ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Multivariate and spatial methods-based water quality assessment of Chu Tran Valley, Gilgit Baltistan

Syeda Urooj Fatima¹ · Moazzam Ali Khan¹ · Aamir Alamgir¹ · Nadeem Mahmood² · Nasir Sulman³

Received: 19 September 2021 / Accepted: 22 March 2022 / Published online: 15 April 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Current study was performed to evaluate the physico-chemical, metals and microbial characteristics of the surface water available in Chu Tran valley located in sub-district Shigar of district Skardu, Gilgit Baltistan, Pakistan. A total of 24 water samples were collected and analysed to determine the water quality index (WQI). Multivariate analysis comprising principal component analysis (PCA) and spatial distribution using inverse distance weight (IDW) interpolation were also employed to ascertain the water quality available in the valley and public health concern assessment. The results of WQI comprehended that physico-chemical characteristics of the water samples are excellent. However, the concentration of metals in water samples is higher than recommended WHO standards and public health quality of water supply is not satisfactory; therefore, the water in the valley is unfit of human consumption. Multivariate analysis with PCA technology identified important water quality parameters and revealed that metals and microbial concentrations are major later factors which have significant influence on the water quality. IDW-based spatial distribution indicates that water samples collected from the central part of the valley are highly contaminated with metals and microbial load. This is the region where the major human settlements are located and agricultural activities, domestic dischargers and erosion are the fundamental sources of water pollution. People have no choice except to consume the contaminated water as no other water supply is available and hardly question about the water quality. The study also proved that combination of WQI, PCA and IDW is effective and promising tools for surface water quality assessment in other areas in order to get accurate results for public health monitoring. It is recommended that the sources of contaminations can be further explored to reduce the pollution load of the surface water of Chu Tran Valley that might be helpful in the promotion of sustainable ecotourism.

Keywords Chu Tran Valley · WQI · Physico-chemical · Metals · Microbial · IDW

Introduction

Water quality monitoring and assessment is an important tool to safeguard public health quality and to conserve water resources (Yasin et al. 2020). However, in general the drinking water quality in developing countries is poor particularly in rural areas and the major source of multiple waterborne diseases (Li & Wu, 2019). Therefore, it is essential to assess and monitor the water quality of the available water resources which are primarily used for drinking purposes (§ener et al. 2017).

Sixth (out of 17 goals) United Nations Sustainable Development Goal (UN-SDG) clearly emphasizes the importance of access to clean water and sanitation. Unfortunately, like many other developing countries, the public health quality of drinking water is still being compromised in Pakistan (Azizullah et al. 2011). Across Pakistan, geospatial assessment of drinking water quality has been conducted at multiple geographic areas including Punjab (Bashir et al. 2020), Sindh (Bhatti et al. 2020), Balochistan (Ahmad et al. 2020) and Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (Javed et al. 2019). Similarly, water quality in Gilgit Baltistan (GB) has been assessed in various valleys and cities (Ali et al. 2013) and in Skardu springs (Ahsan et al. 2021; Farhat et al. 2021); however, studies comprising water quality index (Ahsan et al. 2021),

Syeda Urooj Fatima syedauroojfatima.ku@gmail.com

¹ Institute of Environmental Studies, University of Karachi, Karachi 75270, Pakistan

² Department of Computer Science, University of Karachi, Karachi 75270, Pakistan

³ Department of Special Education, University of Karachi, Karachi 75270, Pakistan

geospatial assessment (Hussain et al. 2014) and statistical analysis can be rarely found in GB.

Water quality analysis, monitoring and assessment have been widely applied by researchers using data-driven multivariate statistical tools including cluster analysis (CA), principal component analysis (PCA), factor analysis (FA) and discriminate analysis (DA). All of these methods are easy to apply and extract valuable characteristics of water quality datasets and to identify and monitor pollution (Duan et al. 2016). In recent past, the advancement in water quality assessment has been modified using combination of multivariate statistical tools with environmental remote sensing (ERS) and geographic information system (GIS) (Duan et al. 2021). The implications of spatial and temporal trends estimation of pollution in the water resources have helped in frequent monitoring of data (Duan et al. 2013) with application of GIS using interpolation by inverse distance weight (IDW), kriging and spline (Yang et al. 2020). These methods are most commonly applied in the field of hydrology science to predict the target pollution variables in water resources, and therefore, the assessment of the distribution of water quality parameters can provide valuable information of large-scale areas using limited data (Rostami et al. 2019). Unfortunately, uncertainties in the data sources must be considered while using interpolation tools. Therefore, estimation of observational uncertainties is critical to explore the contribution of data and methodological errors (Duan et al. 2013). These kinds of limitations can be strongly overcome by application of data transformation, rescaling tools, skewness and kurtosis analysis (Weber et al. 2007) during statistical modelling and by applying hybrid interpolation tools for better comparison (Shahbeik et al. 2014).

Therefore, this study has focused on the water quality assessment on Chu Tran Valley which is located in subdistrict Shigar of district Skardu in GB. This study has employed advanced statistical and spatial tools with the objectives to discover the primary sources of contamination in drinking water available in the valley. The study further delivers outcomes to achieve SDG 6 Clean Water and Sanitation by giving a call for action for water quality improvement in the remote and northern areas of Pakistan where water resources are already scarce, poor in terms of quality and a serious risk for public health.

Methodology

Study area

Chu Tran Valley is located in District Shigar of Baltistan region. The valley stretches from $35^{\circ} 27'$ to $35^{\circ} 45'$ N and $75^{\circ} 15'$ to $75^{\circ} 48'$ E along Shigar River comprising villages, namely Zing Zing, Tisar, Ligup, Thandoro, Kashmal and

Sildi at the eastern side of Indus River. Across entire valley, multiple glaciers including mighty Baltoro Glacier that feds the Indus and Shigar rivers are located on the either side of the valley. Owing to the presence of a hot water (thermal) springs (Zaigham et al. 2009) which have medicinal importance and therapeutic potential (Farhat et al. 2021), the name of the valley "Chu Tran" is based on the combination of two native language words "Chu" means "water" and "Tran" means "hot". Locally, Chu Tran Valley is also known as Tisar that comprises of very little human population (450-500 members). The lofty and harsh mountains of the area are representing the Karakoram mountains range (Farhat et al. 2021). The valley is accessible by Shigar valley road which connects this region with Gilgit-Skardu road and Braldu Valley road. The winters are long and harsh with a very short summer season. This short season provides a very little time for agriculture and the growth of vegetation (Seong et al. 2007). The community of this region strongly followed traditional Balti culture, particularly in housing infrastructure, agricultural and farming practices, cooking, dressing and sports (Abbas et al. 2017).

