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#### Abstract

We study actions of multiplicative subgroups of Clifford algebras on Riemann surfaces. We show that every Klein surface of algebraic genus greater than 1 is isomorphic to the orbit space of such an action. We obtain linear representations of fundamental groups of Klein surfaces by using the spinor representations of Clifford algebras.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathrm{Cl}_{n-t, t}$ be a Clifford algebra associated with a vector space $V=K^{n}$ for $K=\mathbb{R}$ or $K=\mathbb{C}$ and a quadratic form $Q_{t}$ defined by

$$
Q\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left(x_{1}^{2}+\ldots+x_{t}^{2}\right)-\left(x_{t+1}^{2}+\ldots+x_{n}^{2}\right) \text { for }\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in V
$$

We will identify vectors of $V$ with their images in $\mathrm{Cl}_{n-t, t}$. A multiplicative subgroup $M_{n-t, t}$ of $\mathrm{Cl}_{n-t, t}$ generated by an ortogonal basis of $V$ is called a base group. Let $M_{n-t, t}^{+}=M_{n-t, n} \bigcap \mathrm{Cl}_{n-t, t}^{+}$for the subalgebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{n-t, t}^{+}$preserved by an automorphism of $\mathrm{Cl}_{n-t, t}$ which maps $v$ to $-v$ for all $v \in V$.

We prove that for any Klein surface $Y$ of algebraic genus $d \geq 2$ there are actions of base groups $G_{t}=M_{d+1-t, t}$ for $t=0,1$ on a Riemann surface $X$ of genus $g=1+2^{d+1}(d-1)$ such that the orbit space $X / G_{t}$ is isomorphic to $Y$. The surface $Y$ has a double cover $Y^{+}$being a Riemann surface. We show that for a proper Klein

[^0]surface $Y$ an action of $G_{t}$ can be defined in such a way that $Y^{+}$is isomorphic to the orbit space $X / G_{t}^{+}$for $G_{t}^{+}=M_{d+1-t, t}^{+}$. Let $\pi_{Y}$ and $\pi_{Y^{+}}$be the fundamental groups of $Y$ and $Y^{+}$. Using the spinor representation of a complex Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{d, 1}$ for an odd $d$ and spinor representation of complex algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{d+1,0}^{+}$for an even $d$ we obtain linear representations $\rho: \pi_{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gl}\left(2^{m}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ and $\rho: \pi_{Y^{+}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gl}\left(2^{m}, \mathbb{C}\right)$, respectively, for $m=\frac{d+d_{(2)}}{2}$ and $d_{(2)} \in\{0,1\}$ such that $d_{(2)} \equiv d \bmod 2$.

## 2 Preliminaries

This chapter contains elementary information needed to understand the paper. Sections on tensor algebras, exterior algebras, Clifford algebras and their spinor representations are based on books [6, 7]; sections on NEC groups and Klein surfaces are based on the book [3].

### 2.1 Tensor algebras and external algebras

Let $V$ and $W$ be vector spaces over a field $K$. The tensor product of $V$ and $W$ is a $K$-vector space $V \otimes W$ with a bilinear map $j: V \times W \rightarrow V \otimes W$ such that for every $K$-vector space $Z$ and every bilinear map $f: V \times W \rightarrow Z$ there is a unique linear map $\bar{f}: V \otimes W \rightarrow Z$ for which $f=\bar{f} \circ j$.

