ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Eco-hydrology and the effects on the national economy: case of the eastern region of Abakaliki Anticlinorium, Southeastern Nigeria

Stephen Nwoba Ukpai¹ · Hilary Nwogboduwhu Ezeh¹ · Solomon Chukwuedum Effam¹

Received: 27 May 2019 / Accepted: 16 January 2020 / Published online: 31 January 2020 © The Author(s) 2020

Abstract

The area was mineralized, yet it is an agricultural settlement. Histories of water hardness and local migration of connate (saline) water exist across the region. This study investigated effects of these geogenic circumstances on the economy of the region and Nigeria. Geophysical and geochemical analyses were carried out for delineation of hydrological structures relevant to groundwater movement and pollution status, respectively. Results revealed silty-sandy shale sandwiched between 60 and 100 Ω -m at the fourth geoelectric layer. This layer marks depths to water table from 7.0 to 12.0 m and formed aquitard as medium of regional stance for groundwater percolation. The aquitards confined localized resistivity anomalies of $\leq 60 \Omega$ -m and was interpreted as various aguifers. Isolated peaks of hydraulic head up to 85 m around north-western and south-eastern ends of NW-SE-trending local water divide indicated areas of water table crops where conjunctive hydrological interaction exists between surface water and groundwater resources; each flushes dissolved elements from the calcareous sediments into the water resources. Consequently, configurations of pH, total dissolved solutes (TDS), electrical conductivity (EC) and total hardness ranged as: 6.97–7.96, 20–1399 mg/l, 30–2100 µs/cm and 8–160 mg/l, respectively. Localized concentrations of calcium, sodium, bicarbonate, sulphate, chloride, iron, manganese, zinc, lead, chromium and cobalt in mg/l ranged to pollution or alert levels as follows: 38–590, 5–89, 10–126, 30–460, 6–128, 0.01–1.74, 0.1–0.41, 0.001–0.13, 0/01–1.98, 0.011–0.56 and 0.05–0.22, respectively. The water is therefore hard and hence supports encrustations of fittings for water supplies. Consequently, screens' slot sizes were clogged, resulting in incessant boreholes failures in the region. Heavy metals are polluting from identifiable loading sources, possibly, due to the proximity of associated lodes. These pollution(s) caused the exceedance of TDS (as reflected in EC) above the saline borderline and hence the salinity and sodium hazards that hampered crop growth, mainly cereal foods. It also endangered biodiversity of adjoining downstream regions.

Keywords Water supply · Economy · Calcareous shale · Chemical facies · Solutes · Watershed

Introduction

Chemical parameters of water resources could be influenced by hydrological interaction with geological formations (Subramani et al. 2005) and affect the utilization potential, especially in agriculture (Food and agriculture organization, FAO 2013). Based on this condition, water supply and sanitation services were initiated (African Ministers' Council on Water (AMCOW 2011)) as management strategy (National Water Policy, NWP 2004) to ensure excellence of water supply in agriculture for food production, in industries for

Stephen Nwoba Ukpai ukpaisteve@gmail.com

processing and production efficiency, and in households for smooth services. This would meet the poverty eradication objective of sustainable development goals (SDGs), particularly in developing nations, comprising Nigeria. Thus, it was within the scope of this study to present a dataset vis-à-vis information relevant in the water supply and sanitation services to the concerned stakeholders, organizations and agencies of water sector, using the case of Abakaliki Province. Specifically, the focus was to develop data from this case as a reference for global viewpoint on watershed planning and development. The case study covers a watershed around eastern flank of Abakaliki Anticlinorium that sandwiches both regional and local river catchments at the Southeastern Nigerian edge of Benue Trough (Fig. 1). It comprises a municipal around the downstream with population greater than 277,000 people (National Bureau of Statistics, NBS



¹ Department of Geology and Exploration Geophysics, Ebonyi State University, Abakaliki, Nigeria



Fig. 1 Hydrogeological map of the studied watershed

2011). The region is underlain mainly by ammonite-rich blue-black shales (Ojoh 1992) that package ore minerals in some places (Umeji 2000; Obiora and Umeji 2004; Oha et al. 2016). Weathering affected the bedrocks in many parts via natural and anthropogenic processes and hence formed soil that generally supported food crop production. However, dissolved solutes released from the weathered potions, in addition to those permeating from run-off sediments, mine water plumes and connate source, concentrated beyond nutritional limits in the water resources. Winter et al. (1998) had noted that movement of water between groundwater and surface water provides major pathways for chemical transfer between terrestrial and aquatic systems. The transfer of chemicals (dissolved solutes or ions) exposes biodiversity to bioavailability of the ions; hence, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the extent of ionic concentrations, mode of chemical movement and the ecological risk assessment with respect to the national economy.

Tijani et al. (2018) reported mineralization of water resources in the entire lower Benue Trough, mainly due



salt and ore deposits, even as Okogbue and Ukpai (2013) and Obiora et al. (2016) had earlier related such mineralization at Abakaliki axis of the trough to point source loading from active and derelict mine sites. But then, due to the dispersed calcareous sediments at the eastern flank of Abakaliki Anticlinorium, the present study traced the pollution units to both continuous non-points (or dispersed) loading sources and the point sources. The study therefore alerted vulnerability of the populace of the region at downstream areas to bioaccumulation of toxin from the water supplies. Apart from identifying the cause of brown colouration of food cooked with water from boreholes, which had remained unknown, this research attributed problematic groundwater development from endemic borehole failures in the area to the groundwater chemistry. Although water hardness controls the chemistry, positive impact was noted as the hard nature limits ion mobility in pipe-borne water supply. The overall negative impacts on the biotic community have integral effects on the economy of Nigeria.

Physiographical, geological and hydrological setting

The studied watershed lies between latitude 6° 20' N and 6° 30' N, and from longitude 8° 00' E to 8° 10' E. The area is located within tropical savannah region of Southeastern Nigeria, characterized by almost equal period of dry and rainy seasons. Annual mean precipitation (rainfall) is as high as 2000 mm (Areola et al. 1992) with monthly mean temperature greater than 18 °C (McKnight and Hess 2000). It supports tall grasses, shrubs cover and scanty, but evergreen tall trees. However, leaves of ephemeral plants (or food crops) are locally yellowish and pale green in some parts of the region. Apart from calcareous sandstones (Fig. 12 in Appendix) locally exposed at various parts of Abakaliki watershed, the area is mainly underlain by low-permeable aquiclude formed by shale bedrock. The shales turn silty at weathered parts, most of which was possibly identified by Adelana et al. (2008) as subordinate siltstones units, all belong to Asu River Group of Albian age. The sediments are fossiliferous (Agumanu 1989) due to the abundance of ammonites like Mortoniceras and Elobiceras spp. (Reyment 1965). Petters (1983) identified calcareous fossils of microspecies like Heterohelix and Hedbergella forams, in addition to palynoflora of dinoflagellate cysts reported in Umeji (2013). Pyroclastics were isolatedly emplaced in almost N-S trend and are mainly quarried for engineering constructions. Heaps of dust from the quarry works and other mine tailings were washed by overland flow as run-off sediments and were drained to Ebonyi River (Fig. 13 in Appendix), whereas some permeate to the groundwater systems with effluent from mine pits and solution cavities.

Methodology

Three traverse locations, namely VES-IP 1, VES-IP 2 and VES-IP 3 (see Fig. 1), were selected for VES-IP survey in order to mirror the subsurface geology from north to south. Measurements were taken across traverse lines in north–south orientations, each stretched to a maximum of about 300 m (i.e. AB/2 = 150 m). The AB is distance between two current electrodes designated as C1 and C2, which were inserted to the ground to inject electrical current into the subsurface. A transmitter (Geomatics-20) received potential differences (ΔV) by two potential electrodes (P1 and P2) separated to the distance, MN between the current electrodes via Schlumberger array (see raw data in Table 5a–c in Appendix). Tomographic model of the traverse line was produced from resistivity aspects (Res 1, Res 2 and Res 3) of the VES-IP survey using IP12 software. Depths to water levels (DWL) were measured from handdug wells (HDWs) using dip meter (Heron model), and the hydraulic heads were analysed as the differences between topographic elevations and the DWL (Ukpai et al. 2016) as shown in Table 6 in Appendix. Twenty water samples were collected at various locations (see Fig. 1) for hydrogeochemical analysis: 6 from HDW, 10 from boreholes (BH) and 4 from surface water (SW). Each sample was filtered into 1-1 bottle rinsed with filtered alliquote using 0.45 micro-membrane filter paper. The samples were transported (in cooled condition after being refrigerated to temperature between 20 and 25 °C) to UNICEF-developed laboratory, Ibadan, Nigeria, for chemical analysis.

