ORIGINAL PAPER-EXPLORATION GEOLOGY



A new insight into the evolution of the Qatar Arch to recognize faults and a new gas field

Mohammad Reza Mansouri Daneshvar¹ · Parviz Mansouri-Daneshvar² · Reza Moussavi-Harami² · Mohamad Hosein Mahmudy Gharaie² · Asadollah Mahboubi² · Mohammad Khanehbad² · Amir Feizie³ · Majid Ebrahimi⁴ · Ali Sadeghi⁵

Received: 14 January 2023 / Accepted: 8 July 2023 / Published online: 17 July 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Despite the previous interpretations of the evolutionary scenarios of the Oatar Arch, the present study aimed to present a new complementary scenario to lay out the probable effects of another basement fault, corresponding to the physical shape of the Arch. A remote sensing study was carried out based on geological and geophysical data interpretations, obtained from some national and international databases, including GIS-based global geology data of Harvard University, the free-air gravity anomaly data from the World Gravity Map (WGM), and the airborne geophysical project of the National Geosciences Database of Iran (NGDIR). Results revealed that offshore extrapolation of the Trans Arabian-Bostaneh Fault (TABF) lineament through the morphological boundary of Hauterivian-Barremian (HB) formations and the offshore extrapolation of the Kazerun fault could produce a new complementary model for the Qatar Arch evolution. Based on the particular shape of the Arch (i.e., narrowing and uplifting to the south influenced by the Kazerun basement fault and the rotation of TABF), we can propose that these faults crossed each other after the Barremian age, created a particular shape of the Qatar Arch, and influenced beneath the Fars hinterland. The obtained geophysical gravity data also showed a good match between structural units and faults with maximum values corresponding to the Qatar Arch and minimum values relating to the thick sediment field in the Fars region. In conclusion, the present study contributes to a new proposition about exploring the possible faults and a new gas field situated in the interior territory of Iran. All of these interpretations will provide a new insight to improve the extant knowledge in the geology and petroleum evolution of the study area, such as the Plate tectonic events and the creation of possible reservoirs in future.

 $\label{eq:Keywords} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Geology} \cdot \mbox{Gravity} \cdot \mbox{Barremian age} \cdot \mbox{Kazerun Fault Zone} \ (\mbox{KFZ}) \cdot \mbox{Trans Arabian-Bostaneh Fault} \ (\mbox{TABF}) \cdot \mbox{Qatar Arch} \\ \mbox{Arch} \end{array}$

Ab BA BS	breviations F Bastak fault F Bostaneh fault					
	Mohammad Reza Mansouri Daneshvar mrm_daneshvar2012@yahoo.com					
1	Department of Geography and Natural Hazards, Research Institute SP, Mashhad, Iran					
2	Department of Geology, Faculty of Sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, , Mashhad, Iran					
3	Exploration Directorate, National Iranian Oil Company, Tehran, Iran					
4	Department of Physical Geography, Hakim Sabzevari University, Sabzevar, Iran					

⁵ Department of Humanities and Social Science, Farhangian University, Tehran, Iran

CGM	Commission for the Geological Map of the			
	World			
Igeo	Geo-accumulation index			
GLF	Gavbendi-Lar field			
HB	Hauterivian–Barremian			
HZTF	High Zagros thrust fault			
KBF	Kareh-Bas fault			
KFZ	Kazerun fault zone			
NGDIR	National Geosciences Database of Iran			
NZF	Nezamabad fault			
RKB	Rub-al-Khali Basement			
RZF	Razak fault			
TABF	Trans Arabian-Bostaneh fault			
WGM	World gravity map			
ZFTB	Zagros fold-thrust belt			

Introduction

The largest gas field in the world was discovered in 1971 in Permian-Triassic carbonates located in the North Dome/ South Pars zone (Alsharhan and Nairn 1997), which was recognized as the Qatar Arch or Qatar South-Fars Arch (Perotti et al. 2011). Within the Qatar Arch, from the south boundary of the Qatar peninsula to the Gavbendi region on the Iranian coast, some prolific gas fields are observed offshore (e.g., North Dome/ South Pars, North Pars, and Balal) and onshore, concentrated in the Upper Dalan and Kangan reservoirs (Bordenave and Hegre 2010). The structure of the Qatar Arch emerged at the end of the Triassic, warped the sedimentary cover of the Arabian Platform, and extended southward into the Qatar peninsula as well as northeastward to the Pars [Fars] region (near the Gavbendi city) (e.g., Bahroudi and Talbot 2003; Bordenave and Hegre 2010) (Fig. 1).