Vectors $v \otimes w=j(v, w)$ for $v \in V$ and $w \in W$ are called elementary tensors. If $V$ and $W$ are finite dimensional and $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{1}^{n}$ and $\left\{w_{i}\right\}_{1}^{m}$ are their basis, respectively, then the set

$$
\left\{v_{i} \otimes w_{j}: 1 \leq i \leq n \text { and } 1 \leq j \leq m\right\}
$$

is a basis of $V \otimes W$. So $\operatorname{dim} V \otimes W=\operatorname{dim} V \cdot \operatorname{dim} W$.
The tensor product of a finite family of $K$-vector spaces $\left\{V_{i}\right\}_{1}^{n}$ is defined as

$$
\otimes_{i=1}^{n} V_{i}=\left(\ldots\left(\left(V_{1} \otimes V_{2}\right) \otimes V_{3}\right) \otimes \ldots\right) \otimes V_{n}
$$

In the case when $V_{1}=\ldots=V_{n}=V$ the tensor product $\otimes_{i=1}^{n} V_{i}$ is denoted by $V^{\otimes n}$ and $V^{\otimes 0}=K$.

A multiplication of tensors is a bilinear map $V^{\otimes n} \times V^{\otimes m} \rightarrow V^{\otimes n+m}$ which to each pair of tensors $t_{1}=v_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n} \in V^{\otimes n}$ and $t_{2}=w_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes w_{m} \in V^{\otimes m}$ assigns tensor

$$
t_{1} \cdot t_{2}=v_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n} \otimes w_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes w_{m} \in V^{\otimes n+m}
$$

The tensor algebra of a $K$-vector space $V$ is a direct sum $T(V)=\oplus_{n \geq 0} V^{\oplus n}$ with the multiplication defined by

$$
\left(\sum_{p} t_{p}^{1}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{q} t_{q}^{2}\right)=\sum_{s}\left(\sum_{p+q=s} t_{p}^{1} \cdot t_{q}^{2}\right)
$$

for $\sum_{p} t_{p}^{1}, \sum_{q} t_{q}^{2} \in T(V)$.
An endomorphism $A \in \operatorname{End}\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)$ defined by the formula

$$
A\left(v_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_{n}} \operatorname{sgn} \sigma v_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{\sigma(n)}
$$

for $t=v_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n} \in V^{\otimes n}$ is called antisymmetrization. The vector space $\bigwedge^{n} V=$ $A\left(V^{\otimes n}\right)$ is called the $n$-th exterior power of $V$ and $\bigwedge^{0} V$ denotes the field $K$.

Vector $t=A\left(v_{1} \otimes \ldots \otimes v_{n}\right)$ is denoted by $v_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{n}$. If $v_{i}=v_{j}$ for some $i \neq j$, then $t=0$. Thus $\operatorname{dim} \bigwedge^{n} V=0$ for $n>\operatorname{dim} V$. Moreover, for any permutation $\tau \in S_{n}$,

$$
v_{\tau(1)} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{\tau(n)}=\operatorname{sgn} \tau v_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{n}
$$

Consequently, if $\operatorname{dim} V=k$ and $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{1}^{k}$ is a basis of $V$, then the set

$$
\{1\} \cup\left\{v_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge v_{i_{n}}: 1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{n} \leq k\right\}
$$

is a basis of $\bigwedge^{n} V$ and

$$
\operatorname{dim} \bigwedge^{n} V=\frac{k!}{n!(k-n)!} .
$$

The exterior algebra of a vector space $V$ of dimension $k$ is the direct sum $\bigwedge V=$ $\oplus_{n=0}^{k} \bigwedge^{n} V$ with the multiplication defined by

$$
t \wedge t^{\prime}=A\left(t \otimes t^{\prime}\right) \text { for } t, t^{\prime} \in \bigwedge V
$$

This algebra is the quotient of the tensor algebra $T(V)$ modulo the ideal generated by tensors $v \otimes v$ for all $v \in V$. Moreover, $\operatorname{dim} \bigwedge V=\sum_{n=0}^{k}\binom{k}{n}=2^{k}$.