Statistical softwares were used to subject the laboratory results to interpretative platforms, like graphs and tables. Irrigation parameters were analysed thus:

Sodium adsorption ratio (SAR) as (Hussain et al. 2010; Seilsepour and Rashidi 2008):

$$SAR = \frac{Na^{+}}{\sqrt{\frac{Ca^{2+} + Mg^{2+}}{2}}}$$
(1)

Percentage sodium (Na%) as (Kacmaz and Nakoman 2010):

$$Na\% = \frac{(Na^{+} + K^{+}) \times 100}{Ca^{2} + Mg^{2} + Na^{+} + K^{+}}$$
(2)

Soluble sodium percentage (SSP) as (Satish et al. 2016):

$$SSP = \frac{Na^{+} \times 100}{Ca^{2} + Mg^{2} + Na^{+}}$$
(3)

Results and discussion

Hydrological relevance of geologic structures

Interpretation of resistivity results with comparative borehole data (Table 1) showed that the shaley bedrock locally changed to baked facie (see Fig. 2). This facie appeared metamorphosed at depth from 5 to 12 m around BH 3 (Fig. 2a). The baking of the shales can be related to regional metamorphism which affected Abakaliki Province (Obiora and Umeji 2004). The metamorphosed facie weathered to permeable unit at the boundary with saturated zone, perhaps due to the influence of water table flux (Fig. 2a, b). Generally the water table was inferred within the fourth geoelectric unit (see Figs. 3a, 4a, 5a) at depths corresponding to minimum value of apparent resistivity curves: 12 m around VES 1 (Fig. 3a), about 10 m at VES 2 (Fig. 4a) and 7.0 m at VES 3 (Fig. 5a). The fourth geoelectric layer was revealed in BH 3 and BH 6 as silty–sandy shale layer (see Fig. 2a, b). Tomographic model (Fig. 2c) discriminated



Survey cod	e ρ_1	ρ_2	ρ_3	ρ_4	P_5	<i>d</i> ₁ (m)	<i>d</i> ₂ (m)	d ₃ (m) c	l ₄ (m)	Borehole data-ba	ased interpretation			
	(m-22)	(m-22)	(m-22)	(m- 75)	(m-22)				. –	Layer 1	Layer 2	Layer 3	Layer 4	Layer 5
Res 1	440	1004	63.4	43.9	822	0.54	1.41	4.7	12.0	Baked shale	Metamorphosed facie	Weathered unit	Silty shale	Fresh and hard shale
Res 2	193	361	15.5	397	918	0.50	1.45	4.2 1	12.2 I	Fissile shale	Weathered (dry)	Silty/clayey shale	Fractured uni	Fresh and hard shale
Res 3	481	121	10.2	15.2	571	0.50	1.45	5.3	9.7 1	Fissile dry shale	Weathered shale	Silty/clayey shale	Fractured uni	Eresh and hard shale
2 - racietiv	tor d= dow	121 4		2	112									

this layer with resistivities ranging from 60 to 100 Ω -m, and it appeared to confine aquiferous unit which reflected resistivities of about 60 Ω -m. Further discriminations with integrated VES-IP parameters indicated the argillaceous unit (silty–sandy shale) with an anomaly formed at the points where lower limit of apparent resistivity curve relatively coincided with the upper limit of the apparent chargeability curve (Figs. 3b, 4b).

Typically, clay minerals reflect low resistivity (Odudury and Mamah 2014) and high chargeability (Parkhomenko 2013; Rehman et al. 2016). Seeing from VES-IP 3, the apparent resistivity and chargeability concurrently decayed to the lowest values of about 7.0 Ω -m and 1.0 ms, respectively (Fig. 5b), forming an anomalous curve from depth of 3 m to about 35 m around adjacent BH 6. The anomaly has been interpreted as isolated aquiferous zone, possibly formed by weathered unit that reflects the maximum resistivity of 60 Ω -m in Fig. 2c. Its alignment towards the surface around Agalegu area at the downstream part of the watershed suggests that the water table is cropping out to fluvial system. Similar hydrological interplay has been suspected along the groundwater divide (Fig. 6) around Ugbonga and Onyikwa areas where the hydraulic heads isolatedly peaked to about 85 m. The hydraulic head at Ugbonga was influenced by topographic elevation which is as high as 90 m (see Fig. 1). At such topographic elevation, curvature of the water table is typically towards the surface to outcrop at the anticlinal edges and discharge the groundwater to fluvial system. This agrees with Condon and Maxwell (2015) who reported that topography can control configurations of water tables. The water table rises locally above the streambed near Onyikwa axis of Ebonyi River, perhaps in response to the high amount of mean annual rainfalls that had characterized the region. This suggests that the river is effluent, particularly in the rainy season. According to Varni et al. (2013), rises in groundwater level are relatable to precipitation (rainfall). Analogically, soon after periods of precipitation, the raised water table creates pressure head gradient that induce base flow from areas of higher hydraulic elevations (or energy heads). The elevated energy heads aligned along the axis of groundwater divide (Fig. 6) and relatively coincided with axis of outcropped silty/weathered shale at upstream region of the watershed. The silty-sandy shale (aquitards) being saturated within the water table (see Fig. 2a, b) absorbs and stores great deal of water (Montgomery 2011), as well as transmit it from one aquifer to another (Fetter 2007). The aquitards sustain regional groundwater flow (Freeze and Cherry 1979; Todds 1980; Kelvin 2005).

Hydrology of dissolved solutes

Environmental concentrations and impacts

Typical calcareous soil is characterized with high rate of infiltration, loss of nutrients through leaching and high pH

مدينة الملك عبدالعزيز KACST للعلوم والتفنية KACST



Fig. 2 Integration of drillers' logs (a, b) with 1-D tomographically correlated resistivity data from VES 1, VES 2 and VES 3 (c)



Fig. 3 a Geoelectric units for VES 1 (Odomoke area) with apparent resistivity curve (inset table shows layer resistivities and depths); b VES-IP curves juxtaposed with adjacent borehole (BH 3) data from Odomoke area

level (Aboukila et al. 2016). So, the assimilation of dissolved solutes from the soil modified the pH to neutral range from 6.97 to 7.96 units (Table 2). Seemingly, the pH setting activated ample mobility of dissolved solutes (ions) and hence the concentrations of total dissolved solutes (TDS) greater than the permissible limit stipulated by WHO (2011) in many areas (Table 2). The areas comprise locations represented in sample 2 (Ishieke), sample 4 (Ugbonga), sample

5 (Odomoke 1) and sample 7 (Egwudinagu 1). Other locations where the physical parameters polluted include: Abofia 1 (sample 15), Ogbaga 1 (samples 18) and Obegu–Aba (sample 19). Such pollution levels exposed the availability of ions in the manner that deteriorates the ecosystem and by implication affects the biota. For instance, the concentration of TDS which reflected high configuration of electrical conductivity (EC) in sample 2 (BH 2) tends towards freshwater





Fig. 4 a Geoelectric units for VES 2 (Abofia area) with the apparent resistivity curve, b VES-IP curves distinguishing geoelectric layers representative lithologies





Fig. 5 a Geoelectric units for VES 3 (Agalegu area) with apparent resistivity curve for depth to the water table, **b** VES-IP curves juxtaposed with adjacent BH 6 data from the Agalegu area. *Note*: Slight

misfit exists between measured depth to water table from the borehole log and the depth marked from apparent resistivity curve

borderline (i.e. 1000 mg/l) and increases into saltwater range (\geq 1000 mg/l) in sample 4 (HDW 2), samples 15 (SW 4) and 19 (BH 10) at downstream. Dispersion of TDS on same trend with the surface and groundwater movements (compare Figs. 6, 7 and Figs. 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 in Appendix) suggests solute transport through movement of water and was described as advection by Domenico and Schwartz (1998). It means that solutes disseminating from run-off and stream sediments, as well as dispersed mine water plumes, were advected through tributaries to Ebonyi River that drains the watershed towards downstream region. Likewise, the groundwater system forms major agent



of advection after the plume had leached into the ground. The advection process therefore exposed vast regions adjoining the watershed to contamination of dissolved solutes.

Dominant trends for cation and anion concentrations as: $Ca^{2+} > Na^+ > Mg^{2+} > K$ and $HCO_3^{2-} > Cl^- > SO_4^{2-}$, respectively (Table 2), showed that ions like calcium (Ca²⁺), sodium (Na⁺) and magnesium (Mg²⁺), bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻), chloride (Cl⁻) and sulphate (SO₄²⁻) constitute major portion of the TDS. Generally, high concentration of HCO₃⁻ in particular infused prevalence of slight acidic–alkaline range of pH in most of the areas characterized by elevated values of TDS (see Table 2). Contents of other major ions are at



Fig. 6 Map showing hydraulic heads, groundwater flow directions/ divide (raw data in "Appendix")

nutritional importance (or within standard limits) except SO_4^{2-} at Obegu–Aba (sample 19). Also, concentrations of minor ions like potassium (K⁺) and nitrate (NO₃⁺) are generally low, particularly NO₃⁺ being much below the permissible limit (see Table 2). The extreme low NO₃⁺ may be related to its reduction by denitrifying micro-organisms (Tischner 2000) which reduce nitrates to dinitrogen (N₂) as shown in Eq. 4, through enzymatic utilization of oxygen component, especially in the neutral pH condition by which the causative organisms thrive well (Li and Shen 1993). This is due to the high oxygen demand of the water resources due to the inherent anoxic condition of fossiliferous sedimentary environment of the entire watershed and the adjoining regions.

$$2NO_{3}^{-} + 10e^{-} + 12H^{+} \rightarrow N_{2} \uparrow + 6H_{2}O$$
(4)

In an anoxic (or oxygen depleted) hydrological system like the watershed, biochemical mechanism (Eq. 4) devastated the concentration of nitrate in the soil and possibly minimized the nutritional value of nitrogen, often required in nitrate form by plants (Xu et al. 2012). Thus, soil water at areas underlain by the fossiliferous sediments across the entire lower Benue Trough is therefore vulnerable to the denitrifying effect, resulting in the lack of nitrate-based nitrogen in food crops. For this reason, it is safe to attribute the pale green and yellowish leaves that blotch some food crops, especially maize (*Zea mays*), rice (*Oryza sativa*) and some vegetable crops at many parts of the region to this effect.