Water sampling

From Chu Tran Valley, 24 water samples were deterministically collected using random sampling approach during October 2020 (Fig. 1). Since this study aims to monitor water quality for public health, the water samples were collected from those drinking water sources which are commonly used by human settlements in the valley and on the basis of site accessibility along the entire Chu Tran Valley. The collected samples were stored in sterilized glass bottles and stored in ice box prior and then transported to the laboratory of Institute of Environmental Studies, University of Karachi. A comprehensive overview of workflow methodology is presented in Fig. 2.

Physico-chemical analysis

pH, turbidity, salinity and total dissolved solids (TDS) were determined on site. Turbidity in the water samples was measured using Eutech Meter (Model No. TN-100) while Hach Lange sensION 156 Multiparameter Device was used to measure pH and salinity. Gravimetric and argentometric methods were employed for TDS and Chloride (APHA, 2005). Sulphate was ascertained by gravimetric method while hardness was determined by EDTA titrimetric method. For nitrate and total phosphate, brucine-reagent and ascorbic acid methods were employed, respectively. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater were used for the analysis of above-mentioned parameters (APHA, 2005).



Fig. 1 Location of a Gilgit Baltistan (GB) in Pakistan; b Shigar district in GB; and c Chu Tran Valley (study area) and sampling sites along with nearby rivers, settlements and roads



Fig. 2 Work Flow Methodology

Metal analysis

For analysis of metals, appropriate Merck NOVA 60-Germany kits were used to estimate arsenic (As), copper (Cu), lead (Pb), iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), manganese (Mn), fluorine (inorganic form, fluoride, F^-) and molybdenum (Mo).

Microbial analysis

The microbiological parameters were examined in the water samples including total coliforms count (TCC), total faecal coliforms (TFC) and total faecal streptococci (TFS). Single and double strength lactose broth (Merck, Germany) was used for TCC while EC medium (Merck, Germany) was used for the determination of TFC. TFS were estimated by using sodium azide broth (Mallmann & Seligmann, 1950). Most probable number (MPN) technique was employed to determine the bacterial load in the water samples (APHA, 2005).

Descriptive statistics

Descriptive statistics of the parameters including arithmetic mean and mode was performed. Skewness and kurtosis were also calculated to analyse the normality of the data (Mustapha et al. 2012). The results of descriptive statistics were obtained using SPSS v22 (IBM Corp., 2013).

Water quality index (WQI)

Current research studies on water quality assessments are widely applying the water quality index (WQI)-based models to assess the nature of water-based rating of several parameters. The objective of WQI is to comprehensively represent a complex water quality data to a most simplified non-dimensional index. WQI also gives the advantage to perform aggregation functions-based analysis across spatiotemporally distributed parameters resulting in a single value (Uddin et al. 2021). WQI was calculated for the water samples as per WHO Guidelines (WHO, 2011) and methodology adopted from various studies (Ketata et al. 2012; Sahu & Sikdar, 2008; Şener et al. 2017; Shabbir & Ahmad, 2015). Depending upon the importance of each parameter for human health, all parameters including physico-chemical, metal and microbial variables were assigned a weight (w_i) from 1 to 5 for water quality index evaluation (Şener et al., 2017). In this study, the highest weight of 5 was assigned to As, Pb, F⁻ and microbial parameters since these parameters highly influence the human health (Table 1). Using a series of three equations (Ketata et al. 2012), WQI was calculated as following (Eq. 1–3):

$$W_i = \frac{w_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i} \tag{1}$$

$$Q_i = \frac{V_o}{V_s} \times 100 \tag{2}$$

$$WQI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} W_i \times Q_i$$
(3)

where W_i is relative weight, w_i is weight assigned to each parameter and *n* is the number of parameters observed, Q_i is the water quality rating scale for each of the observed water quality parameters, V_o is the observed level of each parameter and V_s is the WHO threshold level for each parameter. Based on the above calculations, the resulting values of WQI were classified into five sets (Ketata et al. 2012; Shabbir & Ahmad, 2015) as shown in Table 2.

Multivariate analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) is a most commonly used multivariate analysis method which determines the dynamics of all parameters observed for a system having aim to reduce the dimensionality of multivariate data by providing the useful information in small components. The resulting principal components contain all the typical characteristics of the system (Abdi & Williams, 2010; Gorgoglione et al. 2019).

Therefore, to monitor the water quality available in Chu Tran Valley and to analyse the most influencing water quality parameters and relation between them, PCA is applied on the obtained results to get useful information. PCA was applied on the water quality results followed by cluster analysis (CA) based on unweighted pair group ordination using the Euclidean distance (Alamgir et al. 2015; Yang et al. 2020). A series of algorithms were applied to obtain original data matrix (Eq. 4), standardized data after dimensionality reduction (Eq. 5), correlation coefficient matrix (Eq. 6), eigenvalues and eigenvalues (Eq. 7) and finally the principal components (Eq. 8), as shown below:

$$X = (x_{ij})_{n*p} = \begin{vmatrix} x_{11} & \dots & x_{1p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \dots & X_{np} \end{vmatrix}$$
(4)

$$x_{ij}^* = \frac{\left(x_{ij} - \overline{x_J}\right)}{s_j} \tag{5}$$

$$R = (r_{ij})_{p*p} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} x_{ti}^* * x_{tj}^*$$
(6)

$$F_i = u_{i1}x_1^* + u_{i2}x_2^* + \dots + u_{in}x_n^* \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$
(7)

$$F = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n} F_1 + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n}$$

$$F_2 + \dots \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \dots + \lambda_n} F_n$$
(8)

where X is the output of data matrix; *i* and *j* are the sample location and variable data value, respectively; *n* and *p* are number of sampling sites and water quality parameters, respectively; x_{ij} and x_{ij}^* are originally measured data and standardized variable (Yang et al. 2020), respectively; x_i^* is the standardized indicator variable; $\overline{x_j}$ is the average value for *jth* indicator; s_j is standard deviation of *jth* indicator; *R* is the correlation coefficient; F_i is the principal component; λ corresponds to the variance of the principal component; and λ_i and $u_i(i = 1, 2, ..., n)$ are eigenvalues and eigenvectors, respectively. All multivariate statistical analysis results were obtained using SPSS v22 (IBM Corp., 2013), OriginPro 2020b software package (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, USA) and R Studio (RStudio Team, 2020) environment.

