

On axially rational regular functions and Schur analysis in the Clifford-Appell setting

Daniel Alpay¹ · Fabrizio Colombo² · Antonino De Martino² · Kamal Diki¹ · Irene Sabadini²

Received: 8 July 2023 / Revised: 8 March 2024 / Accepted: 9 March 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

Abstract

In this paper we start the study of Schur analysis for Cauchy–Fueter regular quaternionic-valued functions, i.e. null solutions of the Cauchy–Fueter operator in \mathbb{R}^4 . The novelty of the approach developed in this paper is that we consider axially regular functions, i.e. functions spanned by the so-called Clifford-Appell polynomials. This type of functions arises naturally from two well-known extension results in hypercomplex analysis: the Fueter mapping theorem and the generalized Cauchy–Kovalevskaya (GCK) extension. These results allow one to obtain axially regular functions starting from analytic functions of one real or complex variable. Precisely, in the Fueter theorem two operators play a role. The first one is the so-called slice operator, which extends holomorphic functions of one complex variable to slice hyperholomorphic functions of a quaternionic variable. The second operator is the Laplace operator in four real variables, that maps slice hyperholomorphic functions to axially regular functions. On the other hand, the generalized CK-extension gives a characterization of axially regular functions in terms of their restriction to the real line. In this paper we use these two extensions to define two notions of rational function in the regular

⊠ Daniel Alpay alpay@chapman.edu

> Fabrizio Colombo fabrizio.colombo@polimi.it

Antonino De Martino antonino.demartino@polimi.it

Kamal Diki diki@chapman.edu

Irene Sabadini irene.sabadini@polimi.it

- ¹ Schmid College of Science and Technology, Chapman University, One University Drive, Orange, CA 92866, USA
- ² Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Milano, Via E. Bonardi, 9, 20133 Milan, Italy

setting. For our purposes, the notion coming from the generalized CK-extension is the most suitable. Our results allow to consider the Hardy space, Schur multipliers and their relation with realizations in the framework of Clifford-Appell polynomials. We also introduce two notions of regular Blaschke factors, through the Fueter theorem and the generalized CK-extension.

Keywords Clifford-Appell polynomials · Rational functions · Blaschke factor · Schur analysis · Generalized Cauchy–Kovalevskaya extension

Mathematics Subject Classification 47B32 · 47S10 · 30G35

Contents

1	Introduction
2	Preliminaries
	2.1 Quaternionic-valued functions
	2.2 Fueter theorem and generalized CK-extension
	2.3 Clifford-Appell polynomials
3	Axially rational regular functions through the Fueter theorem
4	Axially rational regular function through the generalized CK-extension
	4.1 Algebraic properties of rational axially regular functions
5	Hardy space
	Schur multipliers
7	Realizations of Schur multipliers
8	Blaschke product: through the GCK-extension
9	Blaschke factor through the Fueter map
	eferences

1 Introduction

The Fueter mapping theorem and the generalized Cauchy–Kovalevskaya (GCK) extension are two main tools in quaternionic, and more generally, in Clifford analysis, both allowing one to get axially regular functions, i.e. null solutions of the Cauchy–Fueter operator in \mathbb{R}^4 , starting from analytic functions of one real or complex variable.

The Fueter mapping theorem is a two-steps procedure giving an axially regular function starting from a holomorphic function of one complex variable. This is achieved by using two operators. The first one is the so-called slice operator that extends holomorphic functions of one complex variable to slice hyperholomorphic functions. The theory of slice hyperholomorphic functions is nowadays well developed, see [23, 24]. The second operator is the Laplace operator in four real variables which maps slice hyperholomorphic functions to axially regular functions. On the other hand, the generalized CK-extension is defined in terms of powers of $\underline{x}\partial_{x_0}$, where x_0 and \underline{x} are the real and imaginary parts of a quaternion, respectively.

The two maps are not the same: the generalized CK-extension is an isomorphism, whereas the Fueter map is only surjective. In [31] a connection between the two extension operators has been proved. Furthermore, in [31] the authors showed that although for the exponential, trigonometric and hyperbolic functions the two extension

maps coincide, the two maps differ in most cases, for example when acting on the rational functions.

In the framework of rational functions, we recall that in [18, 38] it is explained how the state space theory of linear systems gave rise to the notion of realization, which is a representation of a rational function. In the complex setting a realization in a neighbourhood of the origin is defined as

$$R(z) = D + zC(I - zA)^{-1}B, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where A, B, C and D are matrices of suitable dimensions. Moreover, the inverse of a realization is still a realization when D is square and invertible, as well as the sum and the product of two realizations of compatible sizes. See [18] and the beginning of Sect. 3 in the present paper.

In this paper we shall introduce the counterpart of the realization theory in the regular setting through the Fueter theorem and the generalized CK-extension. The main obstacles to achieve this goal are

- a suitable replacement of monomials in the framework of axially regular functions,
- an appropriate product between axially regular functions.

In order to explain how to overcome these issues, we fix the following notations. The set \mathbb{H} of real quaternions is defined as:

$$\mathbb{H} := \{ x = x_0 + e_1 x_1 + e_2 x_2 + e_3 x_3 \mid x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{R} \},\$$

where the imaginary units satisfy the relations

$$e_1^2 = e_2^2 = e_3^2 = -1$$
, and $e_1e_2 = -e_2e_1 = e_3$, $e_2e_3 = -e_3e_2 = e_1$,
 $e_3e_1 = -e_1e_3 = e_2$.

We can also write a quaternion as $x = x_0 + \underline{x}$, where we denoted by x_0 its real part and by $\underline{x} := e_1x_1 + e_2x_2 + e_3x_3$ its imaginary part. The conjugate of a quaternion $x \in \mathbb{H}$ is defined as $x = x_0 - \underline{x}$ and its modulus is given by $|x| = \sqrt{x\overline{x}} = \sqrt{x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}$. By the symbol \mathbb{S} we denote the sphere of purely imaginary unit quaternions defined as

$$\mathbb{S} := \{ \underline{x} = e_1 x_1 + e_2 x_2 + e_3 x_3 \mid x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1 \}.$$

We observe that if $I \in S$ then $I^2 = -1$. This means that I is an imaginary unit and that

$$\mathbb{C}_I := \{ u + Iv \, | \, u, v \in \mathbb{R} \},\$$

is an isomorphic copy of the complex numbers.

In quaternionic analysis, the Taylor expansion of a regular function is given in terms of the well-known Fueter polynomials, which play the role of the monomials $x_0^{\alpha_1} x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ in several real variables. An easy way to describe regular functions is through axially regular functions, see [46]. Indeed, for axially regular functions a simpler approach than the one of Fueter polynomials is available: the approach of the Clifford-Appell polynomials.

These polynomials are defined as

$$Q_m(x) = \frac{2}{(m+1)(m+2)} \sum_{\ell=0}^m (m-\ell+1) x^{m-\ell} \bar{x}^\ell,$$
(1.1)

and they were investigated in [21, 22]. We note that they arise as the action of the Fueter map on the monomials x^k , $x \in \mathbb{H}$, see [30]. Any axially regular function in a neighbourhood of the origin can be written as a power series in terms of the polynomials $Q_m(x)$ of the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) f_n, \qquad f_n \in \mathbb{H}.$$
 (1.2)

As we discussed above, another issue is the fact that one needs a suitable product between axially regular functions, since the pointwise product evidently spoils the regularity. A well known product between regular functions is the so-called CKproduct. This product is defined for regular functions f and g as

$$f(x_0, \underline{x}) \odot_{CK} g(x_0, \underline{x}) = CK \left[f(0, \underline{x}) \cdot g(0, \underline{x}) \right].$$

In [10] a CK-product between Clifford-Appell polynomials is performed. Precisely, it is given by

$$\left(\mathcal{Q}_k \odot_{CK} \mathcal{Q}_s\right)(x) = \frac{c_k c_s}{c_{k+s}} \mathcal{Q}_{k+s}(x).$$
(1.3)

The drawback of the previous formula is the presence of the constant c_k , depending on the degree k, which makes the formula unsuitable for some types of computations.

In [32] a new kind of product is defined between axially regular functions: the socalled generalized CK-product. This gives a more natural formula for the multiplication of the Clifford-Appell polynomials:

$$\mathcal{Q}_m(x) \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_\ell(x) = \mathcal{Q}_{m+\ell}(x).$$

Another advantage of the generalized CK-product is that it is a convolution (also called Cauchy product) of the coefficients of the Clifford-Appell polynomials.

The polynomials $Q_m(x)$ are also useful to define a counterpart of the Hardy space in the quaternionic unit ball for axially regular functions. This space consists of functions of the form (1.2) which satisfy the condition $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |f_n|^2 < \infty$. In this context, the

reproducing kernel of the Hardy space is given by

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_m(x) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_m(y)}.$$

The notion of Clifford-Appell polynomials and generalized CK-product paved the way to provide a definition of Schur multipliers in this setting.

In the literature, Schur multipliers are related to several applications: inverse scattering (see [12, 13, 20, 26]), fast algorithms (see [42, 43]), interpolation problems (see [33]) and several other ones.

In complex analysis a function *s* defined in the unit disk \mathbb{D} is a Schur multiplier if and only if the kernel

$$k_s(z,w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n (1 - s(z)\overline{s(w)}) \overline{w}^n$$

is positive definite in the open unit disk. Recently a generalization of Schur multipliers in the slice hyperholomorphic setting has been provided, see [3, 5]. The notion we shall consider in this paper is the following: a quaternionic-valued function *S* defined in the unit ball is a Schur multiplier if and only if the kernel

$$K_{S}(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{Q}_{n}(x) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{n}(y)} - (S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n})(x) \overline{(S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n})(y)} \right)$$

is positive definite in the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^4 .

With this definition, most of the characterizations of Schur multipliers can be adapted to the non-commutative framework of Clifford-Appell polynomials. We note that in the quaternionic matrix case, being a Schur function is not equivalent to taking contractive values; see [4, (62.38) p. 1767].

As a particular example of Schur multiplier, we define the so-called Clifford-Appell Blaschke factor by

$$\mathcal{B}_a(x) = (1 - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)\bar{a})^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (a - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)) \frac{\bar{a}}{|a|},$$

with $a \in \mathbb{H}$, such that |a| < 1. Another and different notion of Blaschke factor is given by applying the Fueter map to the slice hyperholomorphic Blaschke factor. Nevertheless, these two regular notions of Blaschke factor are not equivalent.

The paper is divided into eight parts besides the present introduction. In Sect. 2 we recall some key notions in hypercomplex analysis and we state the Fueter mapping theorem and the generalized CK-extension. In Sect. 3 we provide the notion of axially rational regular function by using the Fueter mapping theorem. In Sect. 4 we define the counterpart of rational function in the regular setting by using the generalized CK-extension, and we prove some properties of regular rational functions. In Sect. 5

we define the Hardy space in this framework. In Sect. 6 we give the definition of Schur multipliers by means of the Clifford-Appell polynomials, and we give several characterizations of such. In Sect. 7 we prove a co-isometric realization of Schur multiplier. Section 8 is devoted to study a particular example of the Schur multiplier: the Blaschke factor. Finally, in Sect. 9 we provide another notion of axially regular Blaschke factor through the Fueter map.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Quaternionic-valued functions

In the quaternionic setting there are various classes of functions generalizing holomorphic functions to quaternions, but in past few years two classes are the most studied: the slice hyperholomorphic functions and the regular functions. In this section we revise their definitions and their main properties.

First of all we recall the following:

Definition 2.1 We say that a set $U \subset \mathbb{H}$ is axially symmetric if, for every $u + Iv \in U$, all the elements u + Jv for $J \in \mathbb{S}$ are contained in U.

The type of sets defined above are designed to work in class of functions in the next definition.

Definition 2.2 Let $U \subset \mathbb{H}$ be an axially symmetric open set and let

$$\mathcal{U} := \{ (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid u + \mathbb{S}v \in U \}.$$

A function $f: U \to \mathbb{H}$ of the form

$$f(x) = f(u + Iv) = \alpha(u, v) + I\beta(u, v)$$

(resp. $f(x) = f(u + Iv) = \alpha(u, v) + \beta(u, v)I$),

is left (resp. right) slice hyperholomorphic if α and β are quaternionic-valued functions and satisfy the so-called "even-odd" conditions i.e.

 $\alpha(u, v) = \alpha(u, -v), \qquad \beta(u, v) = -\beta(u, -v) \quad \text{for all} \quad (u, v) \in \mathcal{U}.$ (2.1)

Moreover, the functions α and β satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann system

$$\partial_u \alpha(u, v) - \partial_v \beta(u, v) = 0$$
, and $\partial_v \alpha(u, v) + \partial_u \beta(u, v) = 0$.

The set of left (resp. right) slice hyperholomorphic functions on U is denoted by $SH_L(U)$ (resp. $SH_R(U)$). If the functions α and β are real-valued functions, then we say that the slice hyperholomorphic function f is *intrinsic*, and the class of instrinsic functions is denoted by $\mathcal{N}(U)$.

We observe that the pointwise product of two slice hyperholomorphic functions is not slice hyperholomorphic. However it is possible to define a product that preserves the slice hyperholomorphicity.

Definition 2.3 Let $f = \alpha_0 + I\beta_0$, $g = \alpha_1 + I\beta_1 \in S\mathcal{H}_L(U)$. We define their *-product as

$$f * g = (\alpha_0 \alpha_1 - \beta_0 \beta_1) + I(\alpha_0 \beta_1 + \beta_0 \alpha_1).$$

Let $f = \alpha_0 + \beta_0 I$, $g = \alpha_1 + \beta_1 I \in S\mathcal{H}_R(U)$. We define their *-product as

$$f * g = (\alpha_0 \alpha_1 - \beta_0 \beta_1) + (\alpha_0 \beta_1 + \beta_0 \alpha_1)I.$$

Definition 2.4 Let $f = \alpha_0 + I\beta_0 \in S\mathcal{H}_L(U)$. We define its left slice hyperholomorphic conjugate as $f^c = \overline{\alpha_0} + I\overline{\beta_0}$ and its symmetrisation as $f^s = f^c * f = f * f^c$. The left slice hyperholomorphic reciprocal is defined as $f^{-*} = (f^s)^{-1} f^c$.

Let $f = \alpha_0 + \beta_0 I \in SH_R(U)$. We define its right slice hyperholomorphic conjugate as $f^c = \overline{\alpha_0} + \overline{\beta_0}I$ and its symmetrisation as $f^s = f^c * f = f * f^c$. The right slice hyperholomorphic reciprocal is defined as $f^{-*} = f^c(f^s)^{-1}$.

Another well studied class of quaternionic-valued functions is given by the Cauchy– Fueter regular (regular, for short) functions, see [19, 27, 35].

Definition 2.5 Let $U \subset \mathbb{H}$ be an open set and let $f : U \to \mathbb{H}$ be a function of class C^1 . We say that the function f is (left) regular if

$$\mathcal{D}f(x) = (\partial_{x_0} + \partial_x)f(x) = (\partial_{x_0} + e_1\partial_x + e_2\partial_{x_2} + e_3\partial_{x_3})f(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in U,$$

 \mathcal{D} is the so-called Cauchy–Fueter operator.

Example The fundamental example of regular functions is given by the so-called Fueter variables defined as

$$\xi_1(x) := x_1 - e_1 x_0, \quad \xi_2(x) := x_2 - e_2 x_0, \quad \xi_3(x) := x_3 - e_3 x_0.$$

A way to characterize regular functions is the well-known CK-extension, see [19, 27, 34]. An arbitrary regular function f is uniquely obtained by considering its restriction to the hyperplane $x_0 = 0$. Precisely, we define the CK-extension of a function $f(\underline{x})$, which is real analytic in a set $\tilde{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ (in the real variables x_1, x_2, x_3), as the function defined in a suitable open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{H} \cong \mathbb{R}^4$, $U \supset \tilde{U}$ given by

$$CK[f(\underline{x})](x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\ell}}{\ell!} x_0^{\ell} \partial_{\underline{x}}[f(\underline{x})].$$

The pointwise product of regular functions is clearly not regular. Indeed, the product of two Fueter variables is a counter-example proving this fact. For this reason, a suitable product between regular functions is established, and since it is based on the CK-extension it is called CK-product, see [19].

Definition 2.6 Let f, g be two regular functions, then their CK-product is defined as

$$(f \odot g)(x) = CK[f(\underline{x})g(\underline{x})]$$

where the product at the right hand side is the pointwise product of two real analytic functions in x_1 , x_2 , x_3 which are the restrictions of f and g to $x_0 = 0$.

We recall that for $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{H}$ the symmetrized product is defined as

$$a_1 \times a_2 \times \ldots \times a_n = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} a_{\sigma(1)} a_{\sigma(2)} \ldots a_{\sigma(n)},$$

where S_n is the set of all permutations of the set $\{1, ..., n\}$. By making the symmetrized product of the Fueter polynomials, we get

$$\xi^{\nu} := \xi^{\nu}(x) = \xi_1^{\xi_1 \times}(x) \times \xi_2^{\nu_2 \times}(x) \times \xi_3^{\nu_3 \times}(x), \qquad \nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3) \in \mathbb{N}_0^3.$$
(2.2)

We observe that ξ^{ν} is the CK-extension of $x^{\nu} = x_1^{\nu_1} x_2^{\nu_2} x_2^{\nu_2}$ and so it is in fact $\xi^{\nu} = \xi_1^{\nu_1} \odot \xi_2^{\nu_2} \odot \xi_3^{\nu_3}$.

