Correction to: Changes in arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi between young and old *Vitis* roots

Eric Vukicevich^{1,2} · D. Thomas Lowery² · David Eissenstat³ · Miranda Hart¹

Published online: 13 February 2019
© Springer Nature B.V. 2019

Correction to: Symb

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13199-019-00598-3>

The original version of this article unfortunately contained an error in Fig. 1.

The y-axis labels for Fig. 1b and d are switched. The correct figures are shown here.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13199-019-00598-3>

✉ Eric Vukicevich
ericvuke@gmail.com

¹ Department of Biology, University of British Columbia – Okanagan, 3187 University Way, Kelowna, BC V1V 1V7, Canada

² Summerland Research and Development Centre, Agriculture and Agri-food Canada, 4200 Highway 97 South, Summerland, BC V0H 1Z0, Canada

³ Department of Ecosystem Science and Management, Penn State University, 201 Forest Resources bldg., University Park, PA 16802, USA

Fig. 1 **a** Total colonization was greater in old roots (grey boxes) than in young roots (white boxes) ($P < 0.01$). **b** Proportion of AM fungal structures that were arbuscules was greater in young versus old roots ($P = 0.02$). **c** Proportion of AM fungal structures that were arbuscules was greatest in vines grown with soil from groundcover of native grass plus forbs (NGF) ($P = 0.01$). **d** Proportion of AM fungal structures that

were intra-radical storage organs (ISO) was also greater in old versus young roots ($P = 0.03$). “*” and “**” indicate significant differences between root types at ($P < 0.05$) and ($P < 0.01$), respectively. Letters in (c) indicate significant ($P < 0.05$) differences among treatments. Treatments abbreviations are: EG, exotic grasses; EGL, exotic grasses plus forbs; NG, native grasses; NGF, native grasses plus forbs

The original article has been corrected.