The Qatar Arch is a major regional anticline that runs through the central Persian Gulf and distorts the sedimentary cover of the Arabian Platform (Perotti et al. 2011). Many researchers (e.g., Talbot and Alavi 1996; Edgell 1996; Al-Husseini 2000; Konert et al. 2001) have studied tectonic aspects of this structure. These authors have considered the Qatar Arch as an Infra-Cambrian basement horst block, reshaped by the basement faults. Concerning the importance of this structure, other authors tried to complete its tectonic history (e.g., Perotti et al. 2011). Based on previous investigations (e.g., Konert et al. 2001; Edgell 1996; Alsharhan and Nairn 1997) and recent seismic interpretations (e.g., Perotti et al. 2011; Perotti et al. 2016), the Qatar Arch has been shaped during several geological periods. Its structural evolution is related to the reactivation of Cambrian faults (Dizaji et al. 2013). Thick carbonate sequences covered the Arch along with evaporating and argillaceous intervals and its emerging started at the end of the Triassic until the Early Jurassic and again during the Cretaceous (Dizaji et al. 2013). The Arch was uplifted slightly in the Paleogene and Neogene periods (Murris 1984; Dizaji et al. 2013; Dizaji and Bonab 2019).

Despite the previous interpretations of the evolutionary scenarios of the Qatar Arch, the main gap was the lack of an outstanding scenario to describe the reasons for the actual rotation of the Qatar Arch and its interactional effects in the surrounding regions. To fill this gap, our research aimed to present a new complementary scenario to lay out the probable effects of another basement fault, corresponding to the physical shape of the Arch. The main novelty of this paper depends on the exploration of some new faults and recognition of a new gas field situated in the hinterland region of Iran, which will provide a new insight to improve the extant knowledge in the geology and petroleum evolution of the study area (i.e., Qatar Arch and Persian Gulf), such as the Plate tectonic events and the creation of possible reservoirs in future.

Fig. 1 Structural elements around the Qatar Arch including faults and fields of Qatar Arch and South Pars/ North field boundaries, after findings of Bahroudi and Talbot (2003); Perotti et al. (2016) Note Kazerun Fault Zone (KFZ), Kareh-Bas Fault (KBF), Nezamabad Fault (NZF), Razak Fault (RZF), Bastak Fault (BAF), Bostaneh Fault (BSF), High Zagros Thrust Fault (HZTF), Trans Arabian-Bostaneh Fault (TABF), Rub-al-Khali Basement (RKB)



Geological setting

The study area is a part of the Persian Gulf, including the subsurface of offshore Zagros, the Qatar Arch, and the South Pars/ North Field in the Persian Gulf Basin. The main lithology and period of the geological setting in the study area related to the Quaternary-aged alluvial deposits and losses, Neogene-aged conglomerate and sandstone, Paleogene-aged sediments, and Cretaceous limestone and shale (Fig. 2). For the study area, a long-term lithology chart and tectonic events can be drawn from Proterozoic to Cenozoic times (Perotti et al. 2011) (Fig. 3). On this basis, the tectonic phases of the study area can include passive margin, Alpine orogeny, Zagros subduction, and Zagros collision during the Arch development. Also, a brief stratigraphy chart from Jurassic to Tertiary including age, group, formation, thickness, lithological descriptions, and hydrocarbon plays can be produced in Fig. 4.

Qatar Arch has divided the Persian Gulf into the north and south basins, which the south basin being Rub-Al Khali in Arabian Plate (Edgell 1992; Ghazban 2009). The recent tectonic stage of the Persian Gulf has been influenced by the Arabian Plate, consisting of the late Mesozoic to present-day compressive phase with ophiolite obduction, the collision of the Arabian Plate with the Central Iranian continental block, and the creation of the Zagros Fold-Thrust Belt (ZFTB) (see Sharland et al. 2001; Konyuhov and Maleki 2006). The Persian Gulf and Zagros Mountains have a similar sedimentary record and encompassing strata from the Precambrian to the recent period (Berberian and King 1981; Motiei 1993). The total thickness of these sequences in nearly un-deformed strata of the Persian Gulf region reached about 8-9 km and thinned to 4-5 km around the Qatar Arch at the end of the Precambrian (Konert et al. 2001; Perotti et al. 2011). In this regard, the thick Infra-Cambrian Hormuz salt bed acts as a detachment surface and creates widespread salt domes and salt plugs, while this layer is absent or thinned in Qatar Arch (Jahani et al. 2007). This fact is observable in the research of Perotti et al. (2011) (for instance, see Fig. 8).