Every regular function in neighbourhood of the origin can be written in the following way

$$f(x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^3} \xi^{\nu} f_{\nu} \qquad f_{\nu} \in \mathbb{H}.$$
(2.3)

The CK-product of the basis ξ^{ν} si given by

$$\xi^{\nu} p \odot_{CK} \xi^{\mu} q = \xi^{\nu+\mu} p q, \qquad q, p \in \mathbb{H}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathbb{N}_0^3.$$

Thus the CK-product of two functions written in the form (2.3) in neighbourhood of the origin can be computed via the convolution (also called Cauchy product, see [36]) of the coefficients along the Fueter polynomials.

A subset of regular functions is the right quaternionic space of the axially regular functions. These functions are defined below:

Definition 2.7 Let U be an axially symmetric slice domain in \mathbb{H} . We say that a function $f: U \to \mathbb{H}$ is axially regular, if it is regular and it is of the form

$$f(x_0 + \underline{x}) = A(x_0, |\underline{x}|) + \underline{\omega}B(x_0, |\underline{x}|), \qquad \underline{\omega} := \frac{\underline{x}}{|\underline{x}|},$$

where the functions A and B are quaternionic valued and satisfy the even-odd conditions (2.1). We denote by $\mathcal{AM}(U)$ the set of axially regular functions on U.

The set of axially regular functions constitutes the "building blocks" to define a regular functions in the sense of the result below, see [27].

Theorem 2.8 Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ be an axially symmetric open set. Then every regular function $f: U \to \mathbb{H}$ can be written as

$$\check{f}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \check{f}_k(x),$$

where $f_k(x)$ are functions of the form

$$\breve{f}_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{m_k} [A_{k,j}(x_0, |\underline{x}|) + \underline{\omega} B_{k,j}(x_0, |\underline{x}|)] \mathcal{P}_{k,j}(\underline{x}),$$

where $A_{k,j}$ and $B_{k,j}$ satisfy conditions (2.1) and $\mathcal{P}_{k,j}(\underline{x})$ form a basis for the space of spherical regular functions of degree k, which has dimension m_k .

2.2 Fueter theorem and generalized CK-extension

We now recall how to induce slice hyperholomorphic functions from holomorphic intrinsic functions.

Definition 2.9 An open connected set in the complex plane is an intrinsic complex domain if it is symmetric respect the real-axis.

Definition 2.10 A holomorphic function $f(z) = \alpha(u, v) + i\beta(u, v)$ is intrinsic if is defined in an intrinsic complex domain D and $\overline{f(z)} = f(\overline{z})$. We denote the set of holomorphic intrinsic functions on D by $\mathcal{H}(D)$.

Remark 2.11 Slice hyperholomorphic intrinsic functions defined on

$$\Omega_D = \{x = x_0 + x \mid (x_0, |x|) \in D\}$$

are induced by intrinsic holomorphic functions defined in $D \subset \mathbb{C}$, by the so-called *slice operator* defined in the following way

$$S: \mathcal{H}(D) \otimes \mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{SH}_L(\Omega_D), \qquad \alpha(u, v) + i\beta(u, v) \mapsto \alpha(x_0, |\underline{x}|) + I\beta(x_0, |\underline{x}|),$$
(2.4)

which consists of replacing the complex variable z = u + iv by the quaternionic variable $x = x_0 + \underline{x}$ and the complex unit *i* is replaced by $I := \frac{\underline{x}}{|\underline{x}|}$.

Real analytic functions in one variable can be extended to slice hyperholomorphic functions in a suitable open set. In fact, let $\tilde{D} := D \cap \mathbb{R}$. We denote by $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{D})$ the space of real-valued analytic functions defined on \tilde{D} with a unique holomorphic extension to the set D. The holomorphic extension map is defined as $C = \exp(iv\partial_u)$. With this notation, we can define the slice regular extension map as $S_1 = S \circ C = \exp(\underline{x}\partial_{x_0})$.

Theorem 2.12 We have the isomorphism,

$$\mathcal{SH}_L(\Omega) \simeq \mathcal{A}(\tilde{D}) \otimes \mathbb{H} \simeq \mathcal{H}(D) \otimes \mathbb{H},$$

and the following commutative diagram

$$\mathcal{A}(\tilde{D}) \otimes \mathbb{H} \xrightarrow{C} \mathcal{H}(D) \otimes \mathbb{H} \xrightarrow{S_1} \downarrow s \\ \mathcal{SH}_L(\Omega)$$

Remark 2.13 A slice operator can be defined also for right slice hyperholomorphic functions and a result similar to Theorem 2.12 is valid in this case.

In quaternionic analysis the main tools to transform analytic functions of one real or complex variable into axially regular functions are the Fueter mapping theorem (see [37]) and the Cauchy–Kovalevskaya (CK) extension (see [27]).

Theorem 2.14 (Fueter mapping theorem) Let $f_0(z) = \alpha(u, v) + i\beta(u, v)$ be a holomorphic function defined in a domain (open and connected) D in the upper-half complex plane and let Ω_D as before. Then the operator S defined in (2.4) maps the set of holomorphic functions to the set of slice hyperholomorphic functions. Moreover, the function

$$\check{f}(x) := \Delta\left(\alpha(x_0, |\underline{x}|) + \frac{\underline{x}}{|\underline{x}|}\beta(x_0, |\underline{x}|)\right),\,$$

is axially regular, where $\Delta := \partial_{x_0}^2 + \partial_{x_1}^2 + \partial_{x_2}^2 + \partial_{x_3}^2$ is the Laplace operator in the four real variables x_ℓ , $\ell = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Remark 2.15 The Fueter theorem was extended to the Clifford setting in 1957 by M. Sce, in the case of odd dimensions, see [44]. In this case, the Laplace operator Δ is replaced by $\Delta_{n+1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, where Δ_{n+1} is the Laplacian in n+1 dimensions and n is odd, so in this case we are dealing with a differential operator. The proof of M. Sce in the Clifford setting is just a particular case of the computations in a generic quadratic algebra, see [44] and its translation with commentaries in [25]. In 1997, T. Qian showed that the Fueter-Sce theorem can be also proved in even dimensions. In this case the operator $\Delta_{n+1}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ is a fractional operator, see [40, 41].

Theorem 2.16 (Generalized CK-extension, [27]) Let $\tilde{D} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a real domain and consider an analytic function $f_0(x_0) \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{H}$. Then there exists a unique sequence $\{f_j(x_0)\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{H}$ such that the series

$$f(x_0, \underline{x}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \underline{x}^j f_j(x_0),$$

is convergent in an axially symmetric 4-dimensional neighbourhood $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}$ of D and its sum is a regular function i.e., $(\partial_{x_0} + \partial_{\underline{x}}) f(x_0, \underline{x}) = 0$.

Furthermore, the sum f is formally given by the expression

$$f(x_0, \underline{x}) = \Gamma\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \left(\frac{|\underline{x}|\partial_{x_0}}{2}\right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{|\underline{x}|\partial_{x_0}}{2}J_{\frac{1}{2}}\left(|\underline{x}|\partial_{x_0}\right) + \frac{\underline{x}\partial_{x_0}}{2}J_{\frac{3}{2}}\left(|\underline{x}|\partial_{x_0}\right)\right) f_0(x_0),$$
(2.5)

where J_{v} is the Bessel function of the first kind of order v.

The function in (2.5) is known as the generalized CK-extension of f_0 , and it is denoted by $GCK[f_0](x_0, \underline{x})$.

This extension operator defined an isomorphism between right modules:

$$GCK: \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{AM}(\Omega),$$

whose inverse is given by the restriction operator to the real line, i.e. $GCK[f_0](x_0, 0) = f_0(x_0)$.

A match between the generalized CK-extension and the Fueter theorem has been found in [31, Thm. 4.2]:

Theorem 2.17 Let $f(u + iv) = \alpha(u, v) + i\beta(u, v)$ be an intrinsic holomorphic function defined on an intrinsic complex domain $\Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then we have

$$\Delta\left[f(x_0+\underline{x})\right] = -2\operatorname{GCK}\left[\partial_{x_0}^2 f_{|\mathbb{R}}\right].$$

2.3 Clifford-Appell polynomials

In this subsection we recall the definition and the main properties of the Clifford-Appell polynomials, see [21, 22]. These are defined by

$$Q_m(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^m T_{\ell}^m x^{m-\ell} \bar{x}^{\ell},$$
(2.6)

where

$$T_{\ell}^{m} := \frac{2(m-\ell+1)}{(m+1)(m+2)}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$

The polynomials $Q_m(x)$ satisfy the Appell property

$$\frac{\overline{\mathcal{D}}}{2}\mathcal{Q}_m(x) = \frac{(\partial_{x_0} - \partial_{\underline{x}})\mathcal{Q}_m(x)}{2} = m\mathcal{Q}_{m-1}(x), \qquad (2.7)$$

An interesting feature of the Clifford-Appell polynomials is that they come from the application of the Fueter map to the monomials x^m . In particular, see [30], we have the formula

$$Q_m(x) = -\frac{\Delta(x^{m+2})}{2(m+1)(m+2)}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots$$
 (2.8)

Since the polynomials $Q_m(x)$ are axially regular and

$$\mathcal{Q}_m(x)|_{\mathbb{R}} = x_0^m,$$

we get that

$$\mathcal{Q}_m(x) = GCK[x_0^m]. \tag{2.9}$$

The fact that the coefficients of the polynomials $Q_m(x)$ satisfy the relation

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^m T_\ell^m = 1$$

implies the inequality

$$|\mathcal{Q}_m(x)| \le |x|^m. \tag{2.10}$$

The Clifford-Appell polynomials are a basis for axially regular functions, see [10, Thm. 3.1].

Theorem 2.18 Let us consider $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}$ be an axially symmetric slice domain containing the origin. Let f be an axially regular function on Ω . Then there exist $\{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \subset \mathbb{H}$ such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_k(x) a_k.$$

In [32] the authors defined a new product among regular functions which is more useful in the set of axially regular functions than the CK-product.

Definition 2.19 Let $f(x_0, \underline{x})$ and $g(x_0, \underline{x})$ be axially regular functions. We define

$$f(x_0, \underline{x}) \odot_{GCK} g(x_0, \underline{x}) = GCK[f(x_0, 0) \cdot g(x_0, 0)].$$

$$(2.11)$$

Definition 2.20 Let $f(x_0, \underline{x})$ be an axially regular function then we define

$$[f(x_0, \underline{x})]^{-\odot_{GCK}} = GCK \left[\frac{1}{f(x_0, 0)}\right]$$

The previous definition introduces the multiplicative inverse of the generalized CK-product, indeed

$$[f(x_0,\underline{x})]^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} f(x_0,\underline{x}) = f(x_0,\underline{x}) \odot_{GCK} [f(x_0,\underline{x})]^{-\odot_{GCK}} = 1.$$

This product fits perfectly with the product of Clifford-Appell polynomials. Indeed we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_m(x) \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_\ell(x) = \mathcal{Q}_{m+\ell}(x).$$

Remark 2.21 If we consider two axially regular functions f and g expanded in convergent series

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_k(x) a_k, \qquad g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_k(x) b_k, \qquad \{a_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}, \{b_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in \mathbb{H},$$

then their generalized CK-product is given by

$$(f \odot_{GCK} g)(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) \sum_{\ell=0}^n a_\ell b_{n-\ell}.$$

Thus the generalized CK-product is a convolution (also called Cauchy product, see [36]) on the coefficients along the Clifford-Appell polynomials.

Remark 2.22 It is clear that

$$f(x_0, \underline{x}) \odot_{GCK} 1 = 1 \odot_{GCK} f(x_0, \underline{x}) = f(x_0, \underline{x}).$$
(2.12)

Remark 2.23 As we explained in the Introduction, formula (1.3) is unsuitable for some computations because of the presence of the constants. To have a more natural product, in [8] the authors introduced the polynomials

$$P_m(x) = \frac{Q_n(x)}{\sum_{\ell=0}^m (-1)^{\ell} T_{\ell}^m}$$

In this way formula (1.3) can be written as

$$(P_k \odot_{CK} P_s)(x) = P_{k+s}(x).$$

However, the polynomials $P_n(x)$ do not satisfy the Appell property like the one in (2.7). Moreover, the CK-product is not a convolution on the coefficients of the polynomials $P_m(x)$. Thus the product (2.11) looks the best option to work in the set of axially regular functions.

3 Axially rational regular functions through the Fueter theorem

We start by recalling that any $\mathbb{C}^{N \times M}$ -valued rational function R(z), without a pole at the origin can be written in the form

$$R(z) = D + zC(I - zA)^{-1}B,$$
(3.1)

where *D*, *C*, *A* and *B* are matrices of suitable sizes. Formula (3.1) is known in the literature with the name of realization (centred at the origin). It is well-known that the inverse of the function R(z) is still a realization. Indeed, if we assume N = M and *D* being an invertible matrices one has the following formula

$$R^{-1}(z) = D^{-1} - zD^{-1}C(I - zA^{\times})^{-1}BD^{-1}, \qquad A^{\times} := H - CD^{-1}B.$$

Moreover the product of two different realizations $R_{\ell}(z) = D_{\ell} + zC_{\ell}(I - zH_{\ell})^{-1}B_{\ell}$ of suitable sizes is given by

$$R_1(z)R_2(z) = D + zC(I - zA)^{-1}B,$$

where

$$D = D_1 D_2 \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & C_1 A_2 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 \\ D_1 C_2 \end{pmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 D_2 & B_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

The sum of two realizations it is a realization as well. This follows as a special case of the product since

$$\begin{pmatrix} R_1(z) & I_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_M \\ R_2(z) \end{pmatrix} = R_1(z) + R_2(z).$$

The aim of this section is to introduce a notion of realization in the framework of axially regular functions. As we explained in the previous section, there are two possible ways to extend analytic functions of one complex variable to the regular setting. As we will see, the two approaches do not coincide for rational functions.

We start by studying the notion of axially rational function by means of the Fueter theorem. To this end we need to recall the notion of rational slice hyperholomorphic functions and their characterisation, [3, Thm. 4.6].

These functions arise from the study of the counterpart of state space equations in the slice hyperholomorphic setting, see [3].

Theorem 3.1 Let r be a $\mathbb{H}^{N \times N}$ -valued function, slice hyperholomorphic in a neighbourhood Ω of the origin. Then, we have

- 1. r(x) is a rational function from $\Omega \cap \mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{H}^{N \times N}$.
- 2. There exist matrices A, B and C, of appropriate dimensions, such that

$$r(x) = D + xC * (I - xA)^{-*}B.$$
(3.2)

3. The function r can be expanded in series as follows

$$r(x) = D + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n C A^n B,$$

for suitable matrices A, B, C, D.

Remark 3.2 It is important to note that the formula (3.2) is formally identical to that one in the classical complex case; however, when expanded, it gives

$$r(x) = D + xC * (I - xA)^{-*}B = D + (xC - |x|^2CA)(|x|^2A^2 - 2x_0A + 1)^{-1}B.$$

This shows that the formula is very unconventional, because the term $|x|^2$ is involved.

Remark 3.3 If we consider two functions r_1 , r_2 admitting realizations of the form (3.2) of appropriate sizes, then $r_1 * r_2$ can be written in the form (3.2). Similarly the function $r_1 + r_2$ admits a realization of the form (3.2).

Now, we define the first notion of axially rational regular function of this paper:

Definition 3.4 A quaternionic valued function $\check{r} = \Delta r$ is called rational axially regular in a neighborhood of the origin if r satisfies one of the equivalent statements in Theorem 3.1.

We now prove some equivalent statements on rational axially regular functions:

Theorem 3.5 Let r be an $\mathbb{H}^{M \times N}$ -valued rational slice hyperholomorphic in a neighbourhood of the origin. Then the following conditions are equivalent

1. $\breve{r}(x) = \Delta r(x)$ is a rational axially regular function.

2. $\check{r}(x)$ can be written as

$$\breve{r}(x) = -4(C - \bar{x}CA)Q_x(A)^{-2}AB_x$$

where $Q_x(A) = |x|^2 A^2 - 2x_0 A + I$ and A, B, C are quaternionic matrices of appropriate sizes

3. ř can be expanded as follows

$$\check{r}(x) = E + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)(n+2)\mathcal{Q}_n(x)CA^{n+1}B,$$

where E := -4CAB and $Q_n(x)$ are the Clifford-Appell polynomials.

Proof We start by showing that 1) \iff 2). By Definition 3.4 we know that a function \check{r} is a rational axially regular function if there exists a rational slice hyperholomorphic function r such that

$$\check{r}(x) = \Delta r(x).$$

By Theorem 3.1 we know a characterization of rational slice hyperholomorphic functions, thus we can apply the Laplace operator to the entries $r_{\ell j}$ of the rational slice hyperholomorphic function r, i.e.

$$r_{\ell j}(x) = d + (xc - |x|^2 ca) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-1} b, \quad \ell \in \{1, \dots, M\} \quad j \in \{1, \dots, N\},$$

where $Q_x(a) := |x|^2 a^2 - 2x_0 a + 1$ and a, b, c and d represent the quaternionic entries of the matrices A, B, C and D.