Other constituents of the TDS are some heavy metals, referred as micro-nutrients (Alloway 2013). Typical calcareous soil suffers from the lack of micro-nutrients (FAO 2019), particularly Mn, Zn, Cu, Fe (Marschner 1995) as observed in many parts of the watershed. However, localized concentrations of these elements at level greater than permissible limits (see Table 2) indicate continuous loading from the point sources around the watershed. Manganese (Mn), zinc (Zn) and cobalt (Co) are polluting in borehole (BH 1) around Idembia area, iron (Fe), lead (Pb), chromium (Cr), Zn and Co are polluting in BH 3 (Odomoke area); while Fe pollutes the borehole (BH 4) at Egwudinagu area 1, Zn polluted the surface water supply (SW 2 and SW 4) at Abofia area 2 and Egwudinagu area 2. Fe, Zn, Cr and Co are polluting in BH 10 (Obegu-Aba area). The elevated concentrations of these micro-nutrients exposed livestock and food crops to bioaccumulation of heavy metals (Blowes et al. 2003), even as inhabitants who ingest water supplied from these sources are vulnerable to bioaccumulation of the toxins.

Correlation with geochemical processes

In Table 3, correlative coefficients (x) within the following ranges: 0.439 < x; $0.440 \le x \le 0.559$; and $0.560 \le x \le 1.000$, were interpreted as non-correlatives, partial correlatives and strong correlatives, respectively. The level of confidence for partial correlations was chosen at: $\leq 95\%$ (i.e. less than 5% error or significance level of about 0.05, while the confidence level for strong correlation was selected at: $\leq 99\%$ which is less than 1% error or 0.01 level of significance (Ukpai and Okogbue 2017). Strong correlation between heavy metals, Pb and Zn at 0.961 (see Table 3), that is at 96% showed their association as Pb-Zn Ore. Strong correlation between Pb–Zn and anions except HCO₃ indicates that process relating to weathering of the calcareous shale bedrock is not associated with dissolution of trace metals. Apart from chloride suspectedly contributed mainly from influx of connate water, the evolutions of anions like SO_4^{2-} and heavy metals such as Pb and Zn have been associated with point source loading from mine water via anthropogenic (or mining) activities. This assertion concurs with the fact that mine water releases heavy metals (Blowes et al. 2003) and sulphate ions by the oxidation of sulphur (Li et al. 2018). In contrast, HCO₃ evolved from non-point sources through



S/no	Sample code	Sample areas	Hd	EC (μs/cm)	TDS	Total hardness (mg/l)	Ca ²⁺ (mg/l)	Mg ²⁺ (mg/l)	Na ⁺ (mg/l)	K (mg/l)	Cl ⁻ (mg/l)	SO4 ²⁻ (mg/l)	NO_3^-
1	BH 1	Idembia	7.02	616	410	320	84	31.5	25.5	10.0	27.2	38	1.22
2	BH 2	Ishieke	7.92	1495	966	500	126	50.6	72.3	13.0	58.6	98	1.93
Э	HDW 1	Edomia	7.02	100	67	09	18	4.6	5.0	5.0	10.0	06	0.16
4	HDW 2	Ugbonga	6.98	1122	756	28	80	23	109	14.0	51.2	12	0.2
5	BH 3	Odomoke 1	7.84	1116	748	460	128	40.0	89.1	11.0	76.9	76	12.4
9	SW 1	Odomoke 2	6.97	83	55	120	29	11.8	12.0	4.0	19.9	18	0.33
7	BH 4	Egwudinagu 1	7.06	756	504	340	83	37.8	85.3	8.0	58.1	32	1.03
×	SW 2	Egwudinagu 2	6.99	164	109	132	37	11.4	18.0	5.0	21.0	18	0.4
9	BH 5	Abarigwe	7.03	593	395	296	78	28.6	46.6	5.0	40.1	36	0.87
10	SW 3	Ezze Ohu	7.11	30	20	60	18	3.5	13.7	3.0	17.4	16	0.19
11	HDW 3	Agalegu 1	6.98	43	29	40	11	3.7	11.0	4.0	16.3	30	0.25
12	BH6	Agalegu 2	7.05	662	441	340	88	34.3	33.7	10.0	27.4	20	0.26
13	HDW 4	Ndiabo Ohake	7.03	163	109	130	37	10.9	16.0	5.0	30.2	28	0.22
14	BH 7	Abofia 1	7.01	716	447	348	80	42.3	55.9	12.0	36.8	32	0.67
15	SW 4	Abofia 2	7.01	1649	1105	38	10	3.4	160	3.0	72.1	23	0.28
16	HDW 5	Aghameghu	7.06	461	307	206	61	15.4	16.0	8.0	34.0	26	0.36
17	BH 8	Onyikwa	6.98	92	61	120	27	14.9	19.6	6.0	28.2	38	0.62
18	BH 9	Ogbaga 1	7.12	1054	702	384	90	45.8	77.8	15.0	80.0	70	0.71
19	BH 10	Obegu	7.96	2100	1399	590	161	53.8	52.2	13.0	126.	128	12.0
20	HDW 6	Ogbaga 2	7.02	38	25	99	16.0	7.4	12.1	5.0	16.2	90	0.31
Minimum			6.97	30	20	8.0	38	2.29	5.0	3.0	9.96	6	0.19
Maximum			7.96	2100	1399	160.8	590	53.77	89.1	15.0	126	128	12.4
Mean			7.16	518	343	58.6	228.9	22.70	34.9	7.5	37.9	30.6	1.7
Standard (ba	ised on WHO 201	(1)	6.5-8.5	1000	500	200	100	250	200	200	250	100	50.0
S/no	Sample code	Sample areas	HCO ₃ ⁻ (mg/l)	Fe ²⁺ (mg/l)	Mn ⁺ (mg/l)	Zn ⁺ (mg/l)	Cu ²⁻ (mg/l)	Pb ²⁻ (mg/l)	Cr (mg/l)	Co (mg/l)	SAR	Na%	SSP
1	BH 1	Idembia	300	1.12	0.41	0.013	0.100	0.00	0.011	0.110	0.3	16.3	14.0
2	BH 2	Ishieke	321	0.08	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.60	30.01	23.08
3	HDW 1	Edomia	09	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.33	16.93	14.48
4	HDW 2	Ugbonga	30	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	1.67	82.45	45.19
5	BH 3	Odomoke 1	364	1.74	0.22	0.012	0.110	0.21	0.561	0.051	0.80	40.02	28.58
۲	CW/ 1	Odomobo 1	00	0.01	000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000			1

Table 2 (con	inued)													
S/no	Sample code	Sample areas	HCO ₃ ⁻ (mg/l)	Fe ²⁺ (mg/l)	Mn ⁺ (mg/l)	Zn ⁺ (mg/l)	Cu ^{2–} (mg/l)	Pb ²⁻ (mg/l)	Cr (mg/l)	Co (mg/l)	SAR	Na%	SSP	
7	BH 4	Egwudinagu 1	300	0.53	0.10	0.000	0.000	00.0	0.000	0.000	1.02	51.19	33.85	
8	SW 2	Egwudinagu 2	134	0.01	0.00	0.023	0.000	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.56	28.16	21.97	
6	BH 5	Abarigwe	290	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	00.0	0.000	0.000	0.64	32.11	24.90	
10	SW 3	Ezze Ohu	54	0.00	0.00	0.021	0.000	0.01	0.000	0.000	1.00	49.57	33.14	
11	HDW 3	Agalegu 1	38	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	00.0	0.000	0.000	1.14	56.62	36.15	
12	BH 6	Agalegu 2	344	0.03	0.00	0.000	0.000	00.0	0.000	0.000	0.41	20.24	16.80	
13	HDW 4	Ndiabo Ohake	128	06.0	0.00	0.000	0.000	00.0	0.000	0.000	0.50	25.36	20.22	
14	BH 7	Abofia 1	320	0.08	0.00	0.000	0.000	00.0	0.000	0.000	0.65	32.24	24.67	
15	SW 4	Abofia 2	40	0.90	0.00	0.021	0.000	0.01	0.000	0.000	2.91	102.0	59.34	
16	HDW 5	Aghameghu	200	0.12	0.00	0.000	0.000	00.0	0.000	0.000	0.32	16.04	13.82	
17	BH 8	Onyikwa	80	0.80	0.00	0.000	0.000	00.0	0.000	0.000	0.66	33.07	24.85	
18	BH 9	Ogbaga 1	320	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	00.0	0.000	0.000	0.82	41.06	29.11	
19	BH 10	Obegu	460	0.64	0.23	0.132	0.290	1.98	0.441	0.219	0.32	18.24	15.43	
20	HDW 6	Ogbaga 2	62	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	00.0	0.000	0.000	0.74	37.14	27.08	
Minimum			30	0.01	0.10	0.001	0.100 (0.01	0.011	0.051	0.32	16.3	13.82	
Maximum			460	1.74	0.41	0.132	0.290	1.98	0.561	0.219	2.91	102.0	59.34	
Mean			197	0.22	0.05	0.011	0.025 (0.11	0.051	0.019	I	I	I	
Standard (bas	ed on WHO 201	([]	Nil	0.3	0.4	0.01	1.00 (0.01	0.05	0.002	≥9 [®]	I	> 50 [#]	
Detection lev	el for heavy met.	al=0.001; @ =	Ayers and We	(1994); #=U	Inited States I	Department o	f Agriculture (USDA 1954)						



