A preliminary screening and characterization of suitable acids for sandstone matrix acidizing technique: a comprehensive review

Leong Van Hong¹ · Hisham Ben Mahmud¹

Received: 23 January 2018 / Accepted: 4 June 2018 / Published online: 11 June 2018
 © The Author(s) 2018

Abstract

Matrix acidizing is a broadly developed technique in sandstone stimulation to improve the permeability and porosity of a bottom-hole well. The most popular acid used is mud acid (HF–HCl). It is a mixture of hydrofluoric acid and hydrochloric acid. However, one of the conventional problems in sandstone acidizing is that mud acid faces significant issues at high temperature such as rapid rate of reaction, resulting in early acid consumption. This downside has given a negative impact to sandstone acidizing as it will result in not only permeability reduction, but can even extend to acid treatment failure. So, the aim of this study is to provide a preliminary screening and comparison of different acids based on the literature to optimize the acid selection, and targeting various temperatures of sandstone environment. This paper has comprehensively reviewed the experimental works using different acids to understand the chemical reactions and transport properties of acid in sandstone environment. The results obtained indicated that fluoroboric acid (HBF₄) could be useful in enhancing the sandstone acidizing process, although more studies are still required to consolidate this conclusion. HBF₄ is well known as a low damaging acid for sandstone acidizing due to its slow hydrolytic reaction to produce HF. This would allow deeper penetration of the acid into the sandstone formation at a slower rate, resulting in higher porosity and permeability enhancement. Nevertheless, little is known about the effective temperature working range for a successful treatment. Considering the pros and cons of different acids, particularly those which are associated with HF and HBF₄, it is recommended to perform a comprehensive analysis to determine the optimum temperature range and effective working window for sandstone acidizing before treatment operation. Prior to sandstone acid stimulation, it is essential to predict the feasibility of acid selected by integrating the effects of temperature, acid concentration and injection rate. Therefore, this manuscript has thrown light into the research significance of further studies.

Keywords Well stimulation · Sandstone matrix acidizing · Mud acid · Fluoroboric acid · High temperature · Core flooding experiment

Abbreviations

K	Potassium	K _{0.5} Na _{0.5} AlSi ₃ O ₈	Feldspar
F	Fluorine	CaMg(CO ₃) ₂	Dolomite
Na	Sodium	FeCO ₃	Siderite
Ca	Calcium	Mg ₆ Si ₄ O ₁₀ (OH) ₈	Chlorite
Al	Aluminum	KAl ₃ Si ₃ O ₁₀ (OH) ₂	Mica/illite
Fe	Iron	H ₂ O	Water
Mg	Magnesium	Fe(OH) ₂	Iron hydroxide
¹¹ B	Boron-11	AlCl ₃	Aluminum chloride
¹⁹ F	Fluorine-19	Al ₂ SiO ₅	Aluminosilicates
SiO ₂	Quartz	HF	Hydrofluoric acid
		HCl	Hydrochloric acid
		HBF ₄	Fluoroboric acid
		H ₃ PO ₄	Phosphoric acid
		CH ₃ COOH	Acetic acid
		HCOOH	Formic acid
		C ₆ H ₈ O ₇	Citric acid
		CH ₃ OH	Methanol

✉ Leong Van Hong
leongvanhong@postgrad.curtin.edu.my

¹ Petroleum Engineering Department, Curtin University, Miri, Malaysia

HCOOCH ₃	Methyl formate
NH ₄ Cl	Ammonium chloride
NH ₄ F	Ammonium fluoride
NH ₄ ⁺	Ammonium cation
HCOO [−]	Formate anion
HBf ₃ OH	Borofluoric acid
HBf ₂ (OH) ₂	Dihydroxyfluoroboric acid
HBf(OH) ₃	Trihydroxyfluoroboric acid
H ₃ BO ₃	Boric acid
APC	Aminopolycarboxylic acid
EDG	Ethanol diglycine
NTA	Nitrilotriacetic acid
APCA	Aminopolycarboxylic acid
HACA	Hydroxyethylaminocarboxylic acid
GLDA	Glutamic acid <i>N,N</i> -diacetic acid
Na-GLDA	Sodium glutamic acid <i>N,N</i> -diacetic acid
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
HEIDA	Hydroxyethyliminodiacetic acid
HEDTA	Hydroxyethylethylenediaminetriacetic acid
Na ₃ HEDTA	Sodium hydroxyethylethylenediaminetriacetic acid
EOR	Enhanced oil recovery
HSE	Health, safety and environment
HTHP	High temperature high pressure
HTDP	High temperature deep penetrating
BOPD	Barrel oil per day
BLPD	Barrel liquid per day
BHST	Bottom-hole static temperature
Poro-Perm	Porosity–permeability
SEM	Scanning electron microscope
FESEM	Field emission scanning electron microscope
CT scan	Computerized tomography scan
ICP	Induced coupled plasma
NMR	Nuclear magnetic resonance
XRD	X-ray diffraction
OES	Optical emission spectrographic
EDS	Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy
TSP	Thin section petrographic
FAAS	Flame atomic absorption spectroscopy
MOHE	Ministry of Higher Education
FRGS	Fundamental Research Grant Scheme
FOES	Faculty of Engineering and Science

List of symbols

%	Percentage
wt%	Weight percent
°F	Degree Fahrenheit
°C	Degree celsius
m	Meter
md/mD	Millidarcy

ml	Milliliter
pH	Potential of hydrogen

Introduction

In the recent years, the energy demand around the globe has continued to grow. According to a prediction, the aggregate requirement of energy would be 40% more in 2020 than in the present (Aboud et al. 2007). Hence, the oil and gas sector continues to expand the limit and boundary in terms of technology and commercial aspects. Innovative and feasible technology development in well stimulation and enhanced oil recovery (EOR) become one of the primary focuses in the oil field.

Matrix acidizing is a broadly developed technique in well stimulation. During acidizing process, acids are injected into the bottom-hole well with a pressure below the formation fracture pressure. Ever since the mid-1960s, sandstone matrix acidizing has gained wide application and played an important role in the petroleum industry (Kalfayan and Metcalf 2000). Different acids had been developed to stimulate depleted sandstone reservoir after many years of oil and gas production. Acid is playing an important role to improve the porosity and permeability of a reservoir formation. The other function of acid is to reduce the formation damage as well as enhancing the productivity of the well (Kalfayan 2008).

The most popular acid used in sandstone stimulation treatment is the mud acid. Hydrofluoric acid (HF) and hydrochloric acid (HCl) are being mixed and combined to form the mud acid. Indeed, this is because HF can effectively dissolve the minerals present in a sandstone matrix whereas HCl is well known in precipitation control (Smith and Hendrickson 1965). Nevertheless, high temperature well acidizing is of utmost importance. Recently, reservoirs with great depth and temperature have become the center of attention to exploration of new oil and gas reserves (Al-Harthy et al. 2009). Wells are producing from deep hot reservoir, with a temperature higher than 200 °F. These reservoirs have temperature range from 100 °F and could go up to above 500 °F. In recent years, the evolution of matrix acidizing, focusing on high-temperature wells had limited the use of mud acid. So, all aspects in acid stimulation such as efficiency of acid, corrosion rate and stability must be improved to ensure successful well treatment (Gidley 1985).

There are some significant problems of using conventional mud acid at a temperature higher than 200 °F. At high-temperature conditions, mud acid can lead to rapid rate of reaction with the mineral content in the sandstone matrix (Shuchart and Gdanski 1996; Al-Dahlan et al. 2001). As a result, the acidizing process becomes inefficient and may even fail mainly due to early and rapid consumption of the acid (Al-Harthy et al. 2009). Moreover, the use of HF acid

during well stimulation was discovered to cause significant reduction of the formation compressive strength, especially formation with high clay content. Consequently, the formation will disintegrate, resulting in porosity and permeability reduction (Thomas and Crowe 1981). In addition, mud acid has highly corrosive properties, making it a hazardous acid that is difficult for health and safety control. Therefore, the acidizing treatment has become less efficient. It is noticeable that high-temperature sandstone acidizing technique is in growing demand and, therefore, has become the attractive spot of current research.

Against this background, it is critical to develop new acid combination, which can mitigate the issues caused by conventional mud acid at elevated temperatures. At the same time, the new acid combination has a role in formation porosity and permeability enhancement, ensuring positive economic returns. There have been a number of acids that had been developed and used in the sandstone well stimulation. These acids include the most popular mud acid, chelating agents, retarded acids, organic acids as well as fluoroboric acid (HBF_4).

The primary aim of this paper is to comprehensively and critically review all the experimentations that were performed using all these different types of acid. In this paper, a preliminary screening and comparison of different acids based on the literature studies were conducted to optimize the selection of acid, targeting various temperatures of sandstone condition. Synchronously, an evaluation of the pros and cons of the acids used in association with sandstone formation were clearly addressed and highlighted.

In the past, many researchers had proven various advantages that were offered by fluoroboric acid (HBF_4) and phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4) when compared to the mud acid. HBF_4 can produce HF through slow hydrolysis process. On the other hand, H_3PO_4 functions like a buffer acid, which enables a deeper penetration of acid into the sandstone matrix before being spent. Similarly, both these acids result in higher permeability increase, lower strength reduction of the core plugs and relatively lower corrosiveness. Despite this, there are few studies indicating the precise temperature range, in which HBF_4 can be suitably applied, resulting in successful sandstone acid treatment.

Therefore, considering all the aspects of acids such as the effects of temperature, acid concentration and injection rate in particular, it is recommended to carry out a detailed optimization analysis based on parametric study or sensitivity analysis approach. This is especially crucial to accurately determine the optimum temperature range to ensure a highly positive sandstone acidizing treatment results. Hence, this manuscript has provided an outlook or insight into the research significance of further studies. The future analytical work which can be done to characterize the acids was also proposed.

Background

Well stimulation

The operations in oil and gas field such as drilling, completion, workover, production and other long-time operations resulted in the deposition of minerals near wellbore over time. Consequently, this results in production depletion due to formation damage occurring around the wellbore (Williams et al. 1979). Therefore, field engineers must determine the solution to perform well treatment to bring up the productivity of the wells to ensure economic returns. One such solution is known as well stimulation (Schechter 1992; Economides et al. 2013).

Well stimulation is a technique applied to enhance the production of oil or gas from the reservoir to the wellbore. It has played an important role in the development of oil and gas wells, ensuring good economic returns (Cipolla 2003). In recent years, many creative and innovative approaches are used to treat the wells (Coulter 2011). Hydraulic fracturing enhances the oil and gas production by creating fracture in the reservoir well through injection of hydraulic fluid at a pressure higher than that of the formation pressure (Economides et al. 2013). In the industry, hydraulic fracturing still represents the higher interest in well stimulation. However, acidizing also plays a major role in different case studies. The use of main acid like hydrochloric acid and other acids such as hydrofluoric acid, formic acid and acetic acid is important (Coulter 2012).