To simplify the computations we set

$$g_{\ell j}(x) := (xc - |x|^2 ca) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-1}$$

Then, we have

$$\frac{\partial g_{\ell j}(x)}{\partial x_0} = (c - 2x_0 ca) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-1} - (xc - |x|^2 ca) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-2} (2x_0 a^2 - 2a).$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 g_{\ell j}(x)}{\partial x_0^2} = -2ca \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-1} - 2(c - 2x_0 ca) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-2} (2x_0 a^2 - 2a)$$

$$+2(xc - |x|^2 ca) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-3} (2x_0 a^2 - 2a)^2 +$$

$$-2(xc - |x|^2 ca) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-2} a^2$$

$$= -2ca \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-1} - 4(cx_0 a^2 - ca - 2x_0^2 ca^3 + 2x_0 ca^2) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-2}$$

$$+8(pc - |p|^2 ca) (x_0^2 a^4 + a^2 - 2x_0 a^3) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-3}$$

$$-2(xc - |x|^2 ca) a^2 \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-2}.$$

For $1 \le i \le 3$ we have

$$\frac{\partial g_{\ell j}(x)}{\partial x_i} = (e_i c - 2p_i ca) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-1} - (xc - |x|^2 ca) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-2} (2x_i a^2).$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 g_{\ell j}(x)}{\partial x_i^2} = -2ca \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-1} - 2(e_i c - 2x_i ca) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-2} (2x_i a^2)$$

$$+2(xc - |x|^2 ca) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-3} (2x_i a^2)^2$$

$$-2(xc - |x|^2 ca) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-2} a^2.$$

Finally, we get

$$\Delta g_{\ell j}(x) = \frac{\partial^2 g_{\ell j}(x)}{\partial x_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial g_{\ell j}(x)}{\partial x_i}$$

= $-8ca Q_x(a)^{-1} - 8(xc - |x|^2 ca)a^2 Q_x(a)^{-2}$
+4 $(-xca^2 + 2|\underline{x}|^2 ca^3 - x_0ca^2 + ca + 2x_0^2 ca^3)$
 $-2x_0ca^2) Q_x(a)^{-2} + 8(xc - |x|^2 ca)(|\underline{x}|^2 a^4 + x_0^2 a^4 + a^2 - 2x_0a^3) Q_x(a)^{-3}$
= $-8ca Q_x(a)^{-1} - 8(xc - |x|^2 ca)a^2 Q_x(a)^{-2}$

+4(
$$-xca^{2} + 2|x|^{2}ca^{3} + ca - 2x_{0}ca^{2})\mathcal{Q}_{p}(a)^{-2}$$

+8($xc - |x|^{2}ca$)($|x|^{2}a^{4} + a^{2} - 2x_{0}a^{3})\mathcal{Q}_{x}(a)^{-3}$.

Since $2x_0 = x + \bar{x}$ we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Delta g_{\ell j}(x) &= -8ca \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-1} - 8(xca^2 - |x|^2 ca^3) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-2} \\ &+ 4 \left(-xca^2 + 2|x|^2 ca^3 + ca - xca^2 \right) \\ &- \bar{x}ca^2 \right) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-2} + 8(xc - |x|^2 ca)a^2 \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-2} \\ &= \left(-8ca(|x|^2 a^2 - 2x_0 a + 1) - 8xca^2 - 4xca^2 + 8|x|^2 ca^3 \right) \\ &+ 4ca - 4xca^2 - 4\bar{x}ca^2 \\ &+ 8xca^2 - 8|x|^2 ca^3 \right) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-2} \\ &= \left(-8|x|^2 ca^3 + 16x_0 ca^2 - 8ca - 8xca^2 + 8|x|^2 ca^3 - 4xca^2 + 8|x|^2 ca^3 \right) \\ &+ 4ca - 4xca^2 - 4\bar{x}ca^2 \\ &+ 8xca^2 - 8|x|^2 ca^3 \right) \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-2} \\ &= -4(c - \bar{x}ca)a \mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-2}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\check{r}_{\ell j}(x) = -4(c - \bar{x}ca)\mathcal{Q}_x(a)^{-2}ab.$$

We get the result with A = a and appropriate matrices B, C and D.

Now, we show the relation 1) \iff 3). By Theorem 3.1 we know that we can expand a rational slice hyperholomorphic function *r* as

$$r(x) = D + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n C A^{n-1} B.$$
 (3.3)

Now, we consider the generic quaternions *a*, *b*, *c* and *d* that represent the entries of the quaternionic matrices *A*, *B*, *C* and *D*. We apply the Laplace operator in four real variables to the entries of (3.3), which are denoted by $r_{\ell j}$, and we get

$$\check{r}_{\ell j}(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \Delta(x^n) c a^{n-1} b, \quad \ell \in \{1, \dots, M\} \quad j \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

By formula (2.8) we deduce that for $n \ge 2$ we have $\Delta(x^n) = -2(n-1)nQ_{n-2}(x)$. This implies that

$$\breve{r}_{\ell i}(x) = \Delta r_{\ell i}(x)$$

$$= -2\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (n-1)nQ_{n-2}(x)ca^{n-1}b$$

= $-2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)(n+2)Q_n(x)ca^{n+1}b$
= $-4cab - 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)(n+2)Q_n(x)ca^{n+1}b.$

We get the result with A = a and appropriate matrices B, C and D.

Remark 3.6 If we restrict to the case $x \in \mathbb{R}$ in Theorem 3.5 we can write the axially regular function \breve{r} as

$$\breve{r}(x) = -4C(I - xA)^{-3}AB.$$

Remark 3.7 The rational axially regular functions defined in this section admit a realization as proved in Theorem 3.5, however they have some limitations. For example, if one performs the generalized CK-product of two rational axially regular functions then, in general, one does not get a rational axially regular function in the sense of Definition 3.4.

In particular, to preserve algebraic properties similar to those of the complex realizations we need to find an alternative definition of a rational axially regular function.

4 Axially rational regular function through the generalized CK-extension

In this section we propose another notion of rational axially regular, different from the one in Definition 3.4. The new notion makes use of the generalized CK-extension. The main advantage is that we can prove some main algebraic properties of realizations.

The idea of the definition comes from the standard equivalent statements given in Theorem 3.1 in the case of slice hyperholomorphic functions, but using the product \odot_{GCK} instead of the *-product since we are in the set of regular functions.

Definition 4.1 An $\mathbb{H}^{M \times N}$ -valued function *r* is called (left) rational axially regular in a neighborhood of the origin if it can be represented in the form

$$r(x) = D + C \odot_{GCK} (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A)^{- \odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)B),$$
(4.1)

This notion arises by considering the counterpart of the state space equations in the regular hyperholomorphic setting. Let us consider the following quaternionic linear system

$$x_{n+1} = Ax_n + Bu_n, \quad n = 0, 1, ...$$

 $y_n = Cx_n + Du_n.$
(4.2)

where *A*, *B*, *C* and *D* are matrices of appropriate sizes with quaternionic entries and $U := \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a given sequence of vectors with quaternionic entries, and of suitable size. In the complex setting the "transfer function" of the system is defined by taking the \mathcal{Z} -transform which, in this framework, can be defined as

$$\mathcal{Z}(U) := \mathcal{U}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) u_n.$$

We observe that the Z-transform is right linear, since

$$\mathcal{Z}(UA) = \mathcal{Z}(U)A.$$

Furthermore $\mathcal{Z}(U)$ is an axially regular function, see Theorem 2.18. Another important property of the \mathcal{Z} -transform is the following. If we set

$$\tau_{-1}U:=(u_1,u_2,\ldots,u_n),$$

then if $u_0 = 0$ we have

$$\mathcal{Z}(\tau_{-1}U) = [\mathcal{Q}_1(x)^{-\odot_{GCK}}] \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Z}(U).$$

However, in the regular setting a "transfer function" cannot be defined by taking the \mathcal{Z} -transform like in the complex case. The transfer function matrix-valued of the system (4.2) is the axially regular function

$$H(x) := \mathcal{Y}(x) \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{U}(x))^{-\odot_{GCK}},$$

where $\mathcal{Y}(x)$ and $\mathcal{U}(x)$ are the GCK-extensions of the \mathcal{Z} -transforms of y_n and of u_n , respectively. We now give the counterpart of the classical realization for the transfer function.

Theorem 4.2 Let A, B, C, D and $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be defined as above. Then we have

$$H(x) = D + C \odot_{GCK} (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A)^{- \odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)B).$$
(4.3)

Proof We start by considering the system (4.2) on the real line, where A, B, C and D are replaced by given quaternionic numbers a, b, c and d. Now, we suppose that $\{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a given sequence of real numbers:

$$\begin{cases} x_{n+1} = ax_n + bu_n, & n = 0, 1, \dots, \\ y_n = cx_n + du_n. \end{cases}$$

Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. By applying the real-valued \mathcal{Z} -transform defined as

$$\mathcal{Z}(U) := \mathcal{U}(u_n) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_0^n u_n,$$

where $U = \{u_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ we get

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{X}(x_0) = x_0 a \mathcal{X}(x_0) + x_0 b \mathcal{U}(x_0) \\ \mathcal{Y}(x_0) = c \mathcal{X}(x_0) + d \mathcal{U}(x_0). \end{cases}$$

Each element of the above system is commutative, thus we have

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{X}(x_0) = (1 - x_0 a)^{-1} x_0 b \mathcal{U}(x_0) \\ \mathcal{Y}(x_0) = c \mathcal{X}(x_0) + d \mathcal{U}(x_0). \end{cases}$$
(4.4)

All the functions involved in (4.4) are analytic on the real line, so we can use the generalized CK-extension (see Theorem 2.16) to get axially regular function in the variable *x*. Thus by Definition 2.19 we have

$$\begin{cases} \check{\mathcal{X}}(x) = (1 - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)a)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)b) \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{U}(x) \\ \mathcal{Y}(x) = c \odot_{GCK} \check{\mathcal{X}}(x) + d \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{U}(x). \end{cases}$$

By substituting the first equation in the second one of the above system we get

$$\begin{cases} \check{\mathcal{X}}(x) = (1 - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)a)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)b) \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{U}(x) \\ \mathcal{Y}(x) = c \odot_{GCK} (1 - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)a)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)b) \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{U}(x) + d \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{U}(x). \end{cases}$$

Finally, by the definition of the function H(x) we obtain

$$H(x) = \mathcal{Y}(x) \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{U}(x))^{-\odot_{GCK}}$$

= $(c \odot_{GCK} (1 - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)a)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)b) \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{U}(x)$
+ $d \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{U}(x)) \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{U}(x))^{-\odot_{GCK}}$
= $c \odot_{GCK} (1 - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)a)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)b) + d.$

In order to get a matrix valued-function it is sufficient to replace a, b, c and d, respectively, with the matrices A, B, C, D of suitable size and with quaternionic entries. Then we get the axially regular function

$$H(x) = D + C \odot_{GCK} (1 - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A)^{- \odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)B).$$

Proposition 4.3 Let *r* be a quaternionic valued slice hyperholomorphic function and let $\partial_{x_0}^2 r_{|\mathbb{R}}$ be a rational function in the real variable x_0 . Then we have

$$\check{r}(x) = \Delta r(x) = D + C \odot_{GCK} (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A)^{- \odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)B),$$

where D, C, B and A are quaternionic matrices of suitable sizes.

Proof By hypothesis we know that $\partial_{x_0}^2 r|_{\mathbb{R}}$ is rational. This implies that we can write

$$\partial_{x_0}^2 r(x)|_{\mathbb{R}} = D + C(I - x_0 A)^{-1}(x_0 B).$$

By Theorem 2.17 we know that

$$\Delta r = -2GCK[\partial_{x_0}^2 r(x)|_{\mathbb{R}}].$$

We replace the quaternionic matrices A, B, C, D with the respective entries a, b, c and d. Now, by Definitions 2.19 and 2.20 we obtain

$$GCK[(I-x_0a)^{-1}(x_0b)] = (I-\mathcal{Q}_1(x)a)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)b).$$

This implies the following equality for the entries $r_{\ell j}$ of the $\mathbb{H}^{M \times N}$ -valued function r

$$\check{r}_{\ell j}(x) = \Delta r_{\ell j}(x) = -2[d + c \odot_{GCK} (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)a)^{- \odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)b)]$$

$$\forall \ell, j \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

The thesis follows by absorbing the constant -2 in the matrices.

A relation between the two different notions of rational axially regular functions is discussed in the next result.

Proposition 4.4 *A function which is rational axially regular according to Definition* 3.4 *is also rational according to Definition* 4.1.

Proof In Definition 3.4 we suppose that the function *r* is rational slice hyperholomorphic, so its restriction to the real line is a rational function and thus also the function $\partial_{x_0}^2 r_{\parallel \mathbb{R}}$ is rational. The statement follows by Proposition 4.3.

4.1 Algebraic properties of rational axially regular functions

We now show that the notion of rational axially regular function given in Definition 4.1 is the most suitable one to extend to the Clifford-Appell framework the classical properties that hold for classical rational functions.

We begin by observing that a function which is a linear combination of the polynomials $Q_{\ell}(x)$ admits a realization.

Lemma 4.5 Let M(x) be the $\mathbb{H}^{N \times N}$ -valued function defined as

$$M(x) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L} \mathcal{Q}_{\ell}(x) M_{\ell}.$$

Then

$$M(x) = D + (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)C) \odot_{GCK} (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A)^{- \odot_{GCK}} B,$$

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 0_N & I_N & 0_N & \dots & \\ 0_N & 0_N & I_N & 0_N & \dots \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ 0_N & \dots & \dots & 0_N & I_N \\ 0_N & 0_N & \dots & 0_N & 0_N \end{pmatrix} \qquad B := \begin{pmatrix} 0_N \\ 0_N \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ I_N \end{pmatrix} \qquad C := (M_L \ M_{L-1} \ \dots \ M_1)$$

Proof The assertion follows from the formula

$$(I - Q_1(x)A)^{-\odot_{GCK}} = \begin{pmatrix} I_N & Q_1(x)I_N & Q_2(x)I_N & \dots & Q_{L-1}(x)I_N \\ 0_N & I_N & Q_1(x)I_N & \dots & Q_{L-2}(x)I_N \\ & \cdot & & & \\ & \cdot & & & \\ 0_N & \dots & \dots & I_N & Q_1(x)I_N \\ 0_N & 0_N & \dots & 0_N & I_N \end{pmatrix}$$

Lemma 4.6 Let us consider two axially regular realizations of the following form

$$r_j(x) = D_j + C_j \odot_{GCK} \left(I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A_j \right)^{- \odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} \left(\mathcal{Q}_1(x)B_j \right) \quad j = 1, 2,$$

which are $\mathbb{H}^{M \times N}$ and $\mathbb{H}^{N \times R}$ -valued, respectively. The generalized CK-product $r_1 \odot_{GCK} r_2$ is a $\mathbb{H}^{M \times R}$ -valued function, which can be written as

$$(r_1 \odot_{GCK} r_2)(x) = D_1 D_2 + \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & D_1 C_2 \end{pmatrix} \odot_{GCK} \begin{pmatrix} I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x) U \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B_1 C_2 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^{- \odot_{GCK}}$$
$$\odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x) U) \begin{pmatrix} B_1 D_2 \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $U := \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}$.

Given realizations of the two rational $\mathbb{H}^{M \times N}$ -valued functions r_1 and r_2 , then a realization of the the sum $r_1 + r_2$ is given by

$$r_1(x) + r_2(x) = D_1 + D_2 + \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & C_2 \end{pmatrix} \odot_{GCK} \begin{pmatrix} I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)U \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_2 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^{- \odot_{GCK}}$$
$$\odot_{GCK}(\mathcal{Q}_1(x)U) \begin{pmatrix} B_1 \\ B_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof We start by proving the formula for the generalized CK-product between r_1 and r_2 . We have

$$(r_1 \odot_{GCK} r_2)(x) = D_1 D_2 + D_1 C_2 \odot_{GCK} (I - Q_1(x)A_2)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} Q_1(x)B_2 + C_1 \odot_{GCK} (I - Q_1(x)A_1)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} Q_1(x)B_1 D_2 + C_1 \odot_{GCK} (I - Q_1(x)A_1)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} Q_1(x)B_1 C_2 \odot_{GCK} (I - Q_1(x)A_2)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} Q_1(x)B_2.$$

Then, by setting $\mathcal{A} := I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A_1$, $\mathcal{B} := -\mathcal{Q}_1(x)B_1C_2$ and $\mathcal{C} = I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A_2$ we get

$$r_{1}(x) \odot_{GCK} r_{2}(x) = D_{1}D_{2} + \begin{pmatrix} C_{1} & D_{1}C_{2} \end{pmatrix} \odot_{GCK} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{-\odot_{GCK}} & -\mathcal{A}^{-\odot_{GCK}} & \odot_{GCK} & \mathcal{B} \odot_{GCK} & \mathcal{C}^{-\odot_{GCK}} \\ 0 & \mathcal{C}^{-\odot_{GCK}} \end{pmatrix} \\ \odot_{GCK} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)B_{1}D_{2} \\ \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)B_{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we observe that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}^{-\odot_{GCK}} & -\mathcal{A}^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{B} \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{C}^{-\odot_{GCK}} \\ 0 & \mathcal{C}^{-\odot_{GCK}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A} & \mathcal{B} \\ 0 & \mathcal{C} \end{pmatrix}^{-\odot_{GCK}}.$$

The above formula implies that

$$(r_{1} \odot_{GCK} r_{2})(x) = D_{1}D_{2} + \begin{pmatrix} C_{1} & D_{1}C_{2} \end{pmatrix} \odot_{GCK} \begin{pmatrix} I - Q_{1}(x)A_{1} & -Q_{1}(x)B_{1}C_{2} \\ 0 & I - Q_{1}(x)A_{2} \end{pmatrix}^{- \odot_{GCK}}$$
$$\odot_{GCK} \begin{pmatrix} Q_{1}(x)B_{1}D_{2} \\ Q_{1}(x)B_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= D_{1}D_{2} + \begin{pmatrix} C_{1} & D_{1}C_{2} \end{pmatrix} \odot_{GCK} \begin{pmatrix} I - Q_{1}(x)U \begin{pmatrix} A_{1} & B_{1}C_{2} \\ 0 & A_{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix}^{- \odot_{GCK}}$$
$$\odot_{GCK} (Q_{1}(x)U) \begin{pmatrix} B_{1}D_{2} \\ B_{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

To show the formula for $r_1(x) + r_2(x)$ it is enough observe that

$$\begin{pmatrix} r_1 & I \end{pmatrix} \odot_{GCK} \begin{pmatrix} I \\ r_2 \end{pmatrix} = r_1(x) + r_2(x),$$

and to apply the formula for $r_1 \odot_{GCK} r_2$.

