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#### Abstract

We study the inverse problems for the second order hyperbolic equations of general form with time-dependent coefficients assuming that the boundary data are given on a part of the boundary. The main result of this paper is the determination of the time-dependent Lorentzian metric by the boundary measurements. This is achieved by the adaptation of a variant of the boundary control method developed by Eskin (Inverse Probl 22(3):815-833, 2006; Inverse Probl 23:2343-2356, 2007).
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## 1 Introduction

Consider a second order hyperbolic equation in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j, k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(-1)^{n} g(x)}}\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}-A_{j}(x)\right) \sqrt{(-1)^{n} g(x)} g^{j k}(x)\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}-A_{k}(x)\right) u(x) \\
& \quad=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Communicated by Ari Laptev.
$\triangle$ G. Eskin
eskin@math.ucla.edu
1 Department of Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA
where $x=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}, x_{0}$ is the time variable. In (1.1) $g(x)=$ $\operatorname{det}\left[g_{j k}(x)\right]_{j, k=0}^{n}$, where $\left[g_{j k}(x)\right]_{j, k=0}^{n}=\left(\left[g^{j k}\right]_{j, k=0}^{n}\right)^{-1}$ is the metric tensor, $A(x)=$ $\left(A_{0}(x), A_{1}(x), \ldots, A_{n}(x)\right)$ is the vector potential. We assume that all coefficients in (1.1) belong to $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{00}(x) \geq c_{0}>0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ be dual variables to ( $x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ ). The strict hyperbolicity of (1.1) with respect to $\xi_{0}$ means that the quadratic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j, k=0}^{n} g^{j k}(x) \xi_{j} \xi_{k}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has two real distinct roots $\xi_{0}^{-}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)<\xi_{0}^{+}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)$ for all $\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \neq$ $(0, \ldots, 0)$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi_{0}^{ \pm}\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{-\sum_{j=1}^{n} g^{j 0}(x) \xi_{j} \pm \sqrt{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} g^{j 0}(x) \xi_{j}\right)^{2}-g^{00}(x) \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} g^{j k}(x) \xi_{j} \xi_{k}}}{g^{00}} \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The strict hyperbolicity implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} g^{j 0}(x) \xi_{j}\right)^{2}-g^{00}(x) \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} g^{j k}(x) \xi_{j} \xi_{k}>0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right) \neq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
In this paper we assume a more restrictive condition that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} g^{j k}(x) \xi_{j} \xi_{k} \leq-c_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j}^{2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. we assume that the spatial part of the equation (1.1) is elliptic for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Note that the quadratic form (1.3) has the signature $(+1,-1, \ldots,-1)$. Therefore $(-1)^{n} g(x)>0$. We assume also that $A_{j}(x), 0 \leq j \leq n$, are real-valued. Thus the operator in (1.1) is formally self-adjoint.

We consider the following class of domains $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Let $D_{t}=D \cap\left\{x_{0}=t\right\}$ be the intersection of $D$ with the plane $\left\{t=x_{0}\right\}, t \in \mathbb{R}$. We assume that $D_{t}$ is a smooth closed bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ smoothly dependent and uniformly bounded in $t$ and such that $D_{t}$ is diffeomorphic to $D_{0}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. More precisely we assume that there exists a diffeomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}=x_{0}, \quad y_{j}=\hat{y}_{j}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

that maps $D_{x_{0}}$ onto $D_{0}$ and smoothly depends on $x_{0}$. We shall call such domains $D$ admissible.

Let $S\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ be the equation of $\partial D=\bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \partial D_{t}$. Sometimes we denote $\partial D$ by $S$. We assume that $S$ is a time-like smooth surface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j, k=0}^{n} g^{j k}(x) v_{j} v_{k}<0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in S$ and $\left(v_{0}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ is a normal vector to $S$. The vector $\left(v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ satisfying (1.8) is called a space-like vector. Also, the surface $\Sigma$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is called space-like if $\sum_{j, k=0}^{n} g^{j k}(x) v_{j}(x) \nu_{k}(x)>0$, where $x \in \Sigma$ and $\left(v_{0}(x), \ldots, v_{n}(x)\right)$ is the normal vector to $\Sigma$.

Consider the initial-boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
L u & =0 \text { in } D,  \tag{1.9}\\
u & =0 \text { for } x_{0} \ll 0 \text { in } D,  \tag{1.10}\\
\left.u\right|_{S} & =f, \tag{1.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f$ is a smooth function on $S=0$ with compact support, $L u=0$ is the same as in (1.1).

It is well known that the initial-value problem (1.9), (1.10), (1.11) is well-posed (cf. [15]), assuming that (1.2), (1.5) and (1.8) are satisfied.

Let $S_{0} \subset S$ be a part of $S$ such that (see Fig. 1) $S_{0 t}=\partial D_{t} \cap S_{0}$ has a nonempty interior for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We assume also that for any $x^{(0)} \in \partial S_{0}$ a vector $\tau^{(1)}$, tangent to $S$ and normal to $S_{0}$, is not parallel to $(1,0, \ldots, 0)$.

We include in the definition of the admissibility of $D$ (see (1.7)) that the map $y=\hat{y}\left(x_{0}, x\right)$ is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{y}\left(x_{0}, x\right)=x \quad \text { on } \quad S_{0 x_{0}}, \quad \forall x_{0} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fig. $1 S_{0}$ is part of the boundary $S, S_{0} \cap \partial D_{t} \neq \emptyset$ for $\forall t$


Fig. $2 \hat{D}=\hat{D}_{0} \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \hat{S}_{0}=$
$\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ are cylindrical domains


Note that (1.7) maps the admissible domain $D$ onto $D=D_{0} \times \mathbb{R}, S_{0}$ onto $\hat{S}_{0}=$ $\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ (cf. (1.12)), where $\Gamma_{0}=S_{0} \cap \partial D_{0}$, i.e. $\hat{D}, \hat{S}_{0}$ are cylindrical domains (see Fig. 2).

The Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator $\Lambda$ that maps the Dirichlet data to the Neumann data on $\partial D$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda f=\left.\sum_{j, k=0}^{n} g^{j k}(x)\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}-i A_{j}(x) u\right) v_{k}(x)\left(\sum_{p, r=0}^{n} g^{p r}(x) v_{r} v_{p}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right|_{S}, \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u(x)$ is the solution of (1.9), (1.10), (1.11) and $\left(v_{0}(x), \ldots, v_{n}(x)\right)$ is the unit outward normal to $S$.

Denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=y(x) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

any proper diffeomorphism of $\bar{D}$ onto some domain $\overline{\hat{D}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=x \quad \text { on } S_{0} . \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call a diffeomorphism of $\bar{D}$ onto $\overline{\hat{D}}$ proper if for any $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \subset \mathbb{R}$ the image of $\bar{D} \cap\left\{t_{1} \leq x_{0} \leq t_{2}\right\}$ is a domain $\overline{\hat{D}} \cap\left\{S_{-}^{\left(t_{1}\right)}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \leq y_{0} \leq S_{+}^{\left(t_{2}\right)}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)\right\}$, where $y_{0}=S_{+}^{\left(t_{1}\right)}, y_{0}=S_{-}^{\left(t_{0}\right)}$ are space-like surfaces.

Let $\hat{L} \hat{u}=0$ be the Eq. (1.1) in $y$-coordinates, $y \in \hat{D}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{L} \hat{u} \equiv & \sum_{j, k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(-1)^{n} \hat{g}(y)}}\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-\hat{A}_{j}(y)\right) \sqrt{(-1)^{n} \hat{g}(y)} \hat{g}^{j k}(y) \\
& \cdot\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}-\hat{A}_{k}(y)\right) \hat{u}=0, \tag{1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{g}^{j k}(y) & =\sum_{p, r=0}^{n} g^{p r}(x) \frac{\partial y_{j}}{\partial x_{p}} \frac{\partial y_{k}}{\partial x_{r}},  \tag{1.17}\\
A_{j}(x) & =\sum_{k=0}^{n} \hat{A}_{k}(y) \frac{\partial y_{k}}{\partial x_{j}}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n, \tag{1.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\hat{u}(y)=u(x), y=y(x), \quad \hat{g}(y)=\operatorname{det}\left[\hat{g}_{j k}(y)\right]_{j, k=0}^{n}, \quad\left[\hat{g}_{j k}(y)\right]_{j, k=0}^{n}=$ $\left(\left[\hat{g}^{j k}(y)\right]_{j, k=0}^{n}\right)^{-1}$.

Note that (1.17), (1.18) are equivalent to the equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} A_{k}(x) d x_{k} & =\sum_{k=0}^{n} \hat{A}_{k}(y) d y_{k}  \tag{1.19}\\
\sum_{j, k=0}^{n} \hat{g}_{j k}(y) d y_{j} d y_{k} & =\sum_{j, k=0}^{n} g_{j k}(x) d x_{j} d x_{k}, \tag{1.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $y$ and $x$ are related by (1.14). Metric tensors $\left[g_{j k}(x)\right]_{j, k=0}^{n}$ and $\left[\hat{g}_{j k}(x)\right]_{j, k=0}^{n}$, related by (1.20), are called isometric.

We assume that conditions (1.2), (1.6) hold also in $y$-coordinates, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{g}^{00}(y) \geq C_{0}, \quad \sum_{j, k=1}^{n} \hat{g}^{j k}(y) \xi_{j} \xi_{k} \leq-C_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \xi_{j}^{2} \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $c(x) \in C^{\infty}(\bar{D})$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|c(x)|=1, \quad x \in \bar{D}, \quad c(x)=1 \text { on } S_{0} \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The group $G_{0}(\bar{D})$ of such $c(x)$ is called the gauge group.
If $L u=0$ then $u^{\prime}=c^{-1}(x) u(x)$ satisfies the equation of the form (1.1) with $A_{j}(x)$ replaced by

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{j}^{\prime}(x)=A_{j}(x)-i c^{-1}(x) \frac{\partial c}{\partial x_{j}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n, \\
& A_{0}^{\prime}(x)=A_{0}(x)+i c^{-1}(x) \frac{\partial c}{\partial x_{0}} . \tag{1.23}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall call potentials $\left(A_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, A_{n}^{\prime}(x)\right)$ and $\left.\left(A_{0}(x), \ldots, A_{n}(x)\right)\right)$ related by (1.23) gauge equivalent. Note that when $D$ is simply connected then $c(x)=\exp i \varphi$ where $\varphi(x) \in C^{\infty}(\bar{D}), \varphi(x)$ is real-valued and $\varphi(x)=0$ on $S_{0}$.

Let $y=y(x)$ be the change of variables, such that $y(x)=x, x \in S_{0}$, transforming the equation $L u=0$ in $D$ to the equation of the form (1.16) in $\hat{D}$. We consider the initial-boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{L} \hat{u}=0 \text { in } \hat{D}  \tag{1.24}\\
& \hat{u}=0 \text { for } y_{0} \ll 0, \quad y \in \hat{D}  \tag{1.25}\\
& \left.\hat{u}\right|_{\hat{S}}=f \tag{1.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\hat{S}_{0}=S_{0}$, since $\hat{y}(x)=x$ on $S_{0}$.
Since (1.21) holds, the initial-boundary value problem (1.24), (1.25), (1.26) is also well-posed. Let $\hat{c}(y) \in G_{0}(\overline{\hat{D}})$. Make the gauge transformation $u^{\prime}(y)=\hat{c}^{-1}(y) \hat{u}(y)$ and let $L^{\prime}$ be such that $L^{\prime} u^{\prime}=0$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{L}^{\prime} u^{\prime}=0 \text { in } \hat{D},  \tag{1.27}\\
& u^{\prime}=0 \text { for } y_{0} \ll 0, \quad y \in \hat{D},  \tag{1.28}\\
& \left.u^{\prime}\right|_{\hat{S}}=f \tag{1.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $u^{\prime}=\hat{u}$ on $\hat{S}_{0}$ since $\hat{c}(y)=1$ on $\hat{S}_{0}$ and $L^{\prime} u^{\prime}$ has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{\prime} u^{\prime}= & \sum_{j, k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(-1)^{n} \hat{g}(y)}}\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-A_{j}^{\prime}(y)\right) \\
& \sqrt{(-1)^{n} \hat{g}(y)} \hat{g}^{j k}(y)\left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}-A_{k}^{\prime}(y)\right) u^{\prime}(y)=0, \tag{1.30}
\end{align*}
$$

$A_{j}^{\prime}(y), 0 \leq j \leq n$, are potentials gauge equivalent to $\hat{A}_{j}(y), 0 \leq j \leq n$.
Let $\Lambda^{\prime}$ be the DN operator for (1.27), (1.28), (1.29)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{\prime} f=\left.\sum_{j, k=0}^{n} \hat{g}^{j k}(y)\left(\frac{\partial u^{\prime}}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime}(y) u^{\prime}\right) v_{k}(y)\left(\sum_{p, r=0}^{n} \hat{g}^{p r}(y) v_{r}(y) v_{p}(y)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right|_{\hat{S}}, \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is the same as in (1.10) and (1.29).
It can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Lambda f\right|_{S_{0}}=\left.\Lambda^{\prime} f\right|_{S_{0}}, \quad \forall f \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(S_{0}\right) \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the operator $L^{\prime}$ is obtained from $L$ by the change of variables (1.14), (1.15) and the gauge transformation $c(y)$ such that (1.22) holds.

Therefore the inverse problem of the determination of the coefficient of (1.1) can be solved only up to the changes of variables (1.14), (1.15) and the gauge transformations (1.22).

We shall formulate now some conditions which will be required to solve the inverse problem.
(1) Real analyticity in the time variable

One of the crucial steps in solving the inverse problem will be the use of the following unique continuation theorem of Tataru and Robbiano and Zuily (cf. [25,29]) that requires the analyticity in $x_{0}$ :

Theorem 1.1 Let the coefficients of (1.1) be analytic in $x_{0}$. Consider the equation $L u=0$ in a neighborhood $U_{0}$ of a point $P_{0}$. Let $\Sigma=0$ be a noncharacteristic surface with respect to $L$ passing through $P_{0}$. If $u=0$ in $U_{0} \cap\{\Sigma<0\}$ then $u=0$ in $U_{0} \cap\{\Sigma>0\}$ near $\Sigma=0$.

We assume also that the gauge $c(x)$ and the map (1.7) are analytic in $x_{0}$.
Let $y=\varphi(x)$ be a diffeomorphism of neighborhood $U_{0}$ onto the neighborhood $\bar{V}_{0}=\varphi\left(\bar{U}_{0}\right)$. Here $\varphi(x)$ is smooth but not analytic in any variable. It is clear that if the unique continuation property for the operator $L$ holds in $U_{0}$ then it holds in $V_{0}$ for the operator $\tilde{L}=\varphi \circ L$, though the coefficients of $\tilde{L}$ are not analytic. Here $\varphi \circ L$ is the operator $L$ in $y$-coordinates (cf. (1.16)). Therefore the following more general class of operators $L$ with non-analytic coefficients has the unique continuation property: For each point $x^{(0)}$ on $D$ there is a neighborhood $U_{0}$ and the diffeomorphism $\psi(x)$ of $U_{0}$ onto $V_{0}=\psi\left(U_{0}\right)$ such that the coefficients of the operators $\psi \circ L$ in $V_{0}$ are analytic in $x_{0}$. Thus, the unique continuation property holds for $L$ in $U_{0}$.
(2) The Bardos-Lebeau-Rauch condition

Consider the initial-boundary value problem

$$
L u=0, \quad u=0 \quad \text { for } \quad x_{0} \ll 0,\left.\quad u\right|_{\partial D_{0} \times \mathbb{R}}=f
$$

in the cylindrical domain $D_{0} \times \mathbb{R}, f$ has a compact support in $\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}, \Gamma_{0} \subset \partial D_{0}$. We say that BLR condition holds on $\left[t_{0}, T_{t_{0}}\right]$ if the bounded map from $f \in H_{1}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times\right.$ $\left.\left(t_{0}, T_{t_{0}}\right)\right)$ to $\left(\left.u\right|_{x_{0}=T_{t_{0}}},\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=T_{t_{0}}}\right) \in H_{1}\left(D_{0}\right) \times L_{2}\left(D_{0}\right)$, is onto in $H_{1}\left(D_{0}\right) \times L_{2}\left(D_{0}\right)$, where $u=0$ for $x_{0}<t_{0}, f=0$ for $x_{0}<t_{0}$.
Note that BLR condition obviously holds on $\left[t_{0}, T\right]$ for any $T>T_{t_{0}}$ if it holds on [ $t_{0}, T_{t_{0}}$ ].
Let $\{x=x(s), \xi=\xi(s)\} \in T_{0}^{*}\left(\bar{D}_{0} \times\left[t_{0}, T_{t_{0}}\right]\right)$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d x_{j}}{d s}=\frac{\partial L_{0}(x(s), \xi(s))}{\partial \xi_{j}}, \quad x_{j}(0)=y_{j}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n, \\
& \frac{d \xi_{j}}{d s}=-\frac{\partial L_{0}(x(s), \xi(s))}{\partial x_{j}}, \quad \xi_{j}(0)=\eta_{j}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n, \tag{1.33}
\end{align*}
$$

be the equations of null-bicharacteristics. Here $L_{0}(x, \xi)=\sum_{j, k=0}^{n} g^{j k}(x) \xi_{j} \xi_{k}$, $L_{0}(y, \eta)=0$.

We assume that for any $t_{0}$ there exists $T_{t_{0}}$ depending continuously on $t_{0}$ such that the BLR condition holds on [ $t_{0}, T_{t_{0}}$ ]. It follows from [1] that BLR condition holds if any null bicharacteristic in $T_{0}^{*}\left(\bar{D}_{0} \times\left[t_{0}, T\right]\right)$ intersects $T_{0}^{*}\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{0} \times\left[t_{0}, T\right]\right)$ when $T \geq T_{t_{0}}$.
(3) Domains of dependence

Let $G(x, \xi)=\sum_{j, k=0}^{n} g_{j k}(x) \xi_{j} \xi_{k}, \quad\left[g_{j k}\right]_{j, k=0}^{n}=\left(\left[g^{j k}\right]_{j, k=0}^{n}\right)^{-1}$. We say that $x=x(\tau)$ is a forward time-like ray in $D_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ if $x=x(\tau)$ is piece-wise smooth, $G\left(x(\tau), \frac{d x(\tau)}{d \tau}\right)>0$ and $\frac{d x_{0}}{d \tau}>0,0 \leq \tau$. If $G\left(x(\tau), \frac{d x(\tau)}{d \tau}\right)>0$ and $\frac{d x_{0}}{d \tau}<0$ the ray $x=x(\tau)$ is called the backward time-like ray.

One can show (cf [7]) that the forward domain of influence $D_{+}(F)$ of a closed set $F \subset D_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ is the closure of the union of all piece-wise smooth forward time-like rays in $D_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ starting on $F$.

Analogously, the backward domain of influence $D_{-}(F)$ of the closed set $F \subset$ $D_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ is the closure of the union of all backward time-like piece-wise smooth rays in $D_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ starting at $F$. The domain of dependence of $F$ is the intersection $D_{+}(F) \cap D_{-}(F)$.

Let $\Gamma \subset \partial D_{0}$ and let $L u=0$ in $D_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$. A consequence of the unique continuation property is that $\left.u\right|_{\Gamma \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}=\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial v}\right|_{\Gamma \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}=0$ implies $u=0$ in the domain of dependence of $\Gamma \times\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$. Here $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is the normal derivative to $\Gamma$. This fact follows from [19] in the case of time-independent coefficients. The proof in the time-dependent case is similar.

The following fact follows from the BLR condition:
Consider $\Gamma \times\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right], \Gamma \subset \partial D_{0}$. Suppose $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ is arbitrary large. Then the domain of dependence of $\bar{\Gamma} \times\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ contains $\bar{D}_{0} \times\left[t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right]$ for some $\delta>0$ dependent of the metric and the domain.

In this paper we will not attempt to estimate $\delta>0$ since $\left[t_{0}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right]$ is also arbitrary large if $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ is arbitrary large.

Now we shall state the main result of this paper.
Consider an admissible domain $D$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and an initial-boundary value problem in $D$.

Using the map of the form (1.7) defining the admissibility of the domain $D$ we get a cylindrical domain $D_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ with $S_{0}=\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ (cf. Fig. 2) and the initial-boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& L u=0 \text { in } D_{0} \times \mathbb{R},  \tag{1.34}\\
& u=0 \text { when } x_{0} \ll 0,  \tag{1.35}\\
& \left.u\right|_{\partial D_{0} \times \mathbb{R}}=f, \tag{1.36}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L$ has the form (1.1) and $f$ has a compact support in $\bar{\Gamma}_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$. Consider another admissible domain $\hat{D}$. Making again the change of variables (1.7) we get a cylindrical domain $\hat{D}_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ and another initial-boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{\prime} u^{\prime}=0 \text { in } \hat{D}_{0} \times \mathbb{R},  \tag{1.37}\\
& u^{\prime}=0 \text { when } y_{0} \ll 0,  \tag{1.38}\\
& \left.u^{\prime}\right|_{\partial \hat{D}_{0} \times \mathbb{R}}=f^{\prime}, \tag{1.39}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L^{\prime} u^{\prime}$ has the form (1.30), $f^{\prime}$ has a compact support in $\bar{\Gamma}_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$. Therefore the inverse problems for the admissible domains are reduced to the inverse problems in cylindrical domains.

We shall prove the following theorem:
Theorem 1.2 Consider two initial-boundary value problems (1.34), (1.35), (1.36) and (1.37), (1.38), (1.39) in domains $D_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\hat{D}_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$, respectively. Suppose $A_{j}(x), A_{j}^{\prime}(y), 0 \leq j \leq n$, are real-valued. Assume that $\Gamma_{0} \subset \partial D_{0} \cap \partial \hat{D}_{0}$ is nonempty and open. Let $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ be the corresponding DN operators for $L$ and $L^{\prime}$. Assume that $\left.\Lambda f\right|_{\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}}=\left.\Lambda^{\prime} f\right|_{\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}}$ for all smooth $f$ with compact support in $\bar{\Gamma}_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$. Suppose the conditions (1.2), (1.6) hold for $L$ and $L^{\prime}$. Assume that the coefficients of $L$ and $L^{\prime}$ are analytic in $x_{0}$ and $y_{0}$, respectively. Suppose also that BLR condition holds for (1.34), (1.35), (1.36) on $\left[t_{0}, T_{t_{0}}\right]$ for each $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a proper map $y=y(x)$ of $\bar{D}_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ onto $\overline{\hat{D}_{0}} \times \mathbb{R}, y=x$ on $\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$, and there exists a gauge transformation with the gauge $c^{\prime}(y) \in G_{0}\left(\overline{\hat{D}_{0}} \times \mathbb{R}\right), c^{\prime}(y)=1$ on $\bar{\Gamma}_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $L^{\prime}=c^{\prime} \circ y^{*} L$. Here $y^{*} \circ L$ is the operator with $\left[\hat{g}^{j k}(y)\right]_{j, k=0}^{n}$ and $\hat{A}_{k}(y), 0 \leq k \leq n$ as in (1.17), (1.18), $c^{\prime} \circ y^{*} \circ L$ is the operator with potentials $A_{j}^{\prime}(y), 0 \leq j \leq n$, gauge equivalent to $\hat{A}_{k}(y), 0 \leq k \leq n$.

We end the introduction with the outline of the previous work and a short description of the content of the paper.

The first result on inverse hyperbolic problems with the data on the part of the boundary was obtained by Isakov [17]. The powerful boundary control (BC) method was discovered by Belishev [2] and was further developed by Belishev [3-5], Belishev and Kurylev [6], Kurylev and Lassas [21,22] and others (see [19, 20]). In [8, 9] the author proposed a new approach to hyperbolic inverse problems that uses substantially the idea of BC method. This approach was extended in [10] to some class of timeindependent metrics with time-dependent vector potentials and in [11] to the case of hyperbolic equations of general form with time-independent coefficients without vector potentials. The generalization to the case of Yang-Mills potentials was considered in [14]. The inverse problems for the D'Alambert equation with the time-dependent scalar potentials were considered earlier by Stefanov [S] and Ramm and Sjostrand [24] (see also Isakov [18]). The case of the D'Alambert equation with time-dependent vector potentials was studied by Salazar [26,27]:

As it was mentioned in [1] the study of hyperbolic equations with time-dependent coefficients is very important because the linearization of basic nonlinear hyperbolic equations of mathematical physics leads to time-dependent linear hyperbolic equations.

The main result of the present paper is the determination of the time-dependent Lorentzian metric by the boundary measurements given on the part of the boundary. We consider the second order hyperbolic equations of general form (1.1) with time-dependent coefficients and vector potentials. The method is the extension of the approach in $[8,9,11]$ to the case of time-dependent metrics. We adapt some lemmas of [8-11] to the time-dependent situation and simultaneously give sharper and simpler proofs.

The main step in the proof is the local step of solving the inverse problem in a small neighborhood near the boundary. This is done in Sects. 2-6.

In Sect. 2 we make a change of variables in a neighborhood $U_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of a point $x^{(0)} \in S_{0}$. We called the new coordinates the Goursat coordinates since they are similar to coordinates arising in a solution of the Goursat problem in the case of hyperbolic equations with one space variable. The Goursat coordinates allow to simplify the equation (1.1). Another advantage of the Goursat coordinates is that the characteristic surface is a plane in these coordinates. Also it is proven in Sect. 2 that the original DN operator determines the new DN operator corresponding to the equation in Goursat coordinates.

In Sect. 3 we derive the Green formula in Goursat coordinates and prove the crucial density lemma (Lemma 3.1).