Spatial distribution by inverse distance weight (IDW)

Spatial distribution methods for water quality mapping by interpolation aid to determine the values of unknown (unsampled) points based on the weighted measures and proximity centred assumptions that closer points are more alike as compared to the points located comparatively far away. The most commonly used interpolation methods based on geo-statistical techniques are kriging and inverse distance weight (IDW). Kriging can be further classified into simple, ordinary and universal kriging and involves the application

Table 1 Descriptive	Statistics of 24 w	vater se	amples f	rom Chu J	Fran Val	ley								
Parameters	Unit	Min	Max	Mean	Mode	SE	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis	Confidence I	nterval (99%)	Parameters for WQ	I calculation	
										Lower Bound	1 Upper Bound	WHO Limits 2011	Weight (w _i)	Relative weight (W_i)
Physico-chemical														
Hq	Ι	7.00	7.40	7.17	7.10	0.02	0.10	0.75	0.43	7.11	7.23	6.5-8.5	3	0.107143
Turbidity	NTU	0.18	0.63	0.39	0.18	0.03	0.13	0.18	-0.66	0.31	0.46	<5	3	0.107143
Salinity	%o	0.10	0.65	0.26	0.21	0.03	0.14	2.02	4.25	0.19	0.34	1.2	3	0.107143
Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)	mg/L	347.0	668.0	440.0	448.0	15.67	76.75	2.21	5.41	396.0	483.9	<1000	б	0.107143
Chloride		87.0	139.0	113.17	106.0	3.11	15.26	-0.13	-0.80	104.4	121.9	<250	3	0.107143
Hardness		101.0	152.0	130.54	121.0	2.63	12.88	- 0.59	0.02	123.2	137.9	< 500	2	0.071429
Sulphate (SO ₄ ⁻)		93.0	369.0	136.50	108.0	14.74	72.22	3.01	8.22	95.11	177.9	250	3	0.107143
Nitrate (NO ₃ ⁻)		0.06	0.61	0.23	0.09	0.03	0.14	1.12	0.90	0.15	0.31	12	4	0.142857
Phosphate (PO ₄ ⁻)		0.86	1.76	1.37	0.86	0.04	0.22	-0.70	0.87	1.25	1.50	3	4	0.142857
Matale													$\sum w_i = 28$	$\sum W_i = 1$
Arsenic (As)	mg/L	0.00	0.04	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	1.65	3.62	0.00	0.01	0.01	5	0.166667
Copper (Cu)	1	0.16	0.57	0.35^{*}	0.37	0.02	0.10	0.27	-0.27	0.29	0.41	0.2	2	0.066667
Lead (Pb)		0.14	0.47	0.28*	0.31	0.02	0.08	- 0.02	0.77	0.24	0.33	<0.01	5	0.166667
Iron (Fe)		0.07	0.77	0.38*	0.29	0.04	0.17	0.35	-0.28	0.28	0.48	0.3	2	0.066667
Zinc (Zn)		0.69	1.79	1.27*	96.0	0.06	0.28	-0.35	-0.30	1.10	1.43	0.5	2	0.066667
Calcium (Ca)		30.80	48.08	37.09	31.08	0.96	4.68	0.45	-0.39	34.40	39.77	150	2	0.066667
Magnesium (Mg)		7.48	11.68	9.01	7.55	0.23	1.14	0.46	-0.38	8.35	9.66	100	2	0.066667
Manganese (Mn)		1.23	2.93	2.08*	1.23	0.11	0.54	-0.11	-1.30	1.77	2.39	< 0.5	3	0.1
Fluoride (Fl)		0.00	0.09	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.03	1.33	0.71	0.00	0.04	1.5	5	0.166667
Molybdenum (Mo)		0.01	0.09	0.05^{*}	0.01	0.00	0.02	-0.12	-1.08	0.04	0.07	0.01	2	0.066667
Microbial													$\sum w_i = 30$	$\sum W_i = 1$
Total Coliform	MPN /100 mL	3	460.0	152.42*	3.00	34.05	166.8	0.86	-0.44	56.84	247.99	2	5	0.333
Total Faecal Coli- form (TFC)		3	240.0	48.04*	3.00	14.04	68.78	1.47	1.22	8.63	87.46	2	5	0.333
Total Faecal Strep-		б	11.00	5.25*	3.00	0.59	2.89	0.72	-1.31	3.59	6.91	2	5	0.333
													$\sum w_i = 15$	$\sum W_i = 1$

Min: Minimum, *Max*: Maximum, *SE*: Standard Error, *SD*: Standard Deviation *Mean values are above World Health Organization Guidelines (WHO, 2011)

Table 2Water Quality IndexClassification

Classes	WQI value	Water quality	Number of samples (n) and percentage $(\%)$					
			Physico-chemical	Metals	Microbial			
1	< 50	Excellent	22 (91.67%)	NA	NA			
2	50-100	Good	2 (8.33%)	NA	10 (41.67%)			
3	100-200	Poor	NA	NA	NA			
4	200-300	Very Poor	NA	NA	NA			
5	> 300	Unfit for Drinking Purpose (UFDP)	NA	24 (100%)	14 (58.33)			

of the weights to the known or measured values on the basis of spatial orientation of the measured locations (Elumalai et al. 2017). Unlike kriging, IDW is only dependent on the proximity of the known (sampled) points based on the principle that closer samples points have greater influence on the unsampled location by applying linear-weighted combinations (Haldar et al. 2020; Nistor et al. 2020). Therefore, IDW is performed for this study as following (Eq. 9 and 10):

$$z = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i z_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i}$$
(9)

$$x_i = \frac{1}{d_i^p} \tag{10}$$

where z is unknown value for interpolation; z_i is *ith* data value of sampled location; n is the number of sampling points; x_i is the weight for IDW analysis; d_i is horizontal distance between the observed and interpolation points (Yang et al. 2020); and p is the power of distance. ArcMap 10.8.1 (Esri, 2020) *Interpolation* tool from Spatial Analyst ArcToolbox was used to perform IDW analysis for all parameters.