According to Perotti et al. (2011), a lateral deep Hormuz salt and feeding the salt plugs and diapers adjacent to the Arch are responsible for thinning the Hormuz salt bed. During the Paleozoic time, relatively uniform successions of shallow continental and mainly siliciclastic epicontinental sediments with uplift events were deposited on paleo-vallevs formed either Precambrian basement or on the Hormuz basins (Jahani et al. 2007; Ghazban 2009). After deglaciation and significant sea-level rise during the Early Silurian, a widespread organic-rich shale, a lower shale member of the Sharawra Formation in Qatar, and Qusaiba in central Arabia was deposited. Graptolitic shale is considered the principal source rock for the Permian-Triassic reservoir of the North Dome/ South Pars gas fields (Alsharhan and Nairn 1997; Rahmani et al. 2010) and Paleozoic hydrocarbons in Saudi Arabia (Abu-Ali et al. 1991; Aoudeh and Al-Hajri 1995). Gas-bearing dolostone and limestone of Dalan (Permian)





Fig. 3 Lithological units and tectonic events of the study area in the Persian Gulf, after Perotti et al. (2011)

_	_				
ERA	PERIOD	EPOCH	UNITS	LITHOLOGY	TECTONIC EVENTS
		DUROFILE	BAKUTIADI		
OIC	λRY	MIOCENE	AGHA JARI — MISHAN — GACHSARAN-		ZAGROS COLLISION
NOZ	TERTIA	OLIGOCENE	ASMARI		Oligocene unconformity
CE		EOCENE	JAHRUM —		ZAGROS
		PALEOCENE	PABDEH		
	EOUS	UPPER	GURPI ILAM LAFFAN		FIRST ALPINE OROGENY (ophiolite obduction)
	TAC	"middle"	SARVAK — MAUDDUD —		Turonian unconformity
	CRE	LOWER	KAZHDUMI- DARIYAN-		
ZOIC	JURASSIC	UPPER	HITH —		
MESO		MIDDLE	SURMEH		PASSIVE MARGIN
		LOWER	NEYRIZ		
	IASSIC	UPPER		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
		MIDDLE	DASHTAK —		
	TR	LOWER	KANGAN —		
	PERMIAN CARBONIFEROUS DEVONIAN		DALAN —		OPENING
U			FARAGRAN-		HERCYNIAN OROGENY
IOZO			ZAKEEN		Hercynian unconformity
ALEC	SILURIAN		SARCHAHAN-		PASSIVE
Р	CAMBRIAN		MILA-		MARGIN
			ZAIGUN BARUT		
EROZOIC			HORMUZ-		NAJID TRANSTENSION
PROT			CRYSTALLINE BASEMENT	× × × × × × × × × × × ×	ARABIAN SHIELD CONSOLIDATION

Age			Group	Formati	on/Mbr.	Thick(m)	Description	Play
	Miocene-Pliocene		Fars	Mishan		273	Mainly Limestone, interbedded with Marl and Gypsum	
				Gach	saran		Limestone, Gypsum and Clay	
x	L. Miocene-Oligocene		Asmari		46	Dolomitic Limestone to Limy		
Tertiar	Upper Eocene			Jahrum		480	Mainly Dolomite	
	L. Paleocene-L. Eocene		Sachun Equiv.		Equiv.	25	Dolomite with Anhydritic interlayers,	
		Santonian	Arum	Ilam (Halul)		85	Dolomitic Limestone to limy Dolomite, Argillaceous Limestone	
	Upper	Campanian		Laffan		15	Shale, Marl and Argillaceous Limestone	
		Turonian- Cenomanian	sia	Sarvak	Mauddud	53	Limestone, rarely clay and Argillaceous Limestone	•
		Albian	Wa	Kazhdumi		43	Shale and Claystone, thin sandstone and siltstone in lower part	•
Iceou	Lower	Aptian	umama	Dariyan	Upper	65	Limestone	•
eta					Hawar	23	Claystone, Marl and Argillaceous Limestone	
C					Lower	26	Limestone	•
		Barremian- Hautervian		Gadvan	Upper	20	Claystone and Argillaceous Limestone	•
					Khalij	15	Limestone	•
			[hi		Lower	15	Limestone and Arginaceous	A
		Neocomian		Fahliyan		175	Limestone, Chert	
ى ت	Upper			Hith		80	Anhydrite with dolomite interbeds	
Jurassi	Middle			Surmeh		93	Limestone, Dolomitic limestone, Anhydritic interbeds	
	Lower			Neyriz		30	Argillaceous Limestone and Dolomite	
■ Cap Rock ▲ Source Rock ● Reservoir Unconformity								

Fig. 4 Stratigraphy and petroleum situations in the South Pars field, after Rahmani et al. (2010)

and Kangan (Triassic) formations (Dehram Group) in Iran and equivalent Khuff Formation in Arabian countries were deposited in a very shallow and warm paleo-equatorial sea, following the middle Carboniferous Hercynian orogeny, middle Permian rifting, creation of Neotethys and the Gondwana breakup (Glennie 2000; Sharland et al. 2001; Alavi 2007). Triassic, Jurassic, and Cretaceous sediments were deposited on passive margins of Neotethys platforms, including carbonate sediments. Late Mesozoic to presentday carbonate and siliciclastic sediments and flysch members represent the effects of subduction and collision of the Arabian Plate with Iranian continental blocks, resulting in the Qatar Arch and surrounding tectonics status (see Fig. 3).