In Sect. 4 we establish the main formula that states that some integrals of solutions of the initial-boundary value problems are determined by the DN operator [see Theorem 4.5, formula (4.29)]. To establish this formula one needs to compare Sobolev norms on the characteristic surfaces corresponding to different operators having the same DN data. This is done by using the BLR condition. Note that in the case of time-independent coefficients there is an additional energy identity that allows to avoid the use of the BLR condition (see Remark 4.1). Also note that proofs in Sect. 4 require that the hyperbolic operators are formally selfadjoint. Thus the vector potentials $A=\left(A_{0}, A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right)$ are required to be real-valued.

In Sect. 5 we construct geometric optics type solutions depending on a large parameter $k$. Substituting the geometric optics solutions in the main formula, we prove in Sects. 5 and 6 the local version (Theorem 6.2) of the main theorem (Theorem 1.2). In the last Sect. 7 we consider the global case. At first we study the case of a finite time interval (Theorem 7.7) and then finally prove Theorem 1.2.

## 2 The Goursat coordinates

We shall prove first the Theorem 1.2 in the small neighborhood of the boundary $\partial D$. Let $x^{(0)} \in S_{0}$ and let $U_{0} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be a small neighborhood of $x^{(0)}$.
Suppose that we already did the change of variables (1.7) to make $\partial D$ and $S_{0}$ cylindrical, i.e. $\partial D=\partial D_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $S_{0}=\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$. We assume that we have chosen the coordinates $\left(x_{0}, x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right), x^{\prime}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)$ in $U_{0}$ such that $x_{n}=0$ is the equation of $U_{0} \cap \partial D$ and $U_{0} \cap D$ is contained in the half-space $x_{n}>0$. Let $\left(x_{0}^{(0)}, x_{1}^{(0)}, \ldots, x_{n-1}^{(0)}, 0\right)$ be the coordinates of the point $x^{(0)}$. Let $T_{1}<x_{0}^{(0)}<T_{2}, T_{2}-T_{1}$ is small.

Consider the initial-boundary value problem in $U_{0} \cap D$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& L u=0, \quad x_{n}>0, \quad T_{1}<x_{0}<T_{2},  \tag{2.1}\\
& \left.u\right|_{x_{0}=T_{1}}=0,\left.\quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=T_{1}}=0,  \tag{2.2}\\
& \left.u\right|_{x_{n}=0}=g\left(x_{0}, x^{\prime}\right) . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We assume that $L$ has the form (1.1). For the simplicity, we shall not change the notations when choosing the local coordinates such that the equation of $U_{0} \cap S_{0}$ is $x_{n}=0$. Assume that $\operatorname{supp} g \subset U_{0} \cap\left(\Gamma_{0} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right), g=0$ for $x_{0}<T_{1}$. Note that $\operatorname{supp} u\left(x_{0}, x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \cap\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] \subset U_{0} \cap\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$ for $x_{n}>0$ if $T_{2}-T_{1}$ is small.

We introduce new coordinates to simplify the operator $L$ (cf. [11, pp. 327-329]) that we called the Goursat coordinates.

Denote by $\psi^{ \pm}(x), x=\left(x_{0}, x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)$ the solutions of the eikonal equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j, k=0}^{n} g^{j k}\left(x_{0}, x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \psi_{x_{j}}^{ \pm}(x) \psi_{x_{k}}^{ \pm}(x)=0, \quad x_{n}>0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\psi^{+}\right|_{x_{n}=0}=x_{0}-T_{1},\left.\quad \psi^{-}\right|_{x_{n}=0}=T_{2}-x_{0} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that the solution $\psi^{ \pm}(x)$ of (2.4), (2.5) exists for $0 \leq x_{n} \leq \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon>0$ is small (see, for example, [12], §64).

Since (2.4) is a quadratic equation in $\psi_{x_{n}}^{ \pm}$one has to specify the sign of the square root. We have

$$
g^{n n}\left(\psi_{x_{n}}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}+2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g^{n j} \psi_{x_{j}}^{ \pm} \psi_{x_{n}}^{ \pm}+\sum_{j, k=0}^{n-1} g^{j k} \psi_{x_{j}}^{ \pm} \psi_{x_{k}}^{ \pm}=0
$$

We will need below that $\psi_{x_{n}}^{+}+\psi_{x_{n}}^{-}<0$ for $x_{n}>0$. So we choose the plus sign of the square root:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{x_{n}}^{ \pm}=\frac{-\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g^{n j} \psi_{x_{j}}^{ \pm}+\sqrt{\left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g^{n j} \psi_{x_{j}}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}-g^{n n}\left(\sum_{j, k=0}^{n-1} g^{j k} \psi_{x_{j}}^{ \pm} \psi_{x_{k}}^{ \pm}\right)}}{g^{n n}(x)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $g^{n n}(x)<0,\left.\psi_{x_{0}}^{ \pm}\right|_{x_{n}=0}= \pm 1$. Therefore $\left.\psi_{x_{n}}^{ \pm}\right|_{x_{n}=0}=\frac{\mp g^{n 0}+\sqrt{\left(g^{n 0}\right)^{2}-g^{n n} g^{00}}}{g^{n n}}$. The solutions $\psi^{ \pm}(x)$ exists for $0<x_{n}<\delta, \delta$ is small. For given $T_{1}, T_{2}$ we assume that $\delta$ is such that surfaces $\psi^{+}=0$ and $\psi^{-}=0$ intersect when $x_{n}<\delta$ and are inside $U_{0}$ when $x_{n}<\delta$.

Let $\varphi_{j}\left(x_{0}, x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right), 1 \leq j \leq n-1$, be solutions of the linear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p, k=0}^{n} g^{p k}\left(x_{0}, x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \psi_{x_{p}}^{-} \varphi_{j x_{k}}=0, \quad x_{n}>0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{j}\left(x_{0}, x^{\prime}, 0\right)=x_{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1 . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Make the following change of variables in $U_{0} \cap\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& s=\psi^{+}\left(x_{0}, x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right), \\
& \tau=\psi^{-}\left(x_{0}, x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right), \\
& y_{j}=\varphi_{j}\left(x_{0}, x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1 . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (1.1) has the following form in $\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)$ coordinates where $y^{\prime}=$ $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{L} \hat{u} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{|\hat{g}|}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}+i \hat{A}_{+}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \sqrt{|\hat{g}|} \hat{g}^{+,-}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i \hat{A}_{-}\right) \hat{u} \\
& +\frac{2}{\sqrt{|\hat{g}|}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i \hat{A}_{-}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \sqrt{|\hat{g}|} \hat{g}^{+,-}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}+i \hat{A}_{+}\right) \hat{u} \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{2}{\sqrt{|\hat{g}|}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i \hat{A}_{j}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \sqrt{|\hat{g}|} \hat{g}^{+, j}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}+i \hat{A}_{+}\right) \hat{u} \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{2}{\sqrt{|\hat{g}|}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}+i \hat{A}_{+}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \sqrt{|\hat{g}|} \hat{g}^{+, j}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i \hat{A}_{j}\right) \hat{u} \\
& -\sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\hat{g}|}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i \hat{A}_{j}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \sqrt{|\hat{g}|} \hat{g}^{j k}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}-i \hat{A}_{k}\right) \hat{u}, \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{g}=-\left(2 \hat{g}^{+,-}\right)^{-2}\left(\operatorname{det}\left[\hat{g}^{j k}\right]_{j, k=1}^{n-1}\right)^{-1} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that terms containing $\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s^{2}}, \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \tau^{2}}, \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{j} \partial \tau}$ vanished because of (2.4), (2.7), and

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \hat{g}^{+,-}=-\sum_{j, k=0}^{n} g^{j k} \psi_{x_{J}}^{+} \psi_{x_{k}}^{-}, \\
& 2 \hat{g}^{+, j}=\sum_{p, r=0}^{n} g^{p r} \psi_{x_{p}}^{+} \varphi_{j x_{r}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\
& \hat{g}^{j k}=\sum_{p, r=0}^{n} g^{p r} \varphi_{j x_{p}} \varphi_{k x_{r}}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq n-1, \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.6) for $x_{n}=0$ that $g^{+,-}>0$.
$\operatorname{In}(2.10) \hat{u}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)=u\left(x_{0}, x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \hat{A}_{j}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right) \varphi_{j x_{k}}-\hat{A}_{+} \psi_{x_{k}}^{+}-\hat{A}_{-} \psi_{x_{k}}^{-}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we shall introduce a new system of coordinates (cf. [11])

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{0}=\frac{s-\tau+T_{2}+T_{1}}{2}=\frac{\psi^{+}-\psi^{-}+T_{2}+T_{1}}{2} \\
& y_{j}=\varphi_{j}(x), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\
& y_{n}=\frac{T_{2}-T_{1}-s-\tau}{2}=\frac{T_{2}-T_{1}-\psi^{+}(x)-\psi^{-}(x)}{2} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi^{+}, \psi^{-}, \varphi_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$, are the same as in (2.4), (2.7).
Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.y_{0}\right|_{x_{n}=0}=\frac{x_{0}-T_{1}-\left(T_{2}-x_{0}\right)+T_{2}+T_{1}}{2}=x_{0}, \\
& \left.y_{j}\right|_{x_{n}=0}=x_{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\
& \left.y_{n}\right|_{x_{n}=0}=\frac{T_{2}-T_{1}-s-\tau}{2}=\frac{T_{2}-T_{1}-\psi^{+}(x)-\psi^{-}(x)}{2}=0, \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $y=\varphi(x)=\left(\varphi_{0}\left(x_{1}\right), \varphi_{1}(x), \ldots, \varphi_{n}(x)\right)$ is the identity on $x_{n}=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=I \quad \text { when } x_{n}=0 . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\varphi_{0}=\frac{\psi^{+}(x)-\psi^{-}(x)+T_{2}+T_{1}}{2}, \quad \varphi_{n}=\frac{T_{2}-T_{1}-\psi^{+}-\psi^{-}}{2} .
$$

Note that $y_{n}=\varphi_{n}(x)>0$ when $x_{n}>0$ since $\psi_{x_{n}}^{+}+\psi_{x_{n}}^{-}<0$ (cf. (2.6)),

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{s}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{y_{0}}-u_{y_{n}}\right), \quad u_{\tau}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{y_{0}}+u_{y_{n}}\right) . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus one can easily rewrite (2.10) in $\left(y_{0}, y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)$ coordinates.
We shall further simplify (2.10) by making a gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}=e^{-i d\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)} \hat{u} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u^{\prime}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\prime} u^{\prime}=0, \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L^{\prime}$ is the same as $\hat{L}$ with $\hat{A}_{j}, \hat{A}_{+}, \hat{A}_{-}$replaced by $A_{j}^{\prime}, A_{+}^{\prime}, A_{-}^{\prime}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{j}^{\prime}=\hat{A}_{j}-\frac{\partial d}{\partial y_{j}}, & 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \\
A_{+}^{\prime}=\hat{A}_{+}-\frac{\partial d}{\partial s}, & A_{-}^{\prime}=\hat{A}_{-}-\frac{\partial d}{\partial \tau} \tag{2.20}
\end{array}
$$

We choose $d\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{+}^{\prime}=-\frac{\partial d}{\partial s}+\hat{A}_{+}=0 \text { for } y_{n}>0 \\
& \left.d\right|_{y_{n}=0}=0 \tag{2.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}=\left|\operatorname{det}\left[\hat{g}^{j k}\right]_{j, k=1}^{n-1}\right|^{-1}, \quad A=\ln \left(g_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial A}{\partial y_{j}} & =\frac{g_{1 y_{j}}}{4 g_{1}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}} \sqrt{g_{1}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \\
\frac{\partial A}{\partial s} & =\frac{g_{1 s}}{4 g_{1}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \sqrt{g_{1}}, \\
\frac{\partial A}{\partial \tau} & =\frac{g_{1 \tau}}{4 g_{1}}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_{1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \sqrt{g_{1}} . \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\sqrt{|\hat{g}|}=\frac{\sqrt{g_{1}}}{2 \hat{g}^{+,-}}(\operatorname{cf}(2.11))$ we can rewrite $L^{\prime} u^{\prime}=0$ in the form (cf. [8]):

$$
\begin{align*}
L^{\prime} u^{\prime}= & 2 \hat{g}^{+,-}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\frac{\partial A}{\partial s}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i A_{-}^{\prime}+\frac{\partial A}{\partial \tau}\right) \\
& +2 \hat{g}^{+,-}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i A_{-}^{\prime}+\frac{\partial A}{\partial \tau}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\frac{\partial A}{\partial s}\right) u^{\prime} \\
& -2 \hat{g}^{+,-} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\frac{\partial A}{\partial s}\right) \frac{\hat{g}^{+, k}}{\hat{g}^{+,,-}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}-i A_{k}^{\prime}+\frac{\partial A}{\partial y_{k}}\right) u^{\prime} \\
& -2 \hat{g}^{+,-} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}-i A_{k}^{\prime}+\frac{\partial A}{\partial y_{k}}\right) \frac{\hat{g}^{+, k}}{\hat{g}^{+,-}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}+\frac{\partial A}{\partial s}\right) u^{\prime} \\
& -\sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1} \hat{g}^{+,-}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime}+\frac{\partial A}{\partial y_{j}}\right) \frac{\hat{g}^{j k}}{\hat{g}^{+,-}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}-i A_{k}^{\prime}+\frac{\partial A}{\partial y_{k}}\right) u^{\prime} \\
& +\hat{g}^{+,-} V_{1} u^{\prime}=0, \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{1}= & -\sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\hat{g}^{j k}}{\hat{g}^{+,-}} \frac{\partial A}{\partial y_{j}} \frac{\partial A}{\partial y_{k}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}\left(\frac{\hat{g}^{j k}}{\hat{g}^{+,-}} \frac{\partial A}{\partial y_{j}}\right)\right) \\
& +4 \frac{\partial^{2} A}{\partial s \partial \tau}+4 \frac{\partial A}{\partial s} \frac{\partial A}{\partial \tau}-4 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\hat{g}^{+, j}}{\hat{g}^{+,-}} \frac{\partial A}{\partial s} \frac{\partial A}{\partial y_{j}} \\
& -2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\frac{\hat{g}^{+, j}}{\hat{g}^{+,-}} \frac{\partial A}{\partial y_{j}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}\left(\frac{\hat{g}^{+, j}}{\hat{g}^{+,-}} \frac{\partial A}{\partial s}\right)\right) . \tag{2.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Make the change of unknown function

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=g_{1}^{\frac{1}{4}} u^{\prime}, \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{1}=\left|\operatorname{det}\left[\hat{g}^{j k}\right]_{j, k=1}^{n-1}\right|^{-1}$ (cf. (2.11)). Then dividing $L^{\prime} u^{\prime}=0$ by $\hat{g}^{+,-}$we get (cf. [11])

$$
L_{1} u_{1}=0
$$

where $L_{1} u_{1}=0$ has the form (cf. (2.24))

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{1} u_{1}= & 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i A_{-}^{\prime}\right) u_{1}+2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i A_{-}^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} u_{1} \\
& -2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(g_{0}^{+, j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime}\right) u_{1}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime}\right) g_{0}^{+, j} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial s} \\
& -\sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime}\right) g_{0}^{j k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}-i A_{k}^{\prime}\right) u_{1}+V_{1} u_{1}=0 \tag{2.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
g_{0}^{j k}=\frac{\hat{g}^{j k}}{\hat{g}^{+,-}}, \quad g_{0}^{+, j}=\frac{\hat{g}^{+, j}}{\hat{g}^{+,-}}
$$

and $V_{1}$ is the same as in (2.25). Using that $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i A_{-}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{0}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n}}\right)+i A_{-}^{\prime}=$ $-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{0}}-i A_{-}^{\prime}\right)+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n}}-i A_{-}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{0}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n}}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{0}}-i A_{-}^{\prime}\right)-\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n}}-\right.\right.$ $\left.i A_{-}^{\prime}\right)$ ] we can rewrite $L_{1} u_{1}$ in $\left(y_{0}, y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)$ coordinates:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{1} u_{1}= & -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{0}}-i A_{-}^{\prime}\right)^{2} u_{1}+\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n}}-i A_{-}^{\prime}\right)^{2} u_{1} \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{0}}-i A_{-}^{\prime}\right) g_{0}^{+, j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime}\right) u_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime}\right) g_{0}^{+, j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{0}}-i A_{-}^{\prime}\right) u_{1} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n}}-i A_{-}^{\prime}\right) g_{0}^{+, j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime}\right) u_{1} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime}\right) g_{0}^{+, j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n}}-i A_{-}^{\prime}\right) u_{1} \\
& -\sum_{j, k=1}^{n}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime}\right) g_{0}^{j k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}-i A_{k}^{\prime}\right) u_{1}+V_{1} u_{1}=0 . \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that we transformed the equation $L u=0$ to the equation $L_{1} u_{1}=0$ in two steps. First, we transformed $L u=0$ to $L^{\prime} u^{\prime}=0$ by making the change of variables $y=\varphi(x)$ of the form (2.15) and gauge transformation with the gauge $e^{-i d\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)}$ belonging to the group $G_{0}$ (cf. (1.22). Then we transform $L^{\prime} u^{\prime}=0$ to $L_{1} u_{1}=0$ by using the change of variables (2.26), i.e. by using a gauge $e^{A}$, where $A=\ln g_{1}^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and then dividing $L^{\prime} u^{\prime}=0$ by $\hat{g}^{+,-}$.

The DN operator for $L$ has the form

$$
\Lambda g=-\left.\sum_{j=0}^{n} g^{j n}(x)\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{j}}-i A_{j}(x) u\right)\left(-g^{n n}(x)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right|_{x_{n}=0}
$$

since the outward normal to $x_{n}=0$ is $(0,0, \ldots,-1)$.
Rewrite $L^{\prime} u^{\prime}=0$ in ( $y_{0}, y^{\prime}, y_{n}$ ) coordinates using (2.17).
Denote $-\hat{g}^{00}=\hat{g}^{n n}=\hat{g}^{+,-}, \hat{g}^{n j}=\hat{g}^{j n}=\hat{g}^{+, j}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Note that $\hat{g}^{+,-}>0$.

The DN operator for $L^{\prime} u^{\prime}=0$ has the following form in $\left(y_{0}, y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)$ coordinates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{\prime} g=\left.\left(\hat{g}^{+,-}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\left(\frac{\partial u^{\prime}}{\partial y_{n}}-i A_{-}^{\prime} u^{\prime}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\hat{g}^{n j}}{\hat{g}^{+,-}}\left(\frac{\partial u^{\prime}}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime} u^{\prime}\right)\right]\right|_{y_{n}=0} \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (cf. (2.18))

$$
u^{\prime}(y)=e^{-i d(y)} u\left(\varphi^{-1}(y)\right),
$$

$y=y(x)$ is the same as in (2.14).
Since $L^{\prime}$ is obtained from (1.1) by the change of variables (2.14)and the gauge transformation (2.18) and since (2.15), (2.21) hold, we have $\Lambda g=\Lambda^{\prime} g$ on $\left\{y_{n}=\right.$ $0\} \cap U_{0}$ for all $g$ with supp $g$ in $\left(\Gamma_{0} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right) \cap U_{0}$. Using the expression of $L_{1} u_{1}=0$ in $\left(y_{0}, y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)$ coordinates (see (2.28)) we get that DN operator $\Lambda_{1} g$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{1} g=\left.\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y_{n}}-i A_{-}^{\prime} u_{1}+\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} g_{0}^{+, j}\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime} u_{1}\right)\right)\right|_{y_{n}=0} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{0}^{+, j}=\frac{\hat{g}^{n j}}{\hat{g}^{+,-}}$.
We shall show that the DN operators $\Lambda^{\prime}$ determines the DN operator $\Lambda_{1}$ in $U_{0} \cap \Gamma_{0}$.
The following lemma is well known, especially in the elliptic case (cf. [12,23, § 57]). For the hyperbolic case see [8, Remark 2.2].

Lemma 2.1 The DN operator $\Lambda^{\prime}$ determines

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\hat{g}^{+,-}\right|_{y_{n}=0},\left.\frac{\hat{g}^{n j}}{\hat{g}^{+,-}}\right|_{y_{n}=0},\left.\frac{\hat{g}^{j k}}{\hat{g}^{+,-}}\right|_{y_{n}=0}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1,1 \leq k \leq n-1, \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the derivatives of (2.31) in $y_{n}$ at $y_{n}=0$.
Proof The principal symbol of operator $L^{\prime}$ has the form $\hat{g}^{+,-} p(y, \eta)$, where (cf. (2.10) in $y$-coordinates)

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(y, \eta)=\eta_{0}^{2}-\eta_{n}^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_{0}^{+, j}\left(\eta_{0}-\eta_{n}\right) \eta_{j}+\sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1} g_{0}^{j k} \eta_{j} \eta_{k} \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}^{+\cdot j}=\frac{\hat{g}^{+, j}}{\hat{g}^{+,-}}, \quad g_{0}^{j k}=\frac{\hat{g}^{j k}}{\hat{g}^{+,-}} \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (1.6) holds the quadratic form $\sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1} g_{0}^{j k} \eta_{j} \eta_{k}$ is negative definite. Therefore for $\varepsilon>0$ in the region $\Sigma=\left\{\eta_{0}^{2}+\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_{0}^{+, j} \eta_{j}\right)^{2}-\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \eta_{j}^{2}<0\right\}$ of the cotangent space $T^{*}=U_{0} \times\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ the operator $p(y, \eta)$ is elliptic. We shall call $\Sigma$ the elliptic region.

There is a parametrix of the Dirichlet problem in the elliptic region and DN operator microlocally in $\Sigma$ is a pseudodifferential operator on $y_{n}=0$. We shall find the principal symbol of this operator in $\Sigma$. Let $\lambda_{ \pm}$be the roots in $\eta_{n}$ of $p\left(y, \eta_{0}, \eta^{\prime}, \eta_{n}\right)=0$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{ \pm}= & -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_{0}^{+, j} \eta_{j} \\
& \pm \sqrt{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_{0}^{+, j} \eta_{j}\right)^{2}+\left(\eta_{0}^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_{0}^{+, j} \eta_{j} \eta_{0}+\sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1} g_{0}^{j k} \eta_{j} \eta_{k}\right)} \\
\stackrel{\text { def }}{=} & -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_{0}^{+, j} \eta_{j} \pm \sqrt{Q}, \tag{2.34}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{\Im} \lambda_{+}>0$ in $\Sigma$. Therefore the symbol of DN in $\Sigma$ is (cf. [12, § 57]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\hat{g}^{+,-}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{Q} \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Knowing $\Lambda^{\prime}$ we know the symbol (2.35) for all $\eta_{0}, \eta^{\prime}$. In particular, we can find (2.31). Computing the next term of the parametrix (cf. [12, § 57]) we can find the normal derivatives of (2.31).

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{1} f^{\prime}=\left.\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y_{n}}-i A_{-}^{\prime} u_{1}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_{0}^{+, j}\left(\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime} u_{1}\right)\right)\right|_{y_{n}=0} \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.u_{1}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=f^{\prime}, u_{1}=g_{1}^{\frac{1}{4}} u^{\prime}, f^{\prime}=\left.g_{1}^{\frac{1}{4}}\right|_{y_{n}=0} f$. Note that $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}} u_{1}=g_{1}^{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}} u^{\prime}+$ $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}} g_{1}^{\frac{1}{4}}\right) u^{\prime}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{1} f^{\prime}=g_{1}^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(g^{+,-}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Lambda^{\prime} f+\left.\left(\frac{\partial g_{1}^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\partial y_{n}}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_{0}^{+, j} \frac{\partial g_{1}^{\frac{1}{4}}}{\partial y_{j}}\right) g_{1}^{-\frac{1}{4}} f\right|_{y_{n}=0} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the Lemma 2.1 that $g_{1}, \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial y_{n}}, \hat{g}^{+,-}, g_{0}^{+, j}$ are known on $y_{n}=0$ if $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is known. Therefore knowing $\Lambda^{\prime} f$ we can determine $\Lambda_{1} f^{\prime}$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=g_{1}^{\frac{1}{4}} e^{-i d(y)} u\left(\varphi^{-1}(y)\right), \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y=\varphi(x)$ is given by (2.14), (2.15).

## 3 The Green's formula

First, we introduce some notations.
Let $\Gamma_{1} \subset U_{0} \cap \Gamma_{0}$. Denote by $D_{1 T_{1}}$ the forward domain of influence of $\bar{\Gamma}_{1} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$ in the half-space $y_{n} \geq 0$. We shall define $\Gamma_{2}$ as the intersection $D_{1 T_{1}} \cap\left\{y_{n}=0\right\} \cap\left\{y_{0}=\right.$ $\left.T_{2}\right\}$. Analogously, let $\Gamma_{3}=D_{2 T_{1}} \cap\left\{y_{n}=0\right\} \cap\left\{y_{0}=T_{2}\right\}$, where $D_{2 T_{1}}$ is the forward domain of influence of $\bar{\Gamma}_{2} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$. We assume that $\Gamma_{1} \subset \Gamma_{2} \subset \Gamma_{3} \subset\left(\Gamma_{0} \cap U_{0}\right)$.

Let $D_{j s_{0}}$ be the forward domain of influence of $\bar{\Gamma}_{j} \times\left[s_{0}, T_{2}\right], 1 \leq j \leq 3$, where $T_{1} \leq$ $s_{0} \leq T_{2}$. Denote by $Y_{j s_{0}}$ the intersection of $D_{j s_{0}}$ with the plane $\tau=T_{2}-y_{n}-y_{0}=0$ (cf. Fig. 3). Let $X_{j s_{0}}$ be the part of $D_{j s_{0}}$ below $Y_{j s_{0}}$ and let $Z_{j s_{0}}=\partial X_{j s_{0}} \backslash\left(Y_{j s_{0}} \cup\left\{y_{n}=\right.\right.$ $0\}$ ).