The most common stimulation techniques include hydraulic fracturing, matrix acidizing and fracture acidizing. Each of these techniques has different advantages and limitations in stimulating a well. Figure 1 shows the penetration of acid being injected into a sandstone matrix during acidizing.

Often, questions have been raised upon the choice of fracturing or acidizing. In fact, the decision whether to fracture a well or acidize it depends on various factors which include the formation geology, production history and well-intervention objectives (Al-Harthy et al. 2009).

The loose formations with relatively better porosity and permeability require less intensive hydraulic fracturing, whereas tight formations with relatively lower porosity and permeability require high-intensive hydraulic fracturing. The formation permeability is a very important parameter to be considered before performing hydraulic fracturing (Holman 1982). However, for loosely bound formations, hydraulic fracturing has high tendency to cause formation collapse due to the overburden pressure. Furthermore, the formation with damage due to drilling and production is not recommended to be stimulated with hydraulic fracturing. Instead, matrix acidizing is more suitable for such formation (Houseworth 2014).

Fig. 1 Pore-scale figure of matrix acidizing process when the acid is being injected and acid passes through the pore space illustrated by Veldkam and Boxem (2015)

In common practice, acid fracturing is applied to carbonate formations, which are rich in limestones and dolomites (King 1986; Milligan 1994). The acid is channeled into the fracture surface to prevent it from being closed by overburden stress. Acid fracturing is more successful to be applied in carbonate formations with high natural fractures and high permeability (Houseworth 2014).

For sandstone formations, matrix acidizing tends to have limited penetration depth. Typically, matrix acidizing has a shorter penetration depth of about 0.3 m in comparison to hydraulic fracturing and fracture acidizing. Usually, it is not used for formations with low permeability because it requires long deep penetration depth to be successfully stimulated. As such, hydraulic fracturing is more suitable in this case. However, matrix acidizing is viable and effective when the well is naturally fractured and is normally used to remove the formation damage near the well, which prevents flow into the well. Hence, the acid can dissolve the plugging minerals in the production flow path (Economides et al. 2013). Therefore, different well stimulation methods as shown in Fig. 2 have different practicality and suitability for different formations.

Fig. 2 Oil well stimulation illustration

Introduction to sandstone matrix acidizing

Sandstone mineralogy

Sandstone is a clastic sedimentary rock. Sometimes, it is also called arenite. Sandstone is made up of silica, SiO_2 and many silicate minerals. The main compositions of a sandstone matrix include quartz, feldspar and different forms of clay. Zeolite may also be present in a sandstone although it is rare (Muecke 1982). Table 1 shows the concentration of different minerals present in a typical Berea sandstone core sample used in the industry for core flooding test. Figure 3 shows the mineral components of a sandstone rock.

Table 1 Mineralogy of a typical Berea sandstone (Al-Shaalan and Nasr-El-Din 2000)

Mineral	Concentration (wt%)	Chemical formulae
Quartz	75	SiO_2
Feldspar	5	$\text{K}_{0.5}\text{Na}_{0.5}\text{AlSi}_3\text{O}_8$
Dolomite	5	$\text{CaMg}(\text{CO}_3)_2$
Siderite	5	FeCO_3
Chlorite	5	$\text{Mg}_6\text{Si}_4\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_8$
Mica/Illite	5	$\text{KAl}_3\text{Si}_3\text{O}_{10}(\text{OH})_2$

Fig. 3 Constituents of sandstone, all of which are soluble in HCl–HF mud acid system as described by Crowe et al. (1992)

When reacting with HF acid, clays and feldspar have higher dissolution rate than quartz. This is due to the characteristic of quartz which has a more stable structure and relatively lower specific surface area.

The practice in sandstone matrix acidizing

The main purpose of matrix acidizing is to enhance the production of a sandstone well and to reduce its skin. This technique has been used for many years to stimulate reservoir formations by changing the rock properties, which are the porosity and permeability (Crowe et al. 1992). During operation, the injection pressure of the acid is lower than the formation fracture pressure. When the acid is injected, it dissolves all the minerals within the soluble reservoir rock. This creates more pore spaces, thus increasing the flow rate of fluid from the reservoir formation layers to the wellbore (Ali et al. 2004). In the early stage, the use of mud acid in sandstone acidizing is a major breakthrough in the area of well stimulation technique (Kalfayan 2008). The commonly practiced acid composition during operation is 3% HF and 12% HCl (Smith and Hendrickson 1965; Gidley 1985). Table 2 shows the chemical compositions of minerals that are present in a sandstone and their solubility in HCl and HCl–HF mud acid.

In a typical operation, sandstone matrix acidizing is divided into three main phases (Hill et al. 1981, 1994; Zeit 2005; Nasr-El-Din et al. 2005), which are discussed as follows:

Table 2 Solubility of sandstone minerals (Portier et al. 2007)

Minerals	Solubility	
	HCl	HCl–HF
Quartz	No	Very low
Feldspar	No	Low to moderate
Mica	No	Low to moderate
Kaolinite	No	High
Illite	No	High
Smectite	No	High
Chlorite	Low to moderate	High
Calcite	High	High, but CaF_2 precipitation
Dolomite	High	High
Ankerite	High	High
Siderite	High	High

1. A pre-flush phase to dissolve sodium (Na), potassium (K) and calcium (Ca) ions that will have reactions with the silica, forming insoluble silicates.
2. A main flush phase to dissolve the silicates, quartz, feldspar, clay as well as undissolved carbonates after pre-flush.
3. An after-flush phase to remove the spent acid to keep the wettability in its original state and clean the formation.

The treatment design of matrix acidizing

Kalfayan and Metcalf (2000) emphasized that the development of acid treatment design is of paramount importance to a successful sandstone acidizing treatment. Generally, the design procedure should be based on the conventional treatment steps. Technical analyses and statistical survey were conducted extensively over 650 cases of matrix stimulation treatments in 9 countries. The studies revealed that incorrect field procedure was the main reason causing the acidizing failure (Paccaloni and Tambini 1993). However, the specific design procedure should remain open and could be reduced to include only necessary steps, depending on case by case basis. A few successful applications of field case study had been demonstrated in the Netherlands (van Domelen et al. 1997), Saudi Arabia (Hashem et al. 1999), North America, South America and Far East (Kalfayan and Metcalf 2000).

During a matrix acidizing operation, all information such as well history, laboratory test data as well as previous operation experience are important for an engineer to decide the acidizing treatment fluid. To ensure the success of a matrix acidizing treatment, a comprehensive reservoir characterization workflow approach is essential (Schmid et al. 2016). Every detail from treatment design until execution must be considered carefully. McLeod (1984) recommended the treatment fluid selection guideline for sandstone acidizing, aiming to enhance the permeability.

Table 3 Guideline for treatment fluid selection (Crowe et al. 1992)

Condition	Main acid	Pre-flush
HCl solubility (> 20%)	Use HCl only	
High permeability (> 100 md)		
High quartz (80%), low clay (< 5%)	12% HCl, 3% HF	15% HCl
High feldspar (> 20%)	13.5% HCl, 1.5% HF	15% HCl
High clay (> 10%)	6.5% HCl, 1% HF	Sequestered 5% HCl
High iron chloride clay	3% HCl, 0.5% HF	Sequestered 5% HCl
Low permeability (< 10 md)		
Low clay (< 5%)	6% HCl, 1.5% HF	7.5% HCl or 10% acetic acid
High chlorite	3% HCl, 0.5% HF	5% acetic acid

Table 4 Alternate sandstone acid procedures established by Portier et al. (2007)

Well and formation conditions	Treatment fluid recommendation
Bottomhole treating temperatures > 100 °C	1.5% HF + 13.5% HCl
Permeability < 5 md	1.5% HF + 13.5% HCl
Quartz content	
Over 90%	3% HF + 12% HCl
50–90%	3% HF + 12% HCl or retarded HF
Feldspar, 15–30%	1.5% HF + 13.5% HCl
Chlorite clay	
1–5%	3% HF + 10% acetic acid
< 5%	1.5% HF + 10% acetic or formic acid

This guideline provided the choices for acid concentration and was formed based on different level of rock permeability, clay and silt content. Table 3 shows the guideline for treatment fluid selection. Portier et al. (2007) later also suggested alternative sandstone acid procedures for specific formation conditions as shown in Table 4.

Table 5 Summary of the additives used in matrix acidizing and their functions

Acid additives	Functions
Corrosion inhibitors	To reduce or retard the rate of corrosion of steel by acid To create an inhibitory film on the metal surface To protect the acid pumping and handling equipment To protect the equipment and tool such as casing, pump and valve
Clay stabilizers	To keep clay and fines in suspension To prevent migration and swelling of clays
Diverting agents	To place the reactive fluid evenly To bracket the interval exposed to the acidizing fluid
Iron control agents	To dissolve the corrosion products in the casing or tubing To dissolve the iron minerals in the well
Surfactants	To lower the surface and interfacial tensions To change or maintain the wettability of the wells To break and weaken the emulsions

The use of additives in matrix acidizing

Matrix acidizing can cause a number of well problems such as the release of fine particles, the generation of precipitants, the formation of emulsions, the generation of sludge and also the corrosion of steel (O'Driscoll et al. 2005; Dehghani 2010; Rabie and Nasr-El-Din 2015). For instance, Hanafy et al. (2015) and Hanafy and Nasr-El-Din (2016) deduced that fines migration that was induced by clay caused porosity reduction of 40%. At high temperatures of 150 and 250 °F, fines migration became more sensitive to hydrochloric acid, causing more severe porosity reduction, especially at the outlet part of the sandstone core. There are a list of acid additives that have been used during matrix acidizing to solve some of the common problems that exist (Bybee 2003). Table 5 shows the summary of additives used in matrix acidizing and their functions.

Sandstone channeling or wormholing

Wormholing pattern is common in carbonate acidizing, whereas for sandstone acidizing, a uniform face dissolution pattern is observed and no preferential flow path is being

generated. It is uncommon to generate wormholes especially in homogeneous sandstone formation. This due to low rate of reaction between the acid HF and the quartz, which is the major minerals present in the sandstone (Xie et al. 2005).

Nevertheless, several literature research studies indicated that a channeling pattern, which is similar to carbonate wormholing could occur during sandstone acidizing process. If the sandstone is highly heterogeneous, then a high permeability fine-scale channeling pattern would exist (Wehunt et al. 1993). Figures 4 and 5 show the wormholes generated in Bandera and Berea sandstone core flooding experiment conducted by Lamb (1998), respectively.