Materials and Methods

The remote sensing analysis was carried out based on geological and geophysical data in this study. In this regard, the geological survey of the study area (around the Persian Gulf, including the Qatar region and the southern coast of Iran) was extracted from GIS-based global geology data (Harvard University 2021). The gravity data were taken from the airborne geophysical project of the National Geosciences Database of Iran (NGDIR 2021). The free-air gravity anomaly data were taken from the World Gravity Map (WGM), released by the Commission for the Geological Map of the World (CGM 2021). The free-air gravity anomaly data can be considered on regional grids through the geo-structure and remote sensing studies (Daneshvar and Nejadsoleymani 2017).

Furthermore, the physical and geochemical information of sediment samplings of the Persian Gulf was reconsidered to produce the mean geo-accumulation index (Igeo) of heavy metal pollution (e.g., Cu, Fe, Mg, Ni, and Zn), after Rezaei et al. (2021). The Igeo index, to detect the geographical gradients in the study area, can be an effective indicator to quantify the intensity of heavy metals pollution and enrichment above background concentration levels (Jafarabadi et al. 2017, El Hamzaou et al. 2020).

Results and discussion

Existing pieces of evidence

Talbot and Alavi (1996) have discussed the effects of north–south trending basement faults on the creation of the Qatar Arch. Based on this scenario, Qatar Arch has made an emerging structure between Kazerun and Marzuk Transfer lineaments (Fig. 5). According to a simplified geological cross section of Qatar Arch, as another scenario generated by Perotti et al. (2011) (Fig. 6), two basement lineaments and uplift zone of the Arch have been described using seismic data and analog modeling (Alsharhan and Nairn 1997, Konert et al. 2001, Pollastro 2003). Corresponding the extension of the Qatar Arch (Bahroudi and Talbot 2003), Permo-Triassic reservoirs (Bordenave and Hegre 2010), the existing shape of the Arch (i.e., narrowed in the south and widened in the north), and the interaction of the basement faults, we can propose another complementary scenario. In this regard, the paleofacies and tectonic data should be reanalyzed in the study area in the first step.

Paleofacies and tectonic analyzes

In the first step, we should understand that the Trans Arabian Bostaneh Fault (TABF) is a basement fault, which has been extrapolated across the Persian Gulf. Through the reaction of TABF, Hauterivian–Barremian (HB) paleofacies data represent the creation of an intra-shelf basin around the Qatar Arch (Ziegler 2001). Glennie (2000) believed that the Barremian epoch is responsible for the start of Arabian Plate warping, distortion, and creation of intra-shelf basins over the Qatar Arch. A stratigraphic sequence study by Daneshvar et al. (2015) confirmed that the HB-aged Gadvan Formation (and its regional equivalent of the Kharaib Formation) abruptly deepened and inclined the southern part



Fig. 5 The emerging scenarios of Qatar structure between Kazerun and Marzuk Transfer lineaments and background data on the oil and gas reservoirs, after Talbot and Alavi (1996); Dizaji et al. (2013)



of the TABF. This variation can be featured through two lineaments of A1: linear extension of BSF (Bahroudi and Koyi 2004) and A2: morphological boundary of HB-aged formations (Daneshvar et al. 2015). In Fig. 7, the evolution of HB stages of the Qatar Arch, the HB paleofacies map, and the offshore extrapolations of TABF based on A1 and A2 lineaments were drawn in GIS.

Existence of deep marine "globigerina bioclast wackestone" and "sponge spicule radiolaria bioclast packstone" facies in the mentioned Barremian aged formations has been observed in the western part of the Kazerun Fault Zone (KFZ) (Lassemi and Siahi 2008), demonstrating the possible uplift in the east part of KFZ. Furthermore, Perotti et al. (2011, 2016) have interpreted the creation of an intra-shelf adjacent to the uplifted area based on two-dimensional seismic coverage images and the salt diapirism data. As mentioned by Hessami et al. (2001), TABF and other NE-SW trend faults caused the rotation of formerly north–south trending basement faults around the Zagros distortion (Bahroudi and Talbot 2003).





Complementary model of the Qatar Arch evolution

Based on the literature and the particular shape of the Arch (i.e., narrowing and uplifting to the south influenced by the Kazerun basement fault), we can propose a new complementary model for the Qatar Arch evolution by extending the offshore extrapolation of TABF lineament through the curved boundary of HB formations (Fig. 8). Based on this model, another warping scenario can be presented to the tectonic history of the Qatar Arch from the Barremian stage to the recent age.

Hessami et al. (2001) have noted that the rotation evidence of the blocks influenced by both left-lateral and rightlateral movements along the faults of Zagros basement resulted in anticlockwise rotation of blocks in the north (elongated NW-SE) and clockwise rotation of blocks in the south (elongated NE-SW) (Fig. 9). This scenario is caused on the convergence of the faults in the southern part of the Persian Gulf (Qatar Arch), which can be affirmed by the finding of Perotti et al. (2011) using the probable contour lines of top Permian. On this basis, the contour lines can resemble an uplifting condition with acceleration toward the southern Qatar Arch.