Lemma 4.7 Let us consider the following $\mathbb{H}^{N \times N}$ rational axially regular function

$$r(x) = D + C \odot_{GCK} (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)B),$$

where A, B, C and D are matrices with quaternionic entries and of appropriate sizes and such that D is invertible. Then the generalized CK-inverse of r admits the following realization

$$r^{-\odot_{GCK}}(x) = D^{-1} - D^{-1}C \odot_{GCK} \left(I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)\tilde{A}\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)BD^{-1}),$$

where $\tilde{A} := A - BD^{-1}C$.

Proof We have to show

$$r(x) \odot_{GCK} r^{-\odot_{GCK}}(x) = I$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} & \left(D+C\odot_{GCK}\left(I-\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)A\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}}\odot_{GCK}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)B\right)\right)\odot_{GCK}\\ & \left(D^{-1}-D^{-1}C\odot_{GCK}\left(I-\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)\tilde{A}\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}}\odot_{GCK}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)BD^{-1}\right)\right)\\ &=I-C\odot_{GCK}\left(I-\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)\tilde{A}\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}}\odot_{GCK}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)BD^{-1}\right)+C\odot_{GCK}\left(I-\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)A\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}}\odot_{GCK}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)BD^{-1}C\right)\\ & \odot_{GCK}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)BD^{-1}\right)-C\odot_{GCK}\left(I-\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)A\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}}\odot_{GCK}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)BD^{-1}C\right)\\ & \odot_{GCK}\left(I-\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)\tilde{A}\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}}\odot_{GCK}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)BD^{-1}\right)\\ &=I-C\odot_{GCK}\left\{\left(I-\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)\tilde{A}\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}}-\left(I-\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)A\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}}+\left(I-\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)A\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}}\\ & \odot_{GCK}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)BD^{-1}C\right)\odot_{GCK}\left(I-\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)\tilde{A}\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}}\right\}\odot_{GCK}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)BD^{-1}\right). \end{split}$$

Now, we observe that

$$\mathcal{Q}_1(x)BD^{-1}C = \mathcal{Q}_1(x)(A - \tilde{A}) = (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)\tilde{A}) - (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A).$$

This implies that

$$\begin{split} & \left(I - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)\tilde{A}\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}} - (I - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)A)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \\ & + (I - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)A)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)BD^{-1}C) \odot_{GCK} \left(I - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)\tilde{A}\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \\ & = \left(I - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)\tilde{A}\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}} - (I - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)A)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \\ & + (I - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)A)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} \left[(I - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)\tilde{A}) \\ & -(I - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)A) \right] \odot_{GCK} (I - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)\tilde{A})^{-\odot_{GCK}} \\ & = \left(I - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)\tilde{A}\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}} - (I - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)A)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \\ & - \left(I - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)\tilde{A}\right)^{-\odot_{GCK}} + (I - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)A)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \end{split}$$

= I.

This proves the statement.

For a generic axially regular function written in the form

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) f_n, \qquad \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in \mathbb{H},$$

we define the operator

$$(R_0 f)(x) = \begin{cases} Q_1(x)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (f(x) - f(0)), & x \neq 0\\ f_0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$
(4.5)

which plays the role of the backward shift operator.

Now, we prove five conditions that characterize rational axially regular functions.

Theorem 4.8 The following conditions are equivalent

(1) A rational axially regular function can be written as

$$r(x) = D + C \odot_{GCK} (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)B), \qquad (4.6)$$

where D_1 , C_1 , A_1 and B_1 are quaternionic matrices of suitable size.

(2) The function r can be written as a series converging in a neighbourhood of the origin

$$r(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_k(x) r_k \qquad r_k = \begin{cases} D & k = 0\\ CA^k B & k \ge 1. \end{cases}$$
(4.7)

(3) The right linear span $\mathcal{M}(r)$ of the columns of the functions R_0r , R_0^2r , ... is finite dimensional.

Proof We start proving (1) \iff (2). We show the implication by considering the quaternionic entries of the matrices A, B, C and D, that we denote by a, b, c and d, respectively. By Definition 2.20 we have

$$d + c \odot_{GCK} (1 - Q_1(x)a)^{- \odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (Q_1(x)b)$$

= $d + GCK[c(1 - x_0a)^{-1}x_0b]$
= $d + GCK\left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_0^k ca^{k-1}b\right].$

Since the generalized CK-extension is a right-linear operator and by (2.9) we get

$$d + c \odot_{GCK} (1 - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)a)^{- \odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)b)$$

$$= d + \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} GCK[x_0^k]ca^{k-1}b\right)$$
$$= d + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_k(x)ca^{k-1}b.$$

Now, we show that $(1) \Longrightarrow (3)$. Firstly, we observe that

$$R_0(r(x)) = C \odot_{GCK} (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A)^{- \odot_{GCK}} B.$$

By iterating similar computations we have

$$R_0^j(r(x)) = C \odot_{GCK} (I - Q_1(x)A)^{-\odot_{GCK}} A^{j-1}B, \qquad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

This means that the right liner span $\mathcal{M}(r)$ is included in the span of the columns of the function $C \odot_{GCK} (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A)^{- \odot_{GCK}}$. Therefore the span $\mathcal{M}(r)$ is finite dimensional.

Now, we prove that (3) \implies (1). Since (4) is in force there exists an integer $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in \mathbb{H}^q$, there exist vectors u_1, \ldots, u_{m_0} such that

$$R_0^{m_0} rv = \sum_{m=1}^{m_0} R_0^m r u_m.$$
(4.8)

Now, we denote by *E* the $\mathbb{H}^{p \times m_0 q}$ -valued slice hyperholomorphic function

 $E = (R_0 r \ R_0^2 r \ \dots \ R_0^{m_0} r.)$

Now, by (4.8), there exists a matrix $A \in \mathbb{H}^{m_0q \times m_0q}$ such that

$$R_0 E = E A$$
.

By the definition of the operator R_0 , see (4.5), we have

$$E(x) - E(0) = E(x) \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_1(x) A.$$

This implies that

$$E(x) \odot_{GCK} (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A) = E(0).$$

Therefore, we have

$$E(x) = E(0) \odot_{GCK} (I - Q_1(x)A)^{- \odot_{GCK}}.$$
(4.9)

$$(R_0 r)(x) = E(x) \begin{pmatrix} I_q \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The definition of the operator R_0 and formula (4.9) implies that

$$r(x) - r(0) = E(0) \odot_{GCK} (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_1(x) \begin{pmatrix} I_q \\ 0 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we have that r(x) is of the form (4.6).

Remark 4.9 A different type of regular rational functions was previously considered in [16]. In that paper the authors studied a notion of rational hyperholomorphic function in \mathbb{R}^4 by means of the Fueter variables and the CK-product. Precisely, they define the counterpart of rational function in the regular setting as

$$R(x) = D + C \odot (I - \xi_1(x)A_1 - \xi_2(x)A_2 - \xi_3(x)A_3)^{-\odot_{CK}} \odot_{CK}$$

(\xi_1(x)B_1 + \xi_2(x)B_2 + \xi_3(x)B_3),

where A_i , B_i (with i = 1, 2, 3) are constants matrices with entries in the quaternions and of appropriate dimensions. We observe that the function R is Fueter regular in a neighbourhood of the origin.

A different notion of rational regular function of axial type was considered in [8]. They defined a rational axially regular as

$$\mathcal{R}(x) = D + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n(x) C A^{n-1} B, \qquad P_n(x) = \frac{Q_n(x)}{\sum_{\ell=0}^m (-1)^\ell B_\ell^m}, \qquad (4.10)$$

where the matrices A, B, C and D are quaternionic matrices of suitable sizes. The main issue with the previous notion of rational axially regular is that it is not possible to write an expansion in series like the one in (4.7).

In this table we summarize the notions of rational functions in the hyperholomorphic setting that appear in the literature

Setting	Realization	Series
Slice hyperholomorphic Monogenic	$D + C * (I - xA)^{-*} * (pB) D + C \odot (I - \xi_1 A_1 - \xi_2 A_2 - \xi_3 A_3)^{-\odot}$	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n C A^n B$
Wonogenie	$ \bigcirc (\xi_1 B_1 + \xi_2 B_2 + \xi_3 B_3) $	$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{ \nu =n} \xi^{\nu} R_{\nu}$ $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(x) C A^k B$
Axially regular (CK) Axially regular (GCK)	None $D + C \odot_{GCK} (I - Q_1(x)A)^{- \odot_{GCK}}$	$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(x) C A^k B$
	$\odot_{GCK}(\mathcal{Q}_1(x)B)$	$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_k(x) C A^k B$

where $R_{\nu} := \frac{(|\nu|-1)!}{\nu!} C \left(\nu_1 A^{\nu-e_1} \ \nu_2 A^{\nu-e_2} \ \nu_3 A^{\nu-e_3} \right) B.$

5 Hardy space

Positive definite functions and kernels and their associated reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces are important in complex analysis, stochastic process and machine learning, see [2, 45, 47]. In the quaternionic setting these notions are considered e.g. in [5, 15].

Definition 5.1 A quaternionic-valued function $\mathcal{K}(u, v)$, with u and v in some set Ω is called positive definite if

• it is Hermitian:

$$\mathcal{K}(u,v) = \overline{\mathcal{K}(v,u)} \quad \forall u, v \in \Omega.$$
(5.1)

• for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, every $u_1, \ldots, u_N \in \Omega$ and $c_1, \ldots, c_N \in \mathbb{H}$ it holds that

$$\sum_{\ell,j=1}^{N} \bar{c}_{\ell} \mathcal{K}(u_{\ell}, u_j) c_j \ge 0.$$
(5.2)

From (5.1) it is clear that for any choice of the variables, the sum in (5.2) is a real number.

Associated with $\mathcal{K}(u, v)$ there exists a uniquely defined reproducing kernel quaternionic (right)-Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{K})$.

Definition 5.2 A quaternionic Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{K})$ of quaternionic valued functions defined on a set Ω is called reproducing kernel quaternionic Hilbert space if

- for every $v \in \Omega$ and $c \in \mathbb{H}$ the function $u \mapsto \mathcal{K}(u, v)c$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{K})$,
- for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{K})$, $u \in \Omega$ and $c \in \mathbb{H}$ it holds that

$$\bar{c}f(v) = \langle f(.), \mathcal{K}(., v)c \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{K})}.$$

It is possible to characterize a function belonging to $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{K})$, see next result originally proved in [15, Prop. 9.4]

Lemma 5.3 Let us a consider the space $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{K})$ a reproducing kernel quaternionic Hilbert space, with reproducing kernel the function $\mathcal{K}(u, v)$. Then, a function f belongs to $\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{K})$ if and only if there exists a constant M > 0 such that

$$\mathcal{K}(u,v) - \frac{f(u)f(v)}{M^2} \ge 0.$$

In the previous equality $M := \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{K})}$.

An example of reproducing kernel quaternionic Hilbert space is the Hardy space.

The aim of this section is to recall and study the main properties of the Hardy space defined through the Clifford-Appell polynomials. This space was already considered in [32], but in this paper we show more properties. We denote by \mathbb{B} the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^4

$$\mathbb{B} := \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^4 : x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 < 1 \}$$

To state the next result we introduce the notation

$$f^{m \odot_{GCK}} = \underbrace{f \odot_{GCK} f \odot_{GCK} \dots \odot_{GCK} f}_{m-times}.$$

Lemma 5.4 *The function*

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_1(x)^{m \odot_{GCK}} \overline{\mathcal{Q}_1(y)}^{m \odot_{GCK}},$$
(5.3)

is absolutely convergent for x, y $\in \mathbb{B}$ *.*

Proof The convergence follows by (2.10), indeed we have

$$|\mathcal{K}(x, y)| \le \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{Q}_1(x)^{m \odot_{GCK}}| |\mathcal{Q}_1^{m \odot_{GCK}}(y)| \le \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |xy|^m.$$
(5.4)

By the behaviour of the geometric series (5.4) converges if $x, y \in \mathbb{B}$.

By using the generalized CK-inverse, we have the following result.

Lemma 5.5 The function $\mathcal{K}(x, y)$, introduced in (5.3), for $x, y \in \mathbb{B}$, can be written as

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = (1 - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)\mathcal{Q}_1(y))^{-\odot_{GCK}},$$

where the generalized CK-extension is with respect to the variable x.

Proof We set $\alpha(y) := \mathcal{Q}_1^{m \odot_{GCK}}(y)$ and we recall that $\mathcal{Q}_1(x) = GCK[x_0^m]$. Then we get

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_1(x)^{m \odot_{GCK}} \alpha(y)$$

$$= GCK\left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x_0^m \alpha(y)\right].$$

Since $x, y \in \mathbb{B}$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(x, y) &= GCK\left[\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} x_0^m \alpha(y)\right] \\ &= GCK[(1 - x_0^m \alpha(y))^{-1}] \\ &= (1 - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)\mathcal{Q}_1(y))^{-\odot_{GCK}}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 5.6 The kernel in (5.3) is associated with a reproducing kernel Hilbert space called Hardy space. This will be denoted by $H_2(\mathbb{B})$.

Following [32] we recall a characterization of the Hardy space

Theorem 5.7 *The Hardy space* $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$ *consists of functions of the form*

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_m(x) f_m, \quad \{f_m\}_{m \ge 0} \subset \mathbb{H}$$

where the coefficients satisfy the following condition

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |f_m|^2 < \infty.$$

The norm of a function f in the Hardy space is given by $||f||_{\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |f_m|^2$.

Remark 5.8 Other different types of Hardy space can be studied in the noncommutative setting. For example, in [16] the authors studied the so-called Drury-Averson space. The reproducing kernel of this space is given by

$$K(x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\nu|=m} \frac{|\nu|!}{\nu!} \xi(x)^{\nu} \overline{\xi}^{\nu}(y)$$
$$= (1 - \xi_1(x) \overline{\xi_1(y)} - \xi_2(x) \overline{\xi_2(y)} - \xi_3(x) \overline{\xi_3(y)})^{-\odot_{CK}}$$

The convergence of the previous sum is guaranteed if x, y belong the ellipsoid $\mathcal{E} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 : 3x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 < 1\}.$

In [8], the authors use the axially regular kernel defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{E}'}(x, y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_1(x)^{m \odot_{CK}} \overline{P_1(y)}^{m \odot_{CK}}, \qquad (5.5)$$

where $x, y \in \mathcal{E}' := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 : 9x_0^2 + x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 < 1\}$. The function defined in (5.5) is a reproducing kernel of the Hardy space defined in terms of the polynomials $P_n(x)$, see (4.10). We observe that the kernel (5.5) differs from the one used in this paper since we use another type of Clifford-Appell polynomials, see (2.6). Moreover, we make use of the *GCK*-product.

Finally, another hypercomplex setting where to consider the Hardy space is the slice hyperholomorphic framework, see [3, 5]. In this case the reproducing kernel is

$$k(x, y) = \sum x^n \bar{y}^n = (1 - 2y_0 x + |y|^2 x^2)^{-1} (1 - xy)$$

= $(1 - \bar{x}\bar{y})(1 - 2x_0\bar{y} + |x|^2\bar{y}^2)^{-1}$.

All the reproducing kernels and domains of the different Hardy spaces (or Drury-Averson) in the non commutative settings are summarized in the following table.

Setting	Reproducing kernel	Domain
Slice hyperholomorphic	$\sum p^n ar{q}^n$	B
Monogenic	$\sum_{m=0} \sum_{ \nu =m} \frac{ \nu !}{\nu!} \xi(x)^{\nu} \overline{\xi}^{\nu}(y)$	${\mathcal E}$
Axially regular (CK)	$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_1(x)^{m \odot_{CK}} \overline{P_1(y)}^{m \odot_{CK}}$	\mathcal{E}'
Axially regular (GCK)	$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\substack{ \nu =m}} \frac{ \nu !}{\nu!} \xi(x)^{\nu} \overline{\xi}^{\nu}(y)$ $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} P_1(x)^{m \odot CK} \overline{P_1(y)}^{m \odot CK}$ $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Q_1(x)^{m \odot GCK} \overline{Q_1(y)}^{m \odot GCK}$	\mathbb{B}

We recall from [32] that the counterpart of shift operator in our framework is given by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1} = \mathcal{Q}_1 \odot_{GCK} f, \qquad f \in \mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B}). \tag{5.6}$$

In [32, Thm. 6.8] the authors proved that the adjoint of the previous operator is the so-called backward-shift operator and it is defined in the following way

$$\mathcal{M}_{Q_1}^*(f)(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_m(x) f_{m+1}.$$
(5.7)

Lemma 5.9 The operator defined in (5.7) for functions in $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$ coincides with $R_0 f$ introduced in (4.5).