According to the sandstone core flooding experiment conducted by Kalfayan and Metcalf (2000), it is also indicated that some sandstone channels or wormholes were created after being treated with 6 and 9 wt% HF, as shown in Fig. 6. The results of laboratory investigation indicated that lower rate of acid injection is a positive factor to generate wormholes in sandstone.

Literature review of experimental studies

The choices of acid and additives selection are made based on the characteristics of reservoir rock as well as the main purpose of the stimulation (McLeod 1984). There are many reported experimentations that carry out investigations on the efficiency of various kinds of acid used in sandstone acidizing. A critical review of these significant studies has been reported. Therefore, the outcomes of this study have basically provided a conceptual framework for the setup and

Fig. 4 Wormholes in Bandera sandstone by Lamb (1998)

Fig. 5 Wormholes in Berea sandstone by Lamb (1998)

planning of experimental and numerical works that are recommended in the future.

Mud acid (HF–HCl)

Thomas et al. (2001) performed core flooding on Jauf core samples using HCl and acetic acid in the pre-flush at

Fig. 6 Wormholes in South American sandstone by Kalfayan and Metcalf (2000)

150 °C. The results reflected the importance of the pre-flush acid used before the mud acid due to the channels created. Channeling effects had significantly enhanced the permeability during the main acid application. Then, Thomas et al. (2002a) also investigated the effect of concentration ratio of mud acid on the change in permeability of both Jauf and Berea core samples. The results are shown in Table 6.

Nevito Gomez (2006) had designed, set up and tested matrix acidizing apparatus on conventional mud acid. The experiment was conducted on Berea sandstone core at both room temperature and at high temperature of 100 °F. At room temperature, higher flow rate resulted in higher permeability enhancement, whereas at 100 °F, the optimum flow rate was determined to be 30 ml/ml.

Gomaa et al. (2013) and Wang et al. (2013) had investigated the effect of mud acid concentration ratio on the change in permeability of the sandstone core matrix at a temperature of 180 °F. There are four different mixtures of mud acid concentration ratio, which include 1.9% HF + 15% HCl, 2.3% HF + 10% HCl, 2.6% HF + 5% HCl and 2.8% HF + 3% HCl, respectively. All of these were tested using the core sample by applying the core flooding method. The experimental results indicated that all four acid mixing ratios can positively increase the permeability of the core sample. Nevertheless, it was observed that the result of permeability increases when the HF–HCl ratio increases. At the same time, there was also a reduction in the acid injection volume required.

Abdelmoneim and Nasr-El-Din (2015) determined the optimum HF concentration for high-temperature sandstone formations. High temperatures of 280 and 325 °F were

used to conduct the core flooding tests on both Bandera core and Grey Berea core. Based on the result, the correlation between the optimum HF concentration and mineralogy was formed as an inverse relationship as shown in Fig. 7. Retarded acid was suggested for temperatures higher than 300 °F.

Al-Harthy et al. (2009) stated that mud acid had proven its performance and effectiveness in sandstone acidizing, thus gaining popularity. However, it was reported to result in rapid rate of reaction when the temperature was increased to 200 °F. This is because of the rapid kinetics of secondary and tertiary precipitation reactions at such high temperature. This reduced the inefficiency of sandstone acidizing because of undesirably early consumption of the acids. This was also the main reason causing acid treatment to fail in many cases. Furthermore, significant reduction of compressive strength of the formation with high clay content after being treated with HF caused the formation to disintegrate (Thomas and Crowe 1981).

HCl played an important role in mud acid as it leaves no insoluble products from the reactions with the minerals. In addition to its cost-effective advantage, HCl had been widely applied in sandstone stimulation. However, HCl is also highly corrosive and hazardous to the well, especially in high-temperature, high-pressure (HTHP) formation environment (Van Domelen and Jennings 1995). Therefore in the future research, the disadvantages of mud acid must be carefully taken into consideration. A summarized classification of the reviewed experimental works using mud acid is presented in Table 7.

Table 6 Summary of core flow test results in Jauf and Berea cores (Thomas et al. 2002b)

Type of core	Core no.	Treatment: (1) Pre-flush (2) Main fluid (3) Overflush	Initial permeability (mD)	Final permeability (mD)	Final/original permeability ratio
Jauf	774	(1) 10 wt% acetic acid (2) 4 wt% HCl–1 wt% HF (3) 6 wt% NH ₄ Cl	22.7	43.4	1.9
Jauf	777	(1) 10 wt% acetic acid (2) 9 wt% HCl–1 wt% HF (3) 6 wt% NH ₄ Cl	18.7	180	9.6
Jauf	778	(1) 10 wt% acetic acid (2) 12 wt% HCl–3 wt% HF (3) 6 wt% NH ₄ Cl	8.5	195	22.9
Berea	1	(1) 10 wt% acetic acid (2) 9 wt% HCl–1 wt% HF (3) 6 wt% NH ₄ Cl	73	105	1.4
Berea	2	(1) 10 wt% acetic acid (2) 12 wt% HCl–3 wt% HF (3) 6 wt% NH ₄ Cl	87	264	3.0
Berea	7	(1) 10 wt% acetic acid (2) 4 wt% HCl–1 wt% HF (3) 6 wt% NH ₄ Cl	81	129	1.6

Fig. 7 Optimum HF concentration based on mineral content determined by Abdelmoneim and Nasr-El-Din (2015)

Fluoroboric acid (HBF₄)

Mcbride et al. (1979) and Thomas and Crowe (1981) demonstrated the application of fluoroboric acid in different case studies. HBF₄ generates HF at a slower rate; therefore, allowing more time for the acid to penetrate into the sandstone. Fluoroboric acid will hydrolyze in aqueous solution to form hydrofluoric acid until it reaches a limit extent, as shown in the following equations:

HBF₄ is also useful in removing formation damage as well as stabilizing clays and other fines (Thomas and Crowe 1978, 1981; Svendsen et al. 1992). However, the retardation of HBF₄ becomes less significant when the temperature increases to 150 °F. Kunze and Shaughnessy (1983) showed that hydrolysis of HBF₄ in water to form HF accelerated when the temperature increased.

Ayorinde et al. (1992) showed the advantage of HBF₄ in treating a Nigerian oil well that faced severe fines migration-related issues created by conventional mud acid. HBF₄ had proven its compatibility in stabilizing fines migration. After being acidized with mud acid, the production of the oil well is 850 barrels liquid per day (BLPD). However, due to fines

migration, the production declined to nearly zero. After successful HBF₄ treatment, the production increased to 2500 BLPD and maintained 220 barrel oil per day (BOPD) oil production even after 1 year. Figure 8 shows the production improvement in the Nigerian oil well.

Figure 9 demonstrates the scanning electron microscope (SEM) results of pore filling clay before and after treatment with conventional mud acid and fluoroboric acid, respectively. It was clear from the figure in the lower left that clays were dissolved, while the lower right shows partially fused kaolinite platelets. This had prevented the issue of fines migration (Ayorinde et al. 1992).

Jaramillo et al. (2010) further developed the use of HBF₄ acid in sandstone acidizing by mixing organic acid and HBF₄ to form a new acid system named as organic clay acid (OCA). Many wells had been stimulated using OCA and treated in low-temperature reservoirs at below 140 °F. The real field results proved the effectiveness of OCA in fines control and clay stabilization. In comparison with the initial production increase of the wells treated with an organic mud acid, it had been observed that higher initial production increase happened on the wells stimulated with OCA. This indicated that OCA had successfully mitigated the issue of fines migration caused by organic mud acid.

In addition, Feng et al. (2011) carried out an investigation on a high-temperature deep penetrating (HTDP) acid. In this research, a mixture of complex organo-phosphate-hydrolyzed fluoride salts was developed as a new corrosion inhibitor. It could generate HF and, therefore, make HTDP acid compatible at high-temperature formations. HTDP acid was determined to have a much higher solubility for

Table 7 Summarized interpretation of reviewed papers on mud acid used in matrix acidizing

References	Methodology	Approach	Analysis	Rock type	Acid system	Condition	Remarks
Thomas et al. (2001, 2002a, 2002b)	Experimental	Core flooding	XRD SEM Thin section	Berea sandstone Jauf sandstone	Mud acid	300 °F	Mud acid ratio of 12:3, 9:1 and 4:1 yield different reaction products
Nevito Gomez (2006)	Experimental	Core flooding	CT scan	Berea sandstone Cream chalk Carbonate Indiana limestone	Mud acid	72 °F 100 °F	The higher the flow rate, the higher the permeability change at room temperature Optimum flow rate is determined at 30 ml/min for Berea sandstone at 100 °F
Gomaa et al. (2013) & Wang et al. (2013)	Experimental	Core flooding	XRD	Berea sandstone Bandera sandstone	Mud acid	180 °F	Single-stage mud acid ratio of 15:1.9, 10:2.3, 5:2.6 and 3:2.8 improved the permeability of Bandera sandstone A pre-flush using HCl is needed
Abdelmoneim and Nasr-El-Din (2015)	Experimental	Core flooding	ICP	Berea sandstone Bandera sandstone	Mud acid	280 °F 325 °F	The best HF concentration is 1 wt% for Bandera sandstone and 1.5 wt% for Berea sandstone Retarded acids are recommended for high-temperature sandstone condition at 300 °F
Al-Harthy et al. (2009)	Review	N/A	N/A	N/A	Mud acid	200 °F	The use of mud acid at high temperature of 200 °F leads to rapid reaction rate and early acid consumption

Fig. 8 The production improvement in the Nigerian oil well (Ayorinde et al. 1992)

Fig. 9 Comparison of SEM results showing pore filling clay before and after treatment with mud acid and fluoroboric acid (Crowe et al. 1992)

quartz mineral than mud acid and it will also cut back the precipitation. HTDP acid is also better than HBF_4 in terms of permeability enhancement.

Pituckchon (2014) investigated the chemistry of HBF_4 for better understanding of its stimulation application in real field. ^{11}B and ^{19}F solution-state high-field nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) is applied to analyze the spent acid during the core flooding test over an interval of time. The core flooding experiments were conducted at 75 and 200 °F to determine the effect of temperature on the compatibility of HBF_4 . The results showed a reduction in core permeability at 200 °F due to the precipitation of amorphous silica.