From the kinematic viewpoint, a complementary model can be defined step-by-step (Fig. 10). Following warping and distortion of the Arabian Plate and rotation of strikeslip TABF during Barremian (see Fig. 10b), the southern part of TABF may be encountered KFZ, and interaction of these strike-slip faults (KFZ and TABF) has made a bulged arch (Hessami et al. 2001, Bahroudi and Talbot 2003, and Bahroudi and Koyi 2004).

TABF consists of two segments that probably join in the Strait of Hormuz: the Trans-Arabian lineament with a left-lateral strike-slip movement and the Bostaneh Fault (BSF), distinguished on the topographic map (see Fig. 1) (Bahroudi and Koyi 2004), satellite images, geological, and geophysical datasets. It seems that TABF offset leftlaterally Zagros anticlines (Bahroudi and Talbot 2003). Besides, KFZ is the best-known active basement fault with a long history of reactivations, extending southward across the High Zagros Thrust Fault (HZTF) to the Persian Gulf and Arabia (Talbot and Alavi 1996).

As these two faults get away from each other to the northeast, the bulged Arch smoothly widens and flattens. Neglecting the effects of salt plugs, the widening of this Arch to the northeast could be represented by the top Permian contour map to the northeast (see Fig. 8). This complementary model can also explain the shortening of Cretaceous and Cenozoic sediments toward Qatar Arch on the geological cross section (see Fig. 6). In the other word, following the convergence of TABF, KFZ, and through the warping, and uplifting of the Arch, the sedimentary space has been decreased (i.e., the shorter thickness of the Cretaceous and Cenozoic sediments compared with the adjacent areas).

Fig. 8 A new complementary model for the Qatar Arch evolution *Note* Offshore extrapolation of TABF and KFZ (red dashed curves), salt diapers and domes (gray color centers), location of South Pars/ North Field, and probable contour line of top Permian (black dashed curves), after Perotti et al. (2011) using seismic data and analog modeling



Fig. 9 Schematic map of the rotation of the structural features in the Zagros basement and the Persian Gulf after Hessami et al. (2001) and authors' modifications Legend 1 is the plate-motion rate in cm/year, 2 is the main Zagros thrust, 3 is the Zagros front faults, 4 is the southern edge of the Zagros deforming zone, 5 is strike-slip faults





Fig. 10 Kinematic viewpoint of the complementary model as the step-by-step plot of the Arabian plate' pressure on the uplifting of the Qatar Arch, **a** at the end of Triassic-Barremian, and **b** at the start of the warping stage during Barremian

Exploration of a new field in the Fars region

There are some younger strike-slip faults, namely Nezamabad Fault (NZF), and Razak Fault (RZF), with a probable non-basement origin (see Fig. 1), with activation evidence from Oligocene to recent time (Bahroudi and Talbot 2003). Although these left-lateral strike-slip faults are attributed to the reactivation of basement faults, we suggest that the southward effects of compressional forces on the Qatar Arch have made these faults during the subduction and crashing of the Arabian Plate at its northeastern margin.

This suggestion can be supported by the kinematic description of the faults' movement and anticlockwise and clockwise rotations of the blocks (see Figs. 9 and 10). Regarding relative movements, we believe that compressional forces are responsible for pushing the Qatar Arch and creating the faults of NZF and RZF. These faults are similar to faults developed during stress-strength tests on rock piles. The subduction and collusion phases of the tectonic events are responsible for compressional stresses and forces (see Fig. 3).

Besides, in contrast to the Qatar Arch, the main reservoirs of the hinterland region between NZF and RZF, recognized as the Fars region (see Fig. 8), are generally still not explored. The adjusted parts around this region stretch from the KFZ to the Strait of Hormuz, and its southwest limit coincides approximately with the Persian Gulf coast-line, are included the majority of the Hormuz salt extrusions and several gas fields (Sepehr and Cosgrove 2005). In the last step, we should aid the geophysical data to understand how major faults have subdivided this region.

According to the residual map of the Bouguer anomaly, subtracting from the regional gravity (after Jiménez-Munt et al. 2012), we can confirm that a positive anomaly is observable around the Qatar Arch (about +10 mGal). Contrarily, a negative residual map of the Bouguer anomaly (about -20 mGal) can be observed beneath the Fars region (north of Qatar Arch), demonstrating a notable crypto and great salt dome along with a new possible hydrocarbon field (Fig. 11).