Proof Let us consider the axially regular function on \mathbb{B}

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_m(x) f_m.$$

This implies that

$$f(x) - f(0) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) f_m.$$

Therefore, we have that

$$(R_0 f)(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{m-1}(x) f_m = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}^*(f)(x).$$

Lemma 5.10 Let $f \in \mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$. The operator $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}$ is an isometry in the Hardy space. *Moreover, we have*

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}^*f(x) = f(x) - f(0), \quad f \in \mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B}).$$
(5.8)

Proof It is easy to prove that the shift operator is an isometry on the Hardy space. By formula (5.7) we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{P_1^n} \mathcal{M}_{P_1^n}^* f(x) = \mathcal{Q}_1(x) \odot_{GCK} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) f_{m+1} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{m+1}(x) f_{m+1}$$
$$= f(x) - f(0).$$

Now we can define the point evaluation map in the Hardy space as Cf = f(0). The adjoint operator is defined as $C^*u = \mathcal{K}(., 0)u = u$. Then by the equality (5.8) we get

$$I - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}^* = C^* C.$$
(5.9)

Remark 5.11 A structural equality like the one in (5.9) is also obtained in the framework of Clifford-Appell polynomials in [8] but with the operator of multiplication by P_1 .

In this table we sum up the main structural identities in the quaternionic setting.

6 Schur multipliers

We recall that, in the complex setting, a Schur multiplier is a function s that satisfies one of the following conditions, see [1].

Setting	Structural identity
Slice hyperholomorphic	$I - \mathcal{M}_p \mathcal{M}_p^* = C^* C$
Regular	$I - \mathcal{M}_{\xi} \mathcal{M}_{\xi}^* = C^* C$
Axially regular (CK)	$I - \mathcal{M}_{P_1} \mathcal{M}_{P_1}^* = C^* C.$
Axially regular (GCK)	$I - \mathcal{M}_{Q_1} \mathcal{M}_{Q_1}^* = C^* C.$

Theorem 6.1 The following are equivalent

- 1. The function s is analytic and contractive in the open unit disk.
- 2. The function *s* is defined in D and the operator of multiplication by *s* is a contraction from the Hardy complex Hardy space into itself.
- 3. The function *s* is defined in \mathbb{D} and the kernel

$$k_s(z,w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n (1 - s(z)\overline{s(w)}) \overline{w}^n = \frac{1 - s(z)\overline{s(w)}}{1 - z\overline{w}}$$

is positive definite in the open unit disk.

In the literature Schur multipliers are related to several research directions: inverse scattering (see [12, 13, 20, 26]), fast algorithms (see [42, 43]), interpolation problems (see [33]) among others.

In [3, 5] the authors defined a counterpart of the Schur multipliers in the quaternionic setting by using the theory of slice hyperholomorphic functions. Also in this framework it is possible to show a list of equivalent conditions characterising Schur multipliers, see [5, Thm. 6.2.5].

In [17] Schur multipliers were introduced in the regular setting using the Cauchy–Kovalevskaya product and series of Fueter polynomials. Precisely, a function S is a Schur multiplier in the regular setting if the kernel

$$K_{\mathbf{S}}(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{|\nu|=k} \xi^{\nu}(x) \overline{\xi^{\nu}(y)} - (s \odot_{CK} \xi^{\nu})(x) \overline{(s \odot_{CK} \xi^{\nu})(y)}$$

is positive.

Inspired from this definition, we give the definition of Schur multipliers in the present framework. We note that in [8] Schur multipliers have been defined in the axially regular setting by using the polynomials defined in (4.10) and the CK-product but, as we discussed in the previous sections, the description via the CGK-product and the polynomials $Q_n(x)$ has more advantages.

Definition 6.2 A function $S : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{H}$ is a Schur multiplier if the kernel

$$K_{S}(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{Q}_{n}(x) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{n}(y)} - (S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n})(x) \overline{(S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n})(y)} \right)$$

is positive in $\mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B}$.

The reproducing kernel Hilbert space with reproducing kernel $K_S(x, y)$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}(S)$. This space was first introduced in [28, 29].

In this paper we use the following notion for the multiplicative operator

Definition 6.3 Let $S : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{H}$ be a generic function. The left \bigcirc_{GCK} -multiplication operator by *S* is defined as

$$\mathcal{M}_S: f \mapsto S \odot_{GCK} f.$$

If we consider a function regular in the unit ball \mathbb{B} and written in the form $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Q_k(x) f_k$, with $f_k \in \mathbb{H}$, we can write the operator \mathcal{M}_S in the following way

$$(f \odot_{GCK} S)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (S(x) \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_k(x)) f_k.$$
(6.1)

Remark 6.4 In order to define the operator \mathcal{M}_S we need to request that the function S has a restriction to the real axis which is real analytic. Moreover, we observe that since if the operator \mathcal{M}_S maps $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$ into itself, then we have that $S = \mathcal{M}_S$ belongs to the Hardy space $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$.

Theorem 6.5 A function $S : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{H}$ is a Schur multiplier if and only if the operator \mathcal{M}_S is a contraction on $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$.

Proof Let us start by supposing that the operator \mathcal{M}_S is a contraction. By the formula of the reproducing kernel of Hardy space, see formula (5.3), and (6.1) we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_{S}\mathcal{K}(., y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(S(x) \odot_{GCK}^{x} \mathcal{Q}_{k}(x) \right) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{k}(y)}.$$

By using the reproducing kernel property we have that

$$(\mathcal{M}_{S}^{*}\mathcal{K}(., y))(x) = \langle \mathcal{M}_{S}^{*}\mathcal{K}(., y), \mathcal{K}(., x) \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})}$$

$$= \langle \mathcal{K}(., y), S \odot_{GCK}^{y} \mathcal{K}(., x) \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})}$$

$$= \overline{S(y)} \odot_{GCK}^{y} \mathcal{K}(y, x)$$

$$= \overline{S(y)} \odot_{GCK}^{y} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{k}(y) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{k}}(x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \overline{\left(\overline{S(y)} \odot_{GCK}^{y} \mathcal{Q}_{k}(y)\right) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{k}}(x)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{k}(x) \overline{\left(\overline{S(y)} \odot_{GCK}^{y} \mathcal{Q}_{k}(y)\right)}.$$
(6.2)

This formula implies that

$$\langle (I - \mathcal{M}_{S}\mathcal{M}_{S}^{*})\mathcal{K}(., y), \mathcal{K}(., x) \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})} = \langle \mathcal{K}(., y), \mathcal{K}(., x) \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})} - \langle \mathcal{M}_{S}\mathcal{M}_{S}^{*}\mathcal{K}(., y), \mathcal{K}(., x) \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{k}(x)\overline{\mathcal{Q}_{k}}(y) - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{k})(x)\overline{(S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{k})(y)}.$$

Now, we consider a function $f \in \mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$ of the form

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{K}(., x_i) \alpha_i, \qquad r \in \mathbb{N}, \ x_i \in \mathbb{B}, \ \alpha_i \in \mathbb{H}.$$
(6.3)

Therefore, we have

$$\langle (I - \mathcal{M}_{S}\mathcal{M}_{S}^{*})f, f \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})} = \langle f, f \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})} - \langle \mathcal{M}_{S}^{*}f, \mathcal{M}_{S}^{*}f \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})}$$
$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} \overline{\alpha}_{i} \mathcal{K}(x_{i}, x_{j})\alpha_{j}$$
$$- \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \overline{\alpha}_{i} \left(S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{k}\right)(x) \overline{\left(S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{k}\right)(y)}\alpha_{j}$$
$$= \sum_{i,j=1}^{r} \overline{\alpha}_{i} \mathcal{K}_{S}(x_{i}, x_{j})\alpha_{j}.$$
(6.4)

Since the operator \mathcal{M}_S is a contraction we have that $\langle (I - \mathcal{M}_S \mathcal{M}_S^*) f, f \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})}$ is non negative. this implies that the quadratic form defined in (6.4) is non negative, then the kernel K_S is positive.

Now, we suppose that the kernel \mathcal{K}_S is positive on $\mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B}$. Firstly, we observe that the function defined in (6.2) belongs to $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$ for each fixed $y \in \mathbb{B}$, since the operator \mathcal{M}_S^* maps $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$ to $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$. This implies that the following operator

$$T: \mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B}) \to \mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B}), \qquad \mathcal{K}(., y) \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{k}(x) \overline{\left(S(y) \odot_{GCK}^{y} \mathcal{Q}_{k}(y)\right)}$$

is well defined. It is possible to consider an extension by linearity of the previous operator to functions f of the form (6.3). Such type of functions are dense in $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$, and so we get that we can extend by continuity the operator T to all of $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$. Using this density argument and formula (6.4), where instead of the operator \mathcal{M}_S^* we consider the operator T, by the positivity of \mathcal{K}_S we get that T is a contraction on $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$. Now, we compute the adjoint of the operator T. Let $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{H}$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{B}$, then we get

$$\overline{c_2}(T^*\mathcal{K}(y_1,.)c_1)(y_1) = \langle T^*\mathcal{K}(y_1,.)c_1, \mathcal{K}(.,y_2)c_2 \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})}$$
$$= \langle \mathcal{K}(y_1,.)c_1, T(\mathcal{K}(.,y_2))c_2 \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})}$$

$$= \left\langle \mathcal{K}(y_1, .)c_1, \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_k(x) \overline{\left(S(y_2) \odot_{GCK}^{y_2} \mathcal{Q}_k(y_2)\right)} c_2 \right\rangle_{\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})}$$
$$= \overline{c}_2 \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(S(y_2) \odot_{GCK}^{y_2} \mathcal{Q}_k(y_2)\right) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_k(y_1)} c_1 \right)$$
$$= \overline{c}_2 \left(\mathcal{M}_S(\mathcal{K}(., y_2) c_1) \right).$$

Thus we get that $T^* = \mathcal{M}_S$. Since the operator T is a contraction also its adjoint is a contraction. This implies that the operator \mathcal{M}_S is a contraction.

Another characterization of Schur multipliers is the following.

Theorem 6.6 A function $S : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{H}$ is a Schur multiplier if and only if S belongs to $\mathcal{AM}(\mathbb{B})$ and for all $n \ge 0$ we have

$$I_{n+1} - L_n L_n^* \ge 0,$$

where L_n is the lower triangular Toeplitz matrix given by

$$L_{n} := \begin{pmatrix} S_{0} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ S_{1} & S_{0} & \dots & . \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & 0 \\ S_{n} & \dots & S_{1} & S_{0} \end{pmatrix} \qquad S(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{k}(x) S_{k}.$$
(6.5)

Proof We assume that S is a Schur multiplier. Computations similar to those done in (6.2) show that for S written as in (6.5) we have, for all $k \ge 0$, that

$$\mathcal{M}_{S}^{*}: \mathcal{Q}_{k}(x) \mapsto \sum_{j=0}^{k} \mathcal{Q}_{j}(x)\overline{S}_{k-j},$$

which extends by linearity to

$$\mathcal{M}_{S}^{*}: f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \mathcal{Q}_{k}(x) f_{k} \mapsto \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=k}^{n} \overline{S}_{j-k} f_{j} \right).$$

If we set $\mathbf{f} := [f_0, \ldots, f_n]^T$ and by the shape of the matrix L_n we get

$$\|f\|_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})}^{2} - \|\mathcal{M}_{S}^{*}f\|_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})}^{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} |f_{k}|^{2} - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left|\sum_{j=k}^{n} \overline{S}_{j-k}f_{j}\right|^{2}$$
$$= \mathbf{f}^{*}(I_{n+1} - L_{n}L_{n}^{*})\mathbf{f}.$$
 (6.6)

By Theorem 6.5 we know that \mathcal{M}_S is a contraction on $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$ (thus also \mathcal{M}_S^*), hence (6.6) is nonnegative for every $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{H}^{n+1}$. This means $I_{n+1} - L_n L_n^*$ is positive definite.

Conversely, we assume that $I_{n+1} - L_n L_n^* \ge 0$, for each $n \ge 1$. By (6.6) we have that the operator \mathcal{M}_S^* acts contractively on functions of the form $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_k(x) f_k$. However, this type of functions are dense in $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$, then the operators \mathcal{M}_S and \mathcal{M}_S^* are contractions. The thesis follows by Theorem 6.5.

Lemma 6.7 Let S_1 , S_2 and S be Schur multipliers. Then we have the following equalities

- (1) $\mathcal{M}_{S_1}\mathcal{M}_{S_2} = \mathcal{M}_{S_1 \odot_{GCK}S_2}$ (2) $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}\mathcal{M}_S = \mathcal{M}_S\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}$.
- **Proof** (1) We observe that $S_1 \odot_{GCK} S_2$ is an axially regular function with an expansion in series in terms of the polynomials $\{Q_n(y)\}_{n>0}$. Then we get

$$\mathcal{M}_{S_1}\mathcal{M}_{S_2}(f) = \mathcal{M}_{S_1}(S_2 \odot_{GCK} f)$$
$$= (S_1 \odot_{GCK} S_2) \odot_{GCK} f$$
$$= \mathcal{M}_{S_1 \odot_{GCK} S_2}$$

(2) Let us consider a function $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n(x)\alpha_n$, with $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0} \in \mathbb{H}$. Then by the fact that the generalized CK-product is a convolution product and (5.6) we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1}}\mathcal{M}_{S}(f) = \mathcal{Q}_{1} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{M}_{S}f)$$

$$= \mathcal{Q}_{1} \odot_{GCK} (S \odot_{GCK} f)$$

$$= \mathcal{Q}_{1} \odot_{GCK} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{Q}_{n}(x) \odot_{GCK} S) \alpha_{n} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{Q}_{n+1} \odot_{GCK} S)(x) \alpha_{n}$$

$$= \mathcal{M}_{S} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x) \alpha_{n} \right)$$

$$= \mathcal{M}_{S} (\mathcal{Q}_{1} \odot_{GCK} f)$$

$$= \mathcal{M}_{S} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1}}(f).$$

Now, we show the counterpart of Schwarz's lemma for Schur multipliers in this framework.

Theorem 6.8 Let S be a Schur multiplier, and assume that S(0) = 0. We set $S(x) = (S^{(1)} \odot_{GCK} Q_1)(x)$. Then $S^{(1)}$ is a Schur multiplier.

Proof Since by hypothesis S(0) = 0 we have that $1 = \mathcal{K}_S(x, 0) \in \mathcal{H}(S)$ and $\mathcal{K}_S(0, 0) = 1 = ||1||_{\mathcal{H}(S)}$. Hence by Lemma 5.3 (with the function $f \equiv 1$) we have that

$$\mathcal{K}_S(x, y) - 1 \ge 0,$$

in \mathbb{B} . By Definition 6.2 we have that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{Q}_n(x) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_n(y)} - (S^{(1)} \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1})(x) \overline{(S^{(1)} \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1})(y)} \right) \ge 1.$$

Since $Q_0(x) = Q_0(y) = 0$ we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_n(y)} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S^{(1)} \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1})(x) \overline{(S^{(1)} \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1})(y)} \ge 0.$$

By changing index to the first sum we get

$$\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x) \quad \odot_{GCK}^{x} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n}(x) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{n}(y)} - (S^{(1)} \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n})(x) \overline{(S^{(1)} \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n})(y)} \right)$$
$$\odot_{GCK}^{y} \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{1}(y)} \geq 0.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_n(y)} - (S^{(1)} \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_n)(x) \overline{(S^{(1)} \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_n)(y)} \ge 0.$$

Therefore, by Definition 6.2 we get the thesis.

Finally, we conclude this section with a characterization of the space $\mathcal{H}(S)$. The proof is as in the classic case, see [9, 14].

Theorem 6.9 Let S be a Schur multiplier. Then

$$\mathcal{H}(S) = range\{\sqrt{I - \mathcal{M}_S \mathcal{M}_S^*}\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|(\sqrt{I-\mathcal{M}_{S}\mathcal{M}_{S}^{*}})f\|_{\mathcal{H}(S)}=\|(I-\pi)f\|_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})},$$

where π is the orthogonal projection on $Ker(\sqrt{I - \mathcal{M}_S \mathcal{M}_S^*})$.

7 Realizations of Schur multipliers

In the case of holomorphic and slice hyperholomorphic functions the realizations and the Schur multiplier are related to each other, see [3, 5], respectively. The aim of this section is to get a similar results in the framework of Clifford-Appell polynomials.

We start by recalling the following notion.

Definition 7.1 A realization is called observable, or closely outer-connected, if the pair $(C, A) \in \mathbb{H}^{N \times N} \times \mathbb{H}^{M \times M}$ is observable, i.e.

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \ker(CA^n) = \{0\}.$$

Theorem 7.2 Let us consider a function $S : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{H}$. Then S is a Schur multiplier if and only if there exists a right quaternionic Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}(S)$ and a coisometric operator

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & C \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{H}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{H}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H}$$
(7.1)

such that

$$S(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) S_n,$$
(7.2)

where

$$S_n = \begin{cases} D, & n = 0\\ CA^{n-1}B, & n = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$
(7.3)

If we assume that (C, A) are closely outer-connected, then the realization S is unique up to an an isometry of right quaternionic Hilbert spaces.