Zhou et al. (2016) also performed core flooding experiments using 12% HBF_4 combined with 12% HCl at 25 and 65 °C. The results were compared to conventional 3% HF and 12% HCl. The results indicated that the permeability enhancement reflected by the fluoroboric acid combination is 40% higher than the conventional mud acid. Also, permeability enhancement is greater at 65 °C than 25 °C. The work done by Zhou et al. (2016) only compared two temperatures, which are 25 and 65 °C. There is no optimization work being conducted and, therefore, the optimum temperature range for the recommended use of HBF_4 remains undetermined. In addition, the core sample used is a heterogeneous sandstone, with 9% being clay and calcite. An evaluation of the homogeneous clean sandstone is also recommended in the future.

A summary of classification on the reviewed experimental works using HBF_4 is interpreted in Table 8.

Chelating agents

Frenier et al. (2004) developed chelant based on hydroxyethylaminocarboxylic acid (HACA) and tested it on Berea sandstone. The results revealed that this HACA chelant can be used for high-temperature sandstone reservoir. The benefits of this chelant included reduced corrosion rate, reaction rate and close to neutral pH value. HACA acts as a corrosion inhibitor to form insoluble surface chelates. It also features a low reaction rate with dolomite. Also, the near-neutral pH value of HACA would eliminate the need for fluid treatment before disposal. Therefore, this chelant had advantages considering aspects of health, safety and environment (HSE) due to lower HSE footprint. Tuedor et al. (2006) also used a newly developed sandstone stimulating system, which was a chelant-based system, resulting in not only effective acidizing at 200–300 °F, but also less corrosive, safer to handle and lower HSE footprint.

In addition, Ali et al. (2008) had studied the effect of sodium hydroxyethylethylenediaminetriacetic acid (Na_3HEDTA), which is a low-pH solution to stimulate a high-temperature formation in West Africa using experimental approach. The result indicated that the chelating fluid is efficient in increasing the permeability of the

Table 8 Summarized interpretation of reviewed papers on fluoroboric acid used in matrix acidizing

References	Methodology	Approach	Analysis	Rock type	Acid system	Condition	Remarks
Mcbride et al. (1979)	Experimental	Field result Core flow test	SEM	Sandstone	HF ₄	N/A	HF ₄ stabilizes migratory formation fines HF ₄ removes damage effectively Clay acid prevents clay migration and swelling Clay acid is less damaging than mud acid
Thomas and Crowe (1978)	Experimental	Core flow test	SEM XRD	Berea sandstone Sadlerochit sandstone Miocene sand	Clay acid	150 °F 200 °F	HF ₄ generates HF at a slower rate, allowing deep acid penetration HF ₄ is normally used with mud acid
Thomas and Crowe (1981)	Experimental	Core flow test	SEM XRD OES	Berea sandstone Sadlerochit sandstone Miocene sand Unconsolidated frio sand	HF ₄	150 °F 200 °F	A combination of clay acid and mud acid can successfully stimulate the high-permeable but unconsolidated sandstone
Svendsen et al. (1992)	Experimental	Field result Core flow test	XRD SEM TSP	Poorly consolidated sandstone	Clay acid (8% HF ₄)	70 °C	Retardation effect of HF ₄ is demonstrated at room temperature but not at elevated temperatures Hydrolysis of HF ₄ increases with temperature increase
Kunze and Shaughnessy (1983)	Experimental	Core flooding	Effluent chemical analysis	Berea sandstone	HF ₄	72 °F 150 °F 200 °F	HF ₄ resulted in production enhancement of Nigerian oil field well
Ayorinde et al. (1992)	Case study	Field result	N/A	N/A	HF ₄	N/A	OCA is efficient in clay stabilization and fines control
Jaramillo et al. (2010)	Experimental	Core flow test	SEM XRD ICP	Shaly sandstone	Organic clay acid (OCA) HF ₄	120 °F 140 °F	HTDP has slow reaction and can penetrate deeper HTDP results in significant well production improvement in more than 20 fields in Chinese offshore oil field
Feng et al. (2011)	Experimental	Case history Core flow test	N/A	Sandstone (China offshore oil field)	HTDP HF ₄	293 °F	The retardation effect of HF ₄ is sensitive to temperature It is suitable at 75 °F but not at 200 °F
Pituckchon (2014)	Experimental	Core flooding	ICP ¹¹ B NMR ¹⁹ F NMR	Berea Sandstone	HF ₄	75 °F 200 °F	At 25 °C, the permeability change is not much due to slow hydrolysis At 65 °C, the permeability change is 2.39 times the initial value
Zhou et al. (2016)	Experimental	Core flooding	SEM XRD	Tahe sandstone	HF ₄	25 °C 65 °C	

high-temperature well. Moreover, Parkinson et al. (2010) also applied an alternative approach to stimulate the production zone of Pinda formation that is located in West Africa. The Pinda formation was having multilayers of carbonates. The bottomhole static temperature (BHST) of this formation was 300 °F. The six production wells from the formation zone were being stimulated with a pH 4 HEDTA chelant during the main flush stage. The result showed that all the six wells are then producing at a doubled rate after the stimulation, indicating a high economical return resulted from the stimulation acid at a high temperature.

LePage et al. (2009) investigated the reaction between glutamic acid *N,N*-diacetic acid (GLDA) and calcites in carbonate rock. GLDA had been compared to many other chelants such as ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), hydroxyethylethylenediaminetriacetic acid (HEDTA), nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) and ethanol diglycine acid (EDG). In the discussion, the efficiency of GLDA is the same as HEDTA although it is not as corrosive as HCl. Aside from that, GLDA was also used by Mahmoud et al. (2011) to study its effect on stimulating sandstone formations. The research focused on multiple parameters, including temperature, rate of injection, volume and initial pH value of GLDA. The results clearly revealed the powerful capability of GLDA to chelate calcium, iron and magnesium. Besides, GLDA was found to chelate even small amounts of aluminum ions in the sandstone cores (Mahmoud et al. 2011). Moreover, the concentration of GLDA was found to be almost the same prior to and after core flooding test. GLDA also demonstrated a high thermal stability at 300 °F and potentially lower corrosion properties. This was further proven by Nasr-El-Din (2013). According to the result, GLDA increased the core permeability of 21% at 200 °F and 84% at 300 °F, respectively, whereas, on the opposite side, HCl resulted in the precipitation of iron hydroxide, $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_2$, causing a 42% permeability reduction.

Furthermore, Reyes et al. (2015) used a low 2.5 pH GLDA chelant to experimentally investigate its stimulation on high-quartz clean sandstone matrix and high-clay heterogeneous sandstone matrix. The results reflected a 20% permeability decrease for the clean sandstone but a 30% permeability increase for the heterogeneous sandstone. This indicated that this GLDA/HF chelant is more suitable for sandstone with clay content, but not clean sandstone.

Another chelant-based fluid system was tested by Rignol et al. (2015) to stimulate sandstone core at 375 °F, which is an ultrahigh-temperature environment. This acid system was a combination of low-pH chelant and fluoboric acid, HBF_4 . The core plugs were experimented with flow test and some chemical analyses. Based on the sequential dissolution analyses, the results showed that the chelant-based fluid did not cause silica precipitation as HCl is absent. Furthermore, it had increased the permeability of the core effectively. Garcia

et al. (2016) had revealed the advantages of aminopolycarboxylic acid (APCA) fluid that contained 1–1.5% of HF. This fluid system is better than the conventional mud acid that is inefficient to stimulate high-temperature sandstone condition above 300 °F due to the precipitation of sodium and potassium iron. The application of APCA/HF* blend fluid system in offshore reservoirs was a success, resulting in 30–50% barrel oil per day production improvement for more than a period of 12 months.

Legemah et al. (2015) proposed a two-step injection process using chelating agents to treat high-temperature wells. First, the author suggested injection of low-volume but high-concentration APC, then followed by injection of high-volume but low-concentration APC such as GLDA. Mahmoud et al. (2015) examined the carbonate removal compatibility of GLDA, HEDTA and 15 wt% HCl using illitic sandstone core at high temperature of 300 °F. The outcomes of the core flooding experiment revealed that HCl is not compatible to remove carbonates in illitic sandstone, but results in reduced porosity and permeability, which damaged the sandstone matrix. On the other hand, both GLDA and HEDTA demonstrated high efficiency in carbonate mineral removal.

Based on the earlier literature review, many experiments using chelating agents were conducted and reported by past researchers. These chelants could be applied to stimulate high-temperature well. The efforts of these past studies, focusing on the effectiveness of chelants at high-temperature condition, were highly appreciated. It was proven that these acid systems were suitable and reliable to gain extensively wide application in real-field practice. However, it should also be alerted that chelating agents are generally less suitable for clean homogeneous sandstones because of the silica precipitation during acidizing. Therefore, they are more suitable for heterogeneous carbonates and clay-rich sandstones. Another point of view that should be noticed is that chelants are very costly products as compared to mud acid, retarded acid and organic acids. Although chelating agents can reduce the cost of corrosion inhibitor used, it is still important to optimize the budget between the costly chelants and corrosion inhibitor. A summary of classification on the reviewed experimental works using chelating agents is presented in Table 9.

Retarded acids and organic acids

Addition of retarding agent into conventional mud acid formed another study to solve the problem associated with the reaction of acids and the clay minerals. According to the investigation by Ji et al. (2014) and Ji et al. (2016), aluminum chloride, AlCl_3 was added to conventional mud acid to form retarded mud acid. It was also known as fines control acid, which is comprised of 15% HCl, 1.5% HF and 5% $\text{AlCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$. The experiment was carried out on Berea core

Table 9 Summarized interpretation of reviewed papers on chelating agents used in matrix acidizing