We assume also that $D_{3, T_{1}} \cap\left\{y_{n}=0\right\} \subset \Gamma_{0} \cap U_{0}$ and that $D_{3 T_{1}}$ does not intersect $\Gamma_{0} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$ outside of $y_{n}=0$.

Consider the following initial-boundary value problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{1} u^{f}=0 \\
& u^{f}=u_{y_{0}}^{f}=0 \text { for } y_{0}=T_{1}, \quad y_{n}>0, \\
& \left.u^{f}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=f, \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Fig. $3 Y_{j T_{1}}$ is the intersection of the plane $\tau=0$ with $D_{j T_{1}}$, $\Gamma_{j+1}$ is the intersection of $Y_{j T_{1}}$ with the plane $y_{n}=0$

where supp $f \subset \bar{\Gamma}_{3} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$. Also let $v^{g}$ be such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{1} v^{g}=0 \text { for } y_{n}>0 \\
& v^{g}=v_{y_{0}}^{g}=0 \text { for } y_{0}=T_{1}, y_{n}>0 \\
& \left.v^{g}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=g \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where supp $g \subset \bar{\Gamma}_{3} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$.
Note that $L_{1}^{*}=L_{1}$, i.e. $L_{1}$ is formally self-adjoint.
Let $(u, v)$ be the $L_{2}$ inner product $\int_{X_{3 T_{1}}} u(y) \bar{v}(y) d y$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(L_{1} u^{f}, v^{g}\right)-\left(u^{f}, L_{1} v^{g}\right)=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $L_{1} u^{f}=0, L_{1} v^{g}=0$. The Jacobian $\frac{\partial\left(y_{n}, y_{0}\right)}{\partial(s, \tau)}$ is equal to $\frac{1}{2}$. Thus $d y_{0} d y_{n}=$ $\frac{1}{2} d s d \tau$. Integrating by parts in $s$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{X_{3 T_{1}}} \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i A_{-}^{\prime}\right) u^{f} \overline{v^{g}} d s d \tau \\
& =\int_{X_{3 T_{1}}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i A_{-}^{\prime}\right) u^{f} \frac{\overline{\partial v^{g}}}{\partial s} d s d \tau-\int_{y_{n}=0}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i A_{-}^{\prime}\right) u^{f} \overline{v^{g}} d \tau \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating by parts in $\tau$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\int_{X_{3 T_{1}}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \tau \partial s} u^{f} \overline{v^{g}} d s d \tau \\
& =\int_{X_{3 T_{1}}} \frac{\partial u^{f}}{\partial s} \frac{\partial v^{g}}{\partial \tau} d s d \tau-\int_{y_{n}=0} \frac{\partial u^{f}}{\partial s} \overline{v^{g}} d s+\int_{\tau=0} \frac{\partial u^{f}}{\partial s} \overline{v^{g}} d s . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We used in (3.4), (3.5) that $u^{f}, v^{g}$ are equal to zero on $Z_{3 T_{1}}$. Note that $s=y_{0}-T_{1}, \tau=$ $T_{2}-y_{0}$ on $y_{n}=0$, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{0}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n}}\right), \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{0}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n}}\right)$. Therefore, making changes of variable $\tau=T_{2}-y_{0}$ in the first integral and $s=y_{0}-T_{1}$ in the second, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{y_{n}=0}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i A_{-}^{\prime}\right) u^{f \overline{v^{g}}} d \tau-\int_{y_{n}=0} \frac{\partial u^{f}}{\partial s} \overline{v^{g}} d s=\int_{y_{n}=0}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n}}-i A_{-}^{\prime}\right) u^{f \overline{v^{g}}} d y_{0} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously, integrating by parts other terms of $\int_{X_{3 T_{1}}}\left(L_{1} u^{f}\right) \overline{v^{g}} d s d \tau$ we get (cf. [10], p. 316)

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\left(L_{1} u^{f}, v^{g}\right)-\left(u^{f}, L_{1} v^{g}\right) \\
& =\int_{Y_{3 T_{1}}}\left(\frac{\partial u^{f}}{\partial s} \overline{v^{g}}-u^{f} \frac{\overline{\partial v^{g}}}{\partial s}\right) d y^{\prime} d s+\int_{\Gamma_{3} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]}\left(\Lambda_{1} f \bar{g}-f \overline{\Lambda_{1} g}\right) d y^{\prime} d y_{0}, \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{1}$ has the form (2.30)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{1} f=\left(\frac{\partial u^{f}}{\partial y_{n}}-i A_{-}^{\prime} u^{f}\right)-\left.\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_{0}^{+, j}\left(\frac{\partial u^{f}}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime} u^{f}\right)\right|_{y_{n}=0} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Y_{3 T_{1}}}\left(u_{s}^{f} \overline{v^{g}}-u^{f} \overline{v_{s}^{g}}\right) d s d y^{\prime} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is determined by the boundary data, i.e. by the DN operator on $\Gamma^{(3)} \times\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$.
We shall denote the $L_{2}$ inner product in $Y_{3 T_{1}}$ by $(u, v)_{Y_{3 T_{1}}}$, or simply $(u, v)$ when it is clear what is the domain of integration.

Let $D_{j}^{-}$be the backward domain of influence of $\bar{\Gamma}_{j} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$. Thus $D_{j T 1} \cap D_{j}^{-}$is the domain of dependence of $\bar{\Gamma}_{j} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$. Denote by $Q_{j}$ the intersection of $D_{j}^{-}$with $\tau=0$. Let $R_{j s_{0}}=Y_{j s_{0}} \cap Q_{j}$ be the rectangle $\left\{s_{0}-T_{1} \leq s \leq T_{2}-T_{1}, \tau=0, y^{\prime} \in \bar{\Gamma}_{j}\right\}$.

Fig. 4 The rectangle
$R_{j s_{0}}=\left\{s_{0}-T_{1} \leq s \leq\right.$
$\left.T_{2}-T_{1}, \tau=0, y^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{j}\right\}, Y_{j s_{0}}$ is the intersection of the domain of influence of $\left[s_{0}, T_{2}\right] \times \bar{\Gamma}_{j}$ with the plane $\tau=0$. Note that $R_{j s_{0}} \subset Y_{j s_{0}} \subset R_{j+1 . s_{0}}$


Fig. 5 The domain $\Delta_{2}^{\prime}$ is bounded by $\Gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\Gamma_{2}^{\prime}$

Note that $R_{j s_{0}}$ belongs to the domain of dependence of $\bar{\Gamma}_{j} \times\left[s_{0}, T_{2}\right]$. Let $H_{0}^{1}\left(R_{j s_{0}}\right)$ be the subspace of the Sobolev space $H^{1}\left(R_{j s_{0}}\right)$ consisting of $w \in H^{1}\left(R_{j s_{0}}\right)$ such that $w=0$ on $\partial R_{j 0} \backslash\left\{y_{n}=0\right\}$. Analogously, let $H_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{j s_{0}}\right)$ be the subspace of $H^{1}\left(Y_{j s_{0}}\right)$ consisting of $v \in H^{1}\left(Y_{j s_{0}}\right)$ such that $v=0$ on $\partial Y_{j s_{0}} \backslash\left\{y_{n}=0\right\}$. Note that $R_{j s_{0}} \subset Y_{j s_{0}} \subset R_{j+1, s_{0}}$ (cf. Fig. 4).

Note that $H_{0}^{1}\left(R_{j s_{0}}\right)$ is a subspace of $H_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{j s_{0}}\right)$.
Lemma 3.1 (Density lemma) For any $w \in H_{0}^{1}\left(R_{j s_{0}}\right)$ there exists a sequence $\left\{u^{f_{n}}\right\}$ where $u^{f_{n}}$ are solutions of the initial-boundary value problem (3.1), $f_{n}\left(y_{0}, y^{\prime}\right) \in$ $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{j} \times\left[s_{0}, T_{2}\right]\right)$, such that $\left\|w-u^{f_{n}}\right\|_{1, Y_{j s_{0}}} \rightarrow 0$ when $n \rightarrow \infty, j=1,2,3$.

Here $\|w\|_{\left.1, Y_{j s_{0}}\right]}$ is the norm in $H_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{j s_{0}}\right)$ and $f \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{j} \times\left[s_{0}, T_{2}\right]\right)$, i.e. $f=0$ on $\partial\left(\Gamma_{j} \times\left[s_{0}, T_{2}\right]\right) \backslash\left(\Gamma_{j} \times\left\{y_{0}=T_{2}\right\}\right)$.

Proof The proof of Lemma 3.1 is a simplification of the proof of Lemmas 2.2 and 3.2 in [10]. We shall prove Lemma 3.1 for the case $s_{0}=T_{1}$. The proof for the case $T_{1}<s_{0}<T_{2}$ is identical.

Denote by $\Delta_{2}^{\prime}$ the domain bounded by the half-plaines $\Gamma_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{y_{n}=0, y_{0}<T_{2}, y^{\prime} \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right\}$ and $\Gamma_{2}^{\prime}=\left\{\tau=T_{2}-y_{n}-y_{0}=0, s<T_{2}, y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right\}$ (cf. Fig. 5). Let $\Gamma_{\infty}^{\prime}$ be the plane $\tau=0$. Denote by $H_{0}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ the Sobolev space of $h \in H^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{supp} h \subset \bar{\Gamma}_{2}^{\prime}$, i.e. $h\left(s, y^{\prime}\right)=0$ when $s>T_{2}$. Note that $H_{0}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is dual to $H^{1}\left(\Gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ with respect to the extension of the $L_{2}$ inner product on $\Gamma_{\infty}^{\prime}$ (cf. [12]).

Lemma 3.2 For any $h\left(s, y^{\prime}\right) \in H_{0}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ there exists a distribution $u\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1} u=0 \text { in } \Delta_{2}^{\prime}, \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial s}\right|_{\Gamma_{2}^{\prime}}=h,  \tag{3.11}\\
& \left.u\right|_{y_{n}=0}=0 . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof Since $h\left(s, y^{\prime}\right)=0$ for $s>T_{2}$, there exists $v\left(s, y^{\prime}\right)=0$ for $s>T_{2}, v\left(s, y^{\prime}\right)$ belongs to $L_{2}$ in $s$ and to $H^{-1}$ in $y^{\prime}$ and such that $\frac{\partial v}{\partial s}=h$ in $\Gamma_{\infty}^{\prime}$. We can define $v\left(s, y^{\prime}\right)$ by the formula

$$
v\left(s, y^{\prime}\right)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} e^{\varepsilon\left(s-T_{2}\right)} F^{-1} \frac{\tilde{h}\left(z_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)}{z_{0}+i \varepsilon}
$$

where $\tilde{h}\left(z_{0}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n-1}\right)$ is the Fourier transform of $h\left(s, y^{\prime}\right)$ and $F^{-1}$ is the inverse Fourier transform, $z_{0}$ is the dual variable to $s$.

The distribution $\theta(-\tau) u$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}(\theta(-\tau) u)=4 h \delta(-\tau) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the half-space $y_{n}>0$ with the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\theta(-\tau) u\right|_{y_{n}=0}=0, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta(s)=1$ for $s>0$ and $\theta(s)=0$ for $s<0$.
We look for $\theta(-\tau) u$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(-\tau) u=\theta(-\tau) v+w, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{1} w=\varphi,  \tag{3.16}\\
& \left.w\right|_{y_{n}=0}=-\left.\theta(-\tau) v\right|_{y_{n}=0},  \tag{3.17}\\
& \varphi=L_{11}(\theta(-\tau) v), \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{11}=L_{1}+\frac{4 \partial^{2}}{\partial s \partial \tau}$. Note that $L_{11}$ is a differential operator in $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}, 1 \leq k \leq$ $n-1$.

We impose the zero initial conditions on $w$ requiring that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=0 \text { for } y_{0}>T_{2} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $w$ is the solution of the hyperbolic equation $L_{1} w=\varphi$ in the half-space $y_{n}>0$ with the boundary condition (3.17) and the zero initial conditions (3.19). It follows from ([15] and [13]) that initial-boundary value problem has a unique solution in appropriate Sobolev space of negative order.

Since $\varphi$ belongs to $L_{2}$ in $\tau$ and to Sobolev spaces of negative order in $s$ and $y^{\prime}$, we get that $w$ belongs to $H^{1}$ in $\tau$. Therefore $\left.w\right|_{\tau=\tau_{0}}$ is continuous function of $\tau_{0}$ with
the values in Sobolev's spaces of negative order in $\left(s, y^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\varphi=0$ for $\tau<0$ we have that $w=0$ for $\tau<0$ by the domain of influence argument. Therefore by the continuity $\left.w\right|_{\tau=0}=0$ and $\left.\frac{\partial w}{\partial s}\right|_{\tau=0}=0$.

Therefore $u=v(s)+w\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)$ is the distribution solution of (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) in $\Delta_{2}^{\prime}$.

Note that the restrictions of any distribution solution of $L_{1} u=0$ to $y_{n}=0$ exists since $y_{n}=0$ is not a characteristic surface for $L_{1}$. This property is called the partial hypoellipticity (cf., for example, [12]).

Now using Lemma 3.2 we can prove Lemma 3.1. If $\left\{u^{f}, f \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{j T_{1}}\right), \Gamma_{j T_{1}}=\right.$ $\left.\Gamma_{j} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right\}$ is not dense in $H_{0}^{1}\left(R_{j T_{1}}\right)$ then there exists nonzero $h \in H_{0}^{-1}\left(R_{j T_{1}}\right)$ such that $\left(u^{f}, h\right)=0, \forall u^{f}, f \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{j T_{1}}\right)$. Let $v$ be such that $\frac{\partial v}{\partial s}=h$. Then $\left(u^{f}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial s}\right)_{Y_{j T_{1}}}=$ $0, \forall f \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{j T_{1}}\right)$. Let $u$ be the same as in Lemma 3.2, i.e. $L_{1} u=0$ in $\Delta_{2}^{\prime},\left.u\right|_{Y_{T_{1}}}=$ $v,\left.u\right|_{y_{n}=0}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-2\left(u^{f}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial s}\right)_{Y_{j T_{1}}}=\int_{\Gamma_{j T_{1}}} f \frac{\overline{\partial u}}{\partial y_{n}} d y^{\prime} d y_{0}, \quad \forall f \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{j T_{1}}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\left(u^{f}, h\right)_{Y_{j T_{1}}}$ is understood as the extension of $L_{2}$ inner product in $\left(u_{1}, h\right)$ in $\Gamma_{\infty}^{\prime}$, where $u_{1}$ is an arbitrary extension of $u^{f}$ for $s>T_{2}$. Analogously for the right hand side of (3.20). (Note that $u=0$ for $y_{0}>T_{2}$ ).

To justify (3.20) we take a sequence $h_{j} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right), h_{j} \rightarrow h$ in $H_{0}^{-1}\left(\Gamma_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. By Lemma 3.2 there exists smooth $v_{j}$ such that $L_{1} v_{j}=0$ in $\Delta_{2}^{\prime},\left.v_{j}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=0,\left.\frac{\partial v_{j}}{\partial s}\right|_{\tau=0}=$ $h_{j}$. Applying the Green's formula (3.7) to $u^{f}$ and $v_{j}$ we get

$$
\int_{Y_{3 T_{1}}}\left(\frac{\partial u^{f}}{\partial s} \bar{v}_{j}-u^{f} \frac{\overline{\partial v_{j}}}{\partial s}\right) d y^{\prime} d s=\int_{\Gamma_{3 T_{1}}} f \frac{\overline{\partial v_{j}}}{\partial y_{n}} d y^{\prime} d y_{0}
$$

since $\left.v_{j}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=0$. Integrating by parts we get

$$
\int_{Y_{3 T_{1}}} \frac{\partial u^{f}}{\partial s} \bar{v}_{j} d s d y^{\prime}=-\int_{Y_{3 T_{1}}} u^{f} \frac{\overline{\partial v_{j}}}{\partial s} d s d y^{\prime}+\left.u^{f} \bar{v}_{j}\right|_{y_{n}=0, y_{0}=T_{2}}
$$

Since $v_{j}=0$ for $s>T_{2}$ we have that $\left.v_{j}\right|_{y_{n}=0, y_{0}=T_{2}}=0$. Therefore, taking the limit when $j \rightarrow \infty$ we get (3.20).

Since $f$ is arbitrary and $\left(u^{f}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial s}\right)=0$ we get that $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{n}}=0$ on $\Gamma_{j T_{1}}$. Therefore $L_{1} u=0$ in $\Delta_{2}^{\prime}$ and $u$ has zero Cauchy data on $\Gamma_{2 T_{1}}$. Then $u=0$ in the domain of dependence $D_{j}^{-} \cap D_{j T_{1}}$, in particular, $u=0$ on $R_{j T_{1}}$. Thus $v=0$ on $R_{j T_{1}}$ and this contradicts the assumption that $h \neq 0$.

We shall prove two more theorems in this section that will be used in Sect. 4.

We shall need some known results on the initial-boundary hyperbolic problem. The following theorem holds:

Lemma 3.3 Let $L_{1} u=F$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \times\left(-\infty, T_{2}\right)$ where $F \in H_{+}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times\left(-\infty, T_{2}\right), \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}=\right.$ $\left\{y_{n}>0, y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right\}$. Let $\left.u\right|_{y_{n}=0}=f$, where $f \in H_{+}^{s+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times\left(-\infty, T_{2}\right)\right)$. Then for any $s \geq 0$ and any $f \in H_{+}^{s+1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times\left(-\infty, T_{2}\right)\right)$ and $F \in H_{+}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \times\left(-\infty, T_{2}\right)\right)$ there exists a unique $u \in H_{+}^{s+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \times\left(-\infty, T_{2}\right)\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{s+1} \leq C\left([f]_{s+1}+\|F\|_{s}\right) . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\partial u\left(y_{0}, y^{\prime}, 0\right)}{\partial y_{n}}\right]_{s} \leq C\left(\|F\|_{s}+[f]_{s+1}\right) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $H_{+}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \times\left(-\infty, T_{2}\right)\right)$ is the Sobolev's space $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \times\left(-\infty, T_{2}\right)\right)$ with norm $\|u\|_{s}$ consisting of $u(y)$ with the support in $y_{0} \geq T_{1},[f]_{s}$ is the norm in $H_{+}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times\right.$ $\left.\left(-\infty, T_{2}\right)\right)$.

We assume that $F(y)$ and $f\left(y_{0}, y^{\prime}\right)$ have compact supports in $y^{\prime}$. Note that $f=$ $0, F=0, u=0$ for $y_{0}<T_{1}$.

Then $u\left(y_{0}, y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)$ has also a compact support in $y^{\prime}$.
The proof of Lemma 3.3 in the case of time-dependent coefficients is given in [15] and [13].

Note that Lemma 3.3 holds also in the case when $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$ is replaced by an arbitrary smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

The following lemma follows from Lemma 3.3.
Lemma 3.4 Let, for the simplicity, $F=0$. For any $f \in H_{+}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times\left(-\infty, T_{2}\right]\right), f=$ 0 for $y_{0} \leq T_{1}$, there exists $u \in C\left(H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right),\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right) \cap C^{1}\left(L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right),\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right)$ such that $L_{1} u=0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \times\left(-\infty, T_{2}\right], u=0$ for $y_{0}<T_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{T_{1} \leq y_{0} \leq T_{2}}\left\|u\left(y_{0}, y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)\right\|_{1}^{2}+\max _{T_{1} \leq y_{0} \leq T_{2}}\left\|\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{0}}\left(y_{0}, y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)\right\|_{0}^{2} \leq C[f]_{1}^{2} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $C\left(H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right),\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right) \cap C^{1}\left(L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right),\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)\right)$ means that $u(y), \frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial y_{0}}$ are continuous functions of $y_{0}$ with values in $H^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right), L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$, respectively.

Proof Take $s>\frac{3}{2}$. Consider the equation $L_{1} u=0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \times\left(-\infty, T_{2}\right), u=0$ for $y_{0}<T_{1}$, using ( $y_{0}, y^{\prime}, y_{n}$ ) coordinates (cf. (2.28)). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}^{00}=-g_{0}^{n n}=1, \quad g_{0}^{0 j}=g_{0}^{n j}, \quad A_{0}^{\prime}=A_{n}^{\prime}=A_{-}^{\prime} . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $(u, v)_{T^{\prime}}$ be the $L_{2}$-inner product in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n+1} \times\left(T_{1}, T^{\prime}\right), T^{\prime} \leq T_{2}$. Integrating by parts the identity

$$
0=\left(L_{1} u, u_{y_{0}}\right)_{T^{\prime}}+\left(u_{y_{0}} L_{1} u\right)_{T^{\prime}},
$$

we get (cf. [11])

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{T^{\prime}}(u, u)+\Lambda_{0}(f, f)+I_{1}=0 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{T^{\prime}}(u, u)= & \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}}\left(\left|u_{y_{0}}-i A_{0}^{\prime} u\right|^{2}-\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} g_{0}^{j k}\left(u_{y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime} u\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \overline{\left(u_{y_{k}}-i A_{k} u^{\prime}\right)}+V_{1}|u|^{2}\right)\left.d y^{\prime} d y_{n}\right|_{y_{0}=T^{\prime}}  \tag{3.26}\\
\Lambda_{0}(f, f)= & \int_{T_{1}}^{T_{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}\left[\left(\Lambda_{1} f\right) \overline{f_{y_{0}}}+f_{y_{0}} \overline{\Lambda_{1} f}\right] d y^{\prime} d y_{0} \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

$\Lambda_{1} f$ is the same as in (2.36),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{1}\right| \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n} \times\left[T_{1}, T^{\prime}\right]} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left|u_{y_{k}}\right|^{2} d y_{0} d y^{\prime} d y_{n} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $I_{1}=0$ when the coefficients of $L_{1}$ do not depend on $y_{0}$.
Let $\|u\|_{s, T^{\prime}}$ be the norm in $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}\right)$ when $y_{0}=T^{\prime}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|I_{1}\right| \leq C \int_{T_{1}}^{T^{\prime}}\left(\|u\|_{1, t}^{2}+\left\|u_{y_{0}}\right\|_{0, t}^{2}\right) d t \leq C \int_{T_{1}}^{T^{\prime}}|[u]|_{t}^{2} d t \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
|[u]|_{t}^{2}=\|u\|_{1, t}^{2}+\left\|u_{y_{0}}\right\|_{0, t}^{2} . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{T^{\prime}}(u, u) \geq C|[u]|_{1, T^{\prime}}^{2} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $T_{2}-T_{1}$ is small.
Since $T_{2}-T_{1}$ is small, (3.25) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{T_{1} \leq T^{\prime} \leq T_{2}}|[u]|_{1, T^{\prime}}^{2} \leq C\left(T_{2}-T_{1}\right)\left(\max _{T_{1} \leq T^{\prime} \leq T_{2}}|[u]|_{1, T^{\prime}}^{2}+\left|\Lambda_{0}(f, f)\right|\right) \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Lambda_{0}(f, f)\right| \leq C\left([f]_{1}^{2}+\left[\frac{\partial u\left(y_{0}, y^{\prime}, 0\right)}{\partial y_{n}}\right]_{0}\right) \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore (3.22), (3.25), (3.31), (3.32), (3.33) imply (3.23).

Fig. 6 Domain $\Delta_{1}$ is bounded by the planes $\Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}, \Gamma_{4}$


Since $H_{+}^{s}$ is dense in $H_{+}^{1}$ when $s>1$ we can approximate $f \in H_{+}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times\right.$ $\left(-\infty, T_{2}\right)$ ) by functions from $H_{+}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times\left(-\infty, T_{2}\right)\right), s>\frac{3}{2}$ and therefore the inequality (3.23) holds for $f \in H_{+}^{1}$.

We shall study the Goursat problem (see Fig. 6).
We use the same notations that we used in the proof of the Lemma 3.1 in [10]: Let $\Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}$ and $\Gamma_{4}$ be the following planes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{2}=\left\{\tau=T_{2}-y_{0}-y_{n}=0,0 \leq y_{n} \leq \frac{T_{2}-T_{1}}{2}, y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right\} \\
& \Gamma_{3}=\left\{s=y_{0}-y_{n}-T_{1}=0, \frac{T_{2}+T_{1}}{2} \leq y_{0} \leq T_{2}, y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right\} \\
& \Gamma_{4}=\left\{y_{0}=T_{2}, 0 \leq y_{n} \leq T_{2}-T_{1}, y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\Delta_{1}$ be the domain bounded by $\Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}, \Gamma_{4}$. The following lemma is similar to Lemma 3.1 in [10].