This apparent contradiction between the existence of positive gravity anomaly of the Qatar Arch and the negative anomaly of the Fars region can also be detected in the free-air gravity anomaly data among the regional faults and basement lineaments (Fig. 12). In the previous works, some researchers have claimed that this gradient of gravity values probably related to the extent and distribution of the Hormuz evaporites over the Fars region (e.g., Edgell 1996; Jahani et al. 2007; Jiménez-Munt et al. 2012).

Al-Husseini (2000) has noted that many structures adjusted in the Qatar Arch are interpreted as Hormuz salt domes based on their oval shapes and corresponding negative gravity anomalies. Nevertheless, we detect a distinct arch in the Fars region, based on the high-resolution airborne geophysical data of the National Geosciences Database of Iran (NGDIR), precisely along with the extrapolation of the Qatar Arch (Fig. 13). On this basis, a distinct gravity anomaly (about -100 mGal) can confirm a possible new field between two possible new faults, namely the Khonj Fault and the Gerash Fault. We also suggest further exploration to recognize Gavbendi-Lar Field (GLF). Therefore, we can draw the spatial distribution of mean Igeo hot spots in Fig. 14 (after Rezaei et al. 2021). This figure revealed a hot spot of Igeo value, exactly between the northern boundary of Qatar Arch and the southern boundary of Gavbendi offshore, disclosing the significant role of the GLF salt dome and the crypto faults of Khonj and Gerash in the conduction and accumulation of heavy metals to the sea sediments. The high concentration and geo-accumulation of heavy metals have been reported repetitively around the oil and gas reservoirs (Afkhami et al. 2013, Karbassi et al. 2015). In this regard, Dizaji and Bonab (2019) have represented the separation of several minor gas fields (such as Tabkak and Kangan) in the Fars region (north of the Qatar Arch), which belongs to the Dehram Reservoir. However, our result recommends a new major gas field (or salt dome), entitled Gavbendi-Lar Field (GLF), in the interior territory of Iran that is exactly along with the Qatar Arch (South Pars field) (Fig. 15).

Conclusions

In the present study, we aimed to present a new complementary scenario to lay out the probable effects of another basement fault, corresponding to the physical shape of the Arch. For this purpose, some national and international datasets were analyzed using the GIS and remote sensing approaches. The results revealed that:

Fig. 11 Situation of the regional faults and basement lineaments in the residual map of Bouguer anomaly (contours in 10 mGal) resulting from subtracting the regional gravity, after Jiménez-Munt et al. (2012)



Fig. 12 Situation of the regional faults and basement lineaments in the free-air gravity anomaly data, after the CGM (2021)







- (1) The Trans Arabian-Bostaneh Fault (TABF) and Kazerun Fault Zone (KFZ) crossed each other after the Barremian age, created a particular shape of the Qatar Arch, and influenced beneath the Fars hinterland.
- (2) The southward effects of compressional forces on the Qatar Arch have made Nezamabad Fault (NZF) and Razak Fault (RZF) during the subduction and crashing of the Arabian Plate at its northeastern margin. This

Fig. 14 Location of the Gavbendi-Lar field and its relation with the interpolated Igeo values of heavy metal enrichments, after Rezaei et al. (2021)





Fig. 15 Location of a possible new gas field of Gavbendi-Lar (yellow color patch) among the existing geographical separation of gas fields (orange color patches)

> suggestion can be supported by the kinematic description of the faults' movement and anticlockwise and clockwise rotations of the blocks.

(3) A distinct arch was detected in the Fars region, based on the high-resolution airborne geophysical data, precisely along with the extrapolation of the Qatar Arch. On this basis, a distinct gravity anomaly confirmed a possible new gas field of Gavbendi-Lar (GLF) between two possible new faults, namely the Khonj Fault and the Gerash Fault.

- (4) The obtained geophysical gravity data showed a good match between structural units and faults with maximum values corresponding to the Qatar Arch and minimum values relating to the thick sediment field in the GLF at the Fars region.
- (5) All of these interpretations will provide a new insight to improve the extant knowledge in the geology and petroleum evolution of the study area (i.e., Qatar Arch and Persian Gulf), such as the Plate tectonic events and the creation of possible reservoirs in future.

Acknowledgements We thank anonymous reviewers for their essential comments and technical suggestions on data interpretations.

Author contributions All authors were equally involved in analyzing and editing the paper.

Funding This study was not funded by any grant.

Availability of data and material The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethics approval and consent to participate This article does not contain any studies with participants performed by any of the authors.