Results and discussion

This study has performed the water quality assessment based on physico-chemical, metals and microbial parameters in Chu Tran Valley water samples using statistical and spatial tools including WQI, PCA and IDW interpolation. The descriptive statistics of all parameters are presented in Table 1 along with WHO Guidelines (WHO, 2011) and parameters for WQI calculation.

Water quality status

The results of the physico-chemical parameters of the water samples were within the prescribed WHO guidelines (Table 1). The mean pH value of the water samples was 7.0–7.4 (7.17 \pm 0.1). Similarly, water quality studies on other

areas are located near the Chu Tran Valley such as Sultanabad Stream also reported a mean value of pH as 7.4 which depicted that the water is slightly alkaline in the region (Begum et al. 2014). Turbidity in water samples of Chu Tran Valley was found within the range of 0.18-0.63 Nephelometric Turbidity unit (NTU) having mean value of 0.39 ± 0.13 NTU, indicates that the results of the turbidity are following the WHO guidelines (<5 NTU). Comparatively, the results of the turbidity from a previous study were about 17.1-96.0 NTU found in Danyore village of Gilgit (Shedayi et al. 2015). In terms of salinity, the water samples have salt content ranging from 0.1-0.65% with a mean value of $0.26 \pm 0.14\%$ which is following the WHO standards (1.2%). However, this value is relatively higher as compared to the water samples of the Gilgit city (0.015-0.025%)(Shedayi et al. 2015). TDS values ranged from 347 from 668 mg/L (440 ± 76.75 mg/L) which is comparatively higher than TDS levels reported in Nagar Valley of District Hunza during 2013 (175.7–233.67 mg/L) (Ali et al. 2013). Other studies have also reported a mean value of 104.8 mg/L (Islam et al. 2021) and 284.4 mg/L (Begum et al. 2014) in water samples of GB region, both of these values are lower than the mean value detected in this current study. However, all these values of the water samples are well within WHO prescribed threshold limit of TDS (<1000 mg/L). In this study, chloride in the water samples of Chu Tran Valley was found in the range of 87 to 139 mg/L with a mean value of 113.17 ± 12.88 mg/L. The concentration of hardness as CaCO₃ was in the range of 101 to 152 mg/L having a mean concentration of 130.54 ± 76.75 mg/L. Studies conducted in Nagar Valley (Ali et al. 2013) and Danyore Valley (Shedayi et al. 2015) of GB also reported calcium hardness and total hardness range from 4.66-16.66 mg/L and 160-190 mg/L, respectively. The concentration of sulphate was in the range of 93-369 mg/L while the concentration of Nitrate was 0.06-0.61 mg/L having a mean value of 130.54 ± 12.88 mg/L and 0.23 ± 0.14 mg/L, respectively. Phosphate was also detected in the range of 0.86–1.76 mg/L corresponding a mean value of 1.37 ± 0.22 mg/L which is comparatively higher than the mean value of total phosphorus (0.0483 mg/L) reported in a recent study of GB region (Islam et al. 2021).

On the basis of mean values obtained, the trend of metals concentration recorded in this study was As > Ca > Mg > Mn > Zn > Fe > Cu > Pb > Mo > Fl. Arsenic, calcium, magnesium and fluoride were detected within WHO guidelines limit (Table 1). However, the results revealed that other metals, including heavy metals, are found in much higher concentrations as compared to WHO guidelines (WHO, 2011). On the basis of results of current and previous studies (Baig et al. 2019; Lodhi et al. 2003; Muhammad & Ahmad, 2020), the continuous uptake of these heavy metals through the use of drinking water is a potential threat to the public health.

In terms of microbial parameters, all the samples are heavily contaminated (> 3 MPN/100 mL) with the organisms of the public health importance as shown in Table 1. Bacteriological contamination of the water resources in the GB region is also previously reported which supports the fact that faecal contamination by the domestic sources is the most common source of water pollution in GB region (Ali et al. 2013; Islam et al. 2021; Nafees et al. 2014). This may also be due to the fact that sewerage system is hardly available in the region including the Chu Tran Valley. No water treatment facility is available in and around the valley. The water resources are open and contaminate through the waste of both human and animal origin. This is one of the pertinent facts that the people suffer from a number of water-borne diseases in the valley.

Water quality index

In this study, WQI has been computed in three groups including physico-chemical, metals and microbial parameters in 24 water samples (n = 24). Parameter's weight involved in the computation of WQI is illustrated in Table 1. WQI indicates that 91.67% (n = 22) of the water samples are excellent in terms of physico-chemical characteristics followed by only 8.33% (n=2) which are good. Contrary to it, all water samples (n=24) are found contaminated with metals and therefore unfit for drinking purpose or human consumption. In terms of microbial characteristics, only 41.67% (n = 10) of the samples are good and rest of the 58.33% (n = 14) are found unfit for human consumption (Table 2 and 3). A study also reported that WQI of northern areas of Pakistan (District Gilgit and Ghizer) was not satisfactory particularly due to anthropogenic sources of pollution (Sohail et al. 2019). On the basis of WQI, the drinking water available at Chu Tran Valley is partially suitable for human consumption with the risk of the health impacts due to the presence of metals and high bacterial load.

Principal component analysis (PCA)

After data standardization, the results were subjected to PCA in order to reduce the dimensionality in the data and to find

the most influencing factors. The results of PCA indicate that the influence of parameters can be described by eight principal components; however, the first three are the most significant ones having eigenvalues 5.835, 3.290 and 2.586, respectively. The first component governs almost 26.5% of the total variance followed by 14.9% and 11.7% of the variance for the second and third component, respectively (Table 4).