### 2.2 Clifford algebras

Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional vector space over a field $K$. A quadratic form is a function $Q: V \rightarrow K$ such that

$$
Q(\alpha v)=\alpha^{2} Q(v) \text { for all } v \in V \text { and } \alpha \in K
$$

and the mapping $B: V \times V \rightarrow K$ defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left[Q\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right)-Q\left(v_{1}\right)-Q\left(v_{2}\right)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a bilinear form. It is said that the quadratic form $Q$ is nondegenerate, if for every $0 \neq v \in V$ there exists $w \in V$ such that $B(v, w) \neq 0$.

The Clifford algebra associated with $V$ and $Q$ is an associative algebra $C l(V, Q)$ over $K$ together with a linear map $j: V \rightarrow C l(V, Q)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(j(v))^{2}=Q(v) \cdot 1 \text { for all } v \in V \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for every algebra $A$ over $K$ and every linear map $f: V \rightarrow A$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f(v))^{2}=Q(v) \cdot 1_{A} \text { for all } v \in V \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

there is a unique algebra homomorphism $\bar{f}: C l(V, Q) \rightarrow A$ for which $f=\bar{f} \circ j$. Linear maps $f: V \rightarrow A$ satisfying the condition (3) are called Clifford maps. It is said that the Clifford algebra is universal for Clifford maps.

Any two Clifford algebras associated with $V$ and $Q$ are isomorphic. The Clifford algebra $C l(V, Q)$ can be seen as the quotient of the tensor algebra $T(V)$ modulo the ideal generated by elements of the form $v \otimes v-Q(v) \cdot 1$ for all $v \in V$.

To simplify the notation, from now on we will write $v$ instead of $j(v)$ for $v \in V$. By Eqs. (1) and (2) we have

$$
2 B(v, w)=Q(v+w)-Q(v)-Q(w)=(v+w)^{2}-v^{2}-w^{2}=v w+w v
$$

what implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v w+w v=2 B(v, w) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{1}^{n}$ be an ortogonal basis of $V$ with $B\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)=0$ for $i \neq j$. Then the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{1\} \cup\left\{v_{i_{1}} v_{i_{2}} \cdots v_{i_{l}}: 1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{l} \leq n\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a basis of $C l(V, Q)$, where 1 denotes the multiplicative unit of $\mathrm{Cl}(V, Q)$ being the image of $1 \in K$ under the projection $T V \rightarrow C l(V, Q)$.

The subalgebra of $C l(V, Q)$ generated by 1 and all elements $v_{i_{1}} v_{i_{2}} \cdots v_{i_{l}}$ of above basis with even $l$ is denoted by $C l(V, Q)^{+}$. This subalgebra is fixed by an automorphism of $C l(V, Q)$ which maps $v$ to $-v$ for all $v \in V$. We have $\operatorname{dim} C l(V, Q)=2^{n}$ and $\operatorname{dim} C l(V, Q)^{+}=2^{n-1}$. If $Q \equiv 0$, then $C l(V, Q)$ is isomorphic to the exterior algebra $\bigwedge V$. Otherwise, $C l(V, Q)$ and $\bigwedge V$ are isomorphic only as vector spaces.

### 2.3 Spinor representation of a Clifford algebra

Let $V$ be a finite-dimensional vector space over a field $K$ and let $Q$ be a non-degenerate quadratic form on $V$. A subspace W of $V$ is called a totally isotropic subspace, if $Q(w)=0$ for all vectors $w \in W$. In the case when $W$ does not contain any non-zero vector $w$ with $Q(w)=0$, it is said that W is an anisotropic subspace. A subspace $W^{\prime}$ of $V$ is called ortogonal to $W$, if $B\left(w, w^{\prime}\right)=0$ for all $w \in W$ and $w^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$, where $B$ is a bilinear form associated with $Q$ by the formula (1).