By Theorem 4.8 we can write the Schur multiplier S of the previous theorem as

$$S(x) = D + C \odot_{GCK} (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A)^{- \odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)B).$$
(7.4)

In order to show the previous theorem we need to show some technical lemmas. We will use the following notation $\Gamma_S := I - \mathcal{M}_S \mathcal{M}_S^*$. We recall that for $h, g \in \mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$ we have the following relations

$$\langle \Gamma_{S}h, \Gamma_{S}g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)} = \langle \Gamma_{S}h, g \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})}$$
(7.5)

$$\langle \sqrt{\Gamma_S}h, \Gamma_S g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)} = \langle \sqrt{\Gamma_S}h, g \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})},$$
(7.6)

see for instance [6, 34]. To show the next results we use a similar method applied in [11], suitably adapted.

Lemma 7.3 Let S be a Schur multiplier. Then for $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{B}$ we have the following equality

$$\langle \Gamma_S \mathcal{M}_{Q_1}^* \mathcal{K}(., x_1), \Gamma_S \mathcal{M}_{Q_1}^* \mathcal{K}(., x_2) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)} = K_S(x_2, x_1) - 1 + S(x_2) \overline{S(x_1)}.$$

Proof First of all we observe that by (5.7) we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{Q_1}^* \mathcal{K}(x_2, x_1) = \mathcal{M}_{Q_1}^* \left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty \mathcal{Q}_n(x_2) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_n(x_1)} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^\infty \mathcal{Q}_n(x_2) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x_1)}, \tag{7.7}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1}^{*}}\mathcal{M}_{S}^{*}\mathcal{K}(x_{2}, x_{1}) = \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1}}^{*} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n}(x_{2}) \overline{(S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n})}(x_{1}) \right)$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n}(x_{2}) \overline{(S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1})}(x_{1}).$$
(7.8)

By formulas (7.5), (7.7) and (7.8) we have that

$$\langle \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1}}^{*} \mathcal{K}(., x_{1}), \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1}}^{*} \mathcal{K}(., x_{2}) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(\mathcal{S})} = \langle (I - \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}} \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{S}}^{*}) \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1}}^{*} \mathcal{K}(., x_{1}), \\ \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1}}^{*} \mathcal{K}(., x_{2}) \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})}.$$

Now, by the second point of Lemma 6.7 and Definition 6.2 we get

$$\begin{split} \langle \Gamma_{S}\mathcal{M}_{S}^{*}\mathcal{K}(.,x_{1}), \Gamma_{S}\mathcal{M}_{Q_{1}}^{*}\mathcal{K}(.,x_{2})\rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)} \\ &= \langle \mathcal{M}_{Q_{1}}^{*}\mathcal{K}(.,x_{1}), \mathcal{M}_{Q_{1}}^{*}\mathcal{K}(.,x_{2})\rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})} - \langle \mathcal{M}_{Q_{1}}^{*}\mathcal{M}_{S}^{*}\mathcal{K}(.,x_{1}), \mathcal{M}_{Q_{1}}^{*}\mathcal{M}_{S}^{*}\mathcal{K}(.,x_{2})\rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x_{2})\overline{\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x_{1})} - \sum_{n=0} (S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1})(x_{2})\overline{(S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1})(x_{1})} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n}(x_{2})\overline{\mathcal{Q}_{n}(x_{2})} - \mathcal{Q}_{0}(x_{2})\overline{\mathcal{Q}_{0}(x_{1})} \\ &- \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n})(x_{2})\overline{(S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_{n})(x_{1})} + S(x_{2})\overline{S(x_{1})} \\ &= K_{S}(x_{2},x_{1}) - 1 + S(x_{2})\overline{S(x_{1})}. \end{split}$$

In the next result we will use the following notation

$$\omega_{y}u := \Gamma_{S}\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1}}^{*}\mathcal{K}(., u)y \qquad y \in \mathbb{H}, u \in \mathbb{B}.$$

Page 41 of 59

41

Note that $\omega_{y}u$ is well defined since \mathcal{M}_{Q_1} is a bounded operator from $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$ into itself.

Lemma 7.4 The right vector subspace of $(\mathcal{H}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H}) \times (\mathcal{H}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H})$ spanned by the pairs

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} \omega_y u \\ v \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A}(\omega_y u) + \hat{B}(v) \\ \hat{C}(\omega_y u) + \hat{D}(v) \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

where

$$\hat{A}(\omega_y u) := (K_S(x, y) - K_S(x, 0)) u, \qquad \hat{B}u := K_S(x, 0)u$$
$$\hat{C}(\omega_y u) := \left(\overline{S(x)} - \overline{S(0)}\right) u, \qquad \hat{D}u := \overline{S(0)}u,$$

defines an isometric relation R

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A} & \hat{B} \\ \hat{C} & \hat{D} \end{pmatrix},$$

with dense domain.

Proof Firstly we show that the relation R is an isometry. Precisely, we have to show that

$$\left\langle R\begin{pmatrix} \omega_{y_1}u_1\\v_1 \end{pmatrix}, R\begin{pmatrix} \omega_{y_2}u_2\\v_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)\oplus\mathbb{H}} = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{y_1}u_1\\v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{y_2}u_2\\v_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)\oplus\mathbb{H}}, \quad (7.9)$$

where $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{H}(S)$ and $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{B}$. We can write relation (7.9) as

$$\left| \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A}(\omega_{y_1}u_1) + \hat{B}(v_1) \\ \hat{C}(\omega_{y_1}u_1) + \hat{D}(v_1) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A}(\omega_{y_2}u_2) + \hat{B}(v_2) \\ \hat{C}(\omega_{y_1}u_1) + \hat{D}(v_1) \end{pmatrix} \right|_{\mathcal{H}(S)\oplus\mathbb{H}} = \left| \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{y_1}u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{y_2}u_2 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \right|_{\mathcal{H}(S)\oplus\mathbb{H}}.$$
(7.10)

By using Lemma 7.3 we write the term on the right hand side of (7.10) in the following way

$$\left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{y_1} u_1 \\ v_1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{y_2} u_2 \\ v_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H}} = \bar{u}_2 K_S(y_2, y_1) u_1 - \bar{u}_2 u_1 + \bar{u}_2 S(y_2) \overline{S(y_1)} u_1 + \bar{v}_2 v_1.$$
(7.11)

We can write the term on the left hand side as

$$\bar{u}_2 K_S(y_2, y_1) u_1 - \bar{u}_2 K_S(y_2, 0) u_1 - \bar{u}_2 K_S(0, y_1) u_1 + \bar{u}_2 K_S(0, 0) u_1 + \bar{u}_2 K_S(y_2, 0) v_1 - \bar{u}_2 K_S(0, 0) v_1$$

$$+ \bar{v}_2 K_S(0, y_1) u_1 - \bar{v}_2 K_S(0, 0) u_1 + \bar{v}_2 K_S(0, 0) v_1 + \bar{u}_2 S(y_2) S(y_1) u_1 - \bar{u}_2 S(y_2) \overline{S(0)} u_1 - \bar{u}_2 S(0) \overline{S(y_1)} u_1 + \bar{u}_2 S(0) \overline{S(0)} u_1 + \bar{u}_2 S(y_2) \overline{S(0)} v_1 - \bar{u}_2 S(0) \overline{S(0)} v_1 + \bar{v}_2 S(0) \overline{S(y_1)} u_1 - \bar{v}_2 S(0) \overline{S(0)} u_1 + \bar{v}_2 S(0) \overline{S(0)} v_1.$$

$$(7.12)$$

By Definition 6.2 we observe that

$$K_S(0,0) = I - S(0)S(0).$$

This implies that

$$\bar{u}_2 K_S(0,0) u_1 + \bar{u}_2 S(0) S(0) u_1 = \bar{u}_2 u_1, \bar{u}_2 K_S(0,0) v_1 + \bar{u}_2 S(0) \overline{S(0)} v_1 = \bar{u}_2 v_1, \bar{v}_2 S(0) \overline{S}(0) u_1 + \bar{v}_2 K_S(0,0) u_1 = \bar{v}_2 u_1, \bar{v}_2 K_S(0,0) v_1 + \bar{v}_2 S(0) \overline{S(0)} u_1 = \bar{v}_2 v_1.$$

Then by using

$$K_S(y_2, 0) = I - S(y_2)\overline{S(0)},$$

$$K_S(0, y_1) = I - S(0)\overline{S(y_1)},$$

we can write (7.12) in the following way

$$\begin{split} \bar{u}_{2}K_{S}(y_{2}, y_{1})u_{1} - \bar{u}_{2}u_{1} + \bar{u}_{2}S(y_{2})\overline{S(0)}u_{1} - \bar{u}_{2}u_{1} + \bar{u}_{2}S(0)\overline{S(q_{1})}u_{1} + \bar{u}_{2}v_{1} \\ -\bar{u}_{2}S(y_{2})\overline{S(0)}v_{1} \\ \bar{v}_{2}u_{1} - \bar{v}_{2}S(0)\overline{S(y_{1})}u_{1} - \bar{u}_{2}S(y_{2})\overline{S(0)}u_{1} - \bar{u}_{2}S(0)\overline{S(y_{1})}u_{1} \\ +\bar{u}_{2}S(y_{2})\overline{S(y_{1})}u_{1} - \bar{u}_{2}S(y_{2})\overline{S(0)}u_{1} \\ +\bar{u}_{2}S(y_{2})\overline{S(0)}v_{1} + \bar{v}_{2}S(0)\overline{S(y_{1})}u_{1} + \bar{v}_{2}v_{1} + \bar{u}_{2}u_{1} - \bar{u}_{2}v_{1} - \bar{v}_{2}u_{1} \\ = \bar{u}_{2}K_{S}(y_{2}, y_{1})u_{1} - \bar{u}_{2}u_{1} + \bar{u}_{2}S(y_{2})\overline{S(y_{1})}u_{1} + \bar{v}_{2}v_{1}. \end{split}$$
(7.13)

Since (7.11) and (7.13) are equal we get that the relation *R* is an isometry.

Now, we show that the relation *R* has a dense domain. Let us consider $(\omega_0, \omega_1) \in \mathcal{H}(S) \times \mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$ be orthogonal to the domain of *R*, where

$$\omega_0 := \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}(S) \qquad \omega_1 := \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_S \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}^* \mathcal{K}(., y) u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B}),$$

with $f_0 := \sqrt{\Gamma_S}h \in \mathcal{H}(S)$, $h \in \mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$. If we first consider u = 0 we get $v_0 = 0$. If now we consider that v = 0 from the orthogonality of ω_0 and ω_1 we get

$$\langle \sqrt{\Gamma_S}h, \Gamma_S \mathcal{M}^*_{\mathcal{Q}_1}\mathcal{K}(., y)u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)} = 0.$$
 (7.14)

By formula (7.6), (5.7) and the reproducing kernel property of $H_2(\mathbb{B})$ we have

$$\begin{split} \langle \sqrt{\Gamma_{S}}h, \Gamma_{S}\mathcal{M}_{Q_{1}}^{*}\mathcal{K}(., y)u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)} &= \langle \sqrt{\Gamma_{S}}h, \mathcal{M}_{Q_{1}}^{*}\mathcal{K}(., y)u \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})} \\ &= \langle \mathcal{M}_{Q_{1}}\sqrt{\Gamma_{S}}h, \mathcal{K}(., y)u \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})} \\ &= \bar{u}\mathcal{M}_{Q_{1}}\left(\sqrt{\Gamma}h\right)(x) \\ &= \bar{u}\left(\mathcal{Q}_{1}\odot_{GCK}\sqrt{\Gamma_{S}}h\right)(x). \end{split}$$

By combining (7.14) and (7.15) we get

$$(\mathcal{Q}_1 \odot_{GCK} f_0)(x) = 0.$$

This implies that $f_0(x) = 0$. This concludes the proof.

Proposition 7.5 *The relation R is the graph of a densely defined isometry. Moreover, its extension to* $\mathcal{H}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H}$ *is defined as*

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}^* : \mathcal{H}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{H}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H}.$$

Then

$$(Af)(y) := (R_0 f)(y),$$
 (7.15)

$$(Bv)(y) := \begin{cases} \mathcal{Q}_1(x)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (S(x) - S(0)) v, & p \neq 0\\ s_1, & p = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$Cf = f(0),$$
 (7.16)

$$Dv = S(0)v$$

Proof First we prove that *R* is the graph of a densely defined isometry. By definition, the domain *R* is the set of $U \in \mathcal{H}(S) \otimes \mathbb{H}$ such that there exists $V \in \mathcal{H}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H}$ such that $(U, V) \in R$. By Lemma 7.4 we know that *R* has a dense domain. Thus we introduce a densely defined operator *W* such that WU = V. Now, we assume that there exists V_1 and V_2 such that $TU = V_1$ and $TU = V_2$. Then if $(U, V_1) \in R$ and $(U, V_2) \in R$ then $(0, V_1 - V_2) \in R$. Since by Lemma 7.4 we know that the relation *R* is an isometry we get $||0|| = ||V_1 - V_2||$. This implies that $V_1 = V_2$. Therefore *W* is a densely defined isometry. As in the complex Hilbert spaces, it extends to an everywhere defined isometry.

Now, we compute the operator *T*. Let $y \in \mathbb{B}$ and $u \in \mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$ and we assume that $B^* = \hat{B}$ is bounded from $\mathcal{H}(S)$ to $\mathcal{H}(S)$ then we have

$$A^*\left(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}^*\mathcal{K}(.,y)u\right) = (K_{\mathcal{S}}(.,y) - K_{\mathcal{S}}(.,0))u.$$

On one side, for $g \in \mathcal{H}(S)$, by the reproducing kernel property we have

$$\langle A^* \Gamma_S R_0 \mathcal{K}(., y), g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)} = \langle K_S(., y) - K_S(., 0), g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)}$$
$$= \overline{g(y)} - \overline{g(0)}.$$
(7.17)

On the other side we have that

$$\langle A^* \Gamma_S R_0 \mathcal{K}(., y), g \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)} = \langle \Gamma_S R_0 \mathcal{K}(., y), Ag \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)}.$$

Now, we set $Ag = \sqrt{\Gamma_S}h$, with *h* being not unique. By formula (7.5) and the reproducing kernel property of the space $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$ we have

$$\langle \Gamma_{S} R_{0} \mathcal{K}(., y), Ag \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)} = \langle \Gamma_{S} R_{0} \mathcal{K}(., y), \sqrt{\Gamma_{S} h} \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)}$$

$$= \langle R_{0} \mathcal{K}(., y), \sqrt{\Gamma_{S} h} \rangle_{\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{B})}$$

$$= \langle \mathcal{K}(., y), R_{0}^{*} Ag \rangle_{\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{B})}$$

$$= \langle \mathcal{K}(., y), \mathcal{M}_{Q_{1}} Ag \rangle_{\mathbf{H}(\mathbb{B})}$$

$$= \overline{\mathcal{M}_{Q_{1}} Ag}(y).$$

$$(7.18)$$

By putting together (7.17) and (7.18) we get

$$(\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1} A g)(y) = g(y) - g(0).$$

By formula (2.12) we get

$$(Ag)(y) := (R_0 f)(y).$$

Similarly, we compute the operator Bv, for $v \in \mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$. We have that

$$B^*\Gamma_S\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}\mathcal{K}(., y)u = \left(\overline{S(x)} - \overline{S(0)}\right)u.$$

On one side we get

$$\langle v, B^* \Gamma_S \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1} \mathcal{K}(., y) u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)} = \bar{u} \left(S(x) - S(0) \right) v.$$
(7.19)

On the other side we have

$$\langle v, B^* \Gamma_S \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1} \mathcal{K}(., y) u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)} = \langle Bv, \Gamma_S \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_1}^* \mathcal{K}(., y) u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)}.$$

Now we set $Bv := \sqrt{\Gamma_S}h$. Therefore, by using formula (7.5) and the reproducing kernel of the space $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$ we obtain

$$\langle \sqrt{\Gamma_{S}}h, \Gamma_{S}\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1}}\mathcal{K}(., y)u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)} = \langle \sqrt{\Gamma_{S}}h, \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1}}^{*}\mathcal{K}(., y)u \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})}$$

$$= \langle \mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Q}_{1}}\sqrt{\Gamma_{S}}h, \mathcal{K}(., y)u \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})}$$

$$= \bar{u} \left(\mathcal{Q}_{1} \odot_{GCK} Bv \right)(y).$$

$$(7.20)$$

By putting together formula (7.19) and (7.20) we obtain

$$(Bv)(y) = \mathcal{Q}_1(x)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (S(x) - S(0)) v.$$

Now we compute the operator B. To do this we note that

$$C^* u = \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{S}}(., 0) u,$$

for every $u \in \mathbb{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$. Then, for $f \in \mathcal{H}(S)$ we have

$$\langle C(f), u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)} = \langle f, C^*u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)} = \langle f, K_S(., 0)u \rangle_{\mathcal{H}(S)} = \bar{u}f(0).$$

Hence we have C(f) = f(0). Finally, it is obvious that D = S(0).