Lemma 3.5 For any $v_{0} \in H^{1}\left(\Gamma_{4}\right), v_{1} \in L_{2}\left(\Gamma_{4}\right)$ there exists $u \in H^{1}\left(\Delta_{1}\right)$ such that $L_{1} u=0$ in $\Delta_{1},\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{4}}=v_{0},\left.u_{y_{0}}\right|_{\Gamma_{4}}=v_{1}$. Moreover, the traces $\varphi=\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}, \psi=\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{3}}$ exists and belongs to $H^{1}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right), H^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right)$, respectively. The following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{2}}^{2}+\left\|\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{3}}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{3}}^{2} \leq C\left(\left\|\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{4}}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{4}}^{2}+\left\|\left.u_{y_{0}}\right|_{\Gamma_{4}}\right\|_{0, \Gamma_{4}}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Vice versa, for any $\varphi \in H^{1}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right), \psi \in H^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right), \varphi=\psi$ at $y_{0}=\frac{T_{2}+T_{1}}{2}$ there exists $u \in H^{1}\left(\Delta_{1}\right), L_{1} u=0$ in $\Delta_{1}$ such that $\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=\varphi,\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{3}}=\psi$ and the following estimate holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{4}}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{4}}^{2}+\left\|\left.u_{y_{0}}\right|_{\Gamma_{4}}\right\|_{0, \Gamma_{4}}^{2} \leq C\left(\left\|\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{2}}^{2}+\left\|\left.u\right|_{\Gamma_{3}}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{3}}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Let $\Delta_{1, T^{\prime}}$ be the domain bounded by $\Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}$ and $\Gamma_{4, T^{\prime}}$, where $\Gamma_{4, T^{\prime}}$ is the plane $y_{0}=T^{\prime}, \frac{T_{1}+T_{2}}{2} \leq T^{\prime} \leq T_{2}$. Denote by $(u, v)_{\Delta_{1, T^{\prime}}}$ the $L_{2}$-inner product in $\Delta_{1, T^{\prime}}$. Integrating by parts the identity

$$
\left(L_{1} u, u_{x_{0}}\right)_{\Delta_{1 T^{\prime}}}+\left(u_{x_{0}}, L_{1} u\right)_{\Delta_{1 T^{\prime}}}=0
$$

we get, as in [11]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{T^{\prime}}(u, u)+Q_{T^{\prime}}(u, u)+Q_{T^{\prime}}^{(1)}(u, u)=I_{2}, \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{T^{\prime}}(u, u)$ is the same as in (3.26),

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{T^{\prime}}(u, u)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{2 T^{\prime}}}\left[4\left|u_{s}\right|^{2}-\sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1} g_{0}^{j k}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime} u\right) \overline{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{k}}-i A_{k}^{\prime} u\right)}\right. \\
& -2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(g_{0}^{0 j}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial s}+i A_{-}^{\prime} u\right) \overline{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime} u\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+g_{0}^{0 j}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime} u\right) \overline{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial s}+i A_{-}^{\prime} u\right)}\right)+V_{1}|u|^{2}\right] d y^{\prime} d s \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}
$$

(cf. (3.22) in [11]),

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{T^{\prime}}^{(1)}(u, u) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Gamma_{3 T^{\prime}}}\left(\left|u_{\tau}+i A_{-}^{\prime} u\right|^{2}-\sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1} g_{0}^{j k}\left(u_{y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime} u\right) \overline{\left(u_{y_{k}}-i A_{k}^{\prime} u\right)}+V_{1}|u|^{2}\right) d y^{\prime} d \tau \tag{3.38}
\end{align*}
$$

$\left|I_{2}\right| \leq C \int_{\Delta_{1 T^{\prime}}} \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{j}}\right|^{2} d y_{0} d y^{\prime} d y_{n}$.

Here $\Gamma_{2 T^{\prime}}, \Gamma_{3 T^{\prime}}$ are parts of $\Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}$ for $\frac{T_{1}+T_{2}}{2} \leq T^{\prime}$. When $T_{2}-T_{1}$ is small, $Q_{T^{\prime}}(u, u)$ is positive definite (cf. [11, 3.23]). Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}\|u\|_{1, \Gamma_{2 T^{\prime}}}^{2} \leq Q_{T^{\prime}}(u, u) \leq C_{2}\|u\|_{1, \Gamma_{2 T^{\prime}}}^{2} . \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}^{\prime}\|u\|_{1, \Gamma_{3 T^{\prime}}}^{2} \leq Q_{T^{\prime}}^{(1)}(u, u) \leq C_{2}^{\prime}\|u\|_{1, \Gamma_{3 T^{\prime}}}^{2} . \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Having (3.31), (3.39), (3.40), (3.41) we can complete the proof of Lemma 3.5 exactly as the proof of Lemma 3.1 in [10].

Combining Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5 we can prove the following lemma:
Lemma 3.6 The map $f \rightarrow u^{f}$ is a bounded operator from $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{j} \times\left[s_{0}, T_{2}\right]\right)$ to $H_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{j s_{0}}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{f}\right\|_{1, Y_{j s_{0}}} \leq C[f]_{1} . \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof It follows from Lemma 3.4 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{f}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{4}}^{2}+\left\|u_{y_{0}}^{f}\right\|_{0, \Gamma_{4}}^{2} \leq C[f]_{1}^{2} . \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (3.34) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{f}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{2}}^{2} \leq C\left(\left\|u^{f}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{4}}+\left\|u_{y_{0}}^{f}\right\|_{0, \Gamma_{4}}^{2}\right) . \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.43) and (3.44) and taking into account that supp $\left.u^{f}\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=Y_{j s_{0}}$, we get (3.42).

## 4 The main formula

Let $L_{1}^{(i)}, i=1,2$, be two operators of the form (2.27) such that the corresponding DN operators $\Lambda_{1}^{(1)}$ and $\Lambda_{1}^{(2)}$ are equal on $U_{0} \cap\left\{y_{n}=0\right\}$. We choose $\Gamma_{1}^{(1)}=\Gamma_{1}^{(2)}=\Gamma_{1}$ in a neighborhood of $x^{(0)}$ in $U_{0} \cap\left\{y_{n}=0\right\}$. Let $\Gamma_{j}^{(i)}, j=2,3, i=1$, 2, be defined as before (see Fig. 3) for $i=1,2$, respectively.

Lemma 4.1 We have $\Gamma_{j}^{(1)}=\Gamma_{j}^{(2)}, j=2,3$ (cf. [8]).
Proof Let $\Delta_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}$ be the intersection of the domain of influence $D_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}, i=1,2$, with the plane $y_{n}=0$. Note that $\Delta_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}$ is the intersection of $y_{n}=0$ with the closure of the union $\bigcup \operatorname{supp} u_{i}^{f}$ where the union is taken over all $f \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right), L_{1}^{(i)} u_{i}^{f}=0$.

Let $\tilde{\Delta}_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}$ be the closure of the union $\bigcup \operatorname{supp} \Lambda_{1}^{(i)} f$, where the union is taken also over all $f \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right)$. We shall show that $\tilde{\Delta}_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}=\Delta_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}$.

If $x^{(0)} \notin \Delta_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}$ then $u_{i}^{f}=0, \forall f$, in some neighborhood of $x^{(0)}$ in $U_{0}$. Then $\Lambda_{1}^{(i)} f=$ 0 in a neighborhood of $x^{(0)}, \forall f$. Thus $x^{(0)} \notin \tilde{\Delta}_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}$, i.e. $\tilde{\Delta}_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)} \subset \Delta_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}$. Let now $x_{0}^{\prime} \notin \tilde{\Delta}_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}$. Then $\Lambda_{1}^{(i)} f=0$ in a neighborhood of $x_{0}^{\prime}$ for any $f \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right)$ and also $f=0$ in a neighborhood of $x_{0}^{\prime}$. Then by the uniqueness of the Cauchy problem (see $[25,29]$ ) we have that all $u^{f}=0$ in a neighborhood of $x_{0}^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Therefore $x_{0}^{\prime} \notin \Delta_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}$. Thus $\Delta_{2 T_{1}}^{(1)}=\tilde{\Delta}_{2 T_{1}}^{(1)}$. Since $\Lambda_{1}^{(1)}=\Lambda_{1}^{(2)}$, we have $\tilde{\Delta}_{2 T_{1}}^{(1)}=\tilde{\Delta}_{2 T_{1}}^{(2)}$. Therefore $\Delta_{2 T_{1}}^{(1)}=\Delta_{2 T_{1}}^{(2)}$, i.e. $\Gamma_{2}^{(1)}=\Gamma_{2}^{(2)}$. Analogously one shows that $\Gamma_{3}^{(1)}=\Gamma_{3}^{(2)}$.

Since $\Gamma_{j}^{(1)}=\Gamma_{j}^{(2)}$ we shall write $\Gamma_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq 3$, instead of $\Gamma_{j}^{(i)}$. It follows from (3.7) that (3.9) is determined by the boundary data. Integrating by part we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Y_{3 T_{1}}}\left(u_{s}^{f} \overline{v^{g}}-u^{f} \overline{v_{s}^{g}}\right) d s d y^{\prime} \\
& \quad=2 \int_{Y_{3 T_{1}}} u_{s}^{f} \overline{v^{g}} d s d y^{\prime}-\int_{\partial Y_{3 T_{1}} \cap\left\{y_{n}=0\right\}} u^{f}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}, 0, y^{\prime}\right) \overline{v^{g}}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}, 0, y^{\prime}\right) d y^{\prime} . \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $u^{f}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}, 0, y^{\prime}\right)=f\left(T_{2}, y^{\prime}\right), v^{g}\left(T_{2}-T_{1}, 0, y^{\prime}\right)=g\left(T_{2}, y^{\prime}\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{s}^{f}, v^{g}\right)=\int_{Y_{3 T_{1}}} u_{s}^{f} \overline{v^{g}} d s d y^{\prime} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also determined by the boundary data.
Lemma 4.2 Let $f \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right)$. For any $s_{0} \in\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$ there exists $u_{0} \in$ $H_{0}^{1}\left(R_{2 s_{0}}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{s}^{f}, v^{\prime}\right)=\left(u_{0 s}, v^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $v^{\prime} \in H_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{3 s_{0}}\right)$. Note that $R_{2 s_{0}}=\left\{\tau=0, s_{0}-T_{1} \leq s \leq T_{2}-T_{1}, y^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{2}\right\}$ (cf. Fig. 7).

Proof Note that $Y_{1 T_{1}} \cap\left\{s_{0}-T_{1} \leq s \leq T_{2}-T_{1}\right\} \subset R_{2 s_{0}}$. Let $w_{1}$ be such that $w_{1 s}=0$ in $R_{2 s_{0}}, w_{1}=u^{f}$ when $s=s_{0}-T_{1}, y^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{2}$. Then $u_{0}=u^{f}-w_{1}$ for $s \geq s_{0}-T_{1}, u_{0}=0$ for $s \leq s_{0}-T_{1}$, belongs to $H_{0}^{1}\left(R_{2 s_{0}}\right)$ and solves (4.3).

If $v^{\prime}=v^{g^{\prime}}$ where $g^{\prime} \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{2} \times\left[s_{0}, T_{2}\right]\right)$ then $\left(u_{0}, v^{g^{\prime}}\right)=\left(u^{f}, v^{g^{\prime}}\right)$ is determined by the DN operator. Let $g \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right)$. We shall show that still $\left(u_{0}, v^{g}\right)$ is determined by the DN operator. The following theorem holds.

Fig. $7 R_{j+1, s_{0}}$ is the rectangle
$\left\{s_{0}-T_{1} \leq s \leq T_{2}-T_{1}, \tau=\right.$ $\left.0, y^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{j+1}\right\}, Y_{j T_{1}} \cap\left\{s_{0}-T_{1} \leq\right.$ $\left.s \leq T_{2}-T_{1}\right\} \subset R_{j+1, s_{0}}$


Theorem 4.3 Let $L_{1}^{(i)}, i=1,2$, be two operators of the form (2.27). Let $f$ be in $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{1} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right)$ and let $u_{0}^{(i)}$ be the same as in (4.3) for $i=1,2$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(u_{0 s}^{(1)}, v_{1}^{g}\right)\right|_{Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(1)}}=\left.\left(u_{0 s}^{(2)}, v_{2}^{g}\right)\right|_{Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $g \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{2} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right)$.
Here $u_{i}^{f}, v_{i}^{g}$ are the same as in (3.1), (3.2) for $i=1,2$, respectively. Operators $L^{(i)}$ and, consequently, $L_{1}^{(i)}$ are formally self-adjoint, $\left(u_{0}^{(i)}, v_{i}^{g}\right)_{Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(i)}}$ is the $L_{2}$-inner product over $Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(i)}, i=1,2$.
To prove Theorem 4.3 we will need the Density Lemma 3.1 and the following lemma that uses the BLR condition:

Lemma 4.4 Let $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(2)}$ be two operators in $D \cap\left[t_{0}, T_{2}\right]$ having the same $D N$ operator on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{0}, T_{2}\right]$. Suppose $L^{(1)}$ satisfies the BLR condition on $\left[t_{0}, T_{2}\right]$.

Let $L_{1}^{(i)}, u_{i}^{f}, X_{2 s_{0}}$ be the same as in (3.1), $i=1,2, f \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{2 s_{0}}\right)$, where $\Gamma_{2 s_{0}}=$ $\Gamma_{2} \times\left[s_{0}, T_{2}\right]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{2}^{f}\right\|_{1, Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)}} \leq C_{2}\left\|u_{1}^{f}\right\|_{1, Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(1)}} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 4.4 (cf. Lemma 2.3 in [10]): Suppose that BLR condition (see [1]) is satisfied for $L^{(1)}$ on $\left[t_{0}, T_{t_{0}}\right]$ and $t_{0}<T_{1}, T_{2} \geq T_{t_{0}}$. The BLR condition implies that the map $f \rightarrow\left(\left.u_{1}^{f}(x)\right|_{D_{T_{2}}},\left.\frac{\partial u_{1}^{f}(x)}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{D_{T_{2}}}\right)$ of $H_{+}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{0}, T_{2}\right)\right)$ to $H^{1}\left(D_{T_{2}}\right) \times L_{2}\left(D_{T_{2}}\right)$ is onto, where $D_{T_{2}}=D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left\{x_{0}=T_{2}\right\}$. It follows from [15] (cf. also Lemma 3.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{1}^{f}(x)\right\|_{1, D_{T_{2}}}^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial u_{1}^{f}}{\partial x_{0}}\right\|_{0, D_{T_{2}}} \leq C_{0}[f]_{1, \Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{0}, T_{2}\right)} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the closed graph theorem we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{\mathcal{F}}\left[f^{\prime}\right]_{1, \Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{0}, T_{2}\right]} \leq C_{1}\left(\left\|u_{1}^{f}\right\|_{1, D_{T_{2}}}^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial u_{1}^{f}}{\partial x_{0}}\right\|_{0, D_{T_{2}}}^{2}\right), \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F} \subset H_{+}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{0}, T_{2}\right)\right)$ is the set of $f^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{1}^{f^{\prime}}(x)\right|_{D_{T_{2}}}=\left.u_{1}^{f}(x)\right|_{D_{T_{2}}},\left.\quad \frac{\partial u_{1}^{f^{\prime}}(x)}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{D_{T_{2}}}=\left.\frac{\partial u_{1}^{f}(x)}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{D_{T_{2}}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (4.7) that there exists $f_{0} \in \mathcal{F}, f_{0}=0$ for $x_{0}<t_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[f_{0}\right]_{1, \Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{0}, T_{2}\right]} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{1}^{f}\right\|_{1, D_{T_{2}}}^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial u_{1}^{f}}{\partial x_{0}}\right\|_{0, D_{T_{2}}}^{2}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{1}^{f_{0}}(x)\right|_{D_{T_{2}}}=\left.u_{1}^{f}(x)\right|_{D_{T_{2}}},\left.\quad \frac{\partial u_{1}^{f_{0}}(x)}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{D_{T_{2}}}=\left.\frac{\partial u_{1}^{f}(x)}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{D_{T_{2}}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Lambda^{(i)}$ be the DN operator corresponding to $L^{(i)}, i=1,2$, and let $u_{i 0}^{f_{0}}$ be the solution of $L^{(i)} u_{i 0}^{f_{0}}=0$ in $D \times\left[t_{0}, T_{2}\right), u_{i 0}^{f_{0}}=f_{0}$ on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{0}, T\right], i=1,2$. Let $L_{1}^{(i)} u_{i}^{f_{0}}=0$ be the solutions in a neighborhood $U_{0}$ obtained from $L^{(i)} u_{i 0}^{f_{0}}=0$ as in Sect. 2 (cf. (2.38)) for $i=1,2$.

Consider the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(L_{1}^{(i)} u_{i}^{f_{0}}, v_{i}^{g}\right)\right|_{X_{j s_{0}}^{(i)}}-\left.\left(u_{i}^{f_{0}}, L_{1}^{(i)} v_{i}^{g}\right)\right|_{X_{j s_{0}}^{(i)}}=0 \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v^{g}$ is the same as in (3.2). Since supp $v^{g} \subset D\left(\Gamma_{j s_{0}}\right)$, where $D\left(\Gamma_{j s_{0}}\right)$ is the domain of influence of $\Gamma_{j s_{0}}$ for $y_{0} \leq T_{2}$, we have that $v_{i}^{g}=0$ on $Z_{j s_{0}}$. Therefore integrating by parts in (4.11) we get as in (3.7):

$$
\left.\left(u_{1 s}^{f_{0}}, v_{1}^{g}\right)\right|_{Y_{j s_{0}}^{(1)}}-\left.\left(u_{1}^{f_{0}}, v_{1 s}^{g}\right)\right|_{Y_{j s_{0}}^{(1)}}=-\left.\left(\Lambda_{1}^{(1)} f_{0}, g\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{j s_{0}}}+\left.\left(f_{0}, \Lambda_{1}^{(1)} g\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{j s_{0}}} .
$$

Analogously, we have for $L^{(2)} u_{2}^{f_{0}}=0, L^{(2)} v_{2}^{g}=0$ :

$$
\left.\left(u_{2 s}^{f_{0}}, v_{2}^{g}\right)\right|_{Y_{j s_{0}}^{(2)}}-\left.\left(u_{2}^{f_{0}}, v_{2 s}^{g}\right)\right|_{Y_{j s_{0}}^{(2)}}=-\left.\left(\Lambda_{1}^{(2)} f_{0}, g\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{j s_{0}}}+\left.\left(f_{0}, \Lambda_{1}^{(2)} g\right)\right|_{\Gamma_{j s_{0}}} .
$$

We have that $\Lambda^{(1)} f_{0}=\Lambda^{(2)} f_{0}$ on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{0}, T_{2}\right]$. Therefore (2.38) implies that $\Lambda_{1}^{(1)} f_{0}=$ $\Lambda_{1}^{(2)} f_{0}$ in $\Gamma_{j s_{0}}$. Also $\Lambda_{1}^{(1)} g=\Lambda_{1}^{(2)} g$ in $\Gamma_{j s_{0}}$. Integrating by parts we get

$$
-\left(u_{i}^{f_{0}}, v_{i s}^{g}\right)=\left(u_{i s}^{f_{0}}, v_{i}^{g}\right)-\int_{R^{n-1}}\left(\left.u_{i}^{f_{0}} \overline{v_{i}^{g}}\right|_{s=T_{2}-T_{1}}-\left.u_{i}^{f_{0}} v_{i}^{g}\right|_{s=0}\right) d y^{\prime}
$$

Note that $\left.v_{i}^{g}\right|_{s=0}=0$ and $\left.u_{i}^{f_{0}} \overline{v_{1}^{g}}\right|_{s=T_{2}-T_{1}}=f_{0}\left(T_{2}, y^{\prime}\right) \overline{g\left(T_{2}, y^{\prime}\right)}$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{1 s}^{f_{0}}, v_{1}^{g}\right)=\left(u_{2 s}^{f_{0}}, v_{2}^{g}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $g \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3 s_{0}}\right)$.
Let $\Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}, \Gamma_{4}$ be the same as in Lemma 3.5. It was proven there that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{1}^{f}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{4}}^{2}+\left\|u_{1 y_{0}}^{f}\right\|_{0, \Gamma_{4}}^{2} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{1}^{f}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{2}}^{2}+\left\|u_{1}^{f}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{3}}^{2}\right),  \tag{4.13}\\
& \left\|u_{1}^{f}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{2}}^{2}+\left\|u_{1}^{f}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{3}}^{2} \leq C\left(\left\|u_{1}^{f}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{4}}^{2}+\left\|u_{1, y_{0}}^{f}\right\|_{0, \Gamma_{4}}^{2}\right) . \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from $\left.u_{1}^{f}\right|_{\Gamma_{4}}=\left.u_{1}^{f_{0}}\right|_{\Gamma_{4}},\left.u_{1 y_{0}}^{f}\right|_{\Gamma_{4}}=\left.u_{1 y_{0}}^{f_{0}}\right|_{\Gamma_{4}}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.u_{1}^{f}\right|_{\Gamma_{2}}=\left.u_{1}^{f_{0}}\right|_{\Gamma_{2}} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the domain of dependence argument. Comparing (4.12) with $\left(u_{1 s}^{f}, v_{1}^{g}\right)=\left(u_{2 s}^{f}, v_{2}^{g}\right)$ and taking into account (4.15) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(u_{2 s}^{f_{0}}, v_{2}^{g}\right)\right|_{Y_{s_{0}}^{(2)}}=\left.\left(u_{2 s}^{f}, v_{2}^{g}\right)\right|_{Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)}}, \quad \forall g \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3} \times\left(s_{0}, T_{2}\right)\right) . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma $3.1\left\{v_{2}^{g}\right\}$ are dense in $H_{0}^{1}\left(R_{3 s_{0}}^{(2)}\right)$. Since $Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)} \subset R_{3 s_{0}}^{(2)}$ we get that $\left\{v_{2}^{g}\right\}$ are dense in $H_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)}\right)$ and therefore $u_{2 s}^{f_{0}}=u_{2 s}^{f}$ in $Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)}$. Since $\left.u_{2}^{f}\right|_{s=T_{2}-T_{1}}=f\left(T_{2}, y^{\prime}\right)=$ $u_{1}^{f}\left(T_{2}, y^{\prime}, 0\right),\left.u_{2}^{f_{0}}\right|_{s=T_{2}-T_{1}}=f_{0}\left(T_{2}, y^{\prime}\right)=u_{1}^{f_{0}}\left(T_{2}, y^{\prime}, 0\right)$ and since $u_{1}^{f_{0}}\left(T_{2}, y^{\prime}, 0\right)=$ $u_{1}^{f}\left(T_{2}, y^{\prime}, 0\right)$ we get that $\left.u_{2}^{f}\right|_{s=T_{2}-T_{1}}=\left.u_{2}^{f_{0}}\right|_{s=T_{2}-T_{1}}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2}^{f_{0}}=u_{2}^{f} \quad \text { on } \quad Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)} . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (4.13) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{1}^{f}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{4}}^{2}+\left\|u_{1 y_{0}}^{f}\right\|_{0, \Gamma_{4}}^{2} \leq C\left\|u_{1}^{f}\right\|_{1, \Gamma_{2}}^{2}, \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

since that $u_{1}^{f}=0$ on $\Gamma_{3}$ by the domain of dependence argument.
Since $Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)}$ belongs to the domain of dependence of $D_{T_{2}}$ we get, similarly to (4.14), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{2}^{f_{0}}\right\|_{1, Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)}}^{2} \leq C_{1}\left(\left\|u_{2}^{f_{0}}\right\|_{1, D_{T_{2}}^{(2)}}^{2}+\left\|u_{2 y_{0}}^{f_{0}}\right\|_{0, D_{T_{2}}^{(2)}}^{2}\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{T_{2}}^{(2)}=D^{(2)} \cap\left\{y_{0}=T_{2}\right\}$.
We also have (cf. Lemma 3.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{2}^{f_{0}}\right\|_{1, D_{T_{2}}^{(2)}}^{2}+\left\|\frac{\partial u_{2}^{f_{0}}}{\partial x_{0}}\right\|_{0, D_{T_{2}}^{(2)}}^{2} \leq C\left[f_{0}\right]_{1, \Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{0}, T_{2}\right]}^{2} . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.18), (4.9) with (4.19), (4.20) and taking into account (4.17), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{2}^{f}\right\|_{1, Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)}} \leq C\left\|u_{1}^{f}\right\|_{1, Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(1)}} . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we shall prove Theorem 4.3.
Proof of Theorem 4.3 Since $u_{0}^{(1)} \in H_{0}^{1}\left(R_{2 s_{0}}^{(1)}\right)$ we get, using the Density Lemma 3.1, that there exists $u_{1}^{f_{n}}, f_{n} \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{2} \times\left[s_{0}, T_{2}\right]\right)$ such that $\left\|u_{0}^{(1)}-u_{1}^{f_{n}}\right\|_{1, Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(1)}} \rightarrow 0$.

By Lemma $4.4\left\{u_{2}^{f_{n}}\right\}$ also converges in $H_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)}\right)$ to some function $w \in H_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)}\right)$. Passing to the limit in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{1 s}^{f_{n}}, v_{1}^{g}\right)=\left(u_{2 s}^{f_{n}}, v_{2}^{g}\right), \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{0 s}^{(1)}, v_{1}^{g}\right)=\left(w_{s}, v_{2}^{g}\right) \quad \text { for any } g \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3 T_{1}}\right), \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{3 T_{1}}=\Gamma_{3} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$ Note that (4.22) and therefore (4.23) hold also for any $g^{\prime} \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3 s_{0}}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{0 s}^{(1)}, v_{1}^{g^{\prime}}\right)=\left(w_{s}, v_{2}^{g^{\prime}}\right) \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

For such $g^{\prime}$ the equality (4.3) holds, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{0 s}^{(1)}, v_{1}^{g^{\prime}}\right)=\left(u_{0 s}^{(2)}, v_{2}^{g^{\prime}}\right) . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (4.24) and (4.25) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{0 s}^{(2)}, v_{2}^{g^{\prime}}\right)=\left(w_{s}, v_{2}^{g^{\prime}}\right) \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v_{2}^{g^{\prime}} \in H_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{3 s_{0}}^{(2)}\right)$ are dense in $H_{0}^{1}\left(R_{3 s_{0}}^{(2)}\right)$ and $w \in H_{0}^{1}\left(Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)}\right) \subset H_{0}^{1}\left(R_{3 s_{0}}^{(2)}\right)$, we have that $u_{0 s}^{(2)}=w_{s}$. Since $u_{0}^{(2)}$ and $w$ are zero on $\partial Y_{3 s_{0}}^{(2)} \backslash\left\{y_{n}=0\right\}$ we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}^{(2)}=w \text { in } Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)} . \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore (4.23) and (4.27) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{0 s}^{(1)}, v_{1}^{g}\right)=\left(u_{0 s}^{(2)}, v_{2}^{g}\right) \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $g \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{3 T_{1}}\right)$, i.e. (4.4) holds.
The following formula will be the main tool in solving the inverse problem.
Theorem 4.5 For any $T_{1} \leq s_{0} \leq T_{2}$ the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Y_{j T_{1}} \cap\left\{0 \leq s \leq s_{0}-T_{1}\right\}} \frac{\partial u^{f}}{\partial s} \overline{v^{g}} d s d y^{\prime}, \quad \forall f \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{j T_{1}}\right), \quad \forall g \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{j T_{1}}\right), \quad j=1,2, \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

is determined by the DN operator on $\Gamma_{j T_{1}}=\Gamma_{j} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$.