The results of variable loadings show that first component is principally accounted for the physico-chemical factors enlisting the variables including salinity, TDS, chloride, hardness, sulphate and phosphate. Contrary to it, the second principal component governs with the metals including arsenic, calcium and magnesium, whereas the third principal component predominantly deals with the microbial parameters such as TCC and TFC. It was observed that zinc has major influence for the fourth principal component and pH and turbidity have also shown the influence for the fifth principal component. Comparatively, the contribution of the parameters involved in fourth and fifth component is not much influencing as that of first to third. The results also indicate that rest of the parameters including nitrate, copper, lead, iron, manganese, fluoride and molybdenum have shown minor influence on the first three principal components (Fig. 3).

Cluster analysis (CA)

Contrary to WQI, which showed that water quality of the Chu Tran Valley is satisfactory in terms of physico-chemical characteristics, the results of PCA indicate that the highest influence on the water quality of the valley is due to the physico-chemical parameters. Therefore, the cluster analysis was performed to observe the individual sample on the basis of its location. As divided into two groups (Group A and B), most of the sampling locations fall within Group B, whereas Group A only comprise 4 sampling sites. It was observed that B1 is the largest subgroup comprising most of the sampling locations. It indicates that the sampling points located in the central part of valley are highly ordinated with each other (Fig. 4). WQI further confirms that most of the centrally located sampling points have almost similar characteristics in terms of metals and microbial characteristics, thus depicting that physico-chemically, the water quality is excellent (Table 2).

Correlation (R) analysis

The correlation analysis was also separately performed for physico-chemical, metal and microbial parameters. Mean values of these parameters were added as an input in OriginPro 2020b software package (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, USA). The correlation output among

Sampling Detail	s		Physico	-chemical	Metals		Microbial	
Sample Code	Latitude	Longitude	WQI	Remarks	WQI	Remarks	WQI	Remarks
C-1	35° 28′ 40.68″ N	75° 39′17.36″ E	32.34	Excellent	628.65	UFDP	10,316.67	UFDP
C-2	35° 28′ 59.40″ N	75° 39'7.24" E	31.82	Excellent	519.14	UFDP	11,816.67	UFDP
C-3	35° 29′ 18.91″ N	75° 38′54.35″ E	35.12	Excellent	427.15	UFDP	6616.667	UFDP
C-4	35° 30' 37.19" N	75° 36'19.29" E	35.12	Excellent	365.21	UFDP	4716.667	UFDP
C-5	35° 31′ 21.07″ N	75° 35'32.94" E	37.28	Excellent	458.59	UFDP	100	Good
C-6	35° 31′ 58.81″ N	75° 35'8.20" E	37.58	Excellent	721.95	UFDP	100	Good
C-7	35° 32′ 51.13″ N	75° 34'19.84" E	34.86	Excellent	574.83	UFDP	3933.33	UFDP
C-8	35° 33′ 38.19″ N	75° 33'35.61″ E	35.39	Excellent	671.37	UFDP	1650	UFDP
C-9	35° 33′ 58.22″ N	75° 33′23.49″ E	34.20	Excellent	691.58	UFDP	4900	UFDP
C-10	35° 34′ 24.30″ N	75° 32′55.79″ E	33.80	Excellent	564.14	UFDP	1466.667	UFDP
C-11	35° 34′ 51.93″ N	75° 32'7.65″ E	35.19	Excellent	925.56	UFDP	100	Good
C-12	35° 35′ 3.78″ N	75° 31′57.85″ E	37.44	Excellent	653.81	UFDP	7966.667	UFDP
C-13	35° 35′ 23.17″ N	75° 31′44.04″ E	35.52	Excellent	727.63	UFDP	4616.667	UFDP
C-14	35° 35′ 44.44″ N	75° 31'16.48″ E	37	Excellent	541.65	UFDP	10,316.67	UFDP
C-15	35° 37′ 14.09″ N	75° 29′55.30″ E	36.33	Excellent	656.47	UFDP	3700	UFDP
C-16	35° 37′ 27.59″ N	75° 29'36.43″ E	34.21	Excellent	591.82	UFDP	2683.333	UFDP
C-17	35° 38′ 57.72″ N	75° 28′20.28″ E	33.66	Excellent	457.41	UFDP	100	Good
C-18	35° 39′ 16.67″ N	75° 28′0.64″ E	32.92	Excellent	363.86	UFDP	100	Good
C-19	35° 40′ 18.08″ N	75° 27'3.90″ E	34.20	Excellent	742.53	UFDP	100	Good
C-20	35° 42′ 5.40″ N	75° 24'37.84" E	50.79	Good	685.39	UFDP	100	Good
C-21	35° 42′ 5.40″ N	75° 24′38.20″ E	50.76	Good	571.27	UFDP	100	Good
C-22	35° 42′ 7.49″ N	75° 24′34.33″ E	35.18	Excellent	638.53	UFDP	100	Good
C-23	35° 42′ 10.48″ N	75° 24′35.53″ E	35	Excellent	605.31	UFDP	100	Good
C-24	35° 42′ 11.63″ N	75° 24'30.78" E	36.01	Excellent	640.78	UFDP	6033.333	UFDP
Min			31.82	_	363.86	-	100	_
Max			50.79	_	925.56	-	11,816.7	_
Mean			36.32	-	601.03	-	3405.56	-

UFDP: Unfit for drinking purpose

Table 4 Principal Components

Principal COM- PONENTS	Eigenvalues	Percentage of variance (%)	Cumulative percentage (%)
PC1	5.835	26.524	26.524
PC2	3.290	14.953	41.477
PC3	2.586	11.757	53.234
PC4	1.703	7.741	60.975
PC5	1.567	7.123	68.098
PC6	1.461	6.642	74.741
PC7	1.212	5.511	80.252
PC8	1.028	4.671	8.923

physico-chemical parameters showed that salinity, TDS, chloride, hardness and sulphate are positively correlated with each other; however, nitrate and phosphate have shown negative trend with other parameters as well as with each other. The highest positive correlation was observed between salinity and TDS (R=0.96) (Fig. 5). Among metals, the highest positive correlation (R=1) was observed between calcium and magnesium while rest of the metals does not show significant correlation among them (Fig. 6). All microbial parameters have shown strong positive correlation among themselves (Fig. 7).