Fig. 7 Map showing dispersion trend of total dissolved solutes (TDS). *Note*: NW–SE-trending line indicates the groundwater divide

natural (geogenic) interaction of precipitation water with the calcareous sediments (Eq. 5).

 $CaCO_3 + H_2CO_3 \rightarrow Ca^{2+} + 2HCO_3^-$ (Calcareous bedrock) + (rainfall) \rightarrow (calcium ion) + (bicarbonate ion)

Equation 5 is valid because HCO_3^- is the dominant form of carbonate in water resource system of pH range from 6.5 to 9.0 (Freeze and Cherry 1979) being typified in the watershed. Evolution of Ca^{2+} and HCO_3^{-} from Eq. (5) inflicted hardness on the water supplies (Tables 3, 4). Influence of precipitation on the weathering of bedrock was generally deduced from Gibbs graph. As seen in the graph, assemblage of representative samples (i.e. factors) between rock weathering and precipitation segments (Fig. 8) signified chemical dilution of rock minerals by influence of precipitation (Okogbue and Ukpai 2013). It means that percolation of precipitation (rain) water resulted in the chemical weathering via rock dissolutions (Fig. 9). The factors aligning along diagonal axis marked with 1, 5 and 9 in the central portion of the Durov graph (see Fig. 5) denote dissolution process (Lloyd and Heathcote 1985). This geochemical process released groups of ionic concentrations that injected the major hydrogeochemical facies in the water resources of the watershed (Fig. 10).



Evolutional environments: analysis of the hydrogeochemical facies

Subdivisions of diamond portion of trilinear graph (Freeze and Cherry 1979) into eight portions (Ukpai et al. 2016) were separately marked as: A, B, C, D, E, F, G and H (Fig. 9). Each portion represents a compositional category of cations and anions concentrations, described by Kehew (2001) as a hydrogeochemical facie. Four hydrogeochemical facies, namely A, E, F and G, for the respective Ca-HCO₃ facie, Ca-Mg-HCO₃-SO₄ facie, Na-Ca-HCO₃-Cl-SO₄ facie and Na-Cl-HCO₃ facie, were identified within the studied watershed. In hydrochemical sequence of anion mobility, HCO₃ predominates at recharge zone, SO₄²⁻ dominates at intermediate zone, while Cl⁻ is the most abundant anion at discharge zone or even in connate water. For cations, Fetter (2007) reported dominance of Ca and Mg at recharge zone, whereas the dominance of Na and Cl depicts connate water.

Facie **A** comprises BH 6 (Agalegu area 2), whereas BH 1 (Idemia area) and BH 7 (Abofia area 1) overlapped between facies **A** and **E**. The facie type **A** is dominated by hard water genetic ions like Ca^{2+} and HCO_3^{-} which characterized meteoric water with short residence time. The facie appears to have transited from weathered portion of the bedrocks, most of which evolved to form facie type **E**. The facie **E** is massive, constituting about 75% of water resources sampled,

(5)

including SW 3 that overlapped with facie \mathbf{F} (see Fig. 9). These samples cluster within hydrological zone mainly characterized by the composition of Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} and HCO_3^{-} . Because of the enrichment of these ions in the facie, it is safe to describe it as hard water type. This hard nature is important parameter for water users (Rubenowitz-Lundin and Hiscock 2013). Minor presence of $SO4^{2-}$ implies the facie has resided relatively long within the water table as it evolved further away from recharged zone. The facie (or water type) **E** evolved to facie **F** (Na–Ca–HCO₃–Cl–SO₄) by loosing magnesium ion (Mg²⁺) for sodium ion (Na⁺) via ion exchange mechanism, such that in milli-equivalence capacity, Na⁺ dominated the cation concentrations, while content of Cl^{-} surpassed SO_4^{2-} . So, the hard water trait is gradually diminishing in facie F as it is getting enriched with saline characteristic Na and Cl ions. For this reason, this facie can be described as water type from mix hydrological zone where groundwater of meteoric origin flushes connate water surging from deeper subsurface zone. The facie dominated areas at the topographic elevation where the water table apparently outcropped as it configured towards the surface

Table 3	Correlation an	alysis												
	Ca ²⁺	Mg^{2+}	Na^+	K	CI-	SO_4^2 -	NO_3^-	HCO ₃ -	TH	Hd	EC	TDS	Zn	Pb
Ca^{2+}	1	0.0952^{**}	0.791^{**}	0.866^{**}	0.872^{**}	0.853^{**}	0.709^{**}	0.969 **	0.994^{**}	0.787^{**}	0.965^{**}	0.964^{**}	0.473*	0.564^{**}
Mg^{2+}	0.952^{**}	1	0.856^{**}	0.928^{**}	0.827 **	0.798^{**}	0.543*	0.959^{**}	0.980^{**}	0.658^{**}	0.926^{**}	0.922^{**}	0.334	0.435
Na^+	0.791^{**}	0.856^{**}	1	0.752^{**}	0.753**	0.668^{**}	0.517*	0.788^{**}	0.823^{**}	0.596^{**}	0.756^{**}	0.754^{**}	0.113	0.196
\mathbf{K}^+	0.866^{**}	0.928^{**}	0.752**	1	0.761^{**}	0.750**	0.476*	0.871^{**}	0.897^{**}	0.602^{**}	0.863^{**}	0.859^{**}	0.263	0.368
Cl-	0.872^{**}	0.827^{**}	0.753**	0.761^{**}	1	0.917^{**}	0.787 **	0.808^{**}	0.865^{**}	0.780^{**}	0.926^{**}	0.927^{**}	0.661^{**}	0.748^{**}
SO_4^{2-}	0.853^{**}	0.798^{**}	0.668^{**}	0.750^{**}	0.917^{**}	1	0.753**	0.733^{**}	0.844^{**}	0.887^{**}	0.926^{**}	0.928^{**}	0.627^{**}	0.702^{**}
NO_3^-	0.709 **	0.543*	0.517*	0.476*	0.787 **	0.753**	1	0.595^{**}	0.655^{**}	0.829^{**}	0.715^{**}	0.717^{**}	0.683^{**}	0.745^{**}
HCO_{3}^{-}	0.969^{**}	0.959^{**}	0.788^{**}	0.871^{**}	0.808^{**}	0.733^{**}	0.595**	1	0.975^{**}	0.619^{**}	0.904^{**}	0.902^{**}	0.389	0.478*
ΤH	0.994^{**}	0.980^{**}	0.823**	0.897 **	0.865 **	0.844^{**}	0.655 **	0.975^{**}	1	0.753^{**}	0.962^{**}	0.960^{**}	0.427	0.523*
Ph	0.787^{**}	0.658^{**}	0.596^{**}	0.602^{**}	0.780^{**}	0.887^{**}	0.829^{**}	0.619^{**}	0.753^{**}	1	0.840^{**}	0.843^{**}	0.568^{**}	0.632^{**}
EC	0.965^{**}	0.0926^{**}	0.756^{**}	0.863^{**}	0.926^{**}	0.926^{**}	0.715^{**}	0.904^{**}	0.962^{**}	0.840^{**}	1	1.000^{**}	0.587^{**}	0.678^{**}
SQT	0.964^{**}	0.922^{**}	0.754**	0.859^{**}	0.927 **	0.928^{**}	0.717^{**}	0.902^{**}	0.960^{**}	0.843^{**}	1.000^{**}	1	0.589^{**}	0.680^{**}
Zn	0.473*	0.334	0.113	0.263	0.661^{**}	0.627^{**}	0.683^{**}	0.389	0.427	0.568^{**}	0.587^{**}	0.589^{**}	1	0.961^{**}
Pb	0.564^{**}	0.435	0.196	0.368	0.748^{**}	0.702^{**}	0.745**	0.478*	0.523*	0.632^{**}	0.678^{**}	0.680^{**}	0.961^{**}	1
TH total	hardness													
*Correla	tion is signific	ant at the 0.05	i level (partial	correlation)										



**Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (strong correlation)

Water hard	dness (mg/l)		TDS (mg/l)			Electrical	conductivity (us/cm)	
Class	Remark	Affected samples	Class	Remark	Affected samples	Class	Remark	Affected samples	Interpretations
< 75	Soft	HDW 1, HDW 2, HDW 3, SW 4, SW 3, HDW 6	No hazard	< 500	BH 1, HDW 1, SW 1, SW 2, BH 5, SW 3, HDW 3, BH 6, HDW 4, BH 7, HDW 5, BH 8, HDW 6	<250	Excellent (C1)	HDW 1, SW 1, SW 2, SW 3, HDW 3, HDW 4, BH 8, HDW 6	Water that is very safe for all crops
75–150	Moderately hard	ard 2, HDW 4, BH 8	Slightly hazard	500-1000	BH 2, HDW 2, BH 3, BH 4, BH 9	250–750	Good (C2)	BH 1, BH 5, BH 6, BH 7, HDW 5	Detrimental effect may not be easily noticed on crops
150–300	Hard	HDW 5	Hazard	1000–2000	SW 4, BH 10	750–2250	Doubtful (C3)	BH 2, BH 3, BH 4, BH 9, BH 10, SW 4, HDW 2,	Adverse; except on salt tolerated crops
> 300	Very hard	BH 1, BH 2, BH 3, BH 4, BH 5, BH 6, BH 7, BH 9, BH 10	Severe	>2000	NIL	>2250	Unsuitable (C4)	NIL	Harmful to all crops

 Table 4
 Classification of hardness, EC, TDS and the affected samples



Fig. 8 Gibbs graphs showing (influence of precipitation on rock weathering as) the major primary geochemical process





Fig. 9 Durov diagram showing (dissolution as) the secondary geochemical process

to discharge into the fluvial system around Ugbonga (HDW 2) and Onyikwa for BH 8 (see Fig. 9). The occurrence of this facie in these areas has further proved groundwater discharges at the elevated landform axes and hence formed river catchments (see Fig. 1) at both sides of the groundwater divide (see Fig. 6). The salinized groundwater discharges, and in addition to solutes in mine water being drained by run-off, increased the TDS concentrations at downgradient part of Ebonyi River and hence fall into facie type G. Dissolved solutes, mainly Na⁺ and Cl⁻ predominated the hydrogeochemical facie G (Na–Cl–HCO₃) which depicts water type with connate background. The facie type G comprises SW 4 (Abofia axis of Ebonyi River), and with the overlap of the facie type \mathbf{F} represented in HDW 2 (see Fig. 9), it seemed that dominance of facie G at the lower course of Ebonyi River within the watershed was by the aggregation or collections of typical facie F that discharged to the river.

The identification of saline facie **G** thus distinguished saltwater of connate background at Abofia (SW 4) and Ugbonga (HDW 2) areas from salinized water of meteoric background at Ishieke (BH 2) and Obegu (BH 10) areas, all with concentrations of TDS greater than 1000 ± 250 mg/l

(see Table 2). The high TDS in deep groundwater representative boreholes typified meteoric water with long residence time and interpreted in the hydrogeochemical facie E. Also, those of connate background at the near-surface [shallow groundwater from hand-dug well (HDW)] and surface [i.e. surface water (SW)] regimes that constitute facie G are typical of localized salinization of freshwater system by saline connate water that migrated from deeper subsurface. The local migration of connate water agrees with the previous literature in Asu River Group of lower Benue Trough (Ukpai and Okogbue 2017; Tijani et al. 1996; Uma and Lohnert 1992). The sodic facies **F** and **G** exchange Ca^{2+} that flocculated the matrix of weathered bedrock with inherent Na⁺ to cause dispersion of the rock aggregates. Levy and Shainberg (2005) noticed that deflocculation of soil seals the permeability capacity. Predictably, this minimized the rate of infiltration of rainfalls (Horneck et al. 2007) into the water table, thereby hampering the occurrences of groundwater in economic quantity into the local aquifers around the region.





Fig. 10 Piper trilinear graph showing hydrochemical facies and the evolutionary origins

Hazard analyses in the economic sectors

Industrial and domestic economy

Elevated levels of total hardness (TDS) and pollution of some heavy metals at various places (see Table 2) have influenced the potential of the water resources for industrial and domestic supplies. Contents of the TDS are greater than aesthetic limit of 500 mg/l at some parts of the watershed (Table 4). Dissolved Fe and some heavy metals exceeded the permissable limits in places (see Table 2) and hence contributed to the bioavailable portion of the TDS. Blotting of brownish colouration on food cooked with water supplied from some boreholes in the area is relatively attributed to the presence of dissolved iron (Fe) in toxic level. Apart from loosing appetite, cooked food, particularly the "leftover", is mostly wasted as it cannot be preserved under such non-aesthetic outlook. It is suspected that at cooking temperature, diffusion space for the dissolved Fe decreases; as such, the ion diffuses into the food stuff. Okogbue and Ukpai (2013) earlier reported metal poisoning among the people of Abakaliki Province and traced it



to mine effluent that released heavy metal plumes to the water resources. It is thus safe to establish that metals, particularly those analysed like Fe, Mn, Zn, Co, Pb and Cr, are exposed to biota of the region, by either intake of the affected water supply or consumption of food poisoned by the metals.

Generally, about 70% of the water resources have total hardness greater than 100 mg/l (Table 2), comprising 50% from deep groundwater (boreholes), 10% from shallow groundwater (dug wells) and 10% from the surface water regime. Ukpai et al. (2017) had related hardness of water to health damages, such as atopic dermatitis or eczema on human skin through bathing. Miyake et al. (2004) reported that skin damages relating to hardness of water could be more prevalent in children than adults. It means therefore that soft or young skins are vulnerable to inflammations due to the use of hard water. Irrespective of the economic burdens related to utilization of hard water (Sultana et al. 2018) in laundry and domestic services, it protects metal pipes from corrosion and hence prevents concentrations of heavy metals into pipe-borne water supply circulated to the populace of Abakaliki municipal. The increase in temperature due to global warming (Akpodiogaga and Ovuyovwiroye 2010), however, affects slight rise in temperature of water resources, thereby subjecting those characterized by hardness to precipitation (scaling) and subsequent encrustation and clogging of water gadgets and fittings. Thus, it is safe to attribute clogging of household water fittings, as well as the encrusting nature of metal (bathing) buckets and kitchen utensils like kettles to distributions of water supplies from facies **A** and **E**. In the same way, traditional failures of boreholes in the area have even been noted and correlated with prevalence of the hydrogeochemical facie **E**. This is because water supplied from this facie caused the encrustation of ions, which clogged water passage fittings, including slot sizes of the borehole screens, resulting in the mechanical failure.

Agricultural economics

The salinity hazard was evaluated based on the configuration of TDS and EC (Hussain et al. 2010). Salt precipitated by the migrated saline water at the soil zone has direct impacts pertaining building of osmotic pressure between the soil water and plant roots (Munns et al. 2002). The pressure reverses movement of water from plants to the soil and hence results in physiological drought condition (Kelvin 2005). This condition drains water from food crops in such a manner that cause growth inhibition and possible death (Fujiyama and Magara 2002). Aquatic biota can also be affected by the salinity hazard, especially at TDS greater than 1000 mg/l (Nielsen et al. 2003). This must be the case of aquatic biomass at the lower course of Ebonyi River around Abofia area 2 (see Fig. 1). It means that water supplies either from this side of the river or even from some boreholes like BH 10 cannot be used in aquaculture.

Results of sodium adsorption ratio (SAR), sodium percentage (Na%) and soluble sodium percentage (SSP) (see Table 2) were deduced from Eqs. 1 to 3 for the analysis of sodium hazard. As given in the table, SAR ranges from 0.32 to 2.91. According to Fetter (2007), the range of SAR from 2 to 10 can cause minor injury to food crops. SAR values from 3 to 9 lie between low and high, which is medium class (Avers and West 1994) and can cause slight injuries to food crops (FAO 1985). As given in Table 2, lower limits of these ranges were identified in sample 15 (SW 4), representing the lower course of Ebonyi River around Abofia area 2. As given in Table 2, strong sodium percentage (Na%) up to 82% and about 100% was observed in the respective samples 4 (HDW 2) and 15 (SW 4). Graphical correlation of the Na % and EC (Wilcox 1955) showed that while sample HDW 2 (Ugbonga) is somewhat good (Fig. 11), maybe to salt-tolerant crops, it is slightly hazardous in terms of TDS (Table 4). More so, the graph indicates that sample SW 4 (Abofia area 2) falls within permissible doubtful range (Fig. 11), but still hazardous with respect to TDS (Table 4). Yet, in general, the configuration of EC (μ s/cm) showed that the two representative samples and others like BH 2-BH 4 and BH 9-BH 10 would have adverse effect except on salt-tolerant crops (Table 4). Water supply from areas represented by these samples must cause soil aggregates to deflocculate and looses its stability via dispersion. Soil dispersion aggravates erosion (Levy and Shainberg 2005) and hence may have contributed to localized losses of agronomic crops.