Proof Since $u_{0}^{(i)}=\frac{\partial u^{f}}{\partial s}$ for $s \geq s_{0}-T_{1}, u_{0 s}=0$ for $s \leq s_{0}-T_{1}$, formula (4.28) gives that $\int_{Y_{j T_{1}} \cap\left\{s>s_{0}-T_{1}\right\}} \frac{\partial u^{f}}{\partial s} \overline{v^{g}} d s d y^{\prime}$ is determined by the DN operator on $\Gamma_{j T_{1}}$. The integral (4.29) is the difference $\left(u_{s}^{f}, v^{g}\right)-\left(u_{0 s}, v^{g}\right)$ thus (4.29) is determined by DN operator.

Remark 4.1 When the coefficients of $L_{1}^{(i)}, i=1,2$, do not depend on $y_{0}$, we can obtain the estimate (4.5) without assuming the BLR condition. In this case we can derive, in addition to (3.3), another Green's formula (cf. (3.18) in [10]):

Consider the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(L_{1} u, v_{y_{0}}\right)+\left(u_{y_{0}}, L_{1} v\right) . \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating by parts as in [10] and using that $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{0}}$ and $L_{1}$ are commute, we get (cf. (3.20) in [10])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Q}(u, v)=-\tilde{\Lambda}_{0}(f, g), \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{Q}(u, v)= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{Y_{20}}\left[2\left(u_{s}+i A_{-}^{\prime} u\right) \overline{v_{s}}+2 u_{s} \overline{\left(v_{s}+i A_{-}^{\prime} v\right)}\right. \\
& -2 \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(g_{0}^{0 j}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial s}+i A_{-}^{\prime} u\right) \overline{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime} v\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+g_{0}^{0 j}\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime} u\right) \overline{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial s}+i A_{-}^{\prime} v\right)}\right) \\
& \left.-\sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1} g_{0}^{j k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{\prime}\right) u \overline{\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}-i A_{k}^{\prime}\right) v}+V_{1} u \bar{v}\right] d s d y^{\prime} \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{0}(f, g)=\int_{\Gamma^{(2)} \times[0, T]}\left(\Lambda_{1} f \overline{g_{y_{0}}}+f_{y_{0}} \overline{\Lambda_{1} g}\right) d y^{\prime} d y_{0} . \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in [10] (cf. (3.23) in [10]) we have that $\tilde{Q}(u, u)$ is a positive definite form when $T_{2}-T_{1}$ is small and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}\|u\|_{1, Y_{2 s_{0}}}^{2} \leq \tilde{Q}(u, u) \leq C_{1}\|u\|_{1, Y_{2 s_{0}}}^{2} . \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u_{i}^{f}, i=1,2$, be such that $L_{1}^{(i)} u_{i}^{f}=0$ in $X_{2 s_{0}}^{(i)},\left.u_{i}^{f}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=f, u_{i}^{f}=0$ for $y_{0}<T_{1}, \operatorname{supp} f$ is contained in $\Gamma_{2} \times\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$. We assume that $\Lambda_{1}^{(1)}=\Lambda_{1}^{(2)}$ on $\Gamma_{2 T_{1}}=\Gamma_{2} \times\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)$. It follows from (4.13), (4.31), (4.33) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Q}_{1}\left(u_{1}^{f}, u_{1}^{f}\right)=\tilde{Q}_{2}\left(u_{2}^{f}, u_{2}^{f}\right), \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{Q}_{i}$ corresponds to $L_{1}^{(i)}, i=1,2$. Thus, (4.34) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1}\left\|u_{1}^{f}\right\|_{1, Y_{2 s 0}^{(1)}} \leq\left\|u_{2}^{f}\right\|_{1, Y_{2 s 0}^{(2)}} \leq C_{2}\left\|u_{1}^{f}\right\|_{1, Y_{250}^{(1)}} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the estimate (4.5) is proven.

## 5 The geometric optics construction

It follows from Theorem 4.5 that the DN operator allows to determine $\int_{Y_{2 s_{0}} \cap\left\{s \leq s_{0}-T_{1}\right\}}$ $u_{s}^{f} \overline{v^{g}} d s d y^{\prime}$ for all $f \in H_{o}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{j T_{1}}\right), g \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{j T_{1}}\right), j=1,2$, i.e. if $u_{i}^{f}, v_{i}^{g}$ satisfy (3.1), (3.2), $i=1,2$, then

$$
\int_{Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(1)} \cap\left\{s \leq s_{0}-T_{1}\right\}} u_{1 s}^{f} \overline{v_{1}^{g}} d s d y^{\prime}=\int_{Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)} \cap\left\{s \leq s_{0}-T_{1}\right\}} u_{2 s}^{f} \overline{v_{2}^{g}} d s d y^{\prime}
$$

Let $u_{i}$ be the solution of $L^{(i)} u_{i}=0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}=u_{N}^{(i)}+u_{i}^{(N+1)}, \quad u_{N}^{(i)}=\sum_{p=0}^{N} \frac{a_{p}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)}{(i k)^{p}} e^{i k\left(s-s_{0}^{\prime}\right)}, \quad s_{0}^{\prime}=s_{0}-T_{1} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$u_{i}^{(N+1)}$ will be chosen below, $k$ is a large parameter. We have the following equations for $a_{p}^{(i)}, 0 \leq p \leq N$ (see [11] and [12], §64, for more details on the construction of geometric optics type solutions):

$$
\begin{align*}
& -4 i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i A_{-}^{(i)}\right) a_{0}^{(i)}+2 i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_{i 0}^{o j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{(i)}\right) a_{0}^{(i)} \\
& \quad+2 i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{(i)}\right)\left(g_{i 0}^{0 j} a_{0}^{(i)}\right)=0  \tag{5.2}\\
& \quad-4 i\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i A_{-}^{(i)}\right) a_{p}^{(i)}+2 i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_{i 0}^{o j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{(i)}\right) a_{p}^{(i)} \\
& \quad+2 i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{(i)}\right)\left(g_{i 0}^{0 j} a_{0}^{(i)}\right)=-L_{1}^{(i)} a_{p-1}^{(i)}, \quad p \geq 1, \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.a_{0}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\tau=\tau_{0}}=\chi_{1}(s) \chi_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right), \quad \tau_{0}=T_{2}-T_{1}-s, \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.a_{p}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\tau=\tau_{0}}=0, \quad p \geq 1, \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi_{1}(s)=0$ for $\left|s-s_{0}^{\prime}\right|>2 \delta, \chi_{1}(s)=1$ for $\left|s-s_{0}^{\prime}\right| \leq \delta, \chi_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \in$ $C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right), \chi_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ when $\left|y^{\prime}-y_{0}^{\prime}\right|<\delta, y_{0}^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{2}$ is arbitrary, $g_{i 0}^{j 0}$ corresponds to $L_{1}^{(i)}, i=1,2$. Note that $y_{n}=\frac{T_{2}-T_{1}-s-\tau}{2}=0$ when $\tau=\tau_{0}$.

Let $u_{i}^{(N+1)}$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}^{(i)} u_{i}^{(N+1)}=-\frac{1}{(i k)^{N}}\left(L_{1}^{(i)} a_{N}^{(i)}\right) e^{i k\left(s-s_{0}^{\prime}\right)}, \quad y_{n}>0, \quad y_{0}<T_{2}, \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$u_{i}^{(N+1)}=u_{i y_{0}}^{(N+1)}=0$ when $y_{0}=T_{1}, y_{n}>0, i=1,2,\left.u_{i}^{(N+1)}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=0, y_{n} \leq T_{2}$. Such $u_{i}^{(N+1)}$ exists (cf. [15]) and $L_{1}^{(i)}\left(u_{N}^{(i)}+u_{i}^{(N+1}\right)=0$.

Since $\operatorname{supp} u_{N}^{(i)}$ is contained in a small neighborhood of the line $\left\{s=s_{0}-T_{1}, y^{\prime}=\right.$ $\left.y_{0}^{\prime}\right\}$, we have that $\operatorname{supp}\left(u_{N}^{(i)}+u_{i}^{N+1}\right) \subset D_{+}\left(\Gamma_{2} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right)$ when $s_{0}-T_{1}>0$. Here, as in Sect. 1, $D_{+}\left(\Gamma_{2} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right)$ is the forward domain of influence of $\left.\Gamma_{2} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right)$.

Let $\beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=\left(\beta_{1}^{(i)}, \beta_{2}^{(i)}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}^{(i)}\right)$ be the solution of the system (cf. [11])

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial \beta_{j}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \tau}=-g_{i 0}^{0 j}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1, y_{n}>0,  \tag{5.7}\\
\left.\beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\tau=\tau_{0}}=\hat{y}_{i}^{\prime}, \quad i=1,2, \quad \tau_{0}=T_{2}-T_{1}-s, \tag{5.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\hat{y}^{\prime}=\left(\hat{y}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{y}_{n-1}\right) \in \Gamma_{2}, s$ is a parameter in (5.7).
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{s}=s, \hat{\tau}=\tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}=\alpha^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right), \quad \alpha^{(i)}=\left(\alpha_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}^{(i)}\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the inverse to the map

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\hat{s}, \quad \tau=\hat{\tau}, \quad y^{\prime}=\beta^{(i)}\left(\hat{s}, \hat{\tau}, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\hat{y}_{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1 . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\alpha_{j}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right), 1 \leq j \leq n-1$, satisfy the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \alpha_{j}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \tau}-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g_{i 0}^{k 0}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right) \frac{\partial \alpha_{j}^{(i)}}{\partial y_{k}}=0,\left.\quad \alpha_{j}^{(i)}\right|_{\tau=\tau_{0}}=y_{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\hat{a}_{0}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=a_{0}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)$, where $y^{\prime}=\beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$. Then using (5.7) and (5.2) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \hat{a}_{0}^{(i)}}{\partial \tau} & =\frac{\partial a_{0}^{(i)}}{\partial \tau}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial a_{0}^{(i)}}{\partial y_{j}} \frac{\partial \beta_{j}^{(i)}}{\partial \tau} \\
& =\frac{\partial a_{0}^{(i)}}{\partial t}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{i 0}^{0 j} \frac{\partial a_{0}^{(i)}}{\partial y_{j}}=\hat{B}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) \hat{a}_{0}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right), \tag{5.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)=-i A_{-}^{\prime}-i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} g_{i 0}^{0 j} A_{j}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial g_{0 i}^{0 j}}{\partial y_{j}}, \quad \hat{B}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=$ $B^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right),\left.\hat{a}_{0}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right|_{\tau=\tau_{0}}=\chi_{1}(s) \chi_{2}\left(\hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$.

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)=\chi_{1}(s) \chi_{2}\left(\alpha^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right) e^{b^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \alpha^{(i)}\right)} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=\int_{\tau_{0}}^{\tau} \hat{B}^{(i)}\left(s, \hat{\tau}, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) d \hat{\tau}$. Substituting $u=u_{N}^{(i)}+u_{i}^{(N+1)}$ into (4.29) instead of $u_{i}^{f}$, integrating by parts in $s$ and taking the limit when $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{b^{(1)}\left(s_{0}^{\prime}, 0, \alpha^{(1)}\right)} \chi_{2}\left(\alpha^{(1)}\left(s_{0}^{\prime}, 0, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \overline{v_{1}^{g}\left(s_{0}^{\prime}, 0, y^{\prime}\right)} d y^{\prime} \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{b^{(2)}\left(s_{0}^{\prime}, 0, \alpha^{(2)}\right)} \chi_{2}\left(\alpha^{(2)}\left(s_{0}^{\prime}, 0, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \overline{v_{2}^{g}\left(s_{0}^{\prime}, 0, y^{\prime}\right)} d y^{\prime} . \tag{5.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $\tau=0$ on $Y_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}, i=1,2$. In (5.15) $s_{0} \in\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$ is arbitrary, $s_{0}^{\prime}=s_{0}-T_{1}$.
Denote by $Y_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$ the intersection of the plane $\tau=\tau^{\prime}$ with $X_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}$. Let $R_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \subset$ $Y_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$ be the rectangle $\left\{\tau=\tau^{\prime}, 0 \leq s \leq T_{2}-T_{1}-\tau^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{2}\right\}$. Note that $Y_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}(0)=Y_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}$ and $R_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}(0)=R_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}$.

Repeating the proof of Theorem 4.5 with $Y_{2 T_{1}}^{(2)}, R_{2 T_{1}}^{(2)}$ replaced by $Y_{2 T_{1}}^{(2)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right), R_{2 T_{1}}^{(2)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$, $0 \leq \tau^{\prime} \leq T_{2}-T_{1}$, we get again, using the geometric optics construction (5.1), that (5.15) holds for any $(s, \tau) \in \Sigma$, where $\Sigma=\left\{(s, \tau), s \geq 0, \tau \geq 0, s+\tau \leq T_{2}-T_{1}\right\}$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{b^{(1)}} \chi_{2}\left(\alpha^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \overline{v_{1}^{g}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)} d y^{\prime} \\
& \quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{b^{(2)}} \chi_{2}\left(\alpha^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \overline{v_{2}^{g}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)} d y^{\prime} \tag{5.16}
\end{align*}
$$

for $\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right) \in X_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}$.

Let $\beta^{(i)}\left(\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{2}\right)$ be the image of $\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{2}$ under the map (5.10). Note that the support of geometric optics solution $u_{N}^{(i)}+u_{i}^{(N+1)}$ is contained in $D\left(\Gamma_{2} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]\right)$. Also we have that the curve $y^{\prime}=\beta^{(i)}\left(s, \hat{\tau}, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$ for $\tau_{0} \leq \tau \hat{\leq} \tau$, is contained in $X_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}$. Therefore $\beta^{(i)}\left(\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{2}\right) \subset X_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}$. Denote by $X_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(i)}$ the intersection of $\beta^{(i)}\left(\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{2}\right)$ with $\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{2}$. Note that $\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{2}=\bigcup_{0 \leq \tau^{\prime} \leq T_{2}-T_{1}} R_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$.

Finally, denote by $\tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(i)}$ the image of $X_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(i)}$ under the inverse map (5.9). Note that $\tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(i)} \subset \Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{2}$.

Making the change of variables (5.10) in (5.16) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Gamma_{2}} e^{b^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)} \chi_{1}\left(\hat{y}^{\prime}\right) \overline{v_{1}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)} J_{1}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) d \hat{y}^{\prime} \\
& \quad=\int_{\Gamma_{2}} e^{b^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)} \chi_{2}\left(\hat{y}^{\prime}\right) \overline{v_{2}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)} J_{2}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) d \hat{y}^{\prime}, \tag{5.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $J_{i}$ is the Jacobian of the map (5.10), $\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) \in \Sigma \times \Gamma_{2}$.
Let $b^{(i)}=b_{1}^{(i)}+i b_{2}^{(i)}$, where $b_{1}^{(i)}, b_{2}^{(i)}$ are real.
Since $\chi_{2}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$ is arbitrary, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{b_{1}^{(1)}-i b_{2}^{(1)}} v_{1}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(1)}\right) J_{1}=e^{b_{1}^{(2)}-i b_{2}^{(2)}} v_{2}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(2)}\right) J_{2} . \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=v_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right), \quad \hat{y}^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{2} \\
& \tilde{w}_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=w_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) e^{-b^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)} \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Our strategy will be to show that $w_{1}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=w_{2}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$ in $\tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)}$ and then to show that the equations $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)} w_{1}^{g}=0$ and $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)} w_{1}^{g}=0$ have the same coefficients in $\tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)}$. Here $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(i)}$ is obtained from $L_{1}^{(i)}$ by the change of variables (5.10), $i=1,2$.

We shall show first that $e^{2 b_{1}^{(1)}} J_{1}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=e^{2 b_{1}^{(2)}} J_{2}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$. Consider the geometric optics solutions $v_{i, k}^{g}$ of the form (5.1), where $g=\chi_{1}(s) \chi_{3}\left(y^{\prime}\right), \chi_{3}\left(y^{\prime}\right) \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)$ is arbitrary. Substituting $v_{i, k}^{g}$ into (5.16), integrating by parts and passing to the limit when $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{2 b_{1}^{(1)}} \chi_{2}\left(\alpha^{(1)}\left(s_{0}^{\prime}, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \overline{\chi_{3}\left(\alpha^{(1)}\left(s_{0}^{\prime}, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right)} d y^{\prime} \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} e^{2 b_{1}^{(2)}} \chi_{2}\left(\left(\alpha^{(2)}\left(s_{0}^{\prime}, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right) \overline{\chi_{3}\left(\alpha^{(2)}\left(s_{0}^{\prime}, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right)} d y^{\prime},\right. \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s_{0}^{\prime}=s_{0}-T_{1}$.

Note that $e^{b^{(i)}} e^{\overline{b^{(i)}}}=e^{2 b_{1}^{(i)}}$.
Making the change of variables $y^{\prime}=\beta^{(i)}\left(s_{0}, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$ and using that $\chi_{2}$ and $\chi_{3}$ are arbitrary we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{2 b_{1}^{(1)}} J_{1}\left(s_{0}^{\prime}, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=e^{2 b_{1}^{(2)}} J_{2}\left(s_{0}^{\prime}, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (5.18) and (5.21) imply
$e^{-b^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)} v_{1}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)=e^{-b^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)} v_{2}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right) \quad$ in $\Sigma \times \Gamma_{2}$, i.e. $\tilde{w}_{1}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=\tilde{w}_{2}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$.

As in (4.12) the integration by parts gives

$$
\int_{Y_{3 T_{1}}}\left(u_{s}^{f} \overline{v^{g}}-u^{f} \overline{v_{s}^{g}}\right) d s d y^{\prime}=-2 \int_{Y_{3 T_{1}}} u^{f} \overline{v_{s}^{g}} d s d y^{\prime}+\left.\left.\int_{\partial Y_{3 T_{1} \cap\left\{y_{n}=0\right\}}} u^{f}\right|_{y_{n}=0} \overline{v^{g}}\right|_{y_{n}=0} d y^{\prime} .
$$

Therefore $\int_{Y_{3 S}} u^{f} \overline{v_{s}^{g}} d s d y^{\prime}$ is determined by the boundary data since $\left.u^{f}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=$ $f\left(T_{2}, y^{\prime}\right),\left.\bar{v}^{g}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=\bar{g}\left(T_{2}, y^{\prime}\right)$, i.e. the roles of $u^{f}$ and $v^{g}$ are reversed in comparison with (4.12). Therefore we get, as in (4.28),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(1)}\left\{\left\{s \leq s_{0}^{\prime}\right\}\right.} u_{1}^{f} \overline{v_{1 s}^{g}} d s d y^{\prime}=\int_{Y_{2 s_{0}}^{(2)} \cap\left\{s \leq s_{0}^{\prime}\right\}} u_{2}^{f} \overline{v_{2 s}^{g}} d s d y^{\prime} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting in (5.23) the geometric optics solution (5.1), integrating by parts in $s$, multiplying by $i k$ and, finally, taking the limit when $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get (5.16) with $v_{i}^{g}$ replaced by $v_{i s}^{g}$. Note that we assumed that $v_{i}^{g} \in H_{0}^{2}\left(Y_{2 T_{1}}\right)$ when integrating by parts in (5.23). This can be achieved by requiring that $g \in H_{0}^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2 T_{1}}\right)$ and using the regularity results for hyperbolic initial-boundary value problems (cf. [13,15]). Therefore we get (5.18), with $v_{i}^{g}$ replaced by $v_{i s}^{g}$ :

$$
e^{b_{1}^{(1)}-i b_{2}^{(1)}} v_{1 s}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right) J_{1}=e^{b_{1}^{(2)}-i b_{2}^{(2)}} v_{2 s}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right) J_{2}
$$

Using (5.21) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-b^{(1)}} v_{1 s}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(1)}\right)=e^{-b^{(2)}} v_{2 s}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(2)}\right) \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall need the following lemma:

## Lemma 5.1 The equalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{j s}^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\alpha_{j s}^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=b^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold on $\tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)}$.
Proof Making the change of variables $\hat{y}^{\prime}=\alpha^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)$ in (5.19), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-b^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \alpha^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right)} v_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)=\tilde{w}_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \alpha^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right) . \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating in $s$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(-\frac{d}{d s} b^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \alpha^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) e^{-b^{(i)}} v_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)+e^{-b^{(i)}} v_{i s}^{g}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{\partial \tilde{w}_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \alpha^{(i)}\right)}{\partial s}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \tilde{w}_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \alpha^{(i)}\right)}{\partial \hat{y}_{j}} \alpha_{j s}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Returning back in (5.28) to $y^{\prime}=\beta^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$ coordinates we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial \tilde{w}_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)}{\partial s}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial \tilde{w}_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \hat{y}_{j}} \alpha_{j s}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=e^{-b^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)} v_{i s}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)-\left.\frac{d}{d s} b^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \alpha\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|_{y^{\prime}=\beta^{(i)}} \tilde{w}_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) \tag{5.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting (5.29) for $i=1$ from (5.29) for $i=2$ and taking into account (5.24) and (5.22) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\alpha_{j s}^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)-\alpha_{j s}^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \frac{\partial \tilde{w}_{1}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)}{\partial \hat{y}_{i}} \\
& \quad+\left(\frac { d } { d s } b ^ { ( 1 ) } \left(s, \tau,\left.\alpha^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right|_{y^{\prime}=\beta^{(1)}}-\frac{d}{d s} b^{(2)}\left(s, \tau,\left.\alpha^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right|_{y^{\prime}=\beta^{(2)}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\tilde{w}_{1}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)=0 \tag{5.30}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\tilde{w}_{1}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$ where $\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) \in \Sigma \times \Gamma_{2}$.
Fix $\tau=\tau^{\prime}, 0 \leq \tau^{\prime}<T_{0}-T_{1}$. By the Density Lemma $3.1\left\{v_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ are dense in $H_{0}^{1}\left(R_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right)$, where $g \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{2} \times\left\{T_{1} \leq y_{0} \leq T_{2}-\tau^{\prime}\right\}\right)$.

Let $\tilde{R}_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$ be the image of $R_{2 T_{1}}^{(i)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) \cap \beta^{(i)}\left(\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{2}\right)$ under the map (5.9). Since $\tilde{w}_{i}^{g}=e^{-b^{(i)}} v_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ we have that $\tilde{w}_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$ are dense in $H_{0}^{1}\left(\tilde{R}_{2 T}^{(i)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

The following lemma is similar to arguments in [10, pp. 1749-1750].

Lemma 5.2 Since $\left\{w_{1}^{g}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right), g \in H_{0}^{1}\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{2} \times\left\{T_{1} \leq y_{0} \leq T_{2}-\tau^{\prime}\right\}\right)\right\}$ are dense in $\tilde{R}_{2 T_{1}}^{(1)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{j s}^{(1)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \beta^{(1)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\alpha_{j s}^{(2)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \beta^{(2)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right) \text { on } \tilde{R}_{2 T_{1}}^{(1)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)  \tag{5.31}\\
& \left.\frac{d}{d s} b^{(1)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \alpha^{(1)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|_{y^{\prime}=\beta^{(1)}} \\
& \quad=\left.\frac{d}{d s} b^{(2)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \alpha^{(2)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|_{y^{\prime}=\beta^{(2)}} \text { on } \tilde{R}_{2 T_{1}}^{(1)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right) . \tag{5.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof Let $\gamma\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\tilde{R}_{2 T_{1}}^{(1)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right)$. There exists a sequence $\tilde{w}_{1}^{g_{k}}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$ convergent to $\gamma\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$ in $H_{0}^{1}\left(\tilde{R}_{2 T_{1}}^{(1)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right)$. Therefore $\tilde{w}_{1}^{g_{k}}$ converges weakly to $\gamma\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \tilde{y}^{\prime}\right)$. Passing in (5.30) to the limit when $k \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(\alpha_{j s}^{(1)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \beta^{(1)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)-\alpha_{j s}^{(2)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \beta^{(2)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right) \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \hat{y}_{j}} \\
& \quad+\left(\left.\frac{d}{d s} b^{(1)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \alpha^{(1)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|_{y^{\prime}=\beta^{(1)}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\left.\frac{d}{d s} b^{(2)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \alpha^{(2)}\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|_{y^{\prime}=\beta^{(2)}}\right) \gamma\left(s, \tau^{\prime}, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=0 . \tag{5.33}
\end{align*}
$$

For any point $\left(s, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) \in \tilde{R}_{2 T_{1}}^{(1)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$ we can find $n C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\tilde{R}_{2 T_{1}}^{(1)}\right)$ functions $\gamma_{1}\left(s, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right), \ldots$, $\gamma_{n}\left(s, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$ such that the determinant of $n \times n$ matrix

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \hat{y}_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \gamma_{1}}{\partial \hat{y}_{n-1}} & \gamma_{1} \\
\cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\frac{\partial \gamma_{n}}{\partial \hat{y}_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial \gamma_{n}}{\partial \hat{y}_{n-1}} & \gamma_{n}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is not equal to zero at the point $\left(s, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$. Therefore (5.31), (5.32) hold.
Repeating the same arguments for any $0 \leq \tau^{\prime} \leq T_{2}-T_{1}$ we get that (5.31), (5.32) hold for any $\tau^{\prime}$, i.e. it hold on $\tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)}=\bigcup_{0 \leq \tau^{\prime} \leq T_{2}-T_{1}} \tilde{R}_{2 T_{1}}^{(1)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$, since $\bigcup_{0 \leq \tau^{\prime} \leq T_{2}-T_{1}} R_{2 T_{1}}^{(1)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)=\Sigma \times \Gamma_{2}$ and $\tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}$ is the image of $\left(\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{2}\right) \cap \beta^{(1)}\left(\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{2}\right)$ under the map (5.9). This proves (5.25). To prove (5.26) we note that

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d s} b^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \alpha^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)\right)\right|_{y^{\prime}=\beta^{(i)}}=\frac{\partial b^{(i)}}{d s}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial b^{(i)}}{\partial \hat{y}_{j}} \alpha_{j s}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) .\right.
$$

Since (5.31), (5.32) hold, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(b^{(1)}-b^{(2)}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{y}_{j}}\left(b^{(1)}-b^{(2)}\right) \alpha_{j s}^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)=0 . \tag{5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (5.34) is a linear homogeneous equation for $b^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)-b^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$ on $\hat{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)}$. Since $b^{(1)}=b^{(2)}=0$ when $y_{n}=0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=b^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { on } \quad \tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)} . \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (5.35) and (5.22) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=w_{2}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { on } \tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)}, \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{i}^{g}=v_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

## 6 The conclusion of the local step

We shall prove the following theorem.
Theorem 6.1 Let $L_{1}^{(i)} v_{i}^{g}=0, i=1$, 2. Make change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{s}=s, \quad \hat{\tau}=\tau, \quad \hat{y}^{\prime}=\alpha^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right), \quad i=1,2 . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(i)} w^{g}=0$ be the operator $L_{1}^{(i)}$ in the new coordinates. Then the coefficients of $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}$ are equal on $\tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)}$.

