



# On homogeneous $\eta$ -Einstein almost cosymplectic manifolds

Antonio Lotta<sup>1</sup>

Received: 26 July 2023 / Accepted: 9 September 2023  
© The Author(s) 2023

## Abstract

We prove that every compact, homogeneous  $\eta$ -Einstein almost cosymplectic manifold is a cosymplectic manifold.

**Keywords** Homogeneous almost contact metric manifold · Almost cosymplectic manifold · Goldberg conjecture

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 53C25 · 53C15 · 53C30

## 1 Introduction

In Cappelletti Montano and Pastore (2010), Cappelletti Montano and Pastore proved that every compact *Einstein almost cosymplectic* manifold  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  with *Killing* Reeb vector field  $\xi$  is necessarily a *cosymplectic manifold*. This result can be considered as an odd-dimensional counterpart of the famous Goldberg conjecture concerning compact Einstein almost Kähler manifolds. This conjecture claims that such a manifold must be actually a Kähler manifold. We refer the reader to Sect. 2 for basic definitions concerning almost contact metric and almost cosymplectic manifolds. Under the same assumption on  $\xi$ , they showed that the same conclusion holds if the Einstein condition is replaced by the  $\eta$ -Einstein condition, namely:

$$Ric = ag + b\eta \otimes \eta, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R},$$

provided the constant  $a$  is positive, see Cappelletti Montano et al. (2010, Theorem 4.2). Their proof is based on a structure result for the leaves of the foliation orthogonal to the Reeb vector field, due to de Leon and Marrero (1997) and Sekigawa's result

---

✉ Antonio Lotta  
antonio.lotta@uniba.it

<sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro, Via E. Orabona 4, 70125 Bari, Italy

confirming the validity of the Golberg conjecture for compact almost Kähler manifolds having non-negative scalar curvature (Sekigawa 1987).

The purpose of this note is to show that these results can be improved in the homogeneous setting; indeed, we shall prove the following:

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a compact, homogeneous  $\eta$ -Einstein almost cosymplectic manifold. Then  $M$  is a cosymplectic manifold.*

As usual, homogeneity means that there exists a Lie group  $G$  acting transitively on the manifold  $M$ , preserving the underlying geometric structure  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

We recall that an almost contact metric structure  $(\varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  on an odd-dimensional manifold consists of a  $(1, 1)$  tensor field  $\varphi$ , a 1-form  $\eta$ , a vector field  $\xi$  and a Riemannian metric  $g$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

where  $X, Y$  are arbitrary smooth vector fields. The vector field  $\xi$  is called the *Reeb vector field* of the almost contact metric structure. This notion corresponds to that of almost Hermitian structure in complex geometry. We shall assume that all manifolds under considerations are  $C^\infty$  and connected.

When the tensor field  $\varphi$  is *parallel* with respect to the Levi-Civita connection, one gets a structure which is analogous to a Kähler structure. In this case  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  is called a *cosymplectic manifold*. We remark that another relevant and widely studied class of almost contact metric structures which is deeply related to the Kähler class is that of Sasakian manifolds. These two kind of geometric structures are radically different since for symplectic manifolds the 1-form  $\eta$  is necessarily *closed*, while for Sasakian manifolds it is a contact form. We refer the reader to Blair's monograph (Blair 2010) and to the survey (Cappelletti-Montano et al. 2013) for motivation and background about these concepts and a detailed account concerning relevant results in the literature.

Like in complex geometry, there is a meaningful weaker notion of *almost cosymplectic structure*, corresponding to that of *almost Kähler* structure: this is an almost contact metric structure characterized by the following requirements:

$$d\eta = 0, \quad d\Phi = 0,$$

where  $\Phi$  is the fundamental 2-form of the underlying almost contact metric structure, defined as usual according to:

$$\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \varphi Y).$$

Given an almost cosymplectic manifold  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ , we shall denote by  $D$  the kernel of  $\eta$ , which is an integrable distribution in the sense of Frobenius. The following

basic identity is known (cf. Olszak 1981):

$$Ric(\xi, \xi) = -\|\nabla\xi\|^2. \tag{2.1}$$

For every smooth vector field  $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , we shall denote by  $A_Z$  the  $(1, 1)$ -tensor field defined by  $A_Z(Y) = -\nabla_Y Z$ . We recall that if  $Z$  is a Killing vector field, then for every  $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  it holds:

$$Ric(Z, Y) = -div(A_Z Y) - tr(A_Z \circ A_Y), \tag{2.2}$$

see for instance (Kobayashi and Nomizu 1963, Ch. VI, Prop. 5.1).