Proof of Theorem 7.2 We observe that the pair (C, A) is closely outer connected, see (7.15) and (7.16). A generic function $f \in \mathcal{H}(S)$ can written with the following power series

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) f_n.$$

We have the expression for the coefficients of f

$$f_n := CA^n f, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then we obtain

$$f(x) = C \odot_{GCK} (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} f.$$

Finally we apply this formula to Bv, where $v \in \mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$, then we get

$$(S(x) - S(0)) v = (I - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)A)^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (\mathcal{Q}_1(x)B)v.$$

Now, we show the converse. We assume that the function *S* has the form (7.2) with coefficients defined (7.3). First of all we show the following formula for $x, y \in \mathbb{B}$

$$1 - S(x)\overline{S(y)} = U(x)(U(y))^* - (\mathcal{Q}_1(x) \odot_{GCK} U(x)) \left(U(y)^* \odot_{GCK} \overline{\mathcal{Q}_1(y)} \right),$$
(7.21)

where the function U is defined as

$$U(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) C A^n.$$
(7.22)

Then we have

$$1 - S(x)\overline{S(y)} = 1 - \left(D + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n(x)CA^{n-1}B\right) \left(D + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Q_m(y)CA^{m-1}B\right)^*$$
$$= 1 - DD^* - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} DB^*(A^{m-1})^*C^*\overline{Q_m(y)} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n(x)CA^{n-1}BD^*$$
$$- \sum_{n,m=1}^{\infty} Q_n(x)C(A^{n-1})BB^*(A^{m-1})^*C^*\overline{Q_m(y)}.$$
(7.23)

Since the operator matrix (7.1) is coisometric we have that

$$\begin{cases} I - DD^* = CC^* \\ DB^* = -CA^* \\ BD^* = -AC^* \\ BB^* = I - AA^*. \end{cases}$$

These imply that we can write formula (7.23) in the following way

$$1 - S(x)\overline{S(y)} = CC^* + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C(A^m)^* C^* \overline{\mathcal{Q}_m(y)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) CA^n C^*$$
$$- \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) CA^{n-1} (I - AA^*) (A^{m-1})^* C^* \overline{\mathcal{Q}_m(y)}.$$
(7.24)

Now, we observe that

$$U(x)(U(y))^* = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) C A^n (A^*)^m C^* \overline{\mathcal{Q}_m(y)}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) C A^n C^* + \sum_{m=1,n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) C A^n (A^*)^m G^* \overline{\mathcal{Q}_m(y)}$$
$$= C C^* + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) C A^n C^* + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} C (A^m)^* C^* \overline{\mathcal{Q}_m(y)}$$
$$+ \sum_{m=1,n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) C A^n (A^*)^m C^* \overline{\mathcal{Q}_m(y)},$$
(7.25)

and

$$(\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x) \odot_{GCK} U(x)) \left(U(y)^{*} \odot_{GCK} \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{1}(y)} \right) = \sum_{m,n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x) C A^{n} (A^{m})^{*} C^{*} \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{m+1}(y)}$$
$$= \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n}(x) C A^{n-1} (A^{m-1})^{*} C^{*} \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{m}(y)}.$$
(7.26)

By inserting (7.25) and (7.26) in (7.24) we get the expression (7.21). Now, from (7.21) we obtain that

$$\begin{split} K_{\mathcal{S}}(x, y) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_n(y)} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_n)(x) \overline{(S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_n)(y)} \\ &= 1 - S(x) \overline{S(y)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_n(y)} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_n)(x) \overline{(S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_n)(y)} \\ &= U(x) (U(y))^* - (\mathcal{Q}_1(x) \odot_{GCK} U(x)) \left(U(y)^* \odot_{GCK} \overline{\mathcal{Q}_1(y)} \right) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_n(x) \overline{\mathcal{Q}_n(y)} \\ &- \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_n)(x) \overline{(S \odot_{GCK} \mathcal{Q}_n)(y)}. \end{split}$$

By making the generalized CK multiplication of the formula (7.21) from the left with $Q_n(x)$ and on the right by $\overline{Q_n(y)}$ we get

$$(S \odot_{GCK} Q_n)(x) \left(\overline{S \odot_{GCK} Q_n}\right)(y) = Q_n(x) \overline{Q_n(y)} - (Q_n(x) \odot_{GCK} U(x)) \left((U(y))^* \odot_{GCK} \overline{Q_n(y)} \right) + (Q_{n+1}(x) \odot_{GCK} U(x)) \left(U(y)^* \odot_{GCK} \overline{Q_{n+1}(y)} \right).$$

These imply that

$$\mathcal{K}_{S}(x, y) = U(x)(U(y))^{*} - (\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x) \odot_{GCK} U(x)) \left(U(y)^{*} \odot_{GCK} \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{1}(y)} \right)$$

$$+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{Q}_{n}(x) \odot_{GCK} U(x)) \left((U(y))^{*} \odot_{GCK} \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{n}(y)} \right)$$
$$-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x) \odot_{GCK} U(x)) \left(U(y)^{*} \odot_{GCK} \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(y)} \right)$$
$$= U(x)(U(y))^{*} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} (\mathcal{Q}_{n}(x) \odot_{GCK} U(x)) \left((U(y))^{*} \odot_{GCK} \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{n}(y)} \right)$$
$$-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x) \odot_{GCK} U(x)) \left(U(y)^{*} \odot_{GCK} \overline{\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(y)} \right)$$
$$= U(x)(U(y))^{*}.$$
(7.27)

Therefore we have that $\mathcal{K}_S(x, y)$ is positive define in \mathbb{B} , therefore by Definition 6.2 the function *S* is a Schur multiplier.

Now, we have to show the uniqueness of the claim. Let us consider two different closely outer-connected coisometric realizations of *S* defined in the following way

$$S_{1}: \begin{pmatrix} A_{1} & B_{1} \\ C_{1} & D_{1} \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{H}_{1}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{H}_{1}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H}$$
$$S_{2}: \begin{pmatrix} A_{2} & B_{2} \\ C_{2} & D_{2} \end{pmatrix} : \mathcal{H}_{2}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{H}_{2}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_1(S)$ and $\mathcal{H}_2(S)$ are different right quaternionic Hilbert spaces. In order to show that S_1 and S_2 are equivalent we have to prove that there exists an unitary map $W : \mathcal{H}_1(S) \oplus \mathbb{H} \to \mathcal{H}_2(S) \oplus \mathbb{H}$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \mathcal{H}_{1}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H} & \xrightarrow{S_{1}} & \mathcal{H}_{1}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H} \\ & & & & & & \\ w & & & & & \\ \mathcal{H}_{2}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H} & \xrightarrow{S_{2}} & \mathcal{H}_{1}(S) \oplus \mathbb{H} \end{array}$$
(7.28)

This means that we have to show the following equalities

$$\begin{cases} WA_1 = A_2W \\ WB_1 = B_2 \\ C_1 = C_2W \\ D_1 = D_2 \end{cases}$$

The last relation is obvious, because by Proposition 7.5 we know that $D_1 = D_2 = S(0)$. In order to show the other relations, we observe that by (7.27) we have

$$U_1(x)(U_1(y))^* = U_2(x)(U_2(y))^*,$$

where U_1 and U_2 are defined as in (7.22). Then, it follows that for nay $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we get

$$C_1 A_1^n (A_1^m)^* C_1^* = C_2 A_2^n (A_2^m)^* C_2^*.$$

Since the pairs (C_1, A_1) and (C_2, A_2) are closely outer connected we get that the following relation

$$((A_1^m)^*C_1^*u, (A_2^m)^*C_2^*u), \quad u \in \mathbb{H}, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

is a densely defined isometric relation in $\mathcal{H}_1(S) \times \mathcal{H}_2(S)$ with dense range. Therefore it is the graph of a unitary map U such that

$$W\left((B_1^m)^*C_1^*u\right) = (B_2^m)^*C_2^*u, \quad m \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad u \in \mathbb{H}.$$
(7.29)

If we consider m = 0 in (7.29) we get

$$C_1 = C_2 W.$$
 (7.30)

Using another time (7.29) we obtain

$$(WA_1^*) \left((A_1^*)^m C_1 \right) = A_2^* (A_2^*)^m C_2^* = A_2^* W W^* (A_2^*)^m C_2^* = (A_2^* W) \left((A_1^*)^m C_1 \right)$$

= $C_2 W.$

Since the pairs (C_1, A_1) and (C_2, A_2) are closely outer-connected we get

$$WA_1 = A_2 W \tag{7.31}$$

Now, using (7.30) and (7.31) we get

$$S_n = C_1 A_1^{n-1} B_1$$

= $C_2 A_2^{n-1} B_2$
= $C_1 W^* A_2^{n-1} B_2$
= $C_1 A_1^{n-1} W^* B_2$.

By the fact that the pair (C_1, A_1) is closely outer connected we get $WB_1 = B_2$. This concludes the proof.

8 Blaschke product: through the GCK-extension

In complex analysis the Blaschke factor is defined as

$$b_a(z) = \left(\frac{a-z}{1-z\overline{a}}\right)\frac{\overline{a}}{|a|}, \quad a \in \mathbb{D}.$$

These kind of functions are very important in the study of invariant subspaces and interpolation, see [33, 39]. In [7] a Blaschke factors and an interpolation problem in the slice hyperholomorphic setting were studied.

Definition 8.1 Let $a \in \mathbb{H}$, |a| < 1. The function

$$B_a(x) = (1 - x\bar{a})^{-*} * (a - x)\frac{\bar{a}}{|a|},$$

is called a slice hyperholomorphic Blaschke factor at a.

Remark 8.2 By the definition of *-product we have that

$$(1 - x\bar{a})^{-*} = (1 - \bar{x}a)(|x|^2a^2 - 2x_0a + 1)^{-1}.$$

This implies that we can write the Blaschke factor at a as

$$B_{a}(x) = \left((1 - x\bar{a})^{-*} * a - (1 - x\bar{a})^{-*} * x\right) \frac{\bar{a}}{|a|}$$

= $\left[(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a})(|x|^{2}\bar{a}^{2} - 2x_{0}\bar{a} + 1)^{-1}a - (1 - \bar{x}\bar{a})(|x|^{2}\bar{a}^{2} - 2x_{0}\bar{a} + 1)^{-1} * x\right] \frac{\bar{a}}{|a|}$
= $\left[(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a})(|x|^{2}\bar{a}^{2} - 2x_{0}\bar{a} + 1)^{-1}a - (x - |x|^{2}\bar{a})(|x|^{2}\bar{a}^{2} - 2x_{0}\bar{a} + 1)^{-1}\right] \frac{\bar{a}}{|a|}.$
(8.1)

Similarly to the holomorphic case also in the slice hyperholomorphic setting it is possible to have a series expansion at the origin of the Blaschke factor at *a*.

Proposition 8.3 *Let* $a \in \mathbb{B}$ *. Then it holds that*

$$B_a(x) = |a| + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{n+1} \bar{a}^{n+1} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right).$$

A regular counterpart of the Blaschke factor is given in [16] for the quaternionic Arverson space. Precisely, it is given by

$$\mathbf{B}_{a}(x) = \left(1 - \xi^{\nu}(a)(\xi^{\nu}(a))^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 - \xi^{\nu}(a)(\xi^{\nu}(a))^{*})^{-\odot_{CK}} \odot_{CK} (\xi^{\nu}(x) - \xi^{\nu}(a)) (1 - (\xi^{\nu}(a))^{*}\xi^{\nu}(a))^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad a \in \mathcal{E},$$

where ξ^{ν} are the Fueter polynomials defined in (2.2). In this section we introduce and study the Blaschke products in the framework of the Clifford-Appell polynomials.

Definition 8.4 Let $a \in \mathbb{H}$ and |a| < 1. The function

$$\mathcal{B}_a(x) = (1 - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)\bar{a})^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} (a - \mathcal{Q}_1(x)) \frac{a}{|a|}$$
(8.2)

is called Clifford-Appell-Blaschke factor at a.

This definition leads to the following result.

Proposition 8.5 Let $a \in \mathbb{H}$ and |a| < 1. The Clifford-Appell-Blaschke factor \mathcal{B}_a is an axially regular function in \mathbb{B} .

Remark 8.6 The Clifford-Appell-Blaschke factor in the Clifford-Appell setting can be deduced as a particular example of Schur multiplier. Precisely, if we consider

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} & \sqrt{1 - |a|^2} \\ \sqrt{1 - |a|^2} & -a \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a \in \mathbb{B}$, by formula (7.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{a}(x) &= -a + (1 - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)\bar{a})^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} \left[\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)(1 - |a|^{2}) \right] \\ &= (1 - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x)\bar{a})^{-\odot_{GCK}} \odot_{GCK} \left(\mathcal{Q}_{1}(x) - a \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is interesting to note that our definition leads to a series expansion of the Clifford-Appell-Blaschke factor in terms of Clifford-Appell polynomials.

Proposition 8.7 *Let* $a, x \in \mathbb{B}$ *. Then it holds that*

$$\mathcal{B}_{a}(x) = |a| + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x)\bar{a}^{n+1}\left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|}\right).$$

Proof We start by observing that

$$(1 - Q_1(x)\overline{a})^{-\odot_{GCK}} = GCK[(1 - x_0\overline{a})^{-1}]$$
$$= GCK\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x_0^n \overline{a}^n\right]$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n(x)\overline{a}^n.$$

By Definition 8.4 we get

$$\mathcal{B}_{a}(x) = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n}(x)\bar{a}^{n}\right) \odot_{GCK} (a - \mathcal{Q}_{1}(x))\frac{\bar{a}}{|a|}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\mathcal{Q}_{n}(x)\bar{a}^{n}a - \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x)\bar{a}^{n}\right)\frac{\bar{a}}{|a|}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n}(x)\bar{a}^{n+1}\frac{a}{|a|} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x)\bar{a}^{n+1}\frac{1}{|a|}$$

$$= |a| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n}(x)\bar{a}^{n+1}\frac{a}{|a|} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x)\bar{a}^{n+1}\frac{1}{|a|}$$

$$= |a| + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x)\bar{a}^{n+1}\left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|}\right).$$

This concludes the proof.

The result above implies the following.

Theorem 8.8 Let $a \in \mathbb{H}$, |a| < 1. Then the Clifford-Appell-Blaschke factor \mathcal{B}_a maps the unit ball \mathbb{B} into itself.

Proof We have to show that if |x| < 1 than $|\mathcal{B}_a(x)| < 1$. By Proposition 8.7 and the fact that in particular $|\mathcal{Q}_n(x)| < |x|^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{B}_{a}(x)| &< |a| + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{Q}_{n+1}(x)| |a^{n+1}| \left(|a| + \frac{1}{|a|} \right) \\ &< |a| + |x| |a| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |x|^{n} |a|^{n} \left(|a| + \frac{1}{|a|} \right) \\ &= |a| + \frac{|xa|(1+|a|^{2})}{|a|(1-|xa|)} \\ &= \frac{|a| + |x|}{1 - |xa|}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove that $|\mathcal{B}_a(x)| < 1$ we have to prove that |a| + |x| < 1 - |a||x|, which is equivalent to |a| + |x| < 1 + |a||x|. Taking the square we get

$$(|x|^2 - 1)(1 - |a|^2) < 0.$$

The previous inequality follows from |x| < 1 and |a| < 1.

Theorem 8.9 Let \mathcal{B}_a be a Clifford-Appell-Blaschke factor. The operator

$$\mathcal{M}_a: f \mapsto \mathcal{B}_a \odot_{GCK} f$$

is an isometry from $\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$ into itself.

Proof We start by considering the functions $f(x) = Q_u(x)h$ and $g(x) = Q_v(x)k$, where $u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $h, k \in \mathbb{H}$. We prove

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_a \odot_{GCK} f, \mathcal{B}_a \odot_{GCK} g \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})} = \delta_{uv} kh.$$
(8.3)

By Theorem 8.7 and using f and g defined as above, we have

$$(\mathcal{B}_a \odot f)(x) = \mathcal{Q}_u(x)h|a| + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1+u}(x)\bar{a}^{n+1}\left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|}\right)h$$

and

$$(\mathcal{B}_a \odot g)(x) = \mathcal{Q}_v(x)h|a| + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1+\nu}(x)\bar{a}^{n+1}\left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|}\right)k.$$

We begin by considering the case u = v, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{B}_a \odot_{GCK} f, \mathcal{B}_a \odot_{GCK} g \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})} &= \bar{k}h \left(|a|^2 + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a|^{2n+2} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \bar{k}h \left(|a|^2 + \frac{|a|^2}{1 - |a|^2} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right)^2 \right) \\ &= \bar{k}h \\ &= \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we consider the case u < v, we have that

$$\langle \mathcal{Q}_u(x)h|a|, \mathcal{Q}_v(x)k|a|\rangle_{\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})} = 0$$

and

$$\left\langle \mathcal{Q}_{u}(x)h|a|, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1+\nu}(x)\bar{a}^{n+1}\left(|a|-\frac{1}{|a|}\right)k\right\rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})} = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{split} &\langle \mathcal{B}_{a} \odot_{GCK} f, \mathcal{B}_{a} \odot_{GCK} g \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})} \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1+u}(x) \bar{a}^{n+1} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right) h, \mathcal{Q}_{v}(x) |a| k \right\rangle \\ &+ \left\langle \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{n+1+u}(x) \bar{a}^{n+1} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right) h, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{m+1+v}(x) \bar{a}^{m+1} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right) k \right\rangle \\ &= |a| \bar{k} \bar{a}^{v-u} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right) h \\ &+ \left\langle \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{m+1+v}(x) \bar{a}^{m+1+v-u} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right) h, \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Q}_{m+1+v}(x) \bar{a}^{m+1} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right) k \right\rangle \\ &= |a| \bar{k} \bar{a}^{v-u} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right) h + \bar{k} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right)^{2} \bar{a}^{v-u} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} |a|^{2m+2} h \\ &= |a| \bar{k} \bar{a}^{v-u} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right) h + \bar{k} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right)^{2} \bar{a}^{v-u} \frac{|a|^{2}}{1 - |a|^{2}} h \\ &= 0 \\ &= \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_{2}(\mathbb{B})}. \end{split}$$

The case v < u follows by using similar arguments. By continuity for $f g \in \mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})$ we get

$$\langle \mathcal{B}_a \odot_{GCK} f, \mathcal{B}_a \odot_{GCK} g \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})} = \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathbf{H}_2(\mathbb{B})}.$$

This concludes the proof.