Proof Equations $L_{1}^{(i)} v_{i}^{g}=0$ have the following form in $\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)$ coordinates (cf. (2.27)):

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{1}^{(i)} v_{i}^{g}= & -2 \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i A_{-}^{(i)}\right) v_{i}^{g}-2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i A_{-}^{(i)}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} v_{i}^{g} \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 2\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{(i)}\right) g_{i 0}^{+, j} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} v_{i}^{g}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left(g_{i 0}^{+, j}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{(i)}\right)\right) v_{i}^{g} \\
& +\sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i A_{j}^{(i)}\right) g_{i 0}^{j k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}-i A_{k}^{(i)}\right) v_{i}^{g}+V_{1}^{(i)} v_{i}^{g}=0 \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i=1,2, g_{i 0}^{+, j}=g_{i 0}^{0 j}, V_{1}^{(i)}$ is the same as in (2.27).
Making the change of variables (6.1) in (6.2) we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}_{1}^{(i)} w_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)= & -2 J_{i}^{-1}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}+i \tilde{A}_{+}^{(i)}\right) J_{i}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i \tilde{A}_{-}^{(i)}\right) w_{i}^{g} \\
& -2 J_{i}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i \tilde{A}_{-}^{(i)}\right) J_{i}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s}+i \tilde{A}_{+}^{(i)}\right) w_{i}^{g} \\
& -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 2 J_{i}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i \tilde{A}_{-}^{(i)}\right) J_{i} \alpha_{j s}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i \tilde{A}_{j}^{(i)}\right) w_{i}^{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 2 J_{i}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i \tilde{A}_{j}^{(i)}\right) J_{i} \alpha_{j s}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\right)\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}+i \tilde{A}_{-}^{(i)}\right) w_{i}^{g} \\
& +\sum_{j, k=1}^{n-1} J_{i}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{j}}-i \tilde{A}_{j}^{(i)}\right) J_{i} \tilde{g}_{i 0}^{j k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}-i \tilde{A}_{k}^{(i)}\right) w_{i}^{g} \\
& +V_{1}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right) w_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{6.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $w_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=v_{i}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{g}_{i 0}^{j k}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)= & \sum_{p, r=1}^{n-1} g_{i 0}^{p r}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\right) \alpha_{j y_{p}}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\right) \alpha_{k y_{r}}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\right) \\
& -2 \alpha_{j s}^{(i)} \alpha_{k \tau}^{(i)}-2 \alpha_{j \tau}^{(i)} \alpha_{k s}^{(i)}+2 \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} g_{i 0}^{+, p}\left(\alpha_{j s} \alpha_{k y_{p}}+\alpha_{j y_{p}} \alpha_{k s}\right) . \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

We used in (6.3) that (see (5.12))

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{g}_{i 0}^{+, j}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_{i 0}^{+, k}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau^{(i)}, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right) \alpha_{j y_{k}}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\right)-\alpha_{j \tau}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\right) \\
& =0 \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Also we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{g}_{i 0}^{-, j}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_{i 0}^{-, k}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right) \alpha_{j y_{k}}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\right)-\alpha_{j s}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\right) \\
& =-\alpha_{j s}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\right) \tag{6.6}
\end{align*}
$$

since $g_{i 0}^{-, k}=0$ (cf. (6.2)).
Note that $A_{+}^{(i)}, A_{-}^{(i)}, A_{j}^{(i)}$ and $\tilde{A}_{+}^{(i)}, \tilde{A}_{-}^{(i)}, \tilde{A}_{j}^{(i)}$ are related by the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{+}^{(i)} d s+A_{-}^{(i)} d \tau-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} A_{j}^{(i)} d y_{j}=\tilde{A}_{+}^{(i)} d \hat{s}+\tilde{A}_{-}^{(i)} d \hat{\tau}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tilde{A}_{j}^{(i)} d \hat{y}_{j} \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{+}^{(i)}=0, i=1,2, s=\hat{s}, \tau=\hat{\tau}, y_{j}=\beta_{j}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$.
Note that (5.21), (5.26) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{1}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=J_{2}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { in } \quad \tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first order term containing $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$ in (6.3) is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& -2 i \tilde{A}_{+}^{(i)}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right) w_{i}^{g}-2 i J_{i}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right) J_{i} \tilde{A}_{+}^{(i)} w_{i}^{g}+2 i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tilde{A}_{j}^{(i)} \alpha_{j s}^{(i)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} w_{i}^{g} \\
& \quad+i \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 2 J_{i}^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\right) J_{i} \alpha_{j s}^{(i)} \tilde{A}_{j}^{(i)} w_{i}^{g} . \tag{6.9}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (6.7) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{+}^{(i)}=\tilde{A}_{+}^{(i)}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \tilde{A}_{j}^{(i)} \alpha_{j s}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $A_{+}^{(i)}=0$ we have that (6.10) implies that (6.9) is equal to zero.
Taking into account that $\alpha_{j s}^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(1)}\right)=\alpha_{j s}^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(2)}\right), 1 \leq j \leq n-1, J_{1}=J_{2}$ and $w_{1}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=w_{2}^{g}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)$ we get that $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}-\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}$ is a differential operator in $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{n}}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}-\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}\right) w_{1}^{g}=0 \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{w_{1}^{g}, g \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\Gamma^{(1)} \times\left[T_{1}, T_{2}-\tau^{\prime}\right]\right\}\right.$ are dense in $H_{0}^{1}\left(\tilde{R}_{1 T_{1}}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)\right)$ we get as in Lemma 5.2 (cf. [10]) that all coefficients of $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}$ are equal in $\tilde{R}_{1 T_{1}}^{(1)}\left(\tau^{\prime}\right)$. Since $\tau^{\prime} \in\left[0, T_{2}-T_{1}\right]$ is arbitrary, we get that on $\hat{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{g}_{10}^{j k}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=\tilde{g}_{20}^{j k}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right), \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq n-1,  \tag{6.12}\\
& \tilde{A}_{-}^{(1)}=\tilde{A}_{-}^{(2)}, \tilde{A}_{j}^{(1)}=\tilde{A}_{j}^{(2)}(s, \tau, \hat{y}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1,  \tag{6.13}\\
& V_{1}^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)=V_{1}^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right) . \tag{6.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}=\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}$ in $\tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)}$.
This completes the proof of Theorem 6.1. Let $L_{i}^{\prime} v_{i}^{g}=0$ be the equation of the form (2.24). Making the change of variables (5.10) we get the equation $\tilde{L}_{i}^{\prime} w_{i}^{g}=0, i=1,2$, on $\tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)}$.

Note that $w_{1}^{g}=w_{2}^{g}$ on $\tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)}$. We shall prove that $\tilde{L}_{1}^{\prime}=\tilde{L}_{2}^{\prime}$ on $\tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)}$.
Let $\hat{g}_{i}^{+,-}, \hat{g}_{i}^{+, j}, \hat{g}_{j}^{j k}, 1 \leq j \leq n-1,1 \leq k \leq n-1$, be the inverse metric tensor of $L_{i}^{\prime}$. Note that for $L_{1}^{(i)}$ we have (cf. (2.27))

$$
g_{i 0}^{+, j}=\frac{\hat{g}_{i}^{+, j}}{\hat{g}_{i}^{+,-}}, \quad g_{i 0}^{j k}=\frac{\hat{g}_{i}^{j k}}{\hat{g}_{i}^{+,-}}, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

Therefore the equation $\tilde{L}_{i}^{\prime} w_{i}^{g}=0$ has the inverse metric tensor with elements (cf. (6.4), (6.5), (6.6))

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{g}_{i}^{j k}=\hat{g}_{i}^{+,-} g_{i 0}^{j k}, \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq n-1 \\
& \quad \tilde{g}_{i}^{-, k}=-\hat{g}_{i}^{+,-} \alpha_{k s}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\right), \quad \tilde{g}_{i}^{+, k}=0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \quad i=1,2 . \tag{6.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\alpha_{k s}^{(1)}=\alpha_{k s}^{(2)}$ and $\tilde{g}_{10}^{j k}=\tilde{g}_{20}^{j k}$ (see (6.12)), we get that the metric tensors of $\tilde{L}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{L}_{2}^{\prime}$ are equal if we can prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}_{1}^{+,-}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\tilde{g}_{2}^{+,-}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right) . \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall prove first that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(1)}\right)=g_{1}^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(2)}\right) \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{1}^{(i)}=\left|\operatorname{det}\left[\hat{g}_{i}^{j k}\right]_{j, k=1}^{n-1}\right|^{-1}($ see (2.22)).
Note that $V_{1}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, y^{\prime}\right)$ has the form (2.25) for $i=1,2$, where $A^{(i)}=\ln \left(g_{1}^{(i)}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Making the change of variables (6.1) we get (cf. (6.14))

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(1)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)-V_{2}^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(2)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)=0 . \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the metric tensors for $\tilde{L}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{L}_{2}^{\prime}$ are equal on $\tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}$. Let $\tilde{A}^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)=$ $A^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(i)}\left(s, \tau, \hat{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)$.

Using the equality

$$
\tilde{A}_{y_{j}}^{(1)} \tilde{A}_{y_{k}}^{(1)}-\tilde{A}_{y_{j}}^{(2)} \tilde{A}_{y_{k}}^{(2)}=\left(\tilde{A}_{y_{j}}^{(1)}-\tilde{A}_{y_{j}}^{(2)}\right) A_{y_{k}}^{(1)}+\left(\tilde{A}_{y_{k}}^{(1)}-\tilde{A}_{y_{k}}^{(2)}\right) \tilde{A}_{y_{j}}^{(2)}
$$

and similar equality involving derivatives in $s$ and $\tau$ we can represent (6.18) as a homogeneous second order hyperbolic equation in $\tilde{A}^{(1)}-\tilde{A}^{(2)}$ with the coefficients depending on $\tilde{A}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{A}^{(2)}$. Since the Cauchy data for $\tilde{A}^{(1)}-\tilde{A}^{(2)}=0$ at $y_{n}=0$ (cf. Lemma 2.1) we get, by the uniqueness of the Cauchy problem (cf. [25,29]), that $\tilde{A}^{(1)}=\tilde{A}^{(2)}$ in $\tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)}$. Therefore (6.17) holds.

Note that $\tilde{g}_{i}^{j k}=\tilde{g}_{i}^{+,-} \tilde{g}_{0}^{j k}$. Therefore

$$
g_{1}^{(i)}=\operatorname{det}\left[\tilde{g}_{i}^{j k}\right]_{j, k=1}^{n-1}=\left(\tilde{g}_{i}^{+,-}\right)^{n-1} \operatorname{det}\left[g_{0 i}^{j k}\right]_{j, k=1}^{n-1}
$$

Since $\tilde{g}_{10}^{j k}=\tilde{g}_{20}^{j k}$ and (6.17) holds, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tilde{g}_{1}^{+,-}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(1)}\right)\right)^{n-1}\left(\tilde{g}_{2}^{+,-}\left(s, \tau, \beta^{(1)}\right)\right)^{n-1}, \tag{6.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this proves (6.16), since we assumed that $n>1$. Therefore metric tensors of $\tilde{L}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{L}_{2}^{\prime}$ are equal. Combining this with (6.13), (6.14) we get $\tilde{L}_{1}^{\prime}=\tilde{L}_{2}^{\prime}$ on $\tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(1)} \supset \Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{1}$.

Remark 6.1 Change $\Gamma_{2}$ to $\Gamma_{1}$. We have $\beta^{(i)}\left(\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{1}\right) \subset \beta^{(i)}\left(\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{2}\right)$. Since $\beta^{(i)}(\Sigma \times$ $\left.\bar{\Gamma}_{1}\right) \subset X_{1 T_{1}}^{(i)}$ and $X_{1 T_{1}}^{(i)} \subset\left(\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{2}\right)$, we get $\beta^{(i)}\left(\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{1}\right) \subset\left(\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{2}\right)$. Therefore, $\beta^{(i)}\left(\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{1}\right) \subset\left(\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{2}\right) \cap \beta^{(i)}\left(\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{2}\right)=X_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(i)}$. Applying the map (5.9) to $\beta^{(i)}\left(\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{1}\right) \subset X_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(i)}$ we get $\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{1} \subset \tilde{X}_{\Gamma_{2}}^{(i)}$. Therefore, $\tilde{L}_{1}^{\prime}=\tilde{L}_{2}^{\prime}$ on $\Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{1}$.

We shall summarize the results of Sects. 2-6.
Theorem 6.2 (Local step) Consider two initial boundary value problems

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{(i)} u_{i}=0 \quad \text { in } D_{0}^{(i)} \times \mathbb{R}, \\
& u_{i}=0 \text { for } x_{0} \ll 0, \\
& \left.u_{i}\right|_{\partial D_{0}^{(i)}}=f, \quad i=1,2, \tag{6.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L^{(i)}$ have the form (1.1). Suppose $\Gamma_{0} \subset \partial D_{0}^{(1)} \cap \partial D_{0}^{(2)}$ and suppose the BLR condition holds for $L^{(1)}$ on $\left[t_{0}, T_{t_{0}}\right]$. Suppose the corresponding $D N$ operators $\Lambda^{(i)}$ are equal on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{0}, T_{2}\right), T_{2} \geq T_{t_{0}}$, i.e. $\Lambda^{(1)} f=\Lambda^{(2)} f$ on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{0}, T_{2}\right)$ for all $f$ with support in $\overline{\Gamma_{0}} \times\left[t_{0}, T_{2}\right]$. Let $T_{2}-T_{1}$ be small. Suppose coefficients of $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(2)}$ are analytic in $x_{0}$.

Let $\varphi^{(i)}$ be the changes of variables (2.14) for $i=1,2$ and let $\beta^{(i)}, i=1,2$, be the changes of variables (5.10). Let $c_{i}$ be the gauge transformation (2.20), (2.21) for $i=1,2$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{(1)} \circ c_{1} \circ \varphi^{(1)} \circ L^{(1)}=\beta^{(2)} \circ c_{2} \circ \varphi^{(2)} \circ L^{(2)} \text { on } \Sigma \times \bar{\Gamma}_{1}, \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\Sigma=\left\{(s, \tau), s \geq 0, \tau \geq 0, s+\tau \leq T_{2}-T_{1}\right\}=\left\{\left(y_{0}, y_{n}\right): 0 \leq y_{n} \leq \frac{T_{2}-T_{1}}{2}\right.$, $\left.T_{1}+y_{n}<y_{0}<T_{2}-y_{n}\right\}$.

## 7 The global step

Let $L^{i} u_{i}=0$ in $D_{i}=D_{0}^{(i)} \times \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, u_{i}=0$ for $x_{0} \ll 0, \partial D_{0}^{(1)} \cap \partial D_{0}^{(2)} \supset \Gamma_{0}$ and $\left.u_{i}\right|_{\partial D_{0}^{(i)} \times \mathbb{R}}=f, i=1,2, f$ has a compact support in $\overline{\Gamma_{0}} \times \mathbb{R}$.

First we extend the Theorem 6.2 for a larger time interval.
Let $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ be an arbitrary time interval. Let $\left[t_{0}, T_{t_{0}}\right]$ be such that $T_{t_{0}} \leq t_{1}$ and the BLR condition holds on $\left[t_{0}, T_{t_{0}}\right]$. Thus the BLR condition is satisfied on $\left[t_{0}, t\right]$ for any $t \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$. Let $\Gamma_{1}$ be arbitrary connected part of $\Gamma_{0}, \overline{\Gamma_{1}} \subset \Gamma_{0}$. Note that we do not require $\overline{\Gamma_{1}}$ to be small.

Let $\psi_{0 i}^{ \pm}\left(x_{0}, x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right), i=1,2$, be the solution of the form (2.4) in $\left[t_{0}-1, t_{2}+1\right] \times$ $\overline{\Gamma^{\prime}} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{ \pm}\right]$where $\overline{\Gamma_{1}} \subset \Gamma^{\prime} \subset \Gamma_{0}$.

We impose the following initial conditions on $\psi_{0 i}^{ \pm}, i=1,2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\psi_{0 i}^{+}\right|_{x_{n}=0}=x_{0},\left.\quad \psi_{0 i}^{-}\right|_{x_{n}=0}=-x_{0} . \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such solutions exist in $\left[t_{0}-1, t_{2}+1\right] \times \overline{\Gamma^{\prime}} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right] \subset D_{0}^{(i)} \times \mathbb{R}$, when $\varepsilon_{0}$ is small. We choose $\psi_{0 i}^{ \pm}$such that (2.6) is satisfied and we choose $\varepsilon_{1}>0$ such that $\varepsilon_{1} \leq \varepsilon_{0}$ and $\left\{0<x_{n}<\varepsilon_{1}, x^{\prime} \in \overline{\Gamma^{\prime}}, x_{0} \in\left[t_{0}-1, t_{2}+1\right]\right\}$ do not intersect $\partial D_{0}^{(i)} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\varphi_{j i}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}, x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right), 1 \leq j \leq n-1$, be the solutions of the linear equations (cf. (2.7))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{p, k=0}^{n} g_{i}^{p k}(x) \psi_{0 i x_{p}}^{-} \varphi_{j i x_{k}}=0 \text { in }\left[t_{0}-1, t_{2}+1\right] \times \overline{\Gamma^{\prime}} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{1}\right] \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\varphi_{j i}\right|_{x_{n}=0}=x_{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to (2.14) consider the map $\left(y_{0}^{(i)}(x), y_{i}^{\prime}(x), y_{n}^{(i)}(x)\right)=\left(\varphi_{0}^{(i)}, \varphi_{i}^{\prime}, \varphi_{n}^{(1)}\right), x \in$ $\left[t_{0}-1, t_{2}+1\right] \times \overline{\Gamma^{\prime}} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{1}\right]$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{0}^{(i)}(x)=\frac{\psi_{0 i}^{+}-\psi_{0 i}^{-}}{2} \\
& y_{j}^{(i)}(x)=\varphi_{j i}(x) \\
& y_{n}^{(i)}(x)=-\frac{\psi_{0 i}^{+}+\psi_{0 i}^{-}}{2} \tag{7.4}
\end{align*}
$$

As in (2.15) we have that the map $\left(x_{0}, x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(y_{0}, y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)$ is the identity when $x_{n}=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.y_{0}^{(i)}\right|_{x_{n}=0}=x_{0},\left.\quad y_{j}^{(i)}\right|_{x_{n}=0}=x_{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1,\left.\quad y_{n}^{(i)}\right|_{x_{n}=0}=0 \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u_{s}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{y_{0}}-u_{y_{n}}\right), u_{\tau}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{y_{0}}+u_{y_{n}}\right)$. Making the change of variables (7.4) in $L^{i} u_{i}=0$, the gauge transformation (2.18), (2.21) and the change of unknown function (2.26), we get in $t_{0} \leq y_{0} \leq t_{2}, 0 \leq y_{n} \leq T_{0}, y^{\prime} \in \overline{\Gamma^{\prime}}, T_{0}$ is small, the equation of the form

$$
L_{1}^{(i)} u_{1}^{(i)}=0, \quad y \in \hat{\Omega}_{0}
$$

where $L_{1}^{(i)}$ has the form (2.28). Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\Gamma_{1}} \subset \Gamma^{\prime} \subset \Gamma_{0}, \hat{\Omega}_{0} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left[t_{0}, t_{2}\right] \times \overline{\Gamma^{\prime}} \times\left[0, T_{0}\right] \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that $u_{1}^{(i)}$ satisfy the zero initial conditions

$$
u_{1}^{(i)}=\frac{\partial u_{1}^{(i)}}{\partial y_{0}}=0 \quad \text { when } \quad y_{0}=t_{0}
$$

and

$$
\left.u_{1}^{(i)}\right|_{y_{n}=0}=f, \quad i=1,2
$$

We also assume that DN operators for $L^{i}$ and subsequently for $L_{1}^{(i)}$ are equal on $\left[t_{0}, t_{2}\right) \times \overline{\Gamma^{\prime}}$.

Note that the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{y}_{n}=y_{n}, \quad \hat{y}_{0}=y_{0}, \quad \hat{y}_{j}^{\prime}=\alpha_{j}^{(i)}\left(y_{0}, y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha^{(i)}$ are the same as in (5.9), (5.11), are also defined globally on $\hat{\Omega}_{0}$.
Let $\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] \subset\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ be arbitrary such that $T_{2}-T_{1}=2 T_{0}$.
Applying Theorem 6.1 to the interval $\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$ we get that the coefficients of $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}$ and the coefficients of $L_{1}^{\prime}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}$ are equal on $\Sigma_{T_{1} T_{2}} \times \overline{\Gamma_{1}}$ where $\Sigma_{T_{1} T_{2}}=$ $\left\{0 \leq y_{n} \leq T_{0}, T_{1}+y_{n} \leq y_{0} \leq T_{2}-y_{n}\right\}$. We assume that $\Gamma^{\prime} \supset \overline{\Gamma_{1}}$ is such that $\overline{\Gamma_{2}} \subset \overline{\Gamma_{3}} \subset \Gamma_{0}^{\prime}$ for all $\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right] \subset\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$. Here $\Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}$ are defined as in Sect. 3. Note that $\Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}$ may depend on $\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$.

If two intervals $\left[T_{1}, T_{2}\right]$ and $\left[T_{1}^{\prime}, T_{2}^{\prime}\right]$ intersect, then the coefficients of $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}$ coincide in $\left(\Sigma_{T_{1} T_{2}} \cup \Sigma_{T 1^{\prime} T_{1}^{\prime}}\right) \times \Gamma_{1}$.

Therefore coefficients of $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}$ and consequently the coefficients of $L_{1}^{\prime}$ and $L_{2}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ cf. (6.2), (6.3)) coincide for $0 \leq y_{n} \leq T_{0}, y^{\prime} \in \overline{\Gamma_{1}}, t_{1}+T_{0}<y_{0}<t_{2}-T_{0}$.

Therefore we proved
Lemma 7.1 Suppose $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ is arbitrary large, $T_{0}>0$ is small, $t_{0}$ is such that the BLR condition is satisfied on $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$. Let $\Omega_{0}=\left\{y_{0} \in\left[t_{0}+T_{0}, t_{2}-T_{0}\right], y^{\prime} \in \overline{\Gamma_{1}}, y_{n} \in\right.$ $\left.\left[0, \frac{T_{0}}{2}\right]\right\}$. Assume that the coefficients of $L^{(i)}$ are analytic in $x_{0}, i=1,2$. Then

$$
\beta^{(1)} \circ c_{1} \circ \varphi^{(1)} \circ L^{(1)}=\beta^{(2)} \circ c_{2} \circ \varphi^{(2)} \circ L^{(2)} \quad \text { on } \Omega_{0} .
$$

Let $\Omega_{i}=\left(\beta^{(i)} \varphi^{(i)}\right)^{-1} \Omega_{0}, i=1,2$. Note that $\Omega_{i} \subset D_{0}^{(i)} \times\left[t_{0}-1, t_{2}+1\right]$ since $T_{0}$ is small. We have that $\Phi_{2}=\left(\beta^{(1)} \varphi^{(1)}\right)^{-1} \beta_{2} \varphi^{(2)}$ maps $\Omega_{2}$ onto $\Omega_{1}$. Note that $\partial \Omega_{1} \cap \partial \Omega_{2} \supset \Gamma_{1} \times\left[t_{0}, t_{2}\right]$ and $\Phi_{2}=I$ on $\Gamma_{1} \times\left[t_{0}+T_{0}, t_{2}-T_{0}\right]$. Note also that $\beta^{(i)} \circ c_{i}$ can be represented as $c_{i}^{\prime} \circ \beta^{(i)}$ where $c_{i}^{\prime}$ is the gauge transformation in $\left(y_{0}, \hat{y}^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)$ coordinates. Analogously, $\left(\beta^{(1)} \circ c_{1} \circ \varphi^{(1)}\right)^{-1} \beta^{(2)} \circ c_{2} \circ \varphi=c_{3} \circ \Phi_{2}$, where $c_{3}$ is the gauge transformation. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{3} \circ \Phi_{2} \circ L^{(2)}=L^{(1)} \text { in } \Omega_{1} \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B$ be a smooth domain in $D_{0}^{(1)}$ such that $\partial B \cap \partial D_{0}^{(1)}=\gamma_{1} \subset \Gamma_{0}$. Suppose $B$ is small and such that $B \times\left[t_{1}+1, t_{2}-1\right] \subset \Omega_{1}$.