Soluble sodium percentage (SSP) with minimum value of 13.82 and maximum of 59.34 (see Table 2) seems to be moderate; however, the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA 1954) had stipulated water supply with SSP values up to 50 as unacceptable. The Abofia area 2 (SW 4) falling above the limit further confirmed that Ebonyi River at the downstream axis of the watershed is salinized. Thus, decline per capital rice production which resulted in low economic profitability across Ebonyi State (Martins Library 2011) can be attributed to salinization of the rice farms and hence the low output per area around the major rice-producing Abaka-liki Province of the state. Typical saline water hampers the mobility of NO₃ in soil water and hence could also be attributed to low nitrate in the area, for which the soil lacks NO₃-based nitrogen that stimulates growth of rice seedlings.

"Treat before use" programme should be the best approach to rehabilitating water supply from affected portion of the river channelled to farmland for aquaculture and irrigation purposes. For qualitative groundwater supply, "pump and treat" plan should be initiated at the affected boreholes. Routine monitoring and maintenance of the borehole screens in the area will reduce failures and invigorate quantitative water supply to economic sectors. Desalination using gypsum should be part of these treatment schemes, especially at the catchment axis.

Conclusions

Calcareous bedrock, mainly shales and intercalated sandstones, underlies the studied region, even as the sediments sandwich localized ore deposits. Apart from the mineralization of the bedrocks, migration of connate water was identified in some places and has constituted to elevated concentration of TDS in some places. Anthropogenic (mining) activities and geogenic processes such as chemical weathering, as well as precipitation of salt from connate water regime, are means through which the solutes evolved into the soil zone (weathered bedrock). The weathered layer somewhat interconnecting and dominated by argillaceous silty and sandy shale formed aquitards that transmitted groundwater slowly to various local aquifers underneath, as well as discharged them to fluvial system. By this means, the TDS advected (transported) and has produced varieties of hydrogeochemical facies. Hard water dominated the facies, although limited migration of heavy metals into pipe-borne water supply, but induced negative impacts on the economy of the region, particularly in groundwater development from borehole failures. Other facies evolving from the connate water induced salinity and sodium hazards, especially at the downstream part of the region, and hence exposed farmlands, even those outside the studied watershed to hazards. Seemingly, these hazards have endangered food crops and aquatic biota, leading to economic challenges, particularly in



the decreasing tonnage of rice produced and exported from the region in recent times. Except for the remedial approach, water resources at downstream part of the watershed, especially from Ebonyi River, cannot be used for economic activities due to salinization. Generally, the biomass of the region is vulnerable to bioaccumulation of toxins from polluting iron (Fe) and heavy metals: Pb, Zn, Cr and Co. These attributes contributed to the economic burdens of the populace, spanning from metal poisoning from ingestion of polluted food and water, to inadequate potable water supply for the economic activities due to borehole failures. The localized nature of aquifers (formed in isolated fracture zones) across the consolidated sedimentary terrane limited the estimation of migration rate of the dissolved solute pollutants from recharge to discharge zones. Reconstruction of the present and past temperatures of the groundwater, as well as the residence time, were not estimated due to limitations based on lack of equipments that measure noble gas concentrations and the concentration extents of radioactive isotopes of water. This would have assisted in proffering solutions for the management of the groundwater resource of the watershed.

Acknowledgements The authors are indebted to Dr. Ekwe Amobi of Department of geophysics, Alex Ekueme Federal University, Alike Ikwo, Nigeria, for the analysis of geophysical data; Mr. Tijani Aliyu of Hydrogeochemical Laboratory, Ibadan, Nigeria, for geochemical analysis; and Mr. Ugwoke Tochukwu, A.S. of Seimology Department, IDSL and BGP/CNPC, Base Camp, Kolmani 3D BNT prospect, Pindiga, Gombe State, Nigeria, for the statistical analysis. Our sincere appreciation goes to the editorial crew and erudite reviewers of Applied Water Sciences for inestimable contributions that enhanced the worth of the manuscript for public domain.

Funding There are no funding source and no financial or non-financial support with regard to this study.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Appendix

See Figs. 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and Tables 5 and 6.



Fig. 12 Calcareous sandstone near Ebonyi State University Permanent site (1 km south of Odomoke area)



Fig. 14 Ebonyi River at high stage around Ekeberigwe–Egwudinagu axis









Fig. 15 Map showing dispersion of lead





Fig. 16 Map showing dispersion of zinc. Note: Perpendicular line indicates groundwater divide





Fig. 17 Map showing dispersion of manganese. Note: Perpendicular line indicates groundwater divide





Fig. 18 Map for dispersion of chromium. Note: Perpendicular line indicates groundwater divide





Fig. 19 Dipersion map for cadmium. Note: Perpendicular line indicates groundwater divide





Fig. 20 Map for the dispersion of cobalt. Note: Perpendicular line indicates groundwater divide





Fig. 21 Map showing the dispersion trend of chloride ion. Note: Perpendicular line indicates groundwater divide





Fig. 22 Map showing the dispersion trend of sodium ion. Note: Perpendicular line indicates groundwater divide





Fig. 23 Dispersion of dissolved iron. Note: Perpendicular line indicates groundwater divide





Fig. 24 Map showing the dispersion trend of EC. Note: Perpendicular line indicates groundwater divide





Fig. 25 Map showing the dispersion trend of total hardness (TH). Note: Perpendicular line indicates groundwater divide



Table 5 (a) Geophysical data from Odomoke area for Fig. 6b, (b) geophysical data from Abofia area for Fig. 7b, (c) geophysical data fromAgalegu area for Fig. 8b

No of resistiv- Spacing Data Synthetic Difference	
· · · · · ·	
ity/IP data $\overline{AB/2}$ (m) MN (m) $\overline{Apparent resistivity}$ Chargeability (ms) $\overline{\Omega}$ -m ms $\overline{Resistivity}$ (%)	Chargeability (ms)
(a)	
1 1 0.25 558.1 1.1 3276.0 3.6 -110.3	-2.4
2 1 0.25 502.6 0.9 3274.0 3.6 -117.2	-2.7
3 2 0.25 510.9 0.8 3241.5 3.6 -114.5	-2.8
4 3 0.50 410.4 3.1 3093.2 3.7 -653.8	-0.6
5 5 0.50 260.5 2.6 2822.8 3.8 -983.5	-1.2
6 5 1.00 282.5 2.9 2818.6 3.8 -897.7	-0.9
7 7 1.00 85.0 5.5 2329.4 4.3 *****	1.2
8 10 1.00 242.9 -2.4 1585.0 5.7 -552.7	-8.2
9 10 1.00 31.5 13.0 1570.7 5.8 *****	7.2
10 15 2.00 76.0 5.7 754.4 12.3 -892.1	-6.6
11 20 2.00 229.5 7.4 426.1 24.4 -85.7	-17.0
12 25 2.00 1.00 40.5 328.8 34.4 *****	6.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.3
14 35 5.00 273.3 32.8 317.7 37.0 -16.2	-4.2
15 35 2.00 475.5 98.3 317.8 37.1 33.2	61.2
16 40 5.00 386.1 13.1 329.4 35.4 14.7	-22.3
17 50 5.00 730.8 13.1 352.9 31.6 51.7	- 18.5
18 60 500 2842 139 3721 284 -302	-14.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-0.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30.1
20 60 5.00 152.0 55.0 55.0 25.5 10.7 21 90 5.00 836.7 10.8 409.4 21.6 51.1	- 10.8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- 18.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	14.3
23 150 5.00 641.7 54.4 41.7 20.1 55.0 24 150 10.00 579.9 12.3 441.7 15.2 23.8	-29
Location: N 6° 21′ 42″: E 8° 8′ 05″ (Abofia area). Array type: Schlumberger Lavered model	
No of resistiv- Spacing Data Synthetic Difference	
ity/IP data $\frac{1}{AB/2}$ (m) MN (m) $\frac{1}{Apparent resistivity}$ Chargeability (ms) $\frac{1}{\Omega-m}$ ms $\frac{1}{Resistivity}$ (%)	Chargeability (ms)
(b)	
1 1 0.25 222.6 0.5 129.3 2.2 41.9	-1.6
2 1 0.25 207.7 0.8 127.6 2.2 38.6	-14
3 2 0.25 1795 10 1247 2.2 305	-11
4 3 0.05 379.7 1.4 108.9 2.2 71.3	-0.8
5 5 100 771 03 883 23 -279	-20
$6 \qquad 5 \qquad 100 \qquad 556 \qquad -0.1 \qquad 87.9 \qquad 2.3 \qquad -14.0$	-23
7 7 100 352 21 630 20 -148	-0.8
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-12
10 2.00 35.2 4.5 44.4 5.5 -20.0	- 1.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-3.0
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	- 3.0 - 2.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	=2.7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-0.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	38.0



Table 5 (continued)