There exists a Witt decomposition of V into a direct sum of three subspaces $V=$ $W \oplus U \oplus T$ such that $W$ and $U$ are maximal totally isotropic subspaces of the same
dimension $m$, while T is anisotropic and orthogonal to $W \oplus U$. Moreover, for any basis $\left\{w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right\}$ of $W$, there exists a basis $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m}\right\}$ of $U$ such that $B\left(w_{i}, u_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j}$, where $\delta_{i j}$ are the Kronecker symbols. If the field $K$ is algebraically closed, then $T$ has dimension 1 or 0 according to whether $n=\operatorname{dim} V$ is odd or even, respectively.

First assume that $n$ is even and $V=W \oplus U$. The set

$$
\mathrm{Cl}(V, Q) f=\{a f: a \in \mathrm{Cl}(V, Q)\} \text { for } f=w_{1} \cdots w_{m}
$$

is a subalgebra of $\mathrm{Cl}(V, Q)$ generated by

$$
\{f\} \bigcup\left\{u_{i_{1}} \cdots u_{i_{k}} f: 1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{k} \leq m\right\}
$$

Since $\left.Q\right|_{U} \equiv 0$, it follows that the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}\left(U,\left.Q\right|_{U}\right)$ is isomorphic to the external algebra $\bigwedge U$ which is spanned by the set

$$
\mathcal{B}=\{1\} \bigcup\left\{u_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{i_{k}}: 1 \leq i_{1}<\ldots<i_{k} \leq m\right\}
$$

Let $\varphi: \bigwedge U \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(V, Q) f$ and $\psi: \mathrm{Cl}(V, Q) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\mathrm{Cl}(V, Q) f)$ be given by

$$
\varphi\left(u_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{i_{k}}\right)=u_{i_{1}} \cdots u_{i_{k}} f \text { for } u_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{i_{k}} \in \mathcal{B}
$$

and

$$
\psi(a)(b f)=a b f, \text { for } a, b \in \mathrm{Cl}(V, Q),
$$

respectively. Then the assignments

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \rightarrow \eta(a)=\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi(a) \circ \varphi \text { for } a \in \mathrm{Cl}(V, Q) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

define an isomorphism $\eta: \mathrm{Cl}(V, Q) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\bigwedge U)$ called the spinor representation of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(V, Q)$.

In the case when $K$ is algebraically closed and $n=\operatorname{dim} V=2 m+1$, the Witt decomposition of $V$ has the form $V=W \oplus U \oplus \operatorname{Lin}\left(v_{0}\right)$ for a subspace $\operatorname{Lin}\left(v_{0}\right)$ spanned by a vector $v_{0} \in V$ ortogonal to $V^{\prime}=W \oplus U$ with $Q\left(v_{0}\right) \neq 0$. The mapping $Q^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow K$ defined by the formula

$$
Q^{\prime}(v)=-Q\left(v_{0}\right) Q(v) \text { for } v \in V^{\prime}
$$

is a nondegenerate quadratic form on a vector space $V^{\prime}$ of even dimension $2 m$. Thus by the previus case there exists an isomorphism $\eta: \mathrm{Cl}\left(V^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\bigwedge U)$. A linear map $f: V^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(V, Q)^{+}$defined by

$$
f(v)=v_{0} v \text { for } v \in V^{\prime}
$$

is a Clifford map because $f(v)^{2}=-Q\left(v_{0}\right) Q(v) \cdot 1=Q^{\prime}(v) \cdot 1$. Since algebra $\mathrm{Cl}\left(V^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right)$ is universal for Clifford maps, there exists a unique algebra homomorphism
$\bar{f}: \mathrm{Cl}\left(V^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(V, Q)^{+}$such that $f=\bar{f} \circ j$ for $j: V^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}\left(V^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right)$. The homomorphism $\bar{f}$ is an isomorphism because it is injective and

$$
\operatorname{dimCl}(V, Q)^{+}=2^{\operatorname{dim} V-1}=2^{\operatorname{dim} V^{\prime}}=\operatorname{dimCl}\left(V^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right)
$$

An isomorphism $\mu=\eta \circ \bar{f}^{-1}: \mathrm{Cl}(V, Q)^{+} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\bigwedge U)$ is called the spinor representation of the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(V, Q)^{+}$.