Let $S_{2}=\Phi_{2}^{-1}\left(B \times\left[t_{1}+1, t_{2}-1\right] \subset D_{0}^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}\right.$ and let $S_{2}^{+}=\Phi_{2}^{-1}\left(B \times\left\{x_{0}=\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.t_{2}-1\right\}\right), S_{2}^{-}=\Phi_{2}^{-1}\left(B \times\left\{x_{0}=t_{1}+1\right\}\right)$. Let $\tilde{S}_{2}^{ \pm}$be space-like surfaces in $D_{0}^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{S}_{2}^{+}$is the extension of $S_{2}^{+}$and $\tilde{S}_{2}^{-}$is the extension of $S_{2}^{-}$.

Fig. 8 The almost cylindrical domain $D_{1}^{(2)}$ is the part of $D_{0}^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}$ bounded from above and from below by space-like surfaces $\tilde{S}_{2}^{+}$and $\tilde{S}_{2}^{-}$


We assume that the projections of $\tilde{S}_{2}^{ \pm}$on $D_{0}^{(2)}$ is $D_{0}^{(2)}$. Let $D_{1}^{(2)}$ be the domain in $D_{0}^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}$ bounded by $\tilde{S}_{2}^{+}$and $\tilde{S}_{2}^{-}$(cf. Fig. 8).

It follows from [16, Chapter 8], that there exists an extension $\tilde{\Phi}_{2}$ of $\Phi_{2}$ from $S_{2} \subset D_{1}^{(2)}$ to $D_{1}^{(2)}$ such that $\left.\tilde{\Phi}_{2}\right|_{\Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{1}+1, t_{2}-1\right]}=I$.

Define $\bar{D}_{1}^{(3)}=\tilde{\Phi}_{2}\left(\bar{D}_{1}^{(2)}\right)$. There exists also an extension $\tilde{c}_{3}$ of the gauge $c_{3}$ from $S_{2}$ to $D_{1}^{(2)}$ such that $\tilde{c}_{3}=1$ on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{1}+1, t_{2}-1\right]$. Let $L^{(3)}=\tilde{c}_{3} \circ \tilde{\Phi}_{2} \circ L^{(2)}, L^{(3)}$ is defined on $D_{1}^{(3)}$. Thus $L^{(3)}=L^{(1)}$ on $B \times\left[t_{1}+1, t_{2}-1\right]$. Note that $D_{1}^{(3)} \cap\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{1}+1, t_{2}-1\right]\right) \supset$ $B \times\left[t_{1}+1, t_{2}-1\right], \partial^{\prime} D_{1}^{(3)} \cap\left(\partial D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{1}+1, t_{2}-1\right]\right) \supset \Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{1}+1, t_{2}-1\right]$. We denote by $\partial^{\prime} D_{1}^{(3)}$ the lateral (time-like) part of $\partial D_{1}^{(3)}$ and by $\partial_{ \pm} D_{1}^{(3)}$ the top and the bottom space-like parts of $\partial D_{1}^{(3)}$, i.e. $\partial D_{1}^{(3)}=\partial^{\prime} D_{1}^{(3)} \cup \partial_{+} D_{1}^{(3)} \cup \partial_{-} D_{1}^{(3)}$.

The following lemma is the key lemma of this section. It allows to reduce the solution of the inverse problem to an inverse problem in a smaller domain.

Lemma 7.2 Consider two initial-boundary value problem $L^{(1)} u_{1}=0$ in $D_{0}^{(1)} \times$ $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ and $L^{(3)} u_{3}=0$ in $D_{1}^{(3)}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.u_{1}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}}=\left.\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}}=0, \quad x \in D_{0}^{(1)}, \\
& u_{3}\left.\right|_{\partial_{-} D_{1}^{(3)}} \\
&=\left.\frac{\partial u_{3}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{\partial_{-} D_{1}^{(3)}}=0,\left.\quad u_{1}\right|_{\partial D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}=f_{1},\left.u_{3}\right|_{\partial^{\prime} D_{1}^{(3)}}=f_{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We assume that $\left(\partial D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]\right) \cap \partial D_{1}^{(3)} \supset \Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$. Assume that $L^{(1)}=L^{(3)}$ in a smooth domain $B \times\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ where $B \times\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \subset\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]\right) \cap D_{1}^{(3)}, \gamma_{1}=$ $\partial D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash \Gamma_{0}, \tilde{\Gamma}_{3}=\partial^{\prime} D_{1}^{(3)} \backslash\left(\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right), \partial B=\gamma_{0} \cup \gamma_{0}^{\prime}$, where $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{0}^{\prime}$ are smooth, $\gamma_{0} \subset \Gamma_{0}$, (cf. Fig. 9).

Suppose $\Lambda_{1}=\Lambda_{3}$ on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$.
Consider $L^{(1)} u_{1}=0$ and $L^{(3)} u_{3}=0$ in smaller domains $\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)$ and $\left(D_{1}^{(3)} \cap\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)\right) \backslash\left(B \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)\right)$. Note that $\left.\partial\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \supset\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \gamma_{0}\right) \cup \gamma_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}=\Lambda_{3}^{\prime}$ are equal on $\left(\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \gamma_{0}\right) \cup \gamma_{0}^{\prime}\right) \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)$ for some $\delta>0$. Here $\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \Lambda_{3}^{\prime}$ are DN operators for the initial-boundary value problem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L^{(1)} u_{i}^{\prime}=0 \text { in }\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right), \\
& L^{(3)} u_{3}^{\prime}=0 \text { in }\left(D_{1}^{(3)} \cap\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)\right) \backslash\left(B \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)\right), \\
& \left.u_{1}^{\prime}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}+\delta}=\left.\frac{\partial u_{1}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{2}+\delta}=0, \\
& \left.u_{3}^{\prime}\right|_{\partial_{-}\left(D_{1}^{(3)} \cap\left(t_{1}+\delta,, t_{2}-\delta\right)\right)}=\left.\frac{\partial u_{3}^{\prime}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{\partial_{-}\left(D_{1}^{(3)} \cap\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)\right)}=0, \\
& \left.u_{1}^{\prime}\right|_{\left(\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \gamma_{0}\right) \cup \gamma_{0}^{\prime}\right) \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}=f,\left.\quad u_{1}^{\prime}\right|_{\left(\partial D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash \Gamma_{0}\right) \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}=0, \\
& \left.u_{3}^{\prime}\right|_{\left(\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \gamma_{0}\right) \cup \gamma_{0}^{\prime}\right) \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}=f,\left.\quad u_{3}^{\prime}\right|_{\left(\left(\partial^{\prime} D_{1}^{(3)} \cap\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)\right) \backslash\left(\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)\right)\right.}=0, \\
& \operatorname{supp} f \subset\left(\left(\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \gamma_{0}\right) \cup \gamma_{0}^{\prime}\right) \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove Lemma 7.2 we will need the following version of the Runge theorem about the approximation of solutions of the equation in a smaller domain by solutions of the same equation in a larger domain.
Lemma 7.3 Denote by $D_{\varepsilon}$ the domain bounded by $\Gamma_{0}$ and $\gamma_{\varepsilon}$ such that $\gamma_{\varepsilon} \cup \gamma_{1}$ is smooth. Let $W_{0}$ be the space of $v \in H_{s}\left(\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)$, $s \geq 1$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.v\right|_{\gamma_{1}}=0,\left.\quad v\right|_{x_{o}=t_{1}}=\left.\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}}=0, \quad x \in\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right), \\
& L^{(1)} v=0 \quad \text { in }\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), \tag{7.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma_{1}=\partial D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash \Gamma_{0}$.
Denote by $K$ the closure of $W_{0}$ in $L_{2}\left(\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)$. Consider the space $W$ of $u(x) \in H_{S}\left(\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \cup D_{\varepsilon}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right), s \geq 1$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{(1)} u=0 \text { in }\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \cup D_{\varepsilon}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right),\left.\quad u\right|_{\left(\gamma_{1} \cup \gamma_{\varepsilon}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}=0, \\
& \left.u\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}}=\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}}=0, \quad x \in D_{0}^{(1)} \operatorname{cup} D_{\varepsilon} . \tag{7.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Then the closure of the restrictions of $W$ to $L_{2}\left(\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)$ is also equal to $K$. Thus any function $v \in W_{0}$ in $\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ can be approximated in $L_{2}\left(\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)$ norm by the functions in $W$.
Proof Let $K^{\perp}$ be the orthogonal complement of $K$ in $L_{2}\left(\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)$. Take any $g \in K^{\perp}$ and denote by $g_{0}$ the extension of $g$ by zero outside $\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. Let $w$ be the solution of the initial-boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{1}^{*} w=g_{0}, \quad x \in\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \cup D_{\varepsilon}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), \\
& \left.w\right|_{x_{0}=t_{2}}=\left.\frac{\partial w}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{2}}=0, \quad x \in D_{0}^{(1)} \cup D_{\varepsilon}, \\
& \left.w\right|_{\partial\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \cup D_{\varepsilon}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}=0, \tag{7.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Fig. 9 The boundary of $B$ is $\gamma_{0} \cup \gamma_{0}^{\prime}$. The boundary of $D_{\varepsilon}$ is $\gamma_{\varepsilon} \cup \Gamma_{0}, \partial D_{0}^{(1)}=\Gamma_{0} \cup \gamma_{1}$

where $L_{1}^{*}$ is the formally adjoint to $L^{(1)}$. Note that $\partial\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \cup D_{\varepsilon 0}\right)=\gamma_{1} \cup \gamma_{\varepsilon}$ (see Fig. 9).

By Hormander [15] and Eskin [13] (see also Lemma 3.3) such $w(x)$ exists and belongs to $\left.H_{1}\left(\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \cup D_{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)$. We shall show that $w=0$ in $\left(B \cup D_{\varepsilon}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. Let $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\left(B \cup D_{\varepsilon}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)$ and let $u(x)$ be the solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{(1)} u=\varphi, \quad x \in\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \cup D_{\varepsilon}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \\
& \left.u\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}}=\left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}}=0,\left.\quad u\right|_{\partial\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \cup D_{\varepsilon}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}=0, \tag{7.12}
\end{align*}
$$

(cf. [13,15] and Lemma 3.3), i.e. $u \in W_{0}$ since $\varphi=0$ in $\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$.
Consider the $L_{2}$ inner product $(\varphi, w)$ in $\left(D_{0}^{1)} \cup D_{\varepsilon}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. Since $\varphi=L^{(1)} u$ we get $(\varphi, w)=\left(L^{(1)} u, w\right)$. Integrating by parts we have $\left(L_{1} u, w\right)=\left(u, L_{1}^{*} w\right)=$ $\left(u, g_{0}\right)=0$ since $u \in W_{0}, g_{0} \in K^{\perp}$. Therefore $(\varphi, w)=0, \forall \varphi$. Thus $w=0$ in $\left(B \cup D_{\varepsilon}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$.

Let now $\tilde{w}$ be any function in $W$. We have $\left(\tilde{w}, g_{0}\right)_{0}=\left(\tilde{w}, L_{1}^{*} w\right)_{0}$, where ()$_{0}$ means that we integrate over $\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. Since $w=0$ in $\left(B \cup D_{\varepsilon}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.w\right|_{\left.\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \gamma_{0}\right) \cup \gamma_{0}^{\prime}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}=\left.\frac{\partial w}{\partial v}\right|_{\left.\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \gamma_{0}\right) \cup \gamma_{0}^{\prime}\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}=0 \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ is the normal derivative.
Note that $\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \gamma_{0}\right) \cup \gamma_{0}^{\prime}=\partial\left(D_{\varepsilon} \cup B\right) \backslash \gamma_{\varepsilon}$. Since $w$ satisfies the homogenous equation $L_{1}^{*} w=0$ in $D_{\varepsilon} \cup B$ the restrictions of $w$ and all derivatives on $\partial\left(D_{\varepsilon} \cup B\right)$ exists by the partial hypoellipticity (see, for example, [12]). Note that $\tilde{w}$ and $w$ have zero values on $\gamma_{1}$. Therefore, integrating by parts, we have

$$
\left(\tilde{w}, L_{1}^{*} w\right)_{0}=\left(L^{(1)} \tilde{w}, w\right)_{0}=0
$$

since $L^{(1)} \tilde{w}=0$ in $\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. Therefore $\left(\tilde{w}, g_{0}\right)_{0}=0, \forall g_{0} \in K^{\perp}$, i.e. $\tilde{w} \in \bar{K}$.

To make the integration by parts rigorous we approximate $\gamma_{0}^{\prime} \cup\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \gamma_{0}\right)$ by $\gamma_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{\prime}$, similar to $\gamma_{\varepsilon}, \gamma_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{\prime} \subset D_{\varepsilon} \cup B$. Note that $w=0$ in $D_{\varepsilon} \cup B$. Therefore integrating by parts over domain bounded by $\gamma_{1} \cup \gamma_{\varepsilon_{1}}^{\prime}$, and taking the limit when $\gamma_{e_{1}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \gamma_{0}^{\prime} \cup\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \gamma_{0}\right)$ we get $\left(\tilde{w}, g_{0}\right)=0$.

Now we shall proof Lemma 7.2.
Let supp $f \subset \Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), \Gamma_{0}^{\prime}=\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \gamma_{0}\right) \cup \gamma_{0}^{\prime}$. Let $v_{1}$ be the solutions of

$$
\begin{align*}
& L^{(1)} v_{1}=0, \quad x \in\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), \\
& \left.v_{1}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}}=\left.\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}}=0, \\
& \left.v_{1}\right|_{\partial\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}=f_{1}, \tag{7.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\partial\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right)=\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \cup \gamma_{1}, f_{1}=0$ on $\gamma_{1} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right), f_{1}=f$ on $\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$.
Let $v_{3}$ be solution of $L^{(3)} v_{3}=0$ in $D_{1}^{(3)} \backslash\left(B \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.v_{3}\right|_{\partial_{-} D_{1}^{(3)}}=\left.\frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{\partial_{-} D_{1}^{(3)}}=0,\left.\quad v_{3}\right|_{\left(\partial^{\prime} D_{1}^{(3)} \backslash\left(\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)\right.}=0, \\
& \left.v_{3}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}=f . \tag{7.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\Lambda_{1}^{\prime}$ be the DN operator for (7.14) and $\Lambda_{3}^{\prime}$ be the DN operator for (7.15). Assuming that $\Lambda_{1}=\Lambda_{2}$ on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ we shall prove that

$$
\left.\Lambda_{1}^{\prime} f\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}=\left.\Lambda_{2}^{\prime} f\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}
$$

for all $f$ with supports in $\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)$. By Lemma 7.3 there exists a sequence of smooth solutions $w_{n 1} \in W_{0}$ such that

$$
\left\|v_{1}-w_{n 1}\right\|_{0} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

where $\left\|v_{1}\right\|_{0}$ is the norm in $L_{2}\left(\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)$. Note that $L^{(1)} w_{n 1}=0$ in $D_{0}^{(1)} \times$ $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right),\left.w_{n 1}\right|_{\gamma_{1} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}=0,\left.w_{n 1}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}}=\left.\frac{\partial w_{n 1}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}}=0$, where $\gamma_{1}=\partial D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash \Gamma_{0}$. Let $f_{n}=\left.w_{n 1}\right|_{\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}$. Denote by $w_{n 3}$ the solution of

$$
\begin{gather*}
L^{(3)} w_{n 3}=0 \text { in } D_{1}^{(3)},\left.\quad w_{n 3}\right|_{\partial^{\prime} D_{1}^{(3)} \backslash\left(\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)}=0,\left.\quad w_{n 3}\right|_{\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}=f_{n}, \\
\left.w_{n 3}\right|_{\partial_{-} D_{1}^{(3)}}=\left.\frac{\partial w_{n 3}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{\partial_{-} D_{1}^{(3)}}=0 . \tag{7.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $\Lambda_{1}=\Lambda_{2}$ on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial w_{n 1}}{\partial v}\right|_{\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}=\left.\frac{\partial w_{n 3}}{\partial v}\right|_{\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)} \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\gamma_{o} \subset \Gamma_{0}$, the equality (7.17) implies

$$
\left.w_{n 1}\right|_{\gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}=\left.w_{n 3}\right|_{\gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)},\left.\quad \frac{\partial w_{n 1}}{\partial v}\right|_{\gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}=\left.\frac{\partial w_{n 3}}{\partial v}\right|_{\gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)}
$$

We have $L^{(1)}=L^{(3)}$ on $B \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. Using the uniqueness theorem of [25] and [29], we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n 1}=w_{n 3} \text { in } B \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right) \tag{7.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta>0$ is determined by the metric and by the domain $B$ (cf. Fig. 9). In particular,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.w_{n 1}\right|_{\gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}=\left.w_{n 3}\right|_{\gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}, \\
& \left.\frac{\partial w_{n 1}}{\partial v}\right|_{\gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}=\left.\frac{\partial w_{n 3}}{\partial v}\right|_{\gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)} . \tag{7.19}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.w_{n 1}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}=\left.w_{n 3}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}, \\
& \left.\frac{\partial w_{n 1}}{\partial v}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}=\left.\frac{\partial w_{n 3}}{\partial v}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}, \tag{7.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{0}^{\prime}=\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash \gamma_{0}\right) \cup \gamma_{0}^{\prime}$, i.e. $\Lambda_{1}^{\prime} f_{n}^{\prime}=\Lambda_{2}^{\prime} f_{n}^{\prime}$ on $\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)$, where $f_{n}^{\prime}=\left.w_{n 1}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}=\left.w_{n 3}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|f-f_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, \Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)} & =\left\|f-f_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, \partial\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)} \\
& \leq\left\|v_{1}-w_{n 1}\right\|_{0,\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}+\delta_{1}, t_{2}-\delta\right)} \tag{7.21}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\partial\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right)=\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \cup \gamma_{1}$ and $f=f_{n}^{\prime}=0$ on $\gamma_{1} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)$.
In (7.21) we again use the partial hypoellipticity property that restrictions of solutions of $L^{(1)} u=0$ to the noncharacteristic boundary exists for any Sobolev's space $H_{S}$ (cf. [12]). The same is true for all normal derivatives of $u_{1}$, and the same estimates hold as in the case of positive $s>0$ (cf. [8] and [12]).

By Lemma 3.3 (see $[13,15]$ ) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial v}-\frac{\partial w_{n 1}}{\partial v}\right\|_{-\frac{3}{2}, \partial\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}\right)-\delta} \leq\left\|f-f_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, \partial\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)} \tag{7.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial v}-\frac{\partial w_{n 3}}{\partial v}\right\|_{-\frac{3}{2}, \partial^{\prime}\left(D_{1}^{(3)} \cap\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right) \backslash\left(B \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)\right)\right.} \\
& \quad \leq\left\|f-f_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, \partial^{\prime}\left(D_{1}^{(3)} \cap\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right) \backslash\left(B \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)\right)\right.} \tag{7.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that
$\left\|f-f_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, \partial\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}=\left\|f-f_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{-\frac{1}{2}, \partial^{\prime}\left(D_{1}^{(3)} \cap\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right) \backslash\left(B \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)\right)\right.}$.
Therefore, taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get, using (7.20), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial v_{1}}{\partial v}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)}=\left.\frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial v}\right|_{\Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)} . \tag{7.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we proved that

$$
\Lambda_{1}^{\prime} f=\Lambda_{2}^{\prime} f \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)
$$

for any $f$ with supp $f \subset \Gamma_{0}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)$.
Using Lemma 7.2 we reduce the inverse problem in $D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ to the inverse problem in smaller domains $\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)$ and we can continue this process starting from $\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash B\right) \times\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)$ instead of $D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$.

In all lemmas below we assume that DN operators for $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(2)}$ are equal on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ and that the time interval $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ is large enough. We shall continue to call the coordinates $\left(y_{0}, \hat{y}^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)$, given by the map $\beta^{(i)} \varphi^{(i)}$, the Goursat coordinates for $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(i)}, i=1,2$.

Lemma 7.4 Let $\Gamma_{1} \subset \Gamma_{0}$ and let $\tilde{\Gamma}_{1} \subset \Gamma_{0}$ be such that $\bar{\Gamma}_{1} \subset \tilde{\Gamma}_{1}$. We assume that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{1} \subset \tilde{\Gamma}_{2} \subset \tilde{\Gamma}_{3} \subset \Gamma_{0}$ where $\tilde{\Gamma}_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq 3$, are the same as $\Gamma_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq 3$, in Sect. 3 . Suppose the Goursat coordinates for $L^{(1)}$ exists in $\Omega_{1}=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \times \tilde{\Gamma}_{3} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$, i.e. $L^{(1)}$ has the form $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}$ in these coordinates (we include the gauge transformation (2.21) in $\left.\tilde{L}_{1}^{(i)}\right)$. Suppose the Goursat coordinates for $L^{(2)}$ exist in $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \times\left(\overline{\tilde{\Gamma}}_{3} \backslash \Gamma_{1}\right) \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$. Let $\tilde{\Omega}_{2}=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \times\left(\tilde{\Gamma}_{1} \backslash \Gamma_{1}\right) \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$ and suppose $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}=\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}$ in $\tilde{\Omega}_{2}$. Then $L^{(2)}$ has also Goursat coordinates in $\Omega_{3}=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \times \Gamma_{1} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$, and $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}=\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}$ in $\Omega_{3}$.

Proof Let $y^{(i)}=\psi^{(i)}(x)$ be the transformation to the Goursat coordinates, and let $\frac{D \psi^{(i)}(x)}{D x}$ be the Jacobi matrix of this transformation. We have

$$
\left[\hat{g}_{i}^{j k}(y)\right]=\frac{D \psi^{(i)}(x)}{D(x)}\left[g_{i}^{j k}(x)\right]\left(\frac{D \psi^{(i)}}{D x}\right)^{T}, \quad i=1,2,
$$

where $\left[\hat{g}_{i}^{j k}\right]^{-1}$ is the metric tensor in the Goursat coordinates. The Goursat coordinates degenerate at point $y_{i}^{(0)}=\psi^{(i)}\left(x^{(0)}\right)$, when $\operatorname{det} \frac{D \psi^{(i)}(x)}{D x} \rightarrow \infty$ for $y \rightarrow y^{(0)}$ (or $x \rightarrow x^{(0)}$ ) (cf. (2.11)). We call such point a focal point. We shall prove that there is no focal points for $L^{(2)}$ in $\Omega_{3}$.

We have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\hat{g}_{i}^{j k}(y)\right]=\operatorname{det}\left[g_{i}^{j k}(x)\right]\left(\operatorname{det} \frac{D \psi^{(i)}}{D x}\right)^{2}
$$

Suppose there exists the focal point $y^{(0)}=\left(y_{0}^{(0)}, y_{0}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{(0)}\right), y_{n}^{(0)}<\varepsilon_{0}, y_{0}^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{1}$ such that there is no focal points for $L^{(2)}$ when $y_{n}<y_{n}^{(0)}$ for all $y_{0} \in\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right], y^{\prime} \in \bar{\Gamma}_{1}$.

Since $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(2)}$ have Goursat coordinates for $y_{n}<y_{n}^{(0)}$ we get, by Lemma 7.1, that $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}=\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}$ in $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \times \bar{\Gamma}_{1} \times\left[0, y_{n}^{(0)}-\varepsilon\right], \forall \varepsilon>0$, and hence $\left[\hat{g}_{1}^{j k}\right]=\left[\hat{g}_{2}^{j k}\right]$ for $\varepsilon>$ 0. Since $\operatorname{det}\left[\hat{g}_{2}^{j k}\right]=\operatorname{det}\left[\hat{g}_{1}^{j k}\right]$ for $y_{n}<y_{n}^{(0)}-\varepsilon$, we have that $\left(\operatorname{det} \frac{D \psi^{(2)}}{D x}\right)^{2}=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left[\hat{g}_{1}^{j k}\right]}{\operatorname{det}\left[g_{2}^{j k}\right]}$ is bounded when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $y^{(0)}=\left(y_{0}^{(0)}, y_{0}^{\prime}, y_{n}^{(0)}\right)$ is not a focal point for $L^{(2)}$. Thus $L^{(2)}$ has no focal points in $\Omega_{3}$ and then, by Lemma 7.1, we have $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}=\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}$ in $\Omega_{3}$ (cf. [9]).

Lemma 7.5 Assume that DN operators for $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(2)}$ are equal on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$. Let $\bar{\Gamma}_{1} \subset \Gamma_{0}$. Assume that the Goursat coordinates for $L^{(1)}$ exists in $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \times \bar{\Gamma}_{1} \times\left[0, \frac{T_{0}}{2}\right]$. Then the Goursat coordinates for $L^{(2)}$ also exists in $\Omega_{1}=\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right) \times \bar{\Gamma}_{1} \times\left[0, \frac{T_{0}}{2}\right]$ for some $\delta>0$ and $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}=\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}$ in $\bar{\Omega}_{1}$, where $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(i)}$ are the operators $L^{(i)}$ in the Goursat coordinates.