References	Methodology	Approach	Analysis	Rock Type	Acid System	Condition	Remarks
Frenier et al. (2004)	Experimental	Field result Core flooding	XRD ICP-OES CT scan	Berea sandstone Carbonate (limestone)	HACA	370 °F 400 °F	HACA can successfully stimulate both sandstone and carbonate core at high temperature HACA had low corrosion rate
Tuedor et al. (2006)	Experimental	Core flooding	SEM XRD ICP	Berea sandstone (medium to high carbonate content—12 and 30%)	Not revealed (only mentioned chelant-based new system)	210 °F 250 °F 300 °F 375 °F	This new chelant system is suitable for sandstone formation with 200 to 300 °F More effective for medium to high-carbonate content sandstone
Ali et al. (2008)	Experimental	Core flooding	SEM ICP XRD	Offshore West Africa sandstone (low, medium and high carbonate content)	Na ₃ HEDTA (low pH 4)	149 °C	pH 4 Na ₃ HEDTA is effective in stimulating medium-carbonate content sandstone Less corrosive and cause no damage to well tubular
Parkinson et al. (2010)	Experimental	Core flow test	ICP	Pinda core (multilayer sandstone and 2–100% carbonate)	pH 4 HEDTA HEDTA + 0.4% HF	300 °F	pH 4 HEDTA proves it capability to stimulate different carbonate level formation Require less corrosion inhibitor due to low corrosion rate than HCl
LePage et al. (2009)	Experimental	Solubility test	NMR FAAS	N/A	GLDA EDTA HEDTA NTA EDG	300 °F 350 °F	GLDA is highly soluble in HCL GLDA is as effective as HEDTA to prevent Iron oxide precipitation GLDA is biodegradable as compared to other chelants
Mahmoud et al. (2011, 2015)	Experimental	Core flooding	ICP CT-scan	Berea sandstone	GLDA HEDTA	300 °F	The pH of GLDA can significantly affect the stimulation result Low injection rate is better than high injection rate GLDA is better than HEDTA at 300 °F
Nasr-El-Din (2013)	Review	N/A	N/A	N/A	GLDA	200 °F 300 °F	GLDA can improve the well productivity in carbonate formation GLDA is environmental friendly and not hazardous
Reyes et al. (2015)	Experimental	Core flow test	XRD ICP-OES	Leopard sandstone (clean, 95% quartz) Bandera sandstone (heterogeneous, 65% quartz)	pH 2.5 GLDA/HF*	360 °F	GLDA/HF* is not suitable for clean sandstone, due to 20% permeability decrease It is suitable for heterogeneous and clay-rich sandstone, showing 30% increase in permeability
Rignol et al. (2015)	Experimental	Core flow test	XRD SEM EDS ICP	Gulf of Thailand sandstone (9% clay)	Low pH chelant + HBF ₄ Organic acid + HBF ₄	375 °F	New chelant system effectively removes clay and prevents fines migration Low corrosion rate

Table 9 (continued)

References	Methodology	Approach	Analysis	Rock Type	Acid System	Condition	Remarks
Garcia et al. (2016)	Experimental	Core flow test	XRD ICP OES	Leopard sandstone (clean, 95% quartz) Bandera sandstone (heterogeneous, 65% quartz)	APCA/HF* APCA HCOOH	360 °F	APCA/HF* is suitable for clean sandstone It is highly effective for heterogeneous and clay-rich sandstone
Legemah et al. (2015)	Experimental	Core flooding	ICP-OES CT-Scan	Indiana limestone	APC	350 °F	Proposed a two-step injection process using APC

samples at both 75 and 200 °F. Based on the solubility test result, no AlF_3 precipitate was detected at both temperatures. Aneto (2012) also conducted core flooding tests and compared the use of retarded mud acid using AlCl_3 . The result showed a reduction in rate of reaction by the retarded acid, allowing deeper acid penetration and better damage removal.

In the earlier years, organic acids such as acetic and formic acid; and powdered acid such as sulfamic and chloroacetic acid were developed by researchers (Farley et al. 1970; Wehunt et al. 1993; Shuchart and Gdanski 1996; Shuchart 1997). For instance, Templeton et al. (1975) discovered a new approach to retard the consumption rate of HF acid using methyl formate to generate formic acid, CH_3COOH . Then, HF is generated at a controllable rate by adding ammonium fluoride, NH_4F . In general, methyl formate hydrolyzes slowly to produce HF. The reaction equations to form HF were described as follows:

Furthermore, Van Domelen and Jennings (1995) applied the use of two organic acids, which are acetic acid (CH_3COOH) and formic acid (HCOOH), in stimulating HTHP wells. Both of these organic acids have the favorable properties in sandstone acidizing, included weak ionization and slow reaction. Therefore, these acids cause less corrosion to the well equipment and allow longer reaction period. The acid blend had been applied on Arun limestone formation in Indonesia with high temperature of 350 °F. The well response and corrosion response reflected positively in both technical and economic efficiencies of the acid blend used.

Roger et al. (1998) conducted core flooding on the sandstone core using different formulations of the acid, other than the typical 10% HCl and 1.5% HF. It had been proven that this conventional acid combination caused the formation damage as indicated by 74% permeability reduction. Based on the results, 10% citric acid blended with 1.5% HF was determined to be the optimum acid combination. The production enhancement of 7400 to 16,000 BOPD was observed in five producing wells stimulated using the optimum acid combination.

These organic acids are being further developed as shown in many recent publications. For instance, experimental investigation was conducted by Al-Harbi et al. (2012) using various mixtures of organic–HF acid system in stimulating sandstone cores. The acid combinations included acetic (CH_3COOH)–HF, formic (HCOOH)–HF and citric ($\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_7$)–HF. The authors study the precipitations that occurred during the acid and rock reactions as well as the factors affecting those precipitations. Based on the results, the precipitate type and amount mainly depend on the pH of solution, type of organic–HF combination, and initial

concentration of free fluoride (Al-Harbi et al. 2011). Apart from that, F/Al ratio was found to be the main parameter that is associated with the precipitation of aluminum fluoride. The precipitation of aluminum fluoride occurred at a certain point over the critical ratio.

Andotra (2014) evaluated the use of citric acid as a chelating agent and compared the result with conventional mud acid of ratio 9:1. The optimum result was obtained when 1 wt% citric acid was added into the mud acid. However, the author also mentioned the issue of much higher cost induced by adding citric acid as compared to HCl and HF. Furthermore, L-glutamic acid, N,N-diacetic acid, Na-GLDA were combined with HF and tested using Bandera and Berea cores. The results reflected positively on the chelation of iron (Fe), calcium (Ca) and magnesium (Mg) but not aluminosilicates (Al_2SiO_5). Nevertheless, the advantages of these chelating agents over HCl were provided such as lower corrosion, not being sensitive to minerals, being stable at high temperature greater than 200 °F and also being biodegradable.

Yang et al. (2012a) conducted another experiment using a blend of HF–organic acids instead of mud acid to mitigate the problems associated with mud acid. The authors analyzed the kinetic and products of reactions. The findings of research showed that the type of minerals present in the core plugs has an effect on the reactions (Yang et al. 2012b; Yang 2012). Moreover, Zhou and Nasr-El-Din (2013) studied the efficiency of a single-stage sandstone–acid combination, which is a blend of HF and phosphonic acid during sandstone acid stimulation at 300 °F high-temperature formation. The authors also evaluated the performance of multiple-acid system to remove carbonate minerals from a sandstone core plug that included a low pH 3.8 GLDA, HEDTA and formic acid, HCOOH. All the acid systems were observed to increase the permeability of Berea sandstone core sample. However, HCOOH is still more efficient than GLDA and HEDTA in dissolving the carbonate minerals in Bandera sandstone core samples.

Experiments were performed by Shafiq et al. (2013a) to analyze the combination of acetic acid and hydrochloric acid in pre-flush stage. The authors then compare the result with the conventional use of only hydrochloric acid in that stage. The use of acid combination 7.5% HCl + 2.5% CH_3COOH resulted in 18.5% porosity enhancement. This proved that the usability of this acid combination is much better to be used as a pre-flush acid than the conventional 10% HCl, which resulted in only 10.9% porosity change. At the same time, this research also highlighted the importance of pre-flush stage in matrix acidizing to dissolve the carbonates to prevent precipitation during the main acid stage.

The research group in recent years led by Shafiq et al. presented multiple experimentations using various acid combinations (Shafiq et al. 2013b, 2014; Shafiq and Shuker 2013;

Shafiq et al. 2015; Shafiq and Ben Mahmud 2016). The acid combinations tested by the authors included a mixture of orthophosphoric (H_3PO_4) acid and HF; fluoroboric (HBF_4) acid and HCOOH; and HCOOH and HF. The methodology applied was core saturation method, whereby the sandstone core plugs are saturated with the mentioned acid combinations. Multiple analyses were carried out to discuss the change in porosity, permeability, mineralogy and strength before and after the experiment. According to their findings, the best acid combination is 3% HF:9% H_3PO_4 . However, all these acid combinations are suitable to be applied as the main acid during sandstone acid stimulation. With 135.32% increase in permeability, this acid combination is even more superior to the standard mud acid (3% HF:12% HCl), showing lower increase in permeability, which is 101.76%. Therefore, it was proven that varying the acid combination would result in different outcomes, either in major or minor disparity. However, it should be noted that most of the experimentations were conducted only at ambient or room temperature conditions, which could not represent the real-field environment. Hence, this left a research gap that can be bridged in the future studies.

In addition, a study on the phosphonic-based HF acid system was reported by Zhou and Nasr-El-Din (2016) as an alternate solution to mud acid. The author investigated several parameters affecting the interactions between the new acid systems with the clay minerals such as the concentration of acid, the reaction time and temperature. As shown in the result, phosphonic-based HF acid system resulted in significantly higher enhancement of permeability than mud acid and that was 177.86% at 300 °F. A summarized classification of the reviewed experimental works using retarded and organic acids is interpreted in Table 10.

Overall characterization and comparison of different acids

Table 11 shows the positive and cautionary indication of each of the acids reviewed based on the aspects of their feasibility, reaction mechanism as well as cost, health, safety and environmental impact.

Summary of review and outlook

To sum up the whole literature review, there are numerous acids that had been developed in the past years, aiming to continuously improve the permeability enhancement result, while preventing precipitation. In this study, a detailed evaluation of all these acids are presented as shown in Table 11. Generally, four groups of acids are identified, which include the mud acid (HF), fluoroboric acid (HBF_4), chelating agents, retarded and organic acids.