Location: N 6°	21′ 42″; E 8°	8' 05" (Abofia	a area). Array type: Schl	umberger	Layered	model		
No of resistiv-	Spacing		Data		Syntheti	с	Difference	
ity/IP data	AB/2 (m)	MN (m)	Apparent resistivity (Ω-m) as Res 2	Chargeability (ms)	Ω-m	ms	Resistivity (%)	Chargeability (ms)
15	35	2.00	12.3	25.2	71.8	17.6	-481.6	7.6
16	40	5.00	86.2	13.7	80.7	17.6	6.4	-3.9
17	50	5.00	97.9	9.9	98.4	17.3	-0.5	-7.4
18	60	5.00	73.8	5.4	115.5	17.0	-56.5	-11.7
19	70	5.00	156.3	9.0	132.0	16.8	15.6	-7.8
20	80	5.00	736.5	29.2	147.8	16.5	79.9	12.7
21	90	5.00	1206.1	-27.3	163.0	16.3	86.5	-43.6
22	100	10.00	737.0	21.1	177.5	16.1	75.9	5.0
23	100	5.00	686.4	23.1	177.7	16.0	74.1	7.1
24	150	10.00	500.5	17.3	243.4	15.0	15.4	2.3
Location: N 6°	21′ 30″; E 8°	03' 01" (Agal	egu). Array type: Schlur	mberger	Layered	model		
No of resistiv-	Spacing		Data		Synthetic	c	Difference	
ity/IP data	AB/2 (m)	MN (m)	Apparent resistivity $(\Omega-m)$ as Res 3	Chargeability (ms)	Ω-m	ms	Resistivity (%)	Chargeability (ms)
(c)								
1	1	0.25	315.0	0.1	201.7	3.1	36.0	-3.0
2	2	0.25	140.5	-0.3	146.7	3.0	-4.4	-3.3
3	3	0.05	52.4	0.5	96.2	3.0	-83.2	-2.5
4	5	1.00	30.0	0.3	22.8	2.4	24.0	-2.1
5	5	1.00	15.0	-29.2	8.7	1.5	42.2	- 30.7
6	7	1.00	3.0	1.0	6.3	1.1	- 106.5	-0.1
7	10	2.00	27.3	-1.2	6.5	1.4	76.0	-2.6
8	10	2.00	15.8	3.0	6.6	1.4	58.6	1.6
9	15	2.00	17.3	23.9	8.0	2.1	53.6	21.8
10	20	2.00	64.8	-19.2	9.9	2.7	84.7	-21.9
11	25	2.00	182.7	11.9	11.9	3.2	93.5	8.7
12	30	2.00	116.3	11.5	13.9	3.8	88.0	0.7
13	35	5.00	80.0	35.2	11.9	4.2	80.2	31.0
14	35	2.00	127.7	11.0	11.8	4.2	87.6	6.8
15	40	5.00	36.7	-90.7	17.7	4.6	51.8	-95.3
16	50	5.00	202.8	-23.3	21.2	5.4	89.5	-28.7
17	60	5.00	88.8	12.1	24.4	6.1	72.5	6.0
18	70	5.00	11.3	16.6	27.5	6.7	-142.3	9.9
19	80	5.00	138.1	22.6	30.2	7.4	78.1	15.2
20	90	5.00	473.0	12.7	32.9	7.9	93.1	4.8
21	100	10.00	198.8	17.9	35.2	84	82.3	9.5
22	100	5.00	73	14.8	35.2	84	380.1	64
23	150	10.00	1213.8	62	45.3	10.7	96.3	5.5



Table 6 R	Results of	the	water	level	measurement
-----------	------------	-----	-------	-------	-------------

Well no	Location name	Coordinates	Depth to water level (m)	Elevation (m)	Hydraulic head (m) or height of water from MSL
1	Edomia	6° 24' 27" N; 8° 2' 36" E	1.0	71	70.2
2	Ugbonga	6° 25′ 59″ N; 8° 3′ 21″ E	3.3	90	86.7
3	Onyikwa 1	6° 23' 25" N; 8° 7' 33" E	8.0	57	49
4	Aboffia 1	6° 20′ 25 N; 8° 7′ 15″ E	5.9	49	43.1
5	Aboffia 2	6° 20′ 20″ N; 8° 7′ 24″ E	6.4	54	47.6
6	Ishieke 1	6° 22′ 44″ N; 8° 1′ 45″ E	2.0	26	24
7	Ishieke 2	6° 22′ 47″ N; 8° 1′ 44″ E	1.3	72	70.7
8	Ishieke 3	6° 22′ 46″ N; 8° 1′ 43″ E	1.0	70	69.2
9	Idemia	6° 24′ 10″ N; 8° 1′ 00″ E	2.6	73	70.4
10	Abarigwe	6° 27' 01" N; 8° 1' 00" E	4.9	75	70.3
11	Idemia 3	6° 22′ 38″ N; 8° 1′ 45″ E	1.1	52	50.9
12	Idemia 4	6° 22′ 41″ N; 8° 1′ 47″ E	2.9	68	65.1
13	Onyikwa 2	6° 22′ 46″ N; 8° 7′ 11″ E	3.0	70	94
14	Ogbaga	6° 22′ 31″ N; 8° 6′ 52″ E	8.7	98	89.3
15	Y	6° 27' 00" N; 8° 9' 01" E	13.3	70.5	57.2
16	Obegu–Aba 1	6° 22′ 1″ N; 8° 6′ 36″ E	1.0	48	47
17	Obegu–Aba 2	6° 22′ 23″ N; 8° 6′ 43″ E	1.0	49	48
18	Odomoke	6° 28' 25" N; 8° 5' 49" E	3.0	60	57

Y = Location name not ascertained

References

- Aboukila EF, Nassar IN, Rashad M, Hafez M, Norton JB (2016) Reclamation of calcareous soil and improvement of squash growth using brewers' spent grain and compost. J Saudi Soc Agric Sci 17:390–397
- Adelana SMA, Olasehinde PI, Bale RB, Verbka P, Edet AE, Goni IB (2008) An overview of the geology and hydrogeology of Nigeria.
 In: Adelana S, McDonald A (eds) Applied groundwater studies in Africa, vol 13. IAH Selected Papers on Hydrogeology, CRC press, Taylor & Francis, pp 171–198
- African Ministers' Council on Water (AMCOW 2011) Water supply and sanitation in Nigeria – turning finance into services for 2015 and beyond: an AMCOW Country Status Overview
- Agumanu AE (1989) The Abakaliki and the Ebonyi formations: subdivisions of the Albian Asu river group in the southern Benue trough, Nigeria. J Afr Earth Sci (Middle East) 9(1):195–207. https ://doi.org/10.1016/0899-5362(89)90021-3
- Akpodiogaga P, Ovuyovwiroye O (2010) General overview of climate change impact in Nigeria. J Hum Ecol 29(1):47–55. https://doi. org/10.1080/0p709274.2010.11906248
- Alloway BJ (2013) Heavy metals and metalloids as micronutrients for plants and animals. In: Alloway B (ed) Heavy metals in soils. Environmental pollution, vol 22. Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-94-007-4470-7_7
- Areola O, Iruegbu O, Ahmed K, Adeleke B, Leong GC (1992) Certificate of physical and human geography for secondary schools. University Press PLC, Ibadan
- Ayers RS, West DW (1994) Water quality for agriculture, vol 29. FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper, Rome
- Blowes DW, Ptacek CJ, Jambor JL, Weisener CG (2003) Geochemistry of acid mine drainage. In: Lollar BS (ed) Treatise on

geochemistry, vol 9. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 149–204. https:// doi.org/10.1016/80-08-043751-6/09137-4

- Condon LE, Maxwell RM (2015) Evaluating the relationship between topography and groundwater using outputs from a continentalscale integrated hydrology model. Water Resour Res 51:6602– 6621. https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR016774
- Domenico PA, Schwartz FW (1998) Physical and chemical hydrogeology. Wiley, New York
- FAO (2013) Guidelines to control water pollution from agriculture in China. FAO of the United Nations, Rome. Water report 40: irrigation and drainage paper 55, p 197
- FAO (2019) FAO soils portal: management of calcareous soils. http:// www.fao.org/soil-portal/soil-management/managementofsom eproblems-soil-calcareoussoil. Accessed 07/05/19
- Fetter CW (2007) Applied hydrogeology, 2nd edn. CBS Publishers, New Delhi
- Food and agriculture organization (FAO) (1985) Water quality for agriculture. Food and Agriculture Organization, Rome
- Freeze AR, Cherry JA (1979) Groundwater. Prentice Hall Inc, Englewood Cliff
- Fujiyama H, Magara Y (2002) Water quality and standards. Salinization of soils, vol 2. UNESCO-Encyclopedia of Life Support Systems (EOLSS). http://www.eolss.net/Eolss-sample.aspx. Accessed 05/05/2019
- Horneck DS, Ellsworth JW, Hopkins BG, Sullivan DM, Stevens RG (2007) Managing salt-affected soils for crop production. PNW 601-E. Oregon State University, University of Idaho, Washington State University, Corvallis, p 24
- Hussain G, Alquwaizan A, Al-Zarah A (2010) Guidelines for irrigation water quality and water management in the kingdom of Saudi Arabia: an overview. J Appl Sci 10(2):79–96



