family of all non-measurable functions $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose all vertical and horizontal sections are Darboux Baire one is strongly \mathfrak{c} -algebrable.*

Proof Here we follow the notation from Sect. 2.11. Let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a family described in Lemma 1 for $Y = B \times B$. Let $h : X \rightarrow (0, 1]$ be defined as follows

$$h(x, y) = \sum_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} r_\alpha \chi_{A_\alpha},$$

where $\{r_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ is a one-to-one enumeration of $(0, 1]$. We will show that the composition $f \circ F[h]$ with any exponential like function f is a non-measurable function with Darboux Baire one sections, which implies strong \mathfrak{c} -algebrability of the considered family (see Sect. 2.12).

Indeed, let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and f be any exponential like function. Note that

$$(f \circ F[h])(\cdot, y) = f \circ (F[h](\cdot, y)).$$

Therefore $(f \circ F[h])(\cdot, y)$ is a Darboux Baire one as a composition of $F[h](\cdot, y)$ with a continuous function. Similarly for vertical sections.

Now choose $\beta < \mathfrak{c}$ such that $f(r_\beta) \neq f(0)$. This can be done because an exponential like function is not constant on any open interval, by the identity theorem for analytic functions. Then $A_\beta \subseteq (f \circ F[h])^{-1}(f(r_\beta)) \cap B \times B \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}} A_\alpha$, so $(f \circ F[h])^{-1}(f(r_\beta)) \cap B \times B$ has full outer measure (in $B \times B$) and null sections. According to Fubini’s Theorem, this set is non-measurable. So $f \circ F[h]$ is non-measurable. \square

A natural question is whether the above result (or [18, Theorem 13]) can be strengthened in the following way.

Question 1 *Is the family of all non-measurable functions $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose all vertical and horizontal sections are Darboux Baire one strongly $2^\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable (or $2^\mathfrak{c}$ -lineable)?*

Theorem 10 *Assume $\text{cov}(\mathcal{N}) = \text{add}(\mathcal{N})$. Then the family of all non-measurable functions having all vertical sections approximately continuous and all horizontal sections measurable, is strongly $2^\mathfrak{c}$ -algebrable.*

Proof Let $\kappa = \text{cov}(\mathcal{N}) = \text{add}(\mathcal{N})$, and let $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} C_\alpha$, where C_α are null sets. For every $\alpha < \kappa$, the set $D_\alpha := \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} C_\beta$ has measure zero. There is an approximately continuous $g_\alpha : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $g_\alpha^{-1}(0)$ is a null cover of D_α and $\mathbb{R} \setminus g_\alpha^{-1}(1)$ has measure less than 1 (see Sect. 2.5). By $\{h_\xi : \xi < 2^\mathfrak{c}\}$ we denote a set of free generators of an algebra in $\mathbb{R}^\mathfrak{c}$. Let $\{B_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a family of pairwise disjoint Bernstein sets (see Sect. 2.9). For each $r \in \mathbb{R}$ let $\alpha(r)$ denote the first ordinal α with $r \in C_\alpha$. We define

$$F_\xi(x, y) = g_{\alpha(x)}(y) \sum_{\beta < \mathfrak{c}} h_\xi(\beta) \chi_{B_\beta}(x).$$

Fix $x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $x \notin \bigcup_{\beta < \mathfrak{c}} B_\beta$, then $F_\xi(x, \cdot)$ is approximately continuous as a constant zero function. If $x \in B_\beta$ for some $\beta < \mathfrak{c}$, then $F_\xi(x, \cdot) = h_\xi(\beta)g_{\alpha(x)}$, so $F_\xi(x, \cdot)$ is approximately continuous. Fix $y \in \mathbb{R}$ and assume that $x \notin \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha(y)} C_\beta$. Then $\alpha(x) \geq \alpha(y)$ and $g_{\alpha(x)}$ vanishes at

$$D_{\alpha(x)} = \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha(x)} C_\beta \supseteq \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha(y)} C_\beta \supseteq C_{\alpha(y)} \ni y.$$

So $F(\cdot, y) = 0$ almost everywhere. For $\xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_k$ and polynomial P in k variables without constant term, let $F = P(F_{\xi_1}, F_{\xi_2}, \dots, F_{\xi_k})$. Since the sum and the product of two approximately continuous functions is approximately continuous, then, by simple induction, $F(x, \cdot)$ is approximately continuous.