A vector field  $Z$  will be called an *infinitesimal automorphism* of an almost contact metric manifold  $M$  provided its flow preserves the underlying geometric structure. In particular, such a  $Z$  is a Killing vector field. We recall the following useful fact:

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  be an infinitesimal automorphism of an almost cosymplectic manifold. Then  $\eta(Z)$  is a constant function.*

**Proof** Indeed, by Cartan’s formula, since  $\eta$  is closed, one has:

$$0 = \mathcal{L}_Z \eta = (d \circ i_Z + i_Z \circ d)\eta = d\eta(Z).$$

### 3 Proof of the result

Let  $(M, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$  be a compact, homogeneous  $\eta$ -Einstein almost cosymplectic manifold. Denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Lie algebra of a Lie group  $G$  acting smoothly and transitively on  $M$  as a group of automorphisms. By assumption, the Ricci tensor of  $g$  is of the form

$$Ric = ag + b\eta \otimes \eta, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

First, we show that  $a + b = 0$ . Fix  $p \in M$  and set  $v := \xi_p$ ; then, by homogeneity,  $v$  can be extended to an infinitesimal automorphism  $Z$  of  $M$ . Indeed, the mapping

$$A \in \mathfrak{g} \mapsto A_p^* \in T_p M$$

is surjective; here  $A^*$  denotes the fundamental vector field generated by  $A$  by means of the action, cf. e.g. Kobayashi et al. (1963, Ch. I). So it suffices to take  $Z = A^*$ , where  $A \in \mathfrak{g}$  is chosen so that  $A_p^* = v$ .

Now, according to Lemma 2.1, we have  $\eta(Z) = 1$ , so that:

$$Ric(Z, \xi) = ag(Z, \xi) + b\eta(Z)\eta(\xi) = a + b.$$

Therefore, since  $Z$  is a Killing vector field, using (2.2), we get:

$$\int_M (a + b)dV = - \int_M tr(A_\xi \circ A_Z)dV = 0,$$

where the last equality is justified by the fact that  $A_\xi$  is a symmetric operator (being  $d\eta = 0$ ), while  $A_Z$  is a skew-symmetric operator.

We have thus obtained that  $a + b = 0$ , which means that  $Ric(\xi, \xi) = 0$ , whence  $\xi$  is parallel according to (2.1). Moreover,

$$Ric = a(g - \eta \otimes \eta).$$

If  $a = 0$ , then  $M$  is Ricci-flat, so that the quoted Cappelletti-Montano and Pastore's result about the Einstein case applies, yielding that  $M$  is a cosymplectic manifold. So we can assume  $a \neq 0$ . Actually, we can show by an argument similar to the one used above that  $a > 0$ ; indeed, choose now  $v \in D_p$ ,  $v \neq 0$ , and consider again an infinitesimal automorphism  $Z$  such that  $Z_p = v$ . Then Lemma 2.1 guarantees that  $Z$  is actually a section of  $D$ . Using again (2.2) yields:

$$\int_M Ric(Z, Z)dV = - \int_M tr(A_Z)^2 dV \geq 0,$$

whence

$$a \int_M g(Z, Z)dV \geq 0,$$

so that  $a > 0$ . Hence, we are in a position to apply the result by Cappelletti Montano and Pastore concerning the  $\eta$ -Einstein case, yielding again that the structure of  $M$  is cosymplectic.

**Funding** Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro within the CRUI-CARE Agreement. Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Bari Aldo Moro within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

- Blair, D.E.: Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds, 2nd edn. Progress in Mathematics, vol. 203. Birkhäuser, Boston (2010)
- Cappelletti Montano, B., Pastore, A.M.: Einstein-like conditions and cosymplectic geometry. J. Adv. Math. Stud. **3**(2), 27–40 (2010)
- Cappelletti-Montano, B., De Nicola, A., Yudin, I.: A survey on cosymplectic geometry. Rev. Math. Phys. **25**(10), 1343002 (2013)
- de Leon, M., Marrero, J.C.: Compact cosymplectic manifolds with transversally positive definite Ricci tensor. Rend. Mat. Appl. (7) **17**(4), 607–624 (1997)
- Kobayashi, S., Nomizu, K.: Foundations of Differential Geometry, vol. I. Wiley, New York (1963)

Olszak, Z.: On almost cosymplectic manifolds. *Kodai Math. J.* **4**(2), 239–250 (1981)

Sekigawa, K.: On some compact Einstein almost Kähler manifolds. *J. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **39**, 677–684 (1987)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.