Table 10 Summarized interpretation of reviewed papers on retarded and organic acids used in matrix acidizing

References	Methodology	Approach	Analysis	Rock Type	Acid System	Condition	Remarks
Ji et al. (2014, 2016)	Experimental	Core flooding Solubility test	¹⁹ F NMR SEM EDS ICP-OES CT-scan	Berea sandstone	5 wt% AlCl ₃ + mud acid	75 °F 200 °F	AlCl ₃ is recommended to be added into mud acid at both temperatures as a retarding agent AlCl ₃ results in better retardation when its concentration increases No AlF ₃ precipitates are observed for both temperatures
Aneto (2012)	Experimental	Core flooding	ICP	Berea sandstone	5 wt% AlCl ₃ + mud acid	150 °F 300 °F	AlCl ₃ reduces the rate of reaction, allowing deeper rate of penetration and more damage removal
Templeton et al. (1975)	Experimental	Field test Gravimetric method Silica analysis method	XRD	Clayey sandstone East bay sandstone	Methyl formate generated formic acid + NH ₄ F	104 °F 122 °F 140 °F 158 °F	Methyl formate, HCOOCH ₃ generated formic acid, HCOOH plus NH ₄ F will produce in situ HF over a period of time This can dissolve suspended clay in the sandstone formation
Van Domelen and Jennings (1995)	Field result	Chemical analysis Corrosion test	N/A	Arun limestone	CH ₃ COOH + HCOOH	350 °F (HPHT)	A blend of acetic and formic acid can replace HCl-based acid Significantly less corrosion than HCl while retaining similar dissolving power The organic acid blend significantly dissolve the Zeolite The citric acid mixture also prevents precipitation of silica gel All organic–HF acid mixture shows positive retardation effect in comparison with HCl This organic acid system is suitable for clean sandstone and illite clay However, they are not suitable for chlorite clay due to AlF ₃ precipitation
Roger et al. (1998)	Experimental	Core flooding	SEM XRD	Turbidite sandstone + zeolite cement (Gulf of Mexico)	10% Citric acid, C ₆ H ₈ O ₇ + 1.5% HF	N/A	
Al-Harbi et al. (2011, 2012)	Experimental	Solubility test	ICP SEM XRD	Clean sandstone Illitic clayey sandstone	Acetic–HF Formic–HF Citric–HF	50 °C 75 °C	

Table 10 (continued)

References	Methodology	Approach	Analysis	Rock Type	Acid System	Condition	Remarks
Andotra (2014)	Experimental	Core flooding	SEM-EDS ICP	Berea sandstone Bandera sandstone	1 wt% citric acid + 9:1 mud acid	300 °F	Adding 1 wt% citric acid into 9:1 mud acid is recommended as it provides optimum result for maximum clay dissolution but minimum precipitation effect
Yang et al. (2012a, 2012b) Yang (2012)	Experimental	Core flooding	SEM ICP ¹⁹ F NMR	Berea sandstone Bandera sandstone	9 wt% formic acid + HF 13 wt% acetic acid + HF	75 °F 150 °F 250 °F 350 °F	The performance of both the organic acids are similar The effectiveness of formic acid to remove clay increases with temperature The organic acid did not cause AlF ₃ precipitation
Zhou and Nasr-El-Din (2013, 2016)	Experimental	Core flooding	ICP-OES	Berea sandstone (5 wt% clay) Bandera sandstone (11 wt% clay)	9 wt% formic acid	300 °F	Formic acid is compatible and highly effective to remove the carbonates from Bandera sandstone at low pH value
Shafiq et al. (2013a, 2013b, 2014, 2015) Shafiq and Shuker (2013) Shafiq and Ben Mahmud (2016)	Experimental	Core saturation method	Poro-Perm FESEM pH value and colour change test	Sandstone core	Acetic acid Formic acid HF HCl H ₃ PO ₄	75 °F	Acetic acid and HCl can be combined to be used in the pre-flush stage to remove carbonates HF + P ₃ PO ₄ and HBF ₄ + HCOOH can be combined to be used in the main acid stage

Table 11 Interpretive characterization and comparison of different acids used in matrix acidizing

Acid formulae	Authors and years	Aspects	Positive indication	Cautionary indication
<i>Conventional mud acid</i> HF:HCl	Thomas et al. (2001, 2002a, 2002b)	Feasibility	Suitable for Berea and Bandera sandstone	Becomes a drawback for high temperature wells
	Nevito Gomez (2006)		Effective for low temperature wells	Require the use of retarded acids for high temperature sandstone condition at 300 °F
	Gomaa et al. (2013)		Permeability improvement is observed using different HF:HCl concentrations	
	Wang et al. (2013)	Reaction mechanism	HF dissolves minerals in sandstone, targeting quartz minerals	Cause rapid reaction rates at high temperature of 200 °F
	Abdelmoneim and Nasr-El-Din (2015)		HCl mainly controls precipitation	Inefficiency of acidizing process as the acids are consumed too early
	Al-Harthy et al. (2009)			
		Cost, health, safety and environmental	Popular and wide field application in past years	Hazardous, difficult for safety control and corrosive to wellbore equipment
			Resulted in many successful well stimulation	
			Suitable for stimulating highly permeable unconsolidated sandstone	Suitability at high-temperature condition above 200 °F remain unclear
<i>Fluoroboric acid</i> HBF ₄	Mcbride et al. (1979)	Feasibility	Permeability enhancement is observed at 65 °C	Optimum hydrolysis rate is not identified
	Thomas and Crowe (1978, 1981)		Retardation effect is shown at room temperature, 75 °F	Permeability enhancement at 25 °C is not much due to too low hydrolysis rate
	Svendsen et al. 1992			Reaction mechanism with sandstone minerals at high temperatures is not investigated up to date
	Kunze and Shaughnessy (1983)	Reaction mechanism	Allow deeper penetration rate into the formation	Suitable temperature range is not identified and optimized
	Ayorinde et al. (1992)		Effective in controlling fines migration and swelling	Less investigation shown at elevated temperatures
	Jaramillo et al. (2010)		Effective for clay stabilization	
	Feng et al. (2011)	Cost, health, safety and environmental	Effectively removes damage	
	Pituckchon (2014)		Less corrosion and damaging compared to mud acid	Less practical results in field
	Zhou et al. (2016)			

Table 11 (continued)

Acid formulae	Authors and years	Aspects	Positive indication	Cautionary indication
<i>Chelating agents</i>				
HACA	Frenier et al. (2004)	Feasibility	Highly effective for heterogeneous carbonate and clay-rich sandstone	Less suitable for clean sandstone due to the precipitation of silica
GLDA	Tuedor et al. (2006)		High thermal stability up to 300 °F	More preferable over usage on carbonates formation
EDTA	Ali et al. (2008)		Suitable for medium to high carbonate content sandstone formation with 200 °F to 300 °F	
HEDTA	Parkinson et al. (2010)			
NTA	LePage et al. (2009)			
EGD/HEIDA	Mahmoud et al. (2011, 2015)	Reaction mechanism	Prevent iron oxide, Fe ₂ O ₃ precipitation	Lack of fluoride ions limit their efficiency in dissolving quartz mineral
HTDP	Nasr-El-Din (2013)		Successful application in offshore wells, with improved production of 30–50% BOPD	Chemical reaction and mechanism with minerals not clear
APCA	Reyes et al. (2015)			
APC	Rignol et al. (2015)			
	Garcia et al. (2016)			
	Legemah et al. (2015)	Cost, health, safety and environmental	Less corrosive than HCl, and not hazardous	Induced high cost in comparison to other acids
			Cause no damage to well tubular	Require optimization between the high chelating agent cost and reduced corrosion inhibitor cost
			Biodegradable and environmental friendly	
<i>Retarded and organic acids</i>				
HCOOH	Ji et al. (2014, 2016)	Feasibility	Suitable for clean sandstone and illite clay	More suitable for carbonate or sandstone with high clay content due to their sensitivity to clay
CH ₃ COOH	Aneto (2012)		Resulted in higher permeability increase in comparison with the mud acid	Not favorable for chlorite clay due to AlF ₃ precipitation
C ₆ H ₈ O ₇	Templeton et al. (1975)			
H ₃ PO ₄	Van Domelen and Jennings (1995)			
	Roger et al. (1998)			
	Al-Harbi et al. (2011, 2012)	Reaction mechanism	Act as a buffer acid	Absence of fluoride ions restricts their effectiveness in dissolving quartz mineral
	Andotra (2014)		Able to penetrate into deeper formation before spending	Chemical reaction and mechanism with sandstone is not clear up to date
	Yang et al. (2012a, 2012b)		Not sensitive to undissolved fines and minerals	Formation of some precipitates at high temperatures
	Yang (2012)		Can dissolve suspended clay in the sandstone formation	
	Zhou and Nasr-El-Din (2013, 2016)		Similar dissolving power as HCl	
	Shafiq et al. (2013a, 2013b, 2014, 2015)		Can prevent silica gel precipitation	
	Shafiq and Shuker (2013)	Cost, health, safety and environmental	Lower corrosion rate	Expensive cost
	Shafiq and Ben Mahmud (2016)		Less potential risk	Need to optimize cost of expensive organic acid and lower corrosion inhibitor cost
			Stable and biodegradable	Less practical results in field

Mud acid is very suitable to stimulate unconsolidated sandstone at low to moderate temperature. It had been commonly applied in sandstone acidizing and had successfully enhanced the porosity and permeability of sandstone formation with quartz mineral content. However, mud acid is not recommended to be used at high-temperature conditions due to rapid rate of reaction with the sandstone mineral, resulting in early acid consumption. Mud acid is also very hazardous and corrosive to the well equipment.

On the other hand, HBF_4 is an alternative acid to mud acid at moderate-temperature condition. It is also suitable for unconsolidated sandstone with quartz mineral. However, due to its slow hydrolysis reaction to produce HF, HBF_4 acidizing would result in deeper penetration rate into the sandstone formation. HBF_4 is also reported to be effective in fines control, clay stabilization and damage removal. Nevertheless, the literature lacks study on the application of HBF_4 at elevated temperature conditions, which is worth further investigation.

Furthermore, chelating agents are effective for heterogeneous carbonate or clay-rich sandstone at very high temperatures. Chelating agents can prevent iron oxide precipitation and is less corrosive. However, it is not recommended for homogeneous clean sandstone because of silica precipitation, which would lower the porosity and permeability. Therefore, the suitability of chelating agents would significantly depend on the mineral contents of the sandstone or carbonates. Finally, the retarded and organic acids are suitable for carbonates or sandstone with high clay content. It is not effective for dissolving quartz content because it lacks of fluoride ions. It also causes some precipitation at high-temperature conditions. Table 12 provides a clear view on the suitable acids for sandstone with high and low quartz content.

Optimization of HF and HBF_4 Sandstone acidizing

In general, the procedures of experiment and results from these literature studies have provided an important insight and some constructive outlooks for the experimental work or simulation design and setup that are worth technical investigation in the future. As highlighted by Shafiq and Mahmud (2017), the future of matrix acidizing should focus on solving the problems associated with high temperature. New acid combinations developed are expected to not only improve the permeability, but also reduce the precipitation effect.

From a larger perspective, it is clear that there are many different acids that can be technically used in sandstone stimulation besides the mud acid, which is a conventional option and has already been widely applied. These acids that had been used and tested included chelating agents, retarded and organic acid combinations as well as fluoroboric acid. Among all the acids tested by previous researchers, HBF_4

Table 12 Alternatives acids for high- and low-quartz content sandstone

Mineral content	Suitable acid
High quartz content	<i>Mud acid</i> HF:HCl <i>Fluoroboric acid</i> HBF_4
Low quartz content	<i>Chelating agents</i> HACA GLDA EDTA HEDTA NTA EGD/HEIDA HTDP APCA APC <i>Organic acids</i> HCOOH CH_3COOH $\text{C}_6\text{H}_8\text{O}_7$ <i>Retarded acids</i> H_3PO_4

could be further experimented based upon the research conducted by Shafiq and Ben Mahmud (2016) at the higher temperatures of 60, 80 and 100 °C. Then, the results could be compared with mud acid under the same temperature conditions.

