



Correction to: Do unpublished data help to redraw distributions? The case of the spectacled bear in Peru

Nereyda Falconi^{1,2}  · John T. Finn¹ · Todd K. Fuller¹ · Stephen DeStefano² · John F. Organ³

Published online: 29 February 2024

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Mammal Research Institute Polish Academy of Sciences 2024

Correction to: Mammal Research (2022) 68:143-150
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13364-022-00664-0>

In the original published version of the above article, Figure 2 appeared incorrect and should have appeared as shown below.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13364-022-00664-0>.


✉ Nereyda Falconi
nereydafl@hotmail.com

¹ Department of Environmental Conservation, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA

² Massachusetts Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, U. S. Geological Survey, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, USA

³ U. S. Geological Survey, Cooperative Research Units Program, Reston, VA 20192, USA

Fig. 2 Mean values and standard deviations of threshold independent (AUC) and dependent (kappa, sensitivity, and specificity) measures of discrimination for the 10 models for dataset 1 (red) and dataset 2 (blue) for each method. Generalized linear models (GLM), random forest (RF), and maximum entropy (Maxent)



The original article has been corrected.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.