- Kacmaz H, Nakoman ME (2010) Evaluation of shallow groundwater quality for irrigation purposes in the Koprubasi Uranium Area, Manisa, Turkey. Balk Water Obs Inf Syst 25:1–9
- Kehew AE (2001) Applied chemical hydrogeology. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River
- Kelvin MH (2005) Hydrogeology (principles and practice). Blackwell, Oxford
- Levy GJ, Shainberg I (2005) Encyclopedia of soil in the environment. Sodic Soils. https://doi.org/10.1016/B0-12-3485304/00218-6
- Li X, Shen G (1993) Pollution from freshwater aquaculture. In: Guidelines to control water pollution from agriculture in China. FAO water report 40, pp 87–97
- Li Q, Wu P, Zha X, Li X, Wu L, Gu S (2018) Effects of mining activities on evolution of water chemistry in coal-bearing aquifers in karst region of Midwestern Guizhou, China: evidences from δ^{13} C of dissolved inorganic carbon and δ^{34} S of sulfate. Environ Sci Pollut Res 25(18):18038–18048. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11356-018-1969-3
- Lloyd JW, Heathcote JA (1985) Natural inorganic hydrochemistry in relation to groundwater: an introduction. Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York
- Marschner H (1995) Mineral nutrition of higher plants, 2nd edn. Academic Press, London
- Martins Library (2011) Economic analysis of rice production in Ebonyi State, Agric Economics and Extension. http://martinslib rary.blogspot.com. Accessed 20/03/2019
- McKnight TL, Hess D (2000) "Climate zones and types". Physical geography: a landscape appreciation. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River
- Miyake Y, Yokoyama T, Yura A, Iki M, Shimizu T (2004) Ecological association of water hardness with prevalence of childhood atopic dermatitis in a Japanese urban area. Environ Res 94:33–37
- Montgomery CW (2011) Environmental geology, 9th edn. McGraw Hill, New York. ISBN 978-0-07-122204-4
- Munns R, Husain S, Rivelli AR, Richard AJ, Condon AG, Megan PL, Evans SL, Schachtman DP, Hare RA (2002) Avenues for increasing salt tolerance of crops, and the role of physiologically based selection traits. Plant Soil 247:93–105
- National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) (2011) Annual abstract of statistics: (on population census of 2006). Federal Republic of Nigeria
- National Water Policy (NWP) (2004) National water resource master plan [from 1995]. Federal Republic of Nigeria, p 28
- Nielsen DL, Brock MA, Rees GN, Baldwin DS (2003) Effects of increasing salinity on freshwater ecosystems in Australia. Aust J Bot 51:655–665
- Obiora SC, Umeji AC (2004) Petrographic evidence for regional burial metamorphism of the sedimentary rocks in the Lower Benue Rift. J Afr Earth Sci 38:269–277
- Obiora SC, Chukwu A, Toteu SF, Davies TC (2016) Assessment of heavy metal contamination in soils around lead–zinc mining areas in Enyigba, Southeastern Nigeria. J Geol Soc India 87:453–462
- Odudury P, Mamah L (2014) Integration of electrical resistivity and induced polarization, for subsurface imaging around Ihe Pond, Nsukka, Anambra Basin, Nigeria. Pac J Sci Technol 15:306–317
- Oha IA, Onuoha KM, Nwegbu AN, Abba AU (2016) Interpretation of high resolution aeromagnetic data over southern Benue Trough, southeastern Nigeria. J. Earth Syst Sci 125:369–385
- Ojoh KA (1992) The Southern part of the Benue Trough (Nigeria) cretaceous stratigraphy, basin analysis, paleo-oceanography and geodynamic evolution in the equatorial domain of the South Atlantic. Niger Assoc Pet Explor Bull 7(2):131–152
- Okogbue CO, Ukpai NS (2013) Evaluation of trace element contents in groundwater in Abakaliki Metropolis and around the abandoned mine sites in the southern part, Southeastern, Nigeria. Environ Earth Sci 70:3351–3362

- Parkhomenko EI (2013) Electrification phenomena in rocks. Springer, Berlin
- Petters SW (1983) Littoral and anoxic facies in the Benue Trough. Bull Cent Explor Prod Elf-Aquitaine 7(1):361–365
- Rehman F, Abouelnaga H, Harbi H, Cheema T, Ali HA (2016) Using a combined electrical resistivity imaging and induced polarization techniques with the chemical analysis in determining of groundwater pollution at Al Misk Lake, Eastern Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. Arab J Geosci. https://doi.org/10.1007/s1251 7-016-2423-9
- Reyment RA (1965) Aspects of the geology of Nigeria. The stratigraphy of the Cretaceous and Cenozoic deposits. Ibadan University Press, Ibadan
- Rubenowitz-Lundin E, Hiscock KM (2013) Water hardness and health effects. In: Selinus O (ed) Essentials of medical geology. Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-94-007-4375-5_14
- Satish KV, Amarender B, Dhakate R, Sankaran S, Kumar KR (2016) Assessment of groundwater quality for drinking and irrigation use shallow hard rock aquifer of Pudunagaram, Palakkad District, Kerala. Appl Water Sci 6:149–167. https://doi. org/10.1007/s13201-014-0214-6
- Seilsepour M, Rashidi M (2008) Prediction of soil cation exchange capacity based on some soil physical and chemical properties. World Appl Sci 3:200–205
- Subramani T, Elango L, Damodarasamy SR (2005) Groundwater quality and its suitability for drinking and agricultural use in Chithar River Basin, Tamil Nadu, India. Environ Geol 47:1099–1110
- Sultana R, Salahuddin M, Ahmad MN (2018) Economic impact assessment of brackish groundwater in Kirana Hills Region, District. Int J Econ Environ Geol 9(3):19–24
- Tijani MN, Loehnert EP, Uma KO (1996) Origin of saline groundwaters in Ogoja area, lower Benue Trough, Nigeria. J Afr Earth Sci 23(2):237–252
- Tijani MN, Crane E, Upton K, O Dochartaigh BÉ, Bellwood-Howard I (2018) Africa groundwater atlas: hydrogeology of Nigeria. British Geological Survey. http://earthwise.bgs.ac.uk/index.php/
- Tischner R (2000) Nitrate uptake and reduction in higher and lower plant. Plant Cell Environ 23(10):1005–1024. https://doi.org/10.1 046/i.1365-3040.2000.00595
- Todd DK (1980) Groundwater hydrology. Wisely, New York
- Ukpai SN, Okogbue CO (2017) Geophysical, geochemical and hydrological analyses of water-resource vulnerability to salinization: case of the Uburu-Okposi salt lakes and environs, southeast Nigeria. Hydrogeol J 25(7):1997–2014. https://doi. org/10.1007/s10040-017-1604-2
- Ukpai SN, Nnabo PN, Eze HN (2016) Groundwater facie analysis in the upper Cross River Basin, southeast Nigeria. Environ Earth Sci 75:1345. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-016-6139-7
- Ukpai SN, Ezeh HN, Igwe JO (2017) Aquifer prospect and vulnerability of Upper Maastrichtian sandstones: case of Ajali and Nsukka Formations in the Northern Enugu Province, southeastern Nigeria. J Afr Earth Sci 135:96–107. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2017.08.014
- Uma KO, Lohnert EP (1992) Research on the saline groundwater in the Benue Trough, Nigeria. Preliminary results and projections. Zbl Geol Pal J Stuttg 1991(11):2751–2756
- Umeji AC (2000) Evolution of the Abakaliki and the Anambra basins, Southeastern Nigeria, A Report submitted to the Shell Petroleum Development Company Nigeria Ltd, p 155
- Umeji O (2013) The south and central Benue Trough; stratigraphic revisions. In: Ashano EC, Ogezi AE (eds) Proceedings of University of Jos PTDF chair endowment fund seminar. University of Jos, PTDF EMC, pp 145–181



- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) (1954) Salinity Laboratory (U.S. Department of Agriculture HandBook). US Govt., Printing Office, Washington, DC
- Varni M, Comas R, Weinzettel P, Dietrich S (2013) Application of water table fluctuation method to characterize the groundwater recharge in the Pampa plain, Argentina. Hydrol Sci J 58(7):1445–1455
- Wilcox LV (1955) Classification and use of irrigation water, vol 969. USDA, Circular, Washington, DC, USA, p 19
- Winter TC, Harvey JW, Franke OL, Alley WM (1998) Groundwater and surface water: a single resource. USGS circular 1139. United States Printing Office, Colorado, p 79
- World Health Organization (WHO) (2011). Hardness in drinking-water. Background document for development of guidelines for drinkingwater quality, 4th edn. WHO, Geneva. ISBN: 9789241564199
- Xu G, Fan X, Miller AJ (2012) Plant nitrogen assimilation and use efficiency. Annu Rev Plant Biol 63:153–182. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-arplant-042811-105532

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