In view of the shortcoming of the mud acid at high temperatures, HBF_4 is foreseen as a better selection in contrast. It is expected to not only improve the porosity and permeability, but also eliminate the previously existing problems as it is less corrosive, stable and allowing deeper penetration due to slow hydrolysis rate. Nevertheless, the limitation of retardation effect of HBF_4 remains unknown without detailed optimization approach. More studies are deserved to consolidate the claimed advantages of HBF_4 over the mud acid. The key and major parameter affecting the acid stimulation results of HF and HBF_4 must be optimized, along with other parameters such as acid concentration and injection rate.

Proposed experimental work for the future

Based on the experimental setup of core flooding test as described in the user manual (Sanchez 2016) and procedure described by Nevito Gomez (2006), the steps that are suggested to be adopted to conduct the experimental work in the future are listed as follows. Figure 10 shows the schematic diagram of core flooding test setup schematic diagram.

1. The core flooding apparatus will be set up and calibrated.

Fig. 10 Core flooding test schematic diagram (Sanchez 2016)

2. The pre-flush, main acid and after-flush acid solutions will be prepared.
3. The initial porosity and permeability will be measured using porosity–permeability (Poro-Perm) apparatus (Shafiq and Ben Mahmud 2016), and the mineralogy will be measured using field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) (Shafiq and Ben Mahmud 2016).
4. The core sample will be exposed to brine solution for 2 h before using core flooding experiment at high operating conditions and a constant flow rate.
5. The pressure variation will be measured during acidizing process and will be turned off when pressure becomes constant.
6. The final sample porosity, permeability and mineralogy will be measured.
7. The reacted acid will be collected and examined for various ions, such as calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), iron (Fe), silicon (Si) and aluminum (Al) in solution using inductively coupled plasma (ICP).
8. The data will be collected to study the reaction kinetics and products using F-nuclear magnetic resonance (F-NMR) spectroscopy.
9. The core sample will be scanned using computerized tomography (CT) scan before and after core flooding test to examine depth of acid penetration.
10. The above steps will be repeated for different temperatures of 60, 80 and 100 °C.
11. The above steps will be repeated for HBF_4 and conventional mud acid (HF) and the results will be compared.

12. Collected data will be used to analyze the reaction mechanism.

Proposed experimental data collection and analyses

There are a number of experimental tests and analyses that can be performed in the future to characterize the effectiveness of HF and HBF_4 under various conditions, which include

- Poro-Perm test
 - The porosity and permeability of the eight core samples will be measured before and after conducting the core flooding test.
 - The initial and final porosities and permeability of the eight core samples will be recorded.
 - Then, the improved porosity and permeability ratio (IMPR) will be calculated.
 - It is the ratio of the final porosity and permeability to the initial porosity and permeability (Shafiq et al. 2015; Shafiq and Ben Mahmud 2016).
- FESEM test
 - The mineralogy of the core sample will be identified before and after conducting the core flooding test to investigate the presence of different minerals in it.
 - FESEM is versatile and non-destructive.

- The mineralogy change provides indication for the reaction of minerals with the acid combination (Shafiq and Ben Mahmud 2016).
- ICP analysis
 - ICP will be used to measure the concentration of cations present in the injected acid system.
 - The ions being analyzed are Ca, Mg, Fe, Si and Al (Nasr-El-Din et al. 2013; Abdelmoneim and Nasr-El-Din 2015).
- F-NMR analysis
 - ^{19}F -NMR will be used to investigate the reaction between clay minerals and the acid combination system.
 - It is used in the detection of the chemical species in solution.
 - F-NMR also determines the fluoride distribution in the chemical species present in the spent acid, hence provides information for the reaction kinetics and products (Ji et al. 2014).
- CT scan analysis
 - CT scan will be used to investigate the extent of the acid penetration into the core sample.
 - Before the core flooding treatment, the CT scan will show no channels or vugs in the core.
 - However, acid penetration channels or wormholes are expected to be observed after core flooding.
 - It will provide information on the wormhole propagation pattern in the core sample (Sayed and Nasr-El-Din 2013).

Significance of future research

The future research will generate a new knowledge on reservoir stimulation technique, in the aspect of sandstone matrix acidizing using different acid combinations, which are HF and HBF_4 in particular. It is intended to determine the optimum conditions for both HF and HBF_4 to show a significant improvement in enhancing the porosity and permeability of a low-permeable sandstone formation reservoir at high temperatures. Moreover, it is also crucial to provide information about the effects of acid concentration, injection rate and the temperature of reservoir formation on acid penetration. Therefore, this research would be able to make a significant breakthrough in the oil and gas industry by diversifying the acid choice and concentration to optimize the sandstone matrix acidizing process at elevated temperatures.

Concluding remarks

In the past years, mud acid was highly acknowledged due to its efficiency in enhancing the porosity and permeability of sandstone matrix. This is because HF is unique and is the only acid that can dissolve silica minerals present in sandstone, whereas HCl is proven to be able to control precipitation during acidizing. However, rapid rate of reaction occurs and results in early consumption of acid when the well temperature increases to higher than 200 °F. This makes mud acid a drawback as it decreased the efficiency of acidizing. Mud acid is also highly corrosive and hazardous.

So, fluoroboric acid (HBF_4) is being proposed in the scope of future work. Numerous advantages were observed in HBF_4 when compared to the mud acid. It causes greater increase in the permeability, less reduction in the mechanical strength of core plugs and has also significantly lower corrosiveness. HBF_4 is more beneficial than conventional mud acid due to its retardation effect. However, there is no innovative discovery of the limitation of this retardation effect. Although HBF_4 has a slow hydrolysis process to form HF, its retardation effect is a function of temperature. This means that as the temperature increases, the retardation effect of HBF_4 will be reduced until it reaches an optimum point of temperature. Hence, the persistence of HBF_4 at high temperatures deserves detailed investigation in future to provide a more precise and reliable recommendation of acid choice between HF and HBF_4 .

Additionally, it is also important to evaluate other parameters during sandstone acidizing process, which will possibly affect the success rate of an acid stimulation operation such as the injection rate and acid concentration. By integrating the effects of temperature with these parameters, an optimization approach can be conducted. By comparing the effects of these parameters on HBF_4 and HF, respectively, a clear understanding of suitable acid choice and treatment design at various conditions can be obtained. This is also crucial to ensure that the claimed benefits of HBF_4 over conventional mud acid can be utilized effectively, without causing any negative stimulation performance.

In conclusion, it is recommended in the future that these two acids can be compared extensively by incorporating various governing parameters. Both HF and HBF_4 are also proposed to be investigated using core flooding apparatus at higher temperatures of 60, 80 and 100 °C for comparison. Various analyses such as Poro-Perm, FESEM, CT scan, ICP and ^{19}F NMR as discussed in previous section can be carried out to test the efficiency of HF and HBF_4 , respectively.

Acknowledgements The authors would like to gratefully extend their gratitude and acknowledgement to the Ministry of Higher Education (MOHE) Malaysia and Curtin University Malaysia for their financial support in this project. This study was supported by the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS) (Grant no. FRGS 1044) and Faculty of Engineering and Science (FOES) in Curtin University Malaysia.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Abdelmoneim SS, Nasr-El-Din HA (2015) Determining the optimum HF concentration for stimulation of high temperature sandstone formations. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Aboud RS, Smith KL, Pachon F, Kalfayan L (2007) Effective matrix acidizing in high-temperature environments. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Al-Dahlan MN, Nasr-El-Din HA, Al-Qahtani AA (2001) Evaluation of retarded HF acid systems. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Al-Harbi BG, Al-Khalidi MH, AlDossary KA (2011) Interactions of organic-HF systems with aluminosilicates: lab testing and field recommendations. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Al-Harbi BG, Al Dahlan MN, Khalidi MH (2012) Aluminum and iron precipitation during sandstone acidizing using organic-HF acids. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Al-Harthi S, Fuller BOA, Hamzah MJ, Ismail ME, Parapat MI (2009) Options for high-temperature well stimulation. *Oilfield Rev* 4.20:52–53
- Ali S, Frenier WW, Lecerf B, Ziauddin M, Kotlar HK, Nasr-el-din A, Vikane O (2004) Virtual testing: the key to a stimulating process. *Oilfield Rev* 16(1):58–68
- Ali SA, Ermel E, Clarke J, Fuller MJ, Xiao Z, Malone B (2008) Stimulation of High-temperature sandstone formations from west africa with chelating agent-based fluids. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Al-Shaalan TM, Nasr-El-Din HA (2000) Mathematical modeling of sandstone stimulation: a critical review of available models. NACE International, Houston
- Andotra G (2014) Investigating the use of chelating agents for clay dissolution and sandstone acidizing purposes. Master's thesis. Texas A&M University, Texas
- Aneto N (2012) An in-depth investigation of an aluminum chloride retarded mud acid system on sandstone reservoirs. Master's thesis. Texas A&M University, Texas
- Ayorinde A, Granger C, Thomas RL (1992) The application of fluoboric acid in sandstone matrix acidizing: a case study. In: Presented at the 21st annual convention of the Indonesian Petroleum Association
- Bybee K (2003) Matrix acid stimulation. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Cipolla CL (2003) Overview: well stimulation. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Coulter G (2011) Technology focus: well stimulation. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Coulter G (2012) Technology focus: well stimulation. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Crowe C, Masmonteil J, Thomas R (1992) Trends in matrix acidizing. *Oilfield Rev* 4(4):22–40
- Dehghani M (2010) Matrix acidizing stimulation. The 1st International Applied Geological Congress, Iran
- Economides MJ, Hill AD, Ehlig-Economides C, Zhu D (2013) Petroleum production systems, 2nd edn. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River
- Farley JT, Miller BM, Schoettle V (1970) Design criteria for matrix stimulation with hydrochloric-hydrofluoric acid. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Feng P, Wang D, Liu G, Wang H, Economides MJ (2011) Sandstone reservoir stimulation using high-temperature deep-penetrating acid. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Frenier W, Brady M, Al-Harthi S, Arangath R, Chan KS, Flamant N, Samuel M (2004) Hot oil and gas wells can be stimulated without acids. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Garcia EAR, LaBlanc A, Beuterbaugh A, Calabrese T (2016) Developments in sandstone HF acidizing: HF fluid compatible with Na or K brines and carbonate-laden mineralogy for high temperatures (360 °F). Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Gidley JL (1985) Acidizing sandstone formations: a detailed examination of recent experience. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Gomaa AM, Cutler J, Qu Q, Boles J, Wang X (2013) An effective single-stage acid system for sandstone formations. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Hanafy AM, Nasr-El-Din HA (2016) NMR study to assess fines migration damage and its removal using regular mud acid in different sandstone cores. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Hanafy A, Ali A, Nasr-El-Din HA, Heidari Z (2015) Evaluating the effects of acid stimulation treatment before and after fines migration on petrophysical properties in sandstone reservoirs. International petroleum technology conference
- Hashem MK, Nasr-El-Din HA, Hopkins JA (1999) An experience in acidizing sandstone reservoirs: a scientific approach. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Hill AD, Lindsay DM, Silberberg IH, Schechter RS (1981) Theoretical and experimental studies of sandstone acidizing. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Hill AD, Sepehrnoori K, Wu PY (1994) Design of the HCl preflush in sandstone acidizing. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Holman GB (1982) State-of-the-art well stimulation. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Houseworth JE (2014) Advanced well stimulation technologies in California: an independent review of scientific and technical information, executive summary. California Council on Science and Technology. 978-1-930117-94-5\$4
- Jaramillo OJ, Romero R, Ortega A, Milne AW, Rodrigues E (2010) Matrix acid systems for formations with high clay content. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Ji Q, Zhou L, Nasr-El-Din HA (2014) Acidizing sandstone reservoirs using fines control acid. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Ji Q, Zhou L, Nasr-El-Din H (2016) Acidizing sandstone reservoirs with aluminum-based retarded mud acid. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Kalfayan LJ (2008) Production enhancement with acid stimulation, 2nd edn. PennWell, Tulsa
- Kalfayan LJ, Metcalf AS (2000) Successful sandstone acid design case histories: exceptions to conventional wisdom. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- King GE (1986) Acidizing concepts—matrix vs. fracture acidizing. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Kunze KR, Shaughnessy CM (1983) Acidizing sandstone formations with fluoboric acid. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Lamb W (1998) Topics for production chemistry—high HF acid. Paris Meeting, March 10–12
- Legemah MU, Gomaa A, Bilden D, Lowe C, Boles J, Qu Q, Li L (2015) Sequential injection process enhances acidizing