9 Blaschke factor through the Fueter map

Another way to define the Blaschke factor in the regular setting is to apply the Fueter map to the slice hyperholomorphic Blaschke factor.

Definition 9.1 Let $a \in \mathbb{H}$, |a| < 1. Let $B_a(x)$ be the slice-hyperholomorphic Blaschke factor at *a*. The Fueter-Blaschke factor at *a* is defined as $\Delta B_a(x) = \check{B}_a(x)$.

Theorem 9.2 Let $a \in \mathbb{H}$ and |a| < 1. Then the Fueter-Blaschke factor can be written as

$$\check{B}_a(x) = \Delta B_a(x) = 4(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a})(|x|^2\bar{a}^2 + 1 - 2x_0\bar{a})^{-2}(1 - \bar{a})\frac{\bar{a}^2}{|a|}$$

Proof We apply the Laplace operator in four real variables to the slice hyperholomorphic Blaschke product, see (8.1). By formula (3.3) with c = 1 we get

$$\Delta[(x - |x|^{2}\bar{a})Q_{x}(\bar{a})^{-1}] = -4(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a})Q_{x}(\bar{a})^{-2}\bar{a},$$

$$Q_{x}(\bar{a})^{-1} := |x|^{2}\bar{a}^{2} - 2x_{0}\bar{a} + 1.$$
(9.1)

Now, we have to compute

$$\Delta[(1-\bar{x}\bar{a})Q_x(\bar{a})^{-1}].$$

We set

$$G(x) := (1 - \bar{x}\bar{a})Q_x(\bar{a})^{-1}.$$

We start performing the derivation of G(x) with respect to x_0 , we get

$$\frac{\partial G(x)}{\partial x_0} = -\bar{a}Q_x(\bar{a})^{-1} - (1 - \bar{x}\bar{a})Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2}(2x_0\bar{a}^2 - 2\bar{a}),$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 G(x)}{\partial x_0^2} = 2Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2}(2x_0\bar{a}^2 - 2\bar{a})\bar{a} + 2(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a})Q_x(\bar{a})^{-3}(2x_0\bar{a}^2 - 2\bar{a})^2 -2(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a})Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2}\bar{a}^2.$$

Now, we perform the computations with respect to the variables x_i , with $1 \le i \le 3$, we get

$$\frac{\partial G(x)}{\partial x_i} = e_i \bar{a} Q_x(\bar{a})^{-1} - (1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2} 2x_i \bar{a}^2,$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 G(x)}{\partial x_i^2} = -4x_i e_i Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2} \bar{a}^3 + 8(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-3} \bar{a}^4 x_i^2 - 2(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2} \bar{a}^2.$$

These computations imply that

$$\begin{split} \Delta G(x) &= \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_0^2} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}\right) G(x) \\ &= 4x_0 Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2} \bar{a}^3 - 4Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2} \bar{a}^2 + 2(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-3} (4x_0^2 \bar{a}^4 + 4\bar{a}^2 - 8x_0 \bar{a}^3) \\ &- 2(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2} \bar{a}^2 - 4\underline{x} Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2} \bar{a}^3 + 8(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-3} |\underline{x}| \bar{a}^4 \\ &- 6(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2} \bar{a}^2 \\ &= 4\bar{x} Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2} \bar{a}^3 - 4Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2} \bar{a}^2 - 8(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2} \bar{a}^2 + 8|x|^2(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-3} \bar{a}^4 \\ &+ 8(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-3} \bar{a}^2 - 16(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) x_0 Q_x(\bar{a})^{-3} \bar{a}^3 \\ &= -12(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2} \bar{a}^2 + 8(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a})(|x|^2 \bar{a}^2 - 2x_0 \bar{a} + 1) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-3} \bar{a}^2 \\ &= -4(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2} \bar{a}^2. \end{split}$$

Therefore we have

$$\Delta G(x) = -4(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a})Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2}\bar{a}^2.$$
(9.2)

Finally, by putting together (9.1) and (9.2) we have

$$\begin{split} \check{B}_a(x) &= \Delta B_a(x) \\ &= 4 \left[-(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2} \bar{a}^2 \bar{a}^2 + (1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2} \bar{a} \right] \frac{\bar{a}}{|a|} \\ &= 4(1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}) Q_x(\bar{a})^{-2}(1 - \bar{a}) \frac{\bar{a}^2}{|a|}. \end{split}$$

Theorem 9.3 *Let* $a \in \mathbb{H}$ *, then we have*

$$\check{B}_{a}(x) = -2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)(n+2)\mathcal{Q}_{n}(x)\bar{a}^{n+2}\left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|}\right).$$

Proof By Proposition 8.3 and from the fact that $\Delta(x^n) = -2n(n-1)\mathcal{Q}_{n-2}(x)$ for $n \ge 2$ we get

$$\begin{split} \check{B}_{a}(x) &= \Delta B_{a}(x) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta(x^{n+1})\bar{a}^{n+1} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right) \\ &= -2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)n\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}(x)\bar{a}^{n+1} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right) \\ &= -2\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)(n+2)\mathcal{Q}_{n}(x)\bar{a}^{n+2} \left(|a| - \frac{1}{|a|} \right). \end{split}$$

Theorem 9.4 Let $a \in \mathbb{H}$ and |a| < 1. Then the Fueter-Blaschke factor $\check{B}_a(x)$ satisfy the following properties

- 1. *it maps the unit ball* \mathbb{B} *into itself.*
- 2. *it has a zero at* $x = \frac{a}{|a|^2}$.
- **Proof** 1. We have to show that if |x| < 1 then $|\check{B}_a(x)| < 1$. By Theorem 9.3 and the fact that $|Q_n(x)| < |x|^n$ we get

$$\begin{split} |\breve{B}_{a}(x)| &< 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)(n+2) |\mathcal{Q}_{n}(x)| |a|^{n+2} \left(|a| + \frac{1}{|a|} \right) \\ &< |a|^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)(n+2) |xa|^{n} \left(|a| + \frac{1}{|a|} \right). \end{split}$$

Now from the fact that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 |xa|^n = -\frac{|xa|(|xa|+1)}{(|ax|-1)^3}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |xa|^n = -\frac{|xa|}{(|ax|-1)^2}$ we get

$$\begin{split} |a|^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)(n+2)|xa|^n \left(|a| + \frac{1}{|a|}\right) \\ &= \left(-\frac{|a|^2|xa|(1+|xa|)}{(|xa|-1)^3} + 3\frac{|a|^2|ax|}{(|ax|-1)^2} - 2\frac{|a|^2|xa|}{(|xa|-1)}\right) \left(\frac{|a|^2+1}{|a|}\right) \\ &= \frac{2|x||a|^2(1+|a|^2)(3|x||a|-3-|x|^2|a|^2)}{(|xa|-1)^3}. \end{split}$$

Now, since $x, a \in \mathbb{B}$ we get

$$\frac{2|x||a|^2(1+|a|^2)(3|x||a|-3-|x|^2|a|^2)}{(|xa|-1)^3} < \frac{2(1+|a|^2)|x|^2|a|^2}{(1-|x||a|)^3}.$$

To finish the proof we have to show that

$$\frac{2(1+|a|^2)|x|^2|a|^2}{(1-|x||a|)^3} < 1.$$

Since $\frac{1}{1+|a|^2} < 1$ we have to prove that

$$\frac{2|x|^2|a|^2}{(1-|x||a|)^3} < 1.$$

This is equivalent to show the following inequality

$$3|x||a| < 1 + |x|^3|a|^3 + |x|^2|a|^2.$$

The previous inequality is verified for all $x, a \in \mathbb{B}$.

2. By Theorem 9.2 to study the zero of the function \check{B}_a we need to study the zeros of the polynomial $1 - \bar{x}\bar{a}$. It is obvious that the zeros of the previous polynomials are given by $x = \bar{a}^{-1} = \frac{a}{|a|^2}$.

Remark 9.5 The Fueter-Blaschke factor at *a* does not satisfy an isometry property like the one showed in Proposition 8.9.

Author contributions All authors had the same role in the research and writing of the manuscript.

Funding Open access funding provided by SCELC, Statewide California Electronic Library Consortium

Declarations

Conflicts of interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Alpay, D.: The Schur Algorithm. Reproducing Kernel Spaces and System Theory. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2001). (Translated from the 1998 French original by Stephen S. Wilson, Panoramas et Syntheses. [Panoramas and Syntheses])
- Alpay, D.: An Advanced Complex Analysis Problem Book. Topological Vector Spaces, Functional Analysis, and Hilbert Spaces of Analytic Functions. Birkhäuser, Basel (2015)
- Alpay, D., Colombo, F., Sabadini, I.: Schur functions and their realizations in the slice hyperholomorphic setting. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 72(2), 253–289 (2012)

- Alpay, D., Colombo, F., Sabadini, I.: Schur analysis in the quaternionic setting: the Fueter regular and the slice regular case. In: Alpay, D. (ed.) Handbook of Operator Theory, vol. 2, pp. 1745–1786. Springer, Basel (2015)
- Alpay, D., Colombo, F., Sabadini, I.: Slice Hyperholomorphic Schur Analysis. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 256, p. xii+362. Cham, Birkhäuser/Springer (2016)
- Alpay, D., Colombo, F., Sabadini, I.: Realizations of holomorphic and slice hyperholomorphic functions: the Krein space case. Indag. Math. 31(4), 607–628 (2020)
- Alpay, D., Colombo, F., Sabadini, I.: Pontryagin-de Branges-Rovnyak spaces of slice hyperholomorphic functions. J. Anal. Math. 121, 87–125 (2013)
- Alpay, D., Colombo, F., Diki, K., Sabadini, I.: On a polyanalytic approach to noncommutative de Branges-Rovnyak spaces and Schur analysis. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 93(4), 38 (2021)
- Alpay, D., Dewilde, P., Dym, H.: Lossless inverse scattering and reproducing kernels for upper triangular operators. In: Gohberg, I. (ed.) Extension and Interpolation of Linear Operators and Matrix Functions. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 47, pp. 61–135. Birkhäuser, Basel (1990)
- Alpay, D., Diki, K., Sabadini, I.: Fock and Hardy spaces: Clifford Appell case. Math Nachr. 295(5), 834–860 (2022)
- Alpay, D., Dijksma, A., Rovnyak, J., De Snoo, H.: Schur Functions, Operator Colligations, and Reproducing Kernel Pontryagin Spaces. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 96. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (1997)
- Alpay, D., Dym, H.: Hilbert spaces of analytic functions, inverse scattering and operator models. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 7(5), 589–641 (1984)
- Alpay, D., Dym, H.: Hilbert spaces of analytic functions, inverse scattering and operator models, II. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 8(2), 145–180 (1985)
- Alpay, D., Dym, H.: On applications of reproducing kernel spaces to the Schur algorithm and rational Junitary factorization. In: Gohberg, I. (ed.) I. Schur Methods in Operator Theory and Signal Processing. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 18, pp. 89–159. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (1986)
- Alpay, D., Shapiro, M.: Reproducing kernel quaternionic Pontryagin spaces. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 50(4), 431–476 (2004)
- Alpay, D., Shapiro, M., Volok, D.: Rational hyperholomorphic functions in ℝ⁴. J. Funct. Anal. 221(1), 122–149 (2005)
- Alpay, D., Shapiro, M., Volok, D.: Reproducing kernel spaces of series of Fueter polynomials. In: Operator Theory in Krein Spaces and Nonlinear Eigenvalue Problems. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 162, pp. 19–45. Birkhäuser, Basel (2006)
- Bart, H., Gohberg, I., Kaashoek, M.: Minimal Factorization of Matrix and Operator Functions. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 1. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (1979)
- 19. Brackx, F., Delanghe, R., Sommen, F.: Clifford analysis. Pitman Res. Not. Math. 76 (1982)
- Bruckstein, A.M., Kailath, T.: Inverse scattering for discrete transmission-line models. SIAM Rev. 29(3), 359–389 (1987)
- Cação, I., Falcão, M.I., Malonek, H.: Hypercomplex polynomials, Vietoris' rational numbers and a related integer numbers sequence. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory 11(5), 1059–1076 (2017)
- Cação, I., Falcao, M.I., Malonek, H.R.: Laguerre derivative and monogenic Laguerre polynomials: an operational approach. Math. Comput. Model. 53(5–6), 1084–1094 (2011)
- Colombo, F., Sabadini, I., Struppa, D.C.: Noncommutative Functional Calculus. Progress in Mathematics, vol. 289. Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel (2011)
- 24. Colombo, F., Sabadini, I., Struppa, D.C.: Entire Slice Regular Functions. SpringerBriefs in Mathematics, Springer, Cham (2016)
- Colombo, F., Sabadini, I., Struppa, D.C.: Michele Sce's Works in Hypercomplex Analysis. A Translation with Commentaries. Birkhäuser/Springer, Basel (2020)
- Constantinescu, T., Sayed, A., Kailath, T.: Inverse scattering experiments, structured matrix inequalities, and tensor algebra. Linear Algebra Appl. 343(344), 147–169 (2002). (Special issue on structured and infinite systems of linear equations)
- Delanghe, R., Sommen, F., Soucek, V.: Clifford Algebra and Spinor-Valued Functions. A Function Theory for the Dirac Operator. Mathematics and Its Applications, vol. 53. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht (1992)
- de Branges, L., Rovnyak, J.: Canonical models in quantum scattering theory. In: Wilcox, C. (ed.) Perturbation Theory and its Applications in Quantum Mechanics, pp. 295–392. Wiley, New York (1966)

- 29. de Branges, L., Rovnyak, J.: Square Summable Power Series. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York (1966)
- Diki, K., Krausshar, R.S., Sabadini, I.: On the Bargmann-Fock-Fueter and Bergman-Fueter integral transforms. J. Math. Phys. 60(8), 083506 (2019)
- De Martino, A., Diki, K., Guzmán Adán, A.: On the connection between the Fueter mapping theorem and the Generalized CK-extension. Results Math. 78(2), 55 (2023)
- De Martino, A., Diki, K., Guzmán, Adán. A.: The Fueter-Sce mapping and the Clifford-Appell polynomials. Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) 66(3), 642–688 (2023)
- 33. Dym, H.: Hermitian block Toeplitz matrices, orthogonal polynomials, reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces, interpolation and extension. In: Gohberg, I. (ed.) Orthogonal Matrix-Valued Polynomials and Applications (Tel Aviv, 1987–88). Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 34, pp. 79–135. Birkhäuser, Basel (1988)
- Gheondea, A.: Reproducing kernel Krein spaces. In: Alpay, D. (ed.) Operator Theory, pp. 311–343. Springer, Basel (2015)
- 35. Gürlebeck, K., Habetha, K., Sprößig, W.: Holomorphic Functions in the Plane and n-dimensional Space. Birkhäuser, Basel (2008)
- 36. Fliess, M.: Matrices De Hankel. J. Math. Pures Appl. 53(9), 197-222 (1974)
- 37. Fueter, R.: Die Funktionentheorie der Differentialgleichungen $\Delta u = 0$ und $\Delta \Delta u = 0$ mit vier reellen Variablen. Comment. Math. Helv. **7**(1), 307–330 (1934)
- Kalman, R.E., Falb, P.L., Arbib, M.A.K.: Topics in Mathematical System Theory. McGraw-Hill, New-York (1969)
- Rudin, W.: Functional Analysis. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill International Editions, New-York (1991)
- 40. Qian, T.: Generalization of Fueters result to \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Rend. Mat. Acc. Lincei 9, 111–117 (1997)
- 41. Qian, T., Li, P.: Singular Integrals and Fourier Theory on Lipschitz Boundaries, p. xv+306. Science Press Beijing, Beijing; Springer, Singapore, (2019)
- 42. Sayed, A.H., Kailath, T.: Fast algorithms for generalized displacement structures and lossless systems. Linear Algebra Appl. **219**, 49–78 (1995)
- Sayed, A.H., Kailath, T.: A look-ahead block Schur algorithm for Toeplitz-like matrices. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 16, 388–414 (1995)
- Sce, M.: Osservazioni sulle serie di potenze nei moduli quadratici. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei. Rend. CI. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. 23, 220–225 (1957)
- Schölkopf, B., Tsuda, K., Vert, J.P.: Kernel Methods in Computational Biology. MIT Press, Cambridge (2004)
- Sommen, F.: Special functions in Clifford analysis and axial symmetry. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 130(1), 110–133 (1988)
- 47. Steinwart, I., Christmann, A.: Support Vector Machines. Springer, New York (2008)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.