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from individual participant included in the study.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Abu-Ali MA, Franz UA, Shen J, Monnier F, Mahmoud MD, Chambers TM (1991). Hydrocarbon generation and migration in the Paleozoic sequence of Saudi Arabia. SPE, Middle East Oil and Gas Show and Conference, 345–356. https://doi.org/10.2118/ 21376-MS.
- Afkhami F, Karbassi AR, Nasrabadi T, Vosoogh A (2013) Impact of oil excavation activities on soil metallic pollution, case study

of an Iran southern oil field. Enviro Earth Sci 70(3):1219–1224. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-012-2208-8

- Alavi M (2007) Structures of the Zagros fold-thrust belt in Iran. Am J Sci 307(9):1064–1095. https://doi.org/10.2475/09.2007.02
- Al-Husseini MI (2000) Origin of the Arabian Plate structures: Amar collision and Najd rift. Geo Arabia 5(4):527–542
- Alsharhan AS, Nairn AEM (1997) Sedimentary basins and petroleum geology of the Middle East. Elsevier, Amsterdam. https://doi. org/10.1016/B978-0-444-82465-3.X5000-1
- Aoudeh SM, Al-Hajri SA (1995). Regional distribution and chronostratigraphy of the Qusaiba member of the Qalibah formation in the Nafud Basin, Northwestern Saudia Arabia. AAPG Bulletin, 143–154. https://www.osti.gov/biblio/127333.
- Bahroudi A, Koyi HA (2004) Tectono-sedimentary framework of the Gachsaran formation in the Zagros foreland basin. Mar Pet Geol 21:1295–1310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2004.09.001
- Bahroudi A, Talbot CJ (2003) The configuration of the basement beneath the Zagros basin. J Pet Geol 26(3):257–282. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1747-5457.2003.tb00030.x
- Berberian M, King GCP (1981) Towards a paleogeography and tectonic evolution of Iran. Can J Earth Sci 18(2):210–265. https:// doi.org/10.1139/e81-019
- Bordenave ML, Hegre JA (2010) Current distribution of oil and gas fields in the Zagros Fold Belt of Iran and contiguous offshore as the result of the petroleum systems. Geol Soc Special Publication 330(1):291–353. https://doi.org/10.1144/SP330.14
- CGM (2021) Free-air gravity anomaly data from the World Gravity Map (WGM). Released by the Commission for the Geological Map of the World, Paris. https://bgi.obs-mip.fr/data-products/ grids-and-models/wgm2012-global-model. Accessed 20 October 2021.
- Daneshvar PM, Harami RM, Mahboubi A, Gharaie MHM, Feizie A (2015) Sequence stratigraphy of the petroliferous Dariyan formation (Aptian) in Qeshm Island and offshore (southern Iran). Pet Sci 12:232–251. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12182-015-0027-8
- Daneshvar MRM, Nejadsoleymani H (2017) Remote sensing analysis of unknown origin of a crater in western Yemen. Spat Inf Res 25:575–583. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41324-017-0124-4
- Dizaji BE, Bonab HR (2019) Carbonate reservoir rocks at giant oil and gas fields in SW Iran and the adjacent offshore: a review of stratigraphic occurrence and poro-perm characteristics. J Pet Geol 42(4):343–370. https://doi.org/10.1111/jpg.12741
- Dizaji BE, Harchegani FK, Bonab HR, Kamali MR (2013). Controls on Reservoir Quality in the Early Triassic Kangan Formation, Iran. In: Pöppelreiter MC (Ed.), Permo-Triassic Sequence of the Arabian Plate (pp. 219–245). European Association of Geoscientists & Engineers. ISBN: 978–90–73834–42–2.
- Edgell HS (1992). Basement tectonics of Saudi Arabia as related to oil field structures. In: Rickard MJ, Harrington HJ, Williams RP (Eds.). Basement Tectonics 9. Proceedings of the International Conferences on Basement Tectonics (pp. 169–193). Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-2654-0_10.
- Edgell HS (1996) Salt tectonism in the Persian Gulf basin. Geol Soc Special Publication 100(1):129–151. https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL. SP.1996.100.01.10
- El Hamzaoui EH, El Baghdadi M, Oumenskou H, Aadraoui M, Hilali A (2020) Spatial repartition and contamination assessment of heavy metal in agricultural soils of Beni-Moussa, Tadla plain (Morocco). Model Earth Syst Environ 6:1387–1406. https://doi. org/10.1007/s40808-020-00756-3
- Ghazban F (2009) Petroleum geology of the Persian Gulf. Tehran University Press, 707 p. https://www.oparsbooks.com/product/petro leum-geology-of-the-persian-gulf.
- Glennie KW (2000) Cretaceous tectonic evolution of Arabia's eastern plate margin: a tale of two oceans. In: Alsharhan AS, Scott RW (Eds.), Middle East Models of Jurassic/Cretaceous Carbonate

Systems. SEPM Special Publication, 69:9–20. https://doi.org/10. 2110/pec.00.69.0009.