Proof Let $\bar{\Gamma}_{1} \subset \tilde{\Gamma}_{1}, \overline{\tilde{\Gamma}}_{1} \subset \Gamma_{0}$. If $0 \leq y_{n} \leq \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon>0$ is small enough, then $\tilde{\Gamma}_{1} \subset \tilde{\Gamma}_{2} \subset \tilde{\Gamma}_{3} \subset \Gamma_{0}$, where $\tilde{\Gamma}_{j}, j=1,2,3$, are the same as in Lemma 7.4. Applying Lemma 7.1 we get that the Goursat coordinates for $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}$ and $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}$ exist in $\Omega_{1 \varepsilon}=\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right] \times \tilde{\Gamma}_{1} \times[0, \varepsilon]$ and

$$
\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}=\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)} \quad \text { in } \bar{\Omega}_{1 \varepsilon} .
$$

Let $\Sigma_{1}$ be the surface in $\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)$ space such that $y_{n}=0$ on $\tilde{\Gamma}_{1} \backslash \Gamma_{1}, 0 \leq y_{n} \leq \varepsilon$ on $\partial \Gamma_{1}, y_{n}=\varepsilon$ on $\Gamma_{1}$. Note that $\Sigma_{1}$ is not smooth since it has edges when $y_{n}=$ $0, y^{\prime} \in \partial \Gamma_{1}$ and when $y_{n}=\varepsilon, y^{\prime} \in \partial \Gamma_{1}$. We shall smooth $\Sigma_{1}$ by replacing it by smooth surface $\tilde{\Sigma}_{1}$, where $\tilde{\Sigma}_{1}$ differs from $\Sigma_{1}$ in a neighborhood of edges having the size $O(\varepsilon)$. Let $\Sigma_{2}$ be the surface, where $y_{n}=\varepsilon$ when $y^{\prime} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{1} \backslash \Gamma_{1}(\varepsilon), \Gamma_{1}(\varepsilon)$ is the $\varepsilon$-neighborhood of $\Gamma_{1}, \varepsilon \leq y_{n} \leq 2 \varepsilon$, when $y^{\prime} \in \partial \Gamma_{1}(\varepsilon), y_{n}=2 \varepsilon$ when $y^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{1}(\varepsilon)$ (cf. Fig. 10).

Let $\tilde{\Sigma}_{2}$ be the smoothing of $\Sigma_{2}$. Denote by $\tilde{S}_{1}$ the domain between $\tilde{\Sigma}_{1}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{2}$ when $y^{\prime} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{1}$. Since $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}=\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}$ for $0 \leq y_{n} \leq \varepsilon$, we have, by Lemma 7.2, that DN operators for $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(2)}$ are equal on $\tilde{\Sigma}_{1} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta_{1}, t_{2}-\delta_{1}\right)$ for some $\delta_{1}>0$.

Suppose $\varepsilon>0$ is small and such that we can introduce the Goursat coordinates for $L^{(1)}$ in $\tilde{S}_{1} \times\left[t_{1}+\delta_{1}, t_{2}-\delta_{1}\right]$.

Note that $\varepsilon$ and $\delta_{1}$ are determined by $L^{(1)}$ only and are independent of $L^{(2)}$. It follows from Lemma 7.4 that Goursat coordinates for $L^{(2)}$ also hold on $\tilde{S}_{1} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta_{1}, t_{2}-\delta_{1}\right)$ and $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}=\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}$ in $\tilde{S}_{1} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta_{1}, t_{2}-\delta_{1}\right)$.

By Lemma 7.2 DN operators for $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(2)}$ are equal on $\tilde{\Sigma}_{2} \times\left(t_{2}+\delta_{1}, t_{2}-\delta_{1}\right)$.
Let $\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}$ be the surface in $\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)$ space such that $y_{n}=0$ on $\tilde{\Gamma}_{1} \backslash \Gamma_{1}, 0 \leq y_{n} \leq 2 \varepsilon$ on $\partial \Gamma_{1}, y_{n}=2 \varepsilon$ on $\Gamma_{1}$ and let $\Sigma_{3}$ be the surface where $y_{n}=\varepsilon$ on $\tilde{\Gamma}_{1} \backslash \Gamma_{1}(\varepsilon), \varepsilon \leq y_{n} \leq 3 \varepsilon$ on $\partial \Gamma_{1}(\varepsilon), y_{n}=3 \varepsilon$ on $\Gamma_{1}(\varepsilon)$. Let $\tilde{\Sigma}_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{3}$ be the smoothing of $\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{3}$ and let $\tilde{S}_{2}$ be the domain between $\tilde{\Sigma}_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{3}$ when $y^{\prime} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{1}$. Since DN operators for $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(2)}$


Fig. $10 \Sigma_{2}^{\prime}$ is the surface $\left\{y_{n}=0\right.$ when $y^{\prime} \in \tilde{\Gamma}^{\prime} \backslash \Gamma_{1}, 0 \leq y_{n} \leq 2 \varepsilon$ when $y^{\prime} \in \partial \Gamma_{1}, y_{n}=2 \varepsilon$ when $y^{\prime} \in$ $\left.\Gamma_{1}\right\}, \Sigma_{3}$ is the surface $\left\{y_{n}=\varepsilon\right.$ when $y^{\prime} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{1} \backslash \Gamma_{1}(\varepsilon), \varepsilon \leq y_{n} \leq 3 \varepsilon$ when $y^{\prime} \in \partial \Gamma_{1}(\varepsilon), y_{n}=3 \varepsilon$ when $y^{\prime} \in$ $\left.\Gamma_{1}(\varepsilon)\right\}, S_{2}$ is the region between $\Sigma_{3}$ and $\Sigma_{2}^{\prime}$
are equal on $\tilde{\Sigma}_{2}^{\prime} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta_{1}, t_{2}-\delta_{1}\right)$ and since the Goursat coordinates for $L^{(1)}$ hold on $\tilde{S}_{2} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta_{1}, t_{2}-\delta_{1}\right)$, Lemma 7.4 implies that the Goursat coordinates hold for $L^{(2)}$ in $S_{2} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta_{1}, t_{2}-\delta_{1}\right), L_{1}^{(1)}=L_{1}^{(2)}$ in $S_{2} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}, t_{2}-\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right)$ for some $\delta_{2}>0$, and DN operators for $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(2)}$ are equal on $\tilde{\Sigma}_{3} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta_{1}+\delta_{2}, t_{2}-\delta_{1}-\delta_{2}\right)$.

Analogously, for $k>2$ denote by $\Sigma_{k}^{\prime}$ the surface such that $y_{n}=0$ on $\tilde{\Gamma}_{1} \backslash \Gamma_{1}, 0 \leq$ $y_{n} \leq k \varepsilon$ on $\partial \Gamma_{1}$ and $y_{n}=k \varepsilon$ on $\Gamma_{1}$. Let $\Sigma_{k+1}$ be the surface, where $y_{n}=\varepsilon$ for $\tilde{\Gamma}_{1} \backslash \Gamma_{1}(\varepsilon), \varepsilon \leq y_{n} \leq \tilde{\Sigma_{2}}(k+1) \varepsilon$ on $\partial \Gamma_{1}(\varepsilon)$ and $y_{n}=(k+1) \varepsilon$ on $\Gamma_{1}(\varepsilon)$.

Denote by $\tilde{\Sigma}_{k}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{k+1}$ the smoothing of $\Sigma_{k}^{\prime}, \Sigma_{k+1}$. Let $\tilde{S}_{k}$ be the domain between $\tilde{\Sigma}_{k}^{\prime}$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_{k+1}$. Applying successively the same arguments for $k=3, \ldots, m$, we prove as above that $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}=\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}$ in $\tilde{S}_{k} \times\left[t_{1}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \delta_{j}, t_{2}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} \delta_{j}\right], k=3, \ldots, m$.

Let $m$ be such that $(m+1) \varepsilon \geq \frac{T_{0}}{2}$. Then we get that $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}=\tilde{L}_{1}^{(2)}$ in $\left(t_{1}-\delta, t_{2}+\right.$ $\delta) \times \bar{\Gamma}_{1} \times\left[0, \frac{T_{0}}{2}\right]$, where $\delta=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \delta_{j}$. Note that we assume that $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ is large. Thus $t_{2}-t_{1} \gg \delta$.

Suppose that after several applications of Lemma 7.2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{(1)} u_{1} & =0 \quad \text { in } \quad D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right], \\
L^{(m)} u_{2} & =0 \quad \text { in } \quad D_{1}^{(m)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we are considering the interval $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ instead of $\left[t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right]$ for the simplicity of notations. We assume that

$$
\left.u_{1}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}}=\left.\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}}=0,\left.\quad u_{2}\right|_{\partial_{-} D_{1}^{(m)}}=\left.\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{\partial_{-} D_{1}^{(m)}}=0 .
$$

We also assume that $\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \subset \partial^{\prime} D_{1}^{(m)} \cap\left(\partial D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)$ and $\Omega_{1} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \subset$ $\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right) \cap D_{1}^{(m)}$ (cf. Fig. 11).

Fig. $11 \gamma_{1} \subset \partial D_{0}^{(1)}, \gamma_{0} \subset$ $\Omega_{1}, \gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{0}$ are close


We assume that $L^{(1)}=L^{(m)}$ in $\Omega_{1} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ and that DN operators $\Lambda_{1}$ and $\Lambda_{2}$ are equal on $\partial \Omega_{1} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ and $\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. Here, as above, $\partial^{\prime} D_{1}^{(m)}$ means the time-like part of the boundary of $D_{1}^{(m)}$. It follows from Lemmas 7.4, 7.5 that the enlargement of the domain $\Omega_{1}$ depends only on $L^{(1)}$ and does not depend on $L^{(2)}$. Therefore, as in [9], we arrive to the situation when $\Omega_{1}$ and $D_{1}^{(0)}$ are close. To apply Lemma 7.2 to the domain $\left(D_{1}^{(0)} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right) \times \mathbb{R}$ we need new tools.

When $\partial \Omega_{1}$ and $\partial D_{0}^{(1)}$ are close, there is a narrow domain $\sigma_{1} \subset D_{0}^{(1)} \backslash \Omega_{1}$ such that $\gamma_{1} \subset \partial D_{0}^{(1)}, \gamma_{0} \subset \partial \Omega_{1}$ and the distance between $\gamma_{0}$ and $\gamma_{1}$ is small (cf. Fig. 11)

Introduce Goursat coordinates for $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(m)}$ near $\gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$. We assume that operators $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(m)}$ are defined in domains slightly larger than $D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ and $D_{1}^{(m)}$. Let $L_{1}^{(1)}$ and $L_{1}^{(m)}$ be the operators $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(m)}$ in corresponding Goursat coordinates. Let $y=\varphi_{1}(x)$ be the transformation to the Goursat coordinates for $L^{(1)}$. Let $\sigma_{0}=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \times \gamma_{0} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$ be the domain where the Goursat coordinates for $L^{(1)}$ hold. We assume that $\sigma_{1}$ is so small that $\varphi_{1}\left(\sigma_{1} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right) \subset \sigma_{0}$. Let $\tau_{0}=$ $\varphi_{1}\left(\gamma_{1} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right)$, i.e. $\tau_{0}$ is the image of part of the boundary $\partial D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ in Goursat coordinates. Denote by $\sigma_{0}^{+}$the part of $\sigma_{0}$ between $\gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ and $\tau_{0}$, i.e. $\sigma_{0}^{+}$is the image of $\sigma_{1} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ in Goursat coordinates.

We assume that the Goursat coordinates for $L^{(m)}$ also hold in $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \times \gamma_{0} \times\left[0, \varepsilon_{0}\right]$. Moreover, applying Lemmas $7.4,7.5$ repeatedly we get that $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(m)}=\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}$ in $\hat{\sigma}_{0}^{+}$, where $\hat{\sigma}_{0}^{+}=\sigma_{0}^{+} \cap\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)$. Here $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}, \tilde{L}_{1}^{(m)}$ are operators $L^{(1)}, L^{(m)}$ in Goursat coordinates.

Consider the initial-boundary value problem for $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}$ in Goursat coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)} \tilde{u}_{1}=0 \text { in } \hat{\sigma}_{0}^{+} \\
& \left.\tilde{u}_{1}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}+\delta}=\left.\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{1}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}+\delta}=0 \\
& \left.\tilde{u}_{1}\right|_{\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right) \times \gamma_{0}}=f,\left.\quad \tilde{u}_{1}\right|_{\partial \hat{\sigma}_{0}^{+}}=0 \tag{7.25}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\operatorname{supp} f \subset\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right) \times \gamma_{0}$.

Consider now the equation $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(m)} \tilde{u}_{2}=0$ in $\hat{\sigma}_{0}^{+}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{L}_{1}^{(m)} \tilde{u}_{2}=0 \text { in } \hat{\sigma}_{0}^{+}, \\
& \left.\tilde{u}_{2}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}+\delta}=\left.\frac{\partial \tilde{u}_{2}}{\partial x_{0}}\right|_{x_{0}=t_{1}+\delta}=0, \\
& \left.\tilde{u}_{2}\right|_{\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right) \times \gamma_{0}}=f,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f$ is the same as in (7.25).
Since $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)}=\tilde{L}_{1}^{(m)}$ in $\hat{\sigma}_{0}^{+}$and since $\Lambda_{1}^{(1)} f=\Lambda_{1}^{(2)} f$ on $\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right) \gamma_{0} 0$ we have, by the unique continuation theorem of [25] and [29] that $\tilde{u}_{1}=\tilde{u}_{2}$ in $\hat{\sigma}_{1}^{+} \cap\left(t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right)$. Therefore by the continuity $\left.\tilde{u}_{2}\right|_{\partial \hat{\sigma}_{1}^{+} \cap\left(t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right)}=0$.

Let $\sigma_{2}^{+}=\varphi_{2}^{-1}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{0}^{+}\right), \tau_{2}=\varphi_{2}^{-1}\left(\partial \hat{\sigma}_{0}^{+}\right)$, where $y=\varphi_{2}(x)$ is the transformation to the Goursat coordinates for $L^{(m)}$.

We shall show that $\tau_{2}$ is a part of the boundary of $D_{1}^{(m)}$.
Construct the geometric optic solution $v_{1}(y)$ for $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)} u_{1}=0$ in Goursat coordinates as in (5.1). Since $\tau_{0}$ is the boundary of the domain $\sigma_{0}^{+}$and since the zero Dirichlet boundary condition holds on $\hat{\tau}_{0}=\tau_{0} \cap\left(t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right)$ this solution must reflect at $\hat{\tau}_{0}$ (cf. [14]).

Consider now the geometric optics solution $v_{2}(y)$ for $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(m)} u_{2}=0$ with the same initial condition. Since $\tilde{L}_{1}^{(1)} v_{1}=\tilde{L}_{1}^{(m)} v_{2}$ in $\hat{\sigma}_{0}^{+}$we have that $v_{1}(y)=v_{2}(y)$ before the reflection at $\hat{\tau}_{0}$. If $\tau_{2}=\varphi_{2}^{-1}\left(\hat{\tau}_{0}\right)$ is not a part of the boundary of $\partial D_{1}^{(m)}$ there will be no reflection for $v_{2}(y)$ at $\hat{\tau}_{0}$. Thus, the solutions $v_{1}(y)$ and $v_{2}(y)$ will be different in $\hat{\sigma}_{0}^{+}$near $\hat{\tau}_{0}$. This contradicts the fact that $v_{1}(y)=v_{2}(y)$ in $\hat{\sigma}_{0}^{+}$.

Therefore $\varphi_{m}=\varphi_{2}^{-1} \varphi_{1}$ maps the boundary $\gamma_{1} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ of $\partial D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ on the part of boundary of $\partial D_{1}^{(m)}$. Let $\sigma_{2} \subset D_{1}^{(m)}$ be the image of $\varphi_{m}=\varphi_{2}^{-1} \varphi_{1}\left(\sigma_{1} \times\left(t_{1}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right)\right)$. Let $\partial_{ \pm}\left(\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1} \times\left[t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right]\right) \cup \bar{\sigma}_{2}\right)$ be the space-like parts of the boundary of $\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1} \times\left[t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right]\right) \cup \bar{\sigma}_{2}$. Extend $\partial_{+}\left(\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1} \times\left[t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right]\right) \cup \bar{\sigma}_{2}\right)$ and $\partial_{-}\left(\left(\bar{\Omega}_{1} \times\left[t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right]\right) \cup \bar{\sigma}_{2}\right)$ as space-like surfaces $S_{m}^{+}$and $S_{m}^{-}$to the whole domain $D_{1}^{(m)}$. Let $\tilde{D}_{1}^{(m)}$ be part of $D_{1}^{(m)}$ bounded from below and above by $S_{m}^{-}$ and $S_{m}^{+}$, respectively. Note that $\partial^{\prime} D_{1}^{(m)} \supset \Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right)$. Define $\tilde{\varphi}_{m}=I$ on $\Omega_{1} \times\left(t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right), \tilde{\varphi}_{m}=I$ on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right), \tilde{\varphi}_{m}=\varphi_{m}$ on $\sigma_{1} \times\left(t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right)$. Let $\Phi_{m+1}$ be the extension of $\tilde{\varphi}_{m}$ (cf. [16]) to the whole domain $\tilde{D}_{1}^{(m)}$. Denote by $D_{1}^{(m+1)}$ the image of $\tilde{D}_{1}^{(m+1)}$ under the map $\Phi_{m+1}$. If $c_{m}$ is a gauge transformation on $\bar{\sigma}_{1} \times\left[t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right]$ we denote by $\tilde{c}_{m+1}$ the extension of $c_{m}$ to $D_{1}^{(m+1)}$ such that $\tilde{c}_{m+1}=1$ on $\Omega_{1} \times\left[t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right], \tilde{c}_{m+1}=1$ on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left(t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right)$.

We just proved the following lemma:
Lemma 7.6 Let $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(m+1)}=\tilde{c}_{m+1} \circ \Phi_{m+1} \circ L^{(m)}$ be operators in $D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{1}+\right.$ $\left.2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right]$ and $D_{1}^{(m+1)}$, respectively. Then $\Omega_{2} \times\left(t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right) \subset D_{1}^{(m+1)} \cap\left(D_{0}^{(1)} \times\right.$ $\left.\left(t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right)\right)$ where $\bar{\Omega}_{2}=\bar{\Omega}_{1} \cup \bar{\sigma}_{1}$ and $L^{(1)}=L^{(m+1)}$ in $\Omega_{2} \times\left(t_{1}+2 \delta, t_{2}-2 \delta\right)$.

We shall proceed with the enlargement of the domain $\Omega_{2}$ using Lemmas 7.2 and 7.6. Therefore after finite number of steps (cf. [9]) we get a domain $D_{1}^{(N)}$, operator $L^{(N)}$ on $D_{1}^{(N)}$ and the map $\Phi_{N}$ of $D_{1}^{(N)}$ onto $D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta_{N}, t_{2}-\delta_{N}\right)$ such that $c_{N} \circ \Phi_{N} \circ L^{(N)}=L^{(1)}$ in $D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta_{N}, t_{2}-\delta_{N}\right)$ for some $\delta_{N}>0$. Here $c_{N}$ is the gauge transformation. Remind that $\tilde{\Phi}_{2}$ is the diffeomorphism of $D_{1}^{(2)}$ onto $D_{1}^{(3)}, \Phi_{3}$ is the diffeomorphism of $\tilde{D}_{1}^{(3)} \subset D_{1}^{(3)}$ onto $D_{1}^{(4)}$, etc.... $\tilde{\Phi}_{N-1}$ is the map of $\left.\tilde{D}_{1}^{(N-1)}\right) \subset D_{1}^{(N-1)}$ onto $D_{1}^{(N)}$ and $\Phi_{N}$ is the map of $D_{1}^{(N)}$ onto $D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left(t_{1}+\delta_{N}, t_{2}-\delta_{N}\right)$.

Therefore, the diffeomorphism $\Phi^{-1}=\Phi_{1}^{-1} \Phi_{3}^{-1} \ldots \Phi_{N}^{-1}$ maps $D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{0}+\delta_{N}, t_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\delta_{N}\right]$ onto $D_{1}^{(2)}$. Thus $\Phi$ maps $D_{1}^{(2)}$ onto $D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{1}+\delta_{N}, t_{2}-\delta_{N}\right]$.

Note that $D_{1}^{(2)}$ is an almost cylindrical domain in $D_{0}^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}$, i.e. $D_{1}^{(2)}=D_{0}^{(2)} \times$ $\left\{S^{-}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leq x_{0} \leq S^{+}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\}$, where $x_{0}=S^{ \pm}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ are space-like surfaces, $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in D_{0}^{(2)}$.

Note that $\left[t_{1}, t_{2}\right]$ is arbitrary large and therefore $\left[t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right]=\left[t_{1}+\delta, t_{2}-\delta\right]$ is also arbitrary large. Therefore we obtained the following theorem:

Theorem 7.7 Let $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(2)}$ be two operators in $D_{0}^{(1)} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $D_{0}^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}$, respectively. Suppose $\Gamma_{0} \subset \partial D_{0}^{(1)} \cap \partial D_{0}^{(2)}$ and the DN operators, corresponding to $L^{(i)}$, are equal on $\Gamma_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$ for all $f$ that have a compact support in $\bar{\Gamma}_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that the conditions (1.2), (1.6) hold for $L^{(i)}, i=1,2$, and the coefficients of $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(2)}$ are analytic in $x_{0}$ in $D_{0}^{(i)} \times \mathbb{R}, i=1$, 2. Suppose for each $t_{0} \in R$ there exists $T_{t_{0}}$ such that the BLR condition is satisfied for $L^{(1)}$ on $\left[t_{0}, T_{t_{0}}\right]$. Let $\left[t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right]$ be an arbitrary sufficiently large time interval. Then there exists a diffeomorphism $\Phi^{-1}$ of $\bar{D}_{0}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right]$ on an almost cylindrical domain $\bar{D}_{1}^{(2)} \subset \bar{D}_{0}^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}, \Phi=I$ on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right]$ and there exists a gauge transformation $c(y)$ on $D_{1}^{(2)},|c(y)|=1$ on $D_{1}^{(2)}$, $c(y)=1$ on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right]$ such that

$$
c \circ \Phi^{-1} \circ L^{(2)}=L^{(1)} \quad \text { on } D_{0}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{1}^{\prime}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right] .
$$

Now we shall use Theorem 7.7 to prove Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2 Let $L^{(i)}$ be two operators in $D_{0}^{(i)} \times \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \Gamma_{0} \subset \partial D_{0}^{(1)} \cap$ $\partial D_{0}^{(2)}$ and all conditions of Theorem 7.7 are satisfied.

Let $\left(t_{j 1}, t_{j 2}\right)$ be an interval as in Theorem 7.7 and $\bigcup_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}\left(t_{j 1}, t_{j 2}\right)=\mathbb{R}$. We have $\bar{D}_{0}^{(1)} \times \mathbb{R} \subset \bigcup_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{D}_{0}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j 2}\right]$. It follows from Theorem 7.7 that for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists a diffeomorphism $\Psi_{j}$ on $D_{j}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j 2}\right]$ and a gauge transformation $c_{j}$ such that $\Psi_{j}=I$ and $c_{j}=1$ on $\bar{\Gamma}_{0} \times\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j 2}\right]$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{j} \circ \Psi_{j}^{-1} \circ L^{(2)}=L^{(1)} \text { in } \bar{D}_{0}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j 2}\right] . \tag{7.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (7.26) $\Psi_{j}$ is a diffeomorphism of $\bar{D}_{0}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j 2}\right]$ onto an almost cylindrical domain $\bar{D}_{0}^{(2)} \times\left\{S_{j}^{-}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leq x_{0} \leq S_{j}^{+}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\}$, where $x_{0}=S_{j}^{ \pm}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ are
space-like surfaces, $\Psi_{j}=I$ on $\bar{\Gamma}_{0} \times\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j 2}\right],\left|c_{j}(x)\right|=1$ for all $x \in \bar{D}_{0}^{(1)} \times$ $\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j 2}\right], c_{j}=1$ on $\Gamma_{0} \times\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j 2}\right]$.

We shall show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{j}=\Psi_{j+1}, \quad c_{j}=c_{j+1} \tag{7.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\bar{D}_{0}^{(1)} \times\left[t_{j+1,1}, t_{j 2}\right]$ where $\left[t_{j+1,1}, t_{j 2}\right]$ is the intersection of $\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j 2}\right]$ and $\left[t_{j+1,1}, t_{j+1,2}\right]$.

Let $\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j+1,2}\right]=\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j 2}\right] \cup\left[t_{j+1,1}, t_{j+1,2}\right]$. Note that the proof of Theorem 7.7 consists of several steps, each step is dealing with the arbitrary large time interval. Consider, for example, Lemma 7.1.

The changes of variables (7.4), (7.5) and (7.7) are defined on arbitrary time interval $\left[t^{\prime}, t^{\prime \prime}\right]$. Thus they are defined on $\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j+1,2}\right]$. Therefore the maps (7.4), (7.5), (7.7) are defined on $\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j 2}\right]$ and $\left[t_{j+1,1}, t_{j+1,2}\right]$ and they coincide on $\left[t_{j+1,1}, t_{j 2}\right]=\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j 2}\right] \cap$ $\left[t_{j+1,1}, t_{j+1,2}\right]$.

Also using the extension theorems of $[16, \S 8]$, we can find the extension $\tilde{\Phi}_{2} \cap$ [ $\left.t_{j 1}, t_{j+1,2}\right]$ of $\Phi_{2} \cap\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j+1,2}\right]$ (cf. (7.8)) in two steps: First extend $\tilde{\Phi}_{2} \cap\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j+1,1}\right]$ and then extend continuously $\Phi_{2} \cap\left[t_{j+1,1}, t_{j+1,2}\right]$ to get a continuous extension of $\Phi_{2} \cap\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j+1,2}\right]$. This way we show that (7.27) holds for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore starting with $\Psi_{0}$ on $\left[t_{01}, t_{02}\right]$ we can construct $\Psi_{1}$ on $\left[t_{11}, t_{12}\right]$ such that $\Psi_{0}=\Psi_{1}$ on $\left[t_{01}, t_{02}\right] \cap\left[t_{11}, t_{12}\right]$. Continuing this construction we get (7.27) for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\Psi=\Psi_{j}, c=c_{j}$ on $\left[t_{j 1}, t_{j 2}\right], \forall j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\Psi$ is a proper diffeomorphism of $\bar{D}_{0}^{(1)} \times \mathbb{R}$ onto $\bar{D}_{0}^{(2)} \times \mathbb{R}, \Psi=I$ on $\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}$ and

$$
c \circ \Psi^{-1} \circ L^{(2)}=L^{(1)} \text { on } \bar{D}_{0}^{(1)} \times \mathbb{R} .
$$
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