Since $F(\cdot, y) = 0$ almost everywhere for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x, y) dx \right) dy = 0.$$

There exists $\beta < c$ such that $P(h_{\xi_1}(\beta), h_{\xi_2}(\beta), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(\beta)) \neq 0$. For each $x \in B_\beta$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x, y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R} \setminus (g_{\alpha(x)})^{-1}(1)} F(x, y) dy + \int_{(g_{\alpha(x)})^{-1}(1)} P(h_{\xi_1}(\beta), h_{\xi_2}(\beta), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(\beta)) dy.$$

Note that the absolute value of the first integral is not greater than

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{|P(h_{\xi_1}(\beta)g_{\alpha(x)}(y), h_{\xi_2}(\beta)g_{\alpha(x)}(y), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(\beta)g_{\alpha(x)}(y))| : 0 \leq g_{\alpha(x)}(y) \leq 1\} \\ \leq \max\{|P(h_{\xi_1}(\beta)t, h_{\xi_2}(\beta)t, \dots, h_{\xi_k}(\beta)t)| : 0 \leq t \leq 1\} \end{aligned}$$

while the second integral is infinite and has the same sign as $P(h_{\xi_1}(\beta), h_{\xi_2}(\beta), \dots, h_{\xi_k}(\beta))$. So $\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x, y) dy$ is infinite for x 's from the Bernstein set B_β . Therefore, the iterated integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(x, y) dy \right) dx$ is not zero, and, according to Fubini's Theorem, F is non-measurable. \square

Theorem 11 *The family of sup-Jones functions is 2^c -lineable.*

Proof Let \mathcal{L}^n be the family of all non-zero linear functionals defined on \mathbb{R}^n . Let

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{L}^n \times n^\omega.$$

Note that the cardinality of \mathcal{L} is continuum. Let \mathcal{K} be a family of all partial real continuous functions with perfect domain and \mathcal{F} be a family of all continuous functions from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{R} . Note that the cardinality of $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{L}$ is continuum. Let $\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{L} = \{(g_\alpha, f_\alpha, l_\alpha, p_\alpha) : \alpha < c\}$. Formally we should write $(g_\alpha, f_\alpha, (l_\alpha, p_\alpha))$ but we omit the inner parentheses for clarity. For each $\alpha < c$, let K_α be the domain of g_α and let $x_\alpha \in K_\alpha \setminus (\{f_\xi(x_\xi) : \xi < \alpha\} \cup \{x_\xi : \xi < \alpha\})$. For an element l_α in \mathcal{L}^n , we find $\vec{x}_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $l_\alpha(\vec{x}_\alpha) = g_\alpha(x_\alpha)$. Note that $p_\alpha \in n^\omega$ is continuous (as a mapping between two discrete spaces), so we can consider its continuous extension $\overline{p}_\alpha : \beta\omega \rightarrow n$.

For $U \in \beta\omega$ we define a function $F_U : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the following way:

$$F_U(x_\alpha, f_\alpha(x_\alpha)) = F_U(f_\alpha(x_\alpha), x_\alpha) = \vec{x}_\alpha \circ \overline{p}_\alpha(U) = \vec{x}_\alpha(\overline{p}_\alpha(U))$$

for $\alpha < c$, and F_U takes 0 at other points.

Let $n \geq 1$ and take a continuous $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $g \in \mathcal{K}$, $l \in \mathcal{L}^n$ and distinct $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_{n-1} \in \beta\omega$. We can find a partition $\{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}\}$ of ω such that $u_i \in U_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$. We define a function $p : \omega \rightarrow n$ by the formula $p(k) = i \iff k \in u_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$. Take $\alpha < c$ such that $g_\alpha = g, f_\alpha = f, l_\alpha = l, p_\alpha = p$. Then $\overline{p}_\alpha(U_i) = i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ (Lemma 3). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} l(F_{U_0}, F_{U_1}, \dots, F_{U_{n-1}})(x_\alpha, f_\alpha(x_\alpha)) &= l(F_{U_0}, F_{U_1}, \dots, F_{U_{n-1}})(f_\alpha(x_\alpha), x_\alpha) = \\ l_\alpha(F_{U_0}(x_\alpha, f_\alpha(x_\alpha)), \dots, F_{U_{n-1}}(x_\alpha, f_\alpha(x_\alpha))) &= l_\alpha(\vec{x}_\alpha \circ \overline{p}_\alpha(U_0), \dots, \vec{x}_\alpha \circ \overline{p}_\alpha(U_{n-1})) = \\ l_\alpha(\vec{x}_\alpha(0), \dots, \vec{x}_\alpha(n - 1)) &= l_\alpha(\vec{x}_\alpha) = g_\alpha(x_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