- Harvard University (2021) GIS-based global geology data. Archived by Harvard University. https://library.harvard.edu/collections/geolo gical-map-collection. Accessed 20 October 2021.
- Hessami K, Koyi HA, Talbot CJ (2001) The significance of strike slip faulting in the basement of the Zagros fold-thrust belt. J Pet Geol 24:5–28. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-5457.2001.tb00659.x
- Jafarabadi AR, Bakhtiyari AR, Toosi AS, Jadot C (2017) Spatial distribution, ecological and health risk assessment of heavy metals in marine surface sediments and coastal seawaters of fringing coral reefs of the Persian Gulf. Iran Chemosphere 185:1090–1111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2017.07.110
- Jahani S, Callot JP, de Lamotte DF, Letouzey J, Leturmy P (2007). The salt diapirs of the Eastern Fars Province (Zagros, Iran): A brief outline of their past and present. In: Lacombe O, Lavé J, Roure F, Vergés J (Eds.), Thrust belts and foreland basin: from fold kinematics to hydrocarbon systems (pp. 289–308). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-69426-7_15.
- Jiménez-Munt I, Fernàndez M, Saura E, Vergés J, Castellanos DG (2012) 3-D lithospheric structure and regional/residual Bouguer anomalies in the Arabia-Eurasia collision (Iran). Geophys J Int 190(3):1311–1324. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2012. 05580.x
- Karbassi R, Tajziehchi S, Afshar S (2015) An investigation on heavy metals in soils around oil field area. Glob J Environ Sci Manag 1(4):275–282. https://doi.org/10.7508/gjesm.2015.04.002
- Konert G, Afifi AM, Al-Hajri SA, Droste HJ (2001) Paleozoic stratigraphy and hydrocarbon habitat of the Arabian Plate. Geo Arabia 6(3):407–442
- Konyuhov AI, Maleki B (2006) The Persian Gulf Basin: geological history, sedimentary formations, and petroleum potential. Lithol Min Resour 41(4):344–361. https://doi.org/10.1134/S002449020 6040055
- Lassemi Y, Siahi M (2008) Sedimentary environments and sequence stratigraphy of the Gadvan Formation in Izeh zone (Anneh and Khami sections). Sediments and Sediment Rock 1:9–15 ((**In Persian**))
- Motiei H (1993) Stratigraphy of the Zagros, treatise on the geology of Iran. Geological Survey of Iran, Tehran. 536 p. (In Persian)
- Murris RJ (1984) Middle East: stratigraphic evolution and oil habitat. In: Demaison G and Murris RJ (Eds.) Petroleum Geochemistry and Basin Evaluation (pp 353–372). https://doi.org/10.1306/ M35439C20.
- NGDIR (2021) Gravity data from the airborne geophysical project. Archived by the National Geosciences Database of Iran. http:// ngdir.ir. Accessed 10 September 2021.

- Perotti C, Carruba S, Rinaldi M, Bertozzi G, Feltre L, Rahimi M (2011) The Qatar–South Fars arch development (Arabian Platform, Persian Gulf): insights from seismic interpretation and analogue modelling. In: Schattner U (Ed.) New frontiers in tectonic research at the midst of plate convergence, Intech Open Access Publisher. https://doi.org/10.5772/20299.
- Perotti C, Chiariotti L, Bresciani I, Cattaneo L, Toscani G (2016) Evolution and timing of salt diapirism in the Iranian sector of the Persian Gulf. Tectonophysics 679:180–198. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.tecto.2016.04.040
- Pollastro RM (2003) Total petroleum systems of the Paleozoic and Jurassic, Greater Ghawar Uplift and adjoining provinces of Central Saudi Arabia and Northern Arabia-Persian Gulf. United States Geological Survey Bulletin, Vol. 2202-H, Available from http:// pubs.usgs.gov/bul/b2202-h.
- Rahmani O, Aali J, Mohseni H, Bonab HR, Zalaghaie S (2010) Organic geochemistry of Gadvan and Kazhdumi formations (Cretaceous) in South Pars field, Persian Gulf. Iran J Petrol Sci Eng 70(1):57– 66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2009.009
- Rezaei M, Mehdinia A, Saleh A, Modabberi S, Daneshvar MRM (2021) Environmental assessment of heavy metal concentration and pollution in the Persian Gulf. Model Earth Syst Environ 7:983–1003. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-020-00913-8
- Sepehr M, Cosgrove JW (2005) Role of the Kazerun fault zone in the formation and deformation of the Zagros Fold-Thrust Belt. Iran Tecton 24(5):1–13. https://doi.org/10.1029/2004TC001725
- Sharland PR, Archer R, Casey DM, Davies RB, Hall SH, Heward AP, Horburyand AD, Simmons MD (2001) Arabian Plate sequence stratigraphy. Geo Arabia Special Publication 2, 371 p. ISBN: 9901–03–08–9.
- Talbot CJ, Alavi M (1996) The past of a future syntaxis across the Zagros. Geol Soc Special Publication 100(1):89–109. https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.SP.1996.100.01.08
- Ziegler MA (2001) Late Permian to Holocene paleofacies evolution of the Arabian Plate and its hydrocarbon occurrences. Geo Arabia 6:445–504

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.