- treatment of high-temperature wells. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- LePage JN, De Wolf C, Bemelaar J, Nasr-El-Din HA (2009) An environmentally friendly stimulation fluid for high-temperature applications. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Mahmoud MA (2011) Removing of formation damage and enhancement of formation productivity using environmentally friendly chemicals. Doctoral dissertation.. Texas A&M University, Texas
- Mahmoud MA, Nasr-El-Din HA, De Wolf C, Alex A (2011) Sandstone acidizing using a new class of chelating agents. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Mahmoud MA, Nasr-El-Din HA, De Wolf CA (2015) High-temperature laboratory testing of illitic sandstone outcrop cores with HCl-alternative fluids. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- McBride JR, Rathbone MJ, Thomas RL (1979) Evaluation of fluoboric acid treatment in the Grand Isle offshore area using multiple rate flow test. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- McLeod HO (1984) Matrix acidizing. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Milligan M (1994) Well stimulation using acids. Petroleum Society of Canada, Alberta
- Muecke TW (1982) Principles of acid stimulation. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Nasr-El-Din HA (2013) HT stimulation fluid based on GLDA meets productivity, environmental need. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Nasr-El-Din HA, Al-Nasser AH, Al-Habib NS, Jemmali M, Zoraia G, Sunbul A (2005) New methodology to effectively stimulate water disposal wells drilled in acid sensitive sandstone formations in Saudi Arabia. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Nasr-El-Din HA, Zhou L, Al-Harbi Ghazi B (2013) Interactions of a sandstone acid systems with clays. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Nevito Gomez J (2006) Design, set up, and testing of a matrix acidizing apparatus. Master's thesis. Texas A&M University, Texas
- O'Driscoll KP, Stolyarov S, Kalfayan L (2005) A review of matrix acidizing sandstones in western Siberia Russia. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Paccaloni G, Tambini M (1993) Advances in matrix stimulation technology. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Parkinson M, Munk TK, Brookley JG, Caetano AD, Albuquerque MA, Cohen D, Reekie MR (2010) Stimulation of multilayered high-carbonate-content sandstone formations in West Africa using chelant-based fluids and mechanical diversion. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Pituckchon A (2014) Further investigation of fluoboric acid in sandstone acidizing using ^{11}B and ^{19}F NMR. Master's thesis. Texas A&M University, Texas
- Portier S, André L, Vuataz FD (2007) Review on chemical stimulation techniques in oil. Centre for Geothermal Research, Neuchâtel
- Rabie AI, Nasr-El-Din HA (2015) Effect of acid additives on the reaction of stimulating fluids during acidizing treatments. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Reyes EA, Smith AL, Beuterbaugh A, Calabrese T (2015) GLDA/HF facilitates high temperature acidizing and coiled tubing corrosion inhibition. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Rignol J, Ounsakul T, Kharrat W, Fu D, Teng LK, Lomovskaya I, Boonjai P (2015) Improved fluid technology for stimulation of ultrahigh-temperature sandstone formation. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Rogers BA, Burk MK, Stonecipher SA (1998) Designing a remedial acid treatment for Gulf of Mexico deepwater turbidite sands containing zeolite cement. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Sanchez T (2016) Operating manual, core flooding—V 1.5740. <http://www.stfrance.fr>. Accessed 23 Mar 2016
- Sayed MAI, Nasr-El-Din HA (2013) Acid treatments in high temperature dolomitic carbonate reservoirs using emulsified acids: a core-flood study. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Schechter RS (1992) Oil well stimulation. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs
- Schmid W, Martin A, Palacios JM (2016) Comprehensive approach of reservoir characterization has allowed successful stimulation of sandstone formations in Bachaquero Field-Lake Maracaibo, Venezuela. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Shafiq MU, Ben Mahmud HK (2016) An effective acid combination for enhanced properties and corrosion control of acidizing sandstone formation. IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng 121:012002
- Shafiq MU, Mahmud HB (2017) Sandstone matrix acidizing knowledge and future development. J Pet Explor Prod Technol 7:1205–1216. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13202-017-0314-6>
- Shafiq MU, Shuker MT (2013) Finding suitable acid for acidizing of low permeable sandstone formation: a research. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Shafiq MU, Shuker MT, Kyaw A (2013a) Comparison of using combination of acetic acid and hydrochloric acid with only hydrochloric acid as matrix pre flush. Int J Pet Geosci Eng (IJPGS) 1(1):41–49
- Shafiq MU, Shuker MT, Kyaw A (2013b) Performance prediction of acids on sandstone acidizing. ASJ Int J Adv Sci Res Rev (IJASRR) 1(2):07–12
- Shafiq MU, Shuker MT, Kyaw A (2014) Performance comparison of new combinations of acids with mud acid in sandstone acidizing. RJASET 7(2):323–328
- Shafiq MU, Ben Mahmud HK, Hamid MA (2015) Comparison of buffer effect of different acids during sandstone acidizing. IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng 78:012008
- Shuchart CE (1997) Chemical study of organic-HF blends leads to improved fluids. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Shuchart CE, Gdanski RD (1996) Improved success in acid stimulations with a new organic-HF system. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Smith CF, Hendrickson AR (1965) Hydrofluoric acid stimulation of sandstone reservoirs. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Svendson OB, Kleven R, Hartley IPR, Aksnes N (1992) Stimulation of high-rate gravel-packed oil wells damaged by clay and fines migration: a case study, Gullfaks Field, North Sea. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Templeton CC, Richardson EA, Karnes GT, Lybarger JH (1975) Self-generating mud acid. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Thomas RL, Crowe CW (1978) Single-stage chemical treatment provides stimulation and clay control sandstone formations. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Thomas RL, Crowe CW (1981) Matrix treatment employs new acid system for stimulation and control of fines migration in sandstone formations. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Thomas RL, Nasr-El-Din HA, Lynn JD, Mehta S, Zaidi SR (2001) Precipitation during the acidizing of a HT/HP illitic sandstone reservoir in Eastern Saudi Arabia: a laboratory study. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Thomas RL, Nasr-El-Din HA, Lynn JD, Mehta S, Muhareb M, Ginest N (2002a) Channel vs. matrix sandstone acidizing of a HT/HP reservoir in Saudi Arabia. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Thomas RL, Nasr-El-Din HA, Mehta S, Hilab V, Lynn JD (2002b) The impact of HCl to HF ratio on hydrated silica formation during the acidizing of a high temperature sandstone gas reservoir in Saudi Arabia. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Tuedor FE, Xiao Z, Frenier WW, Fu D, Salamat G, Davies SN, Lecerf B (2006) A breakthrough fluid technology in stimulation of sandstone reservoirs. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Van Domelen MS, Jennings AR (1995) Alternate acid blends for HPHT applications. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas

- Van Domelen MS, Reddingius AA, Faber MJ, Buijse MA (1997) High-temperature acid stimulation offshore the Netherlands. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Veldkam JGH, Boxem TAPT (2015) Sector outlook: geothermal power increase in the Netherlands by enhancing the capacity. TNO
- Wang XL, Qu Q, Boles JL, Goma AM, Cutler JL (2013) Matrix stimulation: an effective one-step sandstone acid system. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Wehnt CD, Van Arsdale H, Warner JL, Ali SA (1993) Laboratory acidization of an eolian sandstone at 380F. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Williams BB, Gidley JL, Schechter RS (1979) Acidizing fundamentals, vol 8. Society of Petroleum Engineers, United States
- Xie T, Li C, Pournik M, Zhu D, Hill AD, Devine C (2005) A laboratory and modeling investigation of acid-created wormholes in sandstones. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Yang F (2012) Acidizing of Sandstone Reservoirs Using HF and Organic Acids. Master's thesis. Texas A&M University, Texas
- Yang F, Nasr-El-Din HA, Al-Harbi BM (2012a) Acidizing sandstone reservoirs using HF and formic acids. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Yang F, Nasr-El-Din HA, Harbi BA (2012b) Acidizing sandstone reservoirs using HF and organic acids. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Zeit B (2005) Stimulation of sandstone reservoirs. SPE technology transfer workshop (TTW). A presentation
- Zhou L, Nasr-El-Din HA (2013) Acidizing sandstone formations using a sandstone acid system for high temperatures. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Zhou L, Nasr-El-Din HA (2016) Phosphonic-based hydrofluoric acid: interactions with clay minerals and flow in sandstone cores. Society of Petroleum Engineers, Dallas
- Zhou X, Zhang SC, Zhang X, Wang F, Lin H (2016) Core-scale experimental and numerical investigation on fluoboric acidizing of a sandstone reservoir. Energy Technology

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.