### 2.4 Non-Euclidean-crystallographic groups (NEC groups)

An NEC group is a discrete in the topology of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ subgroup $\Lambda$ of the group $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathcal{H})$ of isometries of the hyperbolic plane $\mathcal{H}$ with compact orbit space $\mathcal{H} / \Lambda$. If an NEC group $\Lambda$ is contained in the group $\operatorname{Aut}^{+}(\mathcal{H})$ of orientation preserving isometries, then it is called a Fuchsian group. Otherwise, it is said that $\Lambda$ is a proper NEC group and $\Lambda^{+}=\Lambda \cap$ Aut $^{+}(\mathcal{H})$ is called the canonical Fuchsian subgroup of $\Lambda$.

The basics of NEC group theory were developed by Wilkie [15], Macbeath [8] and Natanzon $[10,11]$. The algebraic structure of an NEC group $\Lambda$ is given by the so-called signature which has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\Lambda)=\left(g ; \pm ;\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right] ;\left\{\left(n_{1,1}, \ldots, n_{1, s_{1}}\right), \ldots,\left(n_{k, 1}, \ldots, n_{k, s_{k}}\right)\right\}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number $g$ is called the orbit genus, the integers $m_{i}$ are said to be proper periods, the brackets ( $n_{i, 1}, \ldots, n_{i, s_{i}}$ ) are called period cycles and the integers $n_{i, j}$ are the link periods of $\Lambda$. The set of proper periods may be empty as well as the set of period cycles. In addition, an individual period-cycle may be empty too. For example, the signature $(g ;+;[-] ;\{-\})$ has no proper periods and no period cycle; the signature $(g ;-;[m] ;\{(-),(-)\})$ has one proper period $m$ and two empty period cycles. A Fuchsian group can be regarded as an NEC group with the signature $\left(h ;+;\left[m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right] ;\{-\}\right)$, usually shortened to $\left(h ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{t}\right)$.

If there is a sign + in the signature $\sigma(\Lambda)$, then the presentation of $\Lambda$ consists of generators $a_{i}, b_{i}(i=1, \ldots, g), x_{i}(i=1, \ldots, r), c_{i j}, e_{i}(i=1, \ldots, k, j=$ $1, \ldots, s_{i}$ ) and the relations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i}^{m_{i}}=1, & i=1, \ldots, r, \\
c_{i j-1}^{2}=c_{i j}^{2}=\left(c_{i j-1} c_{i j}\right)^{n_{i j}}=1, & i=1, \ldots, k, j=1, \ldots, s_{i}, \\
e_{i} c_{i 0} e_{i}^{-1}=c_{i s_{i}}, & i=1, \ldots, k \\
x_{1} \cdots x_{r} e_{1} \cdots e_{k}\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \cdots\left[a_{g}, b_{g}\right]=1 . &
\end{array}
$$

If there is a sign - in the signature $\sigma(\Lambda)$, then we just replace the generators $a_{i}, b_{i}$ by $d_{i}(i=1, \ldots, g)$ and the last relation by

$$
x_{1} \cdots x_{r} e_{1} \cdots e_{k} d_{1}^{2} \cdots d_{g}^{2}=1
$$

The last relation in the presentation of $\Lambda$ will be called long relation.

The generators $a_{i}, b_{i}$ are hyperbolic, $d_{i}$ are glide reflections, $x_{i}$ are elliptic, $e_{i}$ are hyperbolic or elliptic, and $c_{i j}$ are reflections.

Any generators of an NEC group satisfying the above relations are called canonical generators.