Spatial distribution

IDW-based interpolation for spatial distribution of the physico-chemical, metals and microbial parameters found in the Chu Tran Valley water samples showed a non-uniform pattern throughout the valley. Higher pH values are detected in the central part of the Chu Tran Valley, whereas turbidity is high in the water samples collected from northern areas of the valley. Similar pattern of spatial distribution is observed for salinity and TDS, whereas chloride, hardness and phosphate are found in elevated concentration in different areas Fig. 3 Variable Loadings in Principal Components





Fig. 4 Dendrogram derived from unweighted pair group ordination using the Euclidean distance

Fig. 5 Correlation among

physico-chemical parameters in Chu Tran Valley water samples



Fig. 6 Correlation among metals in Chu Tran Valley water samples



of the valley. However, nitrate and sulphate are found in comparatively lower levels (Fig. 8). Among metals, copper, lead, iron, zinc, manganese and molybdenum are spatially distributed in northern and central part of the valley in very high concentrations. Comparatively, higher concentrations of arsenic, calcium, magnesium and fluoride are only confined for fewer sampling sites (Fig. 9). It was observed that all sites are contaminated in terms of microbial contamination; however, the scenario of TFC is at worst. Almost all the sampling sites are highly contaminated which is an alarming situation for the public health (Fig. 10).

Contamination sources and public health concern

As far as public health concern is appraised, the health impacts of each parameter should be determined in comparison with the WHO guidelines (WHO, 2011). As indicated by WQI, the water quality of the Chu Tran Valley is excellent





in terms of physico-chemical characteristics, as the mean values of all parameters are within WHO recommended limit. Therefore, no health concerns are anticipated due to physico-chemical parameters. However, these are the most critical group of parameters since salinity, TDS, hardness and chloride have the largest influence on the water quality as indicated by the results of PCA. Regular monitoring should be done to address the contamination issue at the earliest.

In contrast to it, the mean values of copper (0.35 mg/L), lead (0.28 mg/L), iron (0.38 mg/L), zinc (1.27 mg/L), manganese (2.08 mg/L) and molybdenum (0.05 mg/L) are not following the WHO recommended threshold levels (0.2 mg/L for copper, < 0.01 mg/L for lead, 0.3 mg/L for iron, 1.27 mg/L for zinc, <0.05 mg/L for manganese and 0.01 mg/L for molybdenum, respectively) in the water samples of the valley. The elevated concentrations of these metals are likely to cause health problems due to known health impacts upon consumption as a source food, drinking or dermal contact. Since the source of water is usually the surface and river water available in the area, including Shigar and Braldu rivers, the contamination of metals can be occurred through natural processes such as natural weathering of rocks (Huang et al. 2020) and anthropogenic sources particularly from domestic activities, air pollution due to transport, and other sources (Baig et al. 2019). Therefore, consumption of the contaminated drinking water by the metals, including heavy metals, can be a risk for the public health and the consequences can be in terms of neurotoxic, carcinogenic and cardiovascular impacts (Alamgir et al. 2019). The human health impacts of the consumption of high concentrations of metals are well determined and multiple studies have

reported the adverse effects on humans. The prolonged exposure to the copper through drinking water may cause anaemia, liver dysfunction and kidney issues (Mustafa et al. 2017) while the exposure to lead may affect the learning capacity, memory loss and nervous system dysfunction. Slight variation in the exposure of these trace and essential metals, such as zinc and manganese, may also result in the form of psychological (Radwan & Salama, 2006) and neurological disorders (Iyare, 2019), respectively. Long-term exposure to high concentration of molybdenum is associated with anorexia, joint pain, tremor and loss of appetite (Smedley et al. 2014).

All the water samples collected from the Chu Tran Valley are found contaminated with respect to the organisms of public health importance. The major reason of this cause is the nonexistence of sewerage system in the valley. Moreover, water treatment facility is also not available. Similarly, no mechanism is in place regarding the monitoring of water supply. Essentially, the domestic waste is a potential source of heavy microbial load of water supply available in the valley. The domestic waste is generally treated through soak pits methods and ultimately, it discharges indiscriminately to the open fields. The entire Gilgit Baltistan region is currently facing this issue. Furthermore, the current climate change scenario with increasing high temperature and intense heat waves is also responsible for the proliferation of infectious diseases (Majeed et al. 2020).



Fig. 8 IDW based spatial distribution of physico-chemical parameters **a** pH; **b** turbidity; **c** salinity; **d** total dissolved solids (TDS); **e** chlorides; **f** hardness; **g** nitrate (NO_3^-); **h** phosphate (PO_4^-); and (1) sulphate (SO_4^-) in Chu Tran Valley water samples



Fig. 9 IDW based spatial distribution of metals **a** arsenic (As); **b** copper (Cu); **c** lead (Pb); **d** iron (Fe); **e** zinc (Zn); **f** calcium (Ca); **g** magnesium (Mg); **h** manganese (Mn); and (1) fluoride (F^-); and **j** molybdenum (Mo) in Chu Tran Valley water samples

Conclusion

In this study, combination of WQI, PCA and IDW methods

were used to determine water quality of Chu Tran Valley. WQI has presented the water quality of the valley on three bases: (1) water quality is excellent in terms of Fig. 10 IDW based spatial distribution of microbial parameters **a** Total Coliform Count (TCC); **b** Total Faecal Coliform (TFC) Count; and **c** Total Faecal Streptococci (TFS) in Chu Tran Valley water samples



physico-chemical characteristics, (2) heavy metals are present in the high concentration making the water quality unsuitable for drinking purpose, and (3) drinking water available in the area is highly unsuitable in terms of microbial load and can be a potential source of health risk for the public. PCA results confirms that although physico-chemical characteristics of the water are satisfactory, the influence of physico-chemical parameters and heavy metals is most influencing on water quality making it a critical factor to determine the overall water quality of the valley. IDW-based spatial analysis further confirmed that public health quality in the central part of the valley is at risk due to contaminated drinking water. The study recommends to perform regular and frequent water quality monitoring to investigate the pollution sources as well as to ensure the public health quality in the area. Moreover, the water treatment services should be established in the area so as to ensure the potable water supply available to the people.

Acknowledgements The authors wish to thanks M/s Hilton Pharma Pvt. Ltd. for proving the financial support for the execution of this study.

