Correction to: TransAction: A Homegrown, Theory-Based, HIV Risk Reduction Intervention for Transgender Women Experiencing Multiple Health Disparities

Cathy J. Reback^{1,2,3} · Kirsty Clark⁴ · Jesse B. Fletcher¹

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2019

Correction to: Sexuality Research and Social Policy

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13178-018-0356-7>

The original version of this article unfortunately contained a mistake in Table 3. Thus, this correction is to fix this error, providing the correct Table.

In the body “Table 3 provides adjusted associations between intervention attendance and these same sexual partnering and risk behavior outcomes, adjusted for participant sociodemographics and repeated measures over time ($n = 512$). Increased attendance in TransAction intervention sessions was associated with significant reductions in the number of male sexual partners (ARR = -0.20 ; $p \leq 0.001$), anonymous male sexual partners (ARR = -0.30 ; $p \leq 0.01$), exchange male sexual partners (ARR = -0.25 ; $p \leq 0.001$), drug/alcohol use (AOR = -0.37 ; $p \leq 0.001$), injection drug use (AOR = -0.20 ; $p \leq 0.05$), unmonitored injection hormone use (AOR = -0.55 ; $p \leq 0.001$), sex while under the influence of alcohol/drugs (AOR = -0.23 ; $p \leq 0.001$), and engagement in sex work (AOR = -0.20 ; $p \leq 0.001$). All multivariate models demonstrated

a significantly good fit to the data (all $\chi^2(6) > 25.5$; $p < 0.001$).” should be changed to “Table 3 provides adjusted associations between intervention attendance and these same sexual partnering and risk behavior outcomes, adjusted for participant sociodemographics and repeated measures over time ($n = 512$). Increased attendance in TransAction intervention sessions was associated with significant reductions in the number of male sexual partners (neg. bin. coef. = -0.20 ; $p \leq 0.001$), anonymous male sexual partners (neg. bin. coef. = -0.30 ; $p \leq 0.01$), exchange male sexual partners (neg. bin. coef. = -0.25 ; $p \leq 0.001$), drug/alcohol use (logit coef. = -0.37 ; $p \leq 0.001$), injection drug use (logit coef. = -0.20 ; $p \leq 0.05$), unmonitored injection hormone use (logit coef. = -0.55 ; $p \leq 0.001$), sex while under the influence of alcohol/drugs (logit coef. = -0.23 ; $p \leq 0.001$), and engagement in sex work (logit coef. = -0.20 ; $p \leq 0.001$). All multivariate models demonstrated a significantly good fit to the data (all $\chi^2(6) > 25.5$; $p < 0.001$).”

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13178-018-0365-6>

✉ Cathy J. Reback
reback@friendsresearch.org

¹ Friends Research Institute, Inc., 1419 La Brea Ave, Los Angeles, CA 90028, USA

² Integrated Substance Abuse Programs, Semel Institute for Neuroscience and Human Behavior, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

³ Center for HIV Identification, Prevention and Treatment Services, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

⁴ Department of Epidemiology, Fielding School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Table 3 Adjusted negative binomial and logistic regressions of participant risk behaviors on intervention visit (n = 512)

	# Male Sexual Partners ^{a,b}		# Anonymous Male Sexual Partners ^{a,b}		# Exchange Male Sexual Partners ^{a,b}		Engagement in Sex Work ^{a,c}	
	Neg. Bin. Coef.	95% CI	Neg. Bin. Coef.	95% CI	Neg. Bin. Coef.	95% CI	Logit Coef.	95% CI
Intervention Visit (1 thru 4)	-0.20***	-0.30; -0.09	-0.25**	-0.44; -0.06	-0.25***	-0.38; -0.12	-0.20***	-0.32; -0.09
	Injection Drug Use ^{a,c}		Unmonitored Injection Hormone Use ^{a,c}		Sex While High ^{a,c}		Drug/Alcohol Use ^{a,c}	
	Logit Coef.	95% CI	Logit Coef.	95% CI	Logit Coef.	95% CI	Logit Coef.	95% CI
Intervention Visit (1 thru 4)	-0.20*	-0.40; 0.00	-0.55***	-0.82; -0.27	-0.23***	-0.33; -0.12	-0.37***	-0.48; -0.25

Logit Coef. = Coefficient from logistic regression; Neg. Bin. Coef. = Coefficient from negative binomial regression

^a Statistical Controls: Racial/Ethnic Identity, Age, HIV Status^b Cross-sectional time-series negative binomial regression (Recall period: Past 30 days)^c Cross-sectional time-series logistic regression

*p ≤ 0.05; **p ≤ 0.01; ***p ≤ 0.001