So $l(F_{U_0}, \dots, F_{U_{n-1}})$ is sup-Jones. This proves that sup-Jones functions are 2^c -lineable (as $|\beta\omega| = 2^c$, see e.g. [8]). \square

Note that if F is sup-Jones, then F^2 is not. Therefore the family of all sup-Jones functions is not 1-algebrable. We can modify the definition of sup-Jones functions to obtain strong 2^c -algebrability. We say that $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *almost sup-Jones* function if for every continuous function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and every continuous real valued function g defined on a perfect subset of \mathbb{R} , F_f intersects g or $-g$ and F^f intersects g or $-g$. By replacing linear mappings by polynomials without constant terms and sup-Jones functions by almost sup-Jones functions in the proof of Theorem 11, we obtain the proof of the strong 2^c -algebrability of the family of all almost sup-Jones functions.

Data availability Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Aron, R.M., Bernal González, L., Pellegrino, D.M., and Seoane Sepúlveda, J.B.: Lineability: the search for linearity in mathematics. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, (2016)
2. Balcerzak, M., Bartoszewicz, A., Filipczak, M.: Nonseparable spaceability and strong algebrability of sets of continuous singular functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **407**(2), 263–269 (2013)
3. Bartoszewicz, A., Głąb, S., Paszkiewicz, A.: Large free linear algebras of real and complex functions. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **438**(9), 3689–3701 (2013)
4. Bartoszyński, T., and Judah, H.: Set theory. A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, On the structure of the real line (1995)
5. Bernal-González, L., Muñoz Fernández, G.A., Rodríguez-Vidanes, D.L., Seoane-Sepúlveda, J.B.: Algebraic genericity within the class of sup-measurable functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **483**(1), 123576 (2020)
6. Bernal-González, L., Pellegrino, D., Seoane-Sepúlveda, J.B.: Linear subsets of nonlinear sets in topological vector spaces. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **51**(1), 71–130 (2014)
7. Bruckner, A.: Differentiation of real functions, volume 5 of CRM Monograph Series. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, (1994)
8. Engelking, R.: General topology, volume 6 of Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics. Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, second edition, Translated from the Polish by the author (1989)
9. Gámez, J.L., Muñoz Fernández, G.A., and Seoane-Sepúlveda, J.B.: Lineability and additivity in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **369**(1):265–272, (2010)
10. Grande, Z.: Quasicontinuity and measurability of functions of two variables. *Real Anal. Exch.* **28**(1), 7–14 (2002)
11. Grande, Z., Lipiński, J.S.: Un exemple d'une fonction sup-mesurable qui n'est pas mesurable. *Colloq. Math.* **39**(1), 77–79 (1978)
12. Jones, F.B.: Connected and disconnected plane sets and the functional equation $f(x) + f(y) = f(x + y)$. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **48**, 115–120 (1942)
13. Kellum, K.R.: Almost continuity and connectivity sometimes it's as easy to prove a stronger result. *Real Anal. Exch.* **8**(1), 244–252 (1983)
14. Kharazishvili, A.: Sup-measurable and weakly sup-measurable mappings in the theory of ordinary differential equations. *J. Appl. Anal.* **3**(2), 211–223 (1997)
15. Kharazishvili, A.: Strange Functions in Real Analysis, Second Edition. Monographs and textbooks in pure and applied mathematics. Taylor & Francis, (2000)

16. Kharazishvili, A.B.: Some questions of the theory of invariant measures. *Soobshch. Akad. Nauk Gruz. SSR* **100**(3), 533–536 (1980)
17. Lipiński, J.S.: On measurability of functions of two variables. *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **20**, 131–135 (1972)
18. Natkaniec, T.: On lineability of families of non-measurable functions of two variable. *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat. Ser. A Mat. RACSAM*, **115**(1): 10 (2021)
19. Rosłanowski, A., Shelah, S.: Measured creatures. *Israel J. Math.* **151**, 61–110 (2006)
20. von Weizsäcker, H.: Remark on extremal measure extensions. In *Measure theory, Oberwolfach 1979* (Proc. Conf., Oberwolfach, 1979), volume 794 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 79–80. Springer, Berlin, (1980)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.