Author contribution All authors of the paper have actively contributed to the scientific study reported in the paper and to the preparation of the manuscript.

Funding M/s Hilton Pharma Pvt. Ltd., Karachi Pakistan.

Data availability The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Abbas Z, Khan SM, Alam J, Khan SW, Abbasi AM (2017) Medicinal plants used by inhabitants of the Shigar Valley, Baltistan region of Karakorum range-Pakistan. J Ethnobiol Ethnomed 13(1):1–15. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13002-017-0172-9
- Abdi H, Williams JL (2010) Computational statistics: principal component analysis. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Comput Stat 2(4):433–459. https://doi.org/10.1002/wics.101
- Ahmad M, Jamal A, Tang XW, Al-Sughaiyer MA, Al-Ahmadi HM, Ahmad F (2020) Assessing potable water quality and identifying areas of waterborne diarrheal and fluorosis health risks using spatial interpolation in Peshawar. Pakistan Water 12(8):2163. https:// doi.org/10.3390/w12082163
- Ahsan WA, Ahmad HR, Farooqi ZUR, Sabir M, Ayub MA, Rizwan M, Ilic P (2021) Surface water quality assessment of Skardu springs using water quality index. Environ Sci Pollut Res 28(16):20537– 20548. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-11818-5
- Alamgir A, Fatima N, Khan MA, Shaukat SS (2015) Microbiological assessment of street vended fresh fruit juices available in the Karachi city. Int J Biol Biotechnol 12(3):505–509
- Alamgir A, Khan MA, Shaukat SS, Majeed R, Fatima SU (2019) Communal health perception of tap water quality supplied to Shah Faisal Town, Karachi. Int J Biol Biotechnol 16(1):189–198
- Ali S, Hussain A, Hussain A, Ali A, Awan MS (2013) Drinking water quality assessment in some selected villages of nagar valley Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan. J Chem Biol Phys Sci 3(1):567–574
- APHA (2005) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 21st edn. American Public Health Association, Washington DC
- Azizullah A, Khattak MNK, Richter P, Häder DP (2011) Water pollution in Pakistan and its impact on public health—a review. Environ Int 37(2):479–497. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2010.10. 007
- Baig S, Begum F, Raut N, Khan MZ, Mumtaz S, Ali M, Ali K (2019) Spatio-temporal variation of selected heavy metals in drinking water systems of Central Hunza, Gilgit-Baltistan Pakistan. Fresenius Environ Bull 28(1):207–214
- Bashir N, Saeed R, Afzaal M, Ahmad A, Muhammad N, Iqbal J, Khan A, Maqbool Y, Hameed S (2020) Water quality assessment of lower Jhelum canal in Pakistan by using geographic information system (GIS). Groundw Sustain Dev 10:100357. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.gsd.2020.100357
- Begum F, Rubina KA, Khan A, Hussain I, Ishaq S, Ali S (2014) Water quality assessment using macroinvertebrates as indicator in

sultanabad stream (Nallah), Gilgit, Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan. J Biodivers Environ Sci 5:564–572

- Bhatti NB, Siyal AA, Qureshi AL, Solangi GS, Memon NA, Bhatti IA (2020) Impact of small dam's construction on groundwater quality and level using water quality index (WQI) and GIS: Nagarparkar area of Sindh, Pakistan. Hum Ecol Risk Assess Int J 26(10):2586– 2607. https://doi.org/10.1080/10807039.2019.1674634
- Duan W, Takara K, He B, Luo P, Nover D, Yamashiki Y (2013) Spatial and temporal trends in estimates of nutrient and suspended sediment loads in the Ishikari River, Japan, 1985 to 2010. Sci Total Environ 461:499–508
- Duan W, He B, Nover D, Yang G, Chen W, Meng H, Zou S, Liu C (2016) Water quality assessment and pollution source identification of the eastern Poyang Lake Basin using multivariate statistical methods. Sustainability 8(2):133. https://doi.org/10.3390/ su8020133
- Duan W, Maskey S, Chaffe PLB, Luo P, He, B, Wu Y, Hou J (2021) Recent advancement in remote sensing technology for hydrology analysis and water resources management. In: Remote sensing, vol 13, no 6. Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, Basel, p 1097
- Elumalai V, Brindha K, Sithole B, Lakshmanan E (2017) Spatial interpolation methods and geostatistics for mapping groundwater contamination in a coastal area. Environ Sci Pollut Res 24(12):11601–11617
- ESRI (2020) ArcGIS Desktop: release 10.8.1. Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands
- Farhat N, Hussain S, Faisal F, Batool I, Noreen M (2021) Physicochemical characteristics and therapeutic potential of Chutrun thermal springs in Shigar Valley, Gilgit-Baltistan (Pakistan). Appl Water Sci 11(2):1–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13201-020-01354-5
- Gorgoglione A, Gioia A, Iacobellis V (2019) A framework for assessing modeling performance and effects of rainfall-catchmentdrainage characteristics on nutrient urban runoff in poorly gauged watersheds. Sustainability 11(18):4933. https://doi.org/10.3390/ su11184933
- Haldar K, Kujawa-Roeleveld K, Dey P, Bosu S, Datta DK, Rijnaarts HHM (2020) Spatio-temporal variations in chemical-physical water quality parameters influencing water reuse for irrigated agriculture in tropical urbanized deltas. Sci Total Environ 708:134559. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134559
- Huang Z, Liu C, Zhao X, Dong J, Zheng B (2020) Risk assessment of heavy metals in the surface sediment at the drinking water source of the Xiangjiang River in South China. Environ Sci Eur 32(1):1–9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12302-020-00305-w
- Hussain T, Sheikh S, Kazami JH, Hussain M, Hussain A, Hassan NU, Hussain Z, Khan H (2014) Geo-spatial assessment of tap water and air quality in Gilgit city using geographical information system. J Biodivers Environ Sci 5(6):49–54
- IBM Corp. (2013). IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (No. 22). Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. https://hadoop.apache.org
- Islam N, Ahmed K, Nafees MA, Khalil M, Hussain I, Ali M, Imran R (2021) Physico-chemical and bacteriological analysis of drinking water of springs of Sherqilla, district Ghizer, Gilgit-Baltistan Pakistan. Pakistan J Zoo. https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjz/ 20160717150758
- Iyare PU (2019) The effects of manganese exposure from drinking water on school-age children: a systematic review. Neurotoxicology 73:1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuro.2019.02.013
- Javed T, Sarwar T, Ullah I, Ahmad S, Rashid S (2019) Evaluation of groundwater quality in district Karak Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Pakistan. Water Sci 33(1):1–9. https://doi.org/10.1080/11104929. 2019.1626630
- Ketata M, Gueddari M, Bouhlila R (2012) Use of geographical information system and water quality index to assess groundwater quality in El Khairat deep aquifer (Enfidha, Central East

Tunisia). Arab J Geosci 5(6):1379–1390. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12517-011-0292-9

- Li P, Wu J (2019) Drinking water quality and public health. Exposure Health 11(2):73–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12403-019-00299-8
- Lodhi ZH, Akif M, Kalsoom U (2003) Evaluation of drinking water from different sources in Skardu-Northern area with special reference to heavy metals. J Chem Soc Pak 25(4):110
- Majeed R, Khan MA, Fatima SU, Mahmood N, Sulman N, Shaukat SS (2020) Public health status and socioeconomic conditions in climate change-affected northern areas of Pakistan. Int J Biol Biotechnol 17(2):307–317
- Mallmann WL, Seligmann EBJ (1950) A comparative study of media for the detection of streptococci in water and sewage. Am J Public Health Nations Health 40(3):286–289
- Muhammad S, Ahmad K (2020) Heavy metal contamination in water and fish of the Hunza River and its tributaries in Gilgit–Baltistan: evaluation of potential risks and provenance. Environ Technol Innov 20:101159
- Mustafa S, Baloch N, Muhammad S, Malik Y, Khan T, Bibi M, Qadir A, Razaque G, Baloch IA (2017) Determination of trace and heavy metals in drinking water of Jhal Magsi district of Balochistan Pakistan. Pure Appl Biol 6(1):9–17
- Mustapha A, Aris AZ, Ramli MF, Juahir H (2012) Temporal aspects of surface water quality variation using robust statistical tools. Sci World J 2012:1–9. https://doi.org/10.1100/2012/294540
- Nafees MA, Ahmed K, Ali S, Karim R, Khan T (2014) Bacteriological analysis of drinking water sources in CKNP region of Gilgit Baltistan Pakistan. Int J Biosci 5(4):54–59. https://doi.org/10. 12692/ijb/5.4.54-59
- Nistor MM, Rahardjo H, Satyanaga A, Hao KZ, Xiaosheng Q, Sham AWL (2020) Investigation of groundwater table distribution using borehole piezometer data interpolation: case study of Singapore. Eng Geol 271:105590. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2020. 105590
- Radwan MA, Salama AK (2006) Market basket survey for some heavy metals in Egyptian fruits and vegetables. Food Chem Toxicol 44(8):1273–1278
- Rostami AA, Isazadeh M, Shahabi M, Nozari H (2019) Evaluation of geostatistical techniques and their hybrid in modelling of groundwater quality index in the Marand Plain in Iran. Environ Sci Pollut Res 26(34):34993–35009
- RStudio Team. (2020). *RStudio: Integrated Development Environment* for R. http://www.rstudio.com/
- Sahu P, Sikdar PK (2008) Hydrochemical framework of the aquifer in and around East Kolkata Wetlands, West Bengal. India Environ Geol 55(4):823–835. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00254-007-1034-x
- Şener Ş, Şener E, Davraz A (2017) Evaluation of water quality using water quality index (WQI) method and GIS in Aksu River (SW-Turkey). Sci Total Environ 584:131–144. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.102

- Seong YB, Owen LA, Bishop MP, Bush A, Clendon P, Copland L, Finkel R, Kamp U, Shroder JF Jr (2007) Quaternary glacial history of the Central Karakoram. Quatern Sci Rev 26(25–28):3384–3405
- Shabbir R, Ahmad SS (2015) Use of geographic information system and water quality index to assess groundwater quality in Rawalpindi and Islamabad. Arab J Sci Eng 40(7):2033–2047. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s13369-015-1697-7
- Shahbeik S, Afzal P, Moarefvand P, Qumarsy M (2014) Comparison between ordinary kriging (OK) and inverse distance weighted (IDW) based on estimation error. Case study: Dardevey iron ore deposit NE Iran. Arab J Geosci 7(9):3693–3704
- Shedayi AA, Jan N, Riaz S, Xu M (2015) Drinking water quality status in gilgit, Pakistan and WHO standards. Sci Int 27(3):2305–2311
- Smedley PL, Cooper DM, Lapworth DJ (2014) Molybdenum distributions and variability in drinking water from England and Wales. Environ Monit Assess 186(10):6403–6416. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10661-014-3863-x
- Sohail MT, Aftab R, Mahfooz Y, Yasar A, Yat Y, Shaikh SA, Irshad S et al (2019) Estimation of water quality, management and risk assessment in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa and Gilgit-Baltistan, Pakistan. Desalin Water Treat 171:105–114
- Uddin MG, Nash S, Olbert AI (2021) A review of water quality index models and their use for assessing surface water quality. Ecol Ind 122:107218. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.107218
- Weber KP, Grove JA, Gehder M, Anderson WA, Legge RL (2007) Data transformations in the analysis of community-level substrate utilization data from microplates. J Microbiol Methods 69(3):461–469
- WHO. (2011). Guidelines for drinking-water quality, 4th Edition. In World Health Organization. https://www.who.int/water_sanit ation_health/publications/2011/dwq_guidelines/en/
- Yang W, Zhao Y, Wang D, Wu H, Lin A, He L (2020) Using principal components analysis and IDW interpolation to determine spatial and temporal changes of surface water quality of Xin'anjiang river in Huangshan, China. Int J Environ Res Public Health 17(8):2942. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17082942
- Yasin SNTM, Yunus MFM, Wahab NBA (2020) The development of water quality monitoring system using internet of things. J Educ Learn Stud 3(1):14–20. https://doi.org/10.32698/0852
- Zaigham NA, Nayyar ZA, Hisamuddin N (2009) Review of geothermal energy resources in Pakistan. Renew Sustain Energy Rev 13(1):223–232

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.