In [8] Macbeath proved the following
Theorem 2.1 Let $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ be NEC groups with signatures (7) and

$$
\sigma\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)=\left(g^{\prime} ; \pm ;\left[m_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{r^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right] ;\left\{\left(n_{1,1}^{\prime}, \ldots, n_{1, s_{1}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right), \ldots,\left(n_{k^{\prime}, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, n_{k^{\prime}, s_{k^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right)
$$

respectively. Let $P_{i}=\left(n_{i, 1}, \ldots, n_{i, s_{i}}\right)$ and $P_{i}^{\prime}=\left(n_{i, 1}^{\prime}, \ldots, n_{i, s_{i}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ be the period cycles in $\sigma(\Lambda)$ and $\sigma\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$, respectively. Then $\Lambda$ and $\Lambda^{\prime}$ are isomorphic if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
(i) the sign in $\sigma(\Lambda)$ is the same as in $\sigma\left(\Lambda^{\prime}\right)$,
(ii) $g^{\prime}=g, r=r^{\prime}$ and $k=k^{\prime}$,
(iii) $\left(m_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{r^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right)$ is a permutation of $\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right)$,
(iv) there is a permutation $\varphi$ of $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $s_{i}=s_{\varphi(i)}^{\prime}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$.
(v) if the sign is " + " then either for each $i, P_{i}^{\prime}$ is a cyclic permutation of $P_{\varphi(i)}$ or for each $i, P_{i}^{\prime}$ is a cyclic permutation of the inverse of $P_{\varphi(i)}$; if the sign is " - ", then for each $i$ either $P_{i}^{\prime}$ is a cyclic permutation of $P_{\varphi(i)}$ or is a cyclic permutation of the inverse of $P_{\varphi(i)}$.

There is a closed subset $E \subset \mathcal{H}$ associated with an NEC group $\Lambda$, called a fundamental region of $\Lambda$. It has the property that for every $z \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\lambda(z) \in E$ and this $\lambda$ is unique if $\lambda(z) \in \operatorname{Int} E$. The hyperbolic area $\mu(\Lambda)$ of $E$ depends only on the signature of $\Lambda$. If $\sigma(\Lambda)$ is given by (7), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\Lambda)=2 \pi\left[\alpha g+k-2+\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-\frac{1}{m_{i}}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{s_{i}}\left(1-\frac{1}{n_{i, j}}\right)\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha=1$ or $\alpha=2$ according to whether the $\operatorname{sign}$ in $\sigma(\Lambda)$ is - or + , respectively (e.g. [5, 14]). An abstract group with an algebraic structure determined by a signature (7) is an NEC group if and only if the right hand of (8) is positive.

If $\Gamma$ is a finite index subgroup of an NEC group $\Lambda$, then it is an NEC group itself and there is a Hurwitz-Riemann formula, which says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\Lambda: \Gamma]=\frac{\mu(\Gamma)}{\mu(\Lambda)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.5 Klein surfaces

An NEC group with a signature

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=(\gamma ; \pm ;[-] ;\{(-), . . . .,(-)\}) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called a surface NEC group. The orbit space of the hyperbolic plane $\mathcal{H}$ under the action of this group is a surface of topological genus $\gamma$ with $k$ boundary components which is orientable or non-orientable according to whether the sign + or - occurs in the signature. The integer $\alpha \gamma+k-1$ is called the algebraic genus of the surface, where $\alpha=2$ in the orientable case and $\alpha=1$ in the non-orientable case.

A Klein surface is a compact topological surface equipped with a dianalitic structure. A Riemann surface can be seen as an orientable Klein surface without boundary. Preston proved in [12] that any Klein surface $Y$ of algebraic genus $d \geq 2$ is an orbit space $\mathcal{H} / \Gamma$ for some surface NEC group $\Gamma$ with the signature (10).

Alling and Greenleaf [1] constructed certain double cover $Y^{+}$of $Y$ being a Riemann surface. If $\Gamma$ is a proper NEC group, then $Y^{+} \simeq \mathcal{H} / \Gamma^{+}$for the canonical Fuchsian subgroup $\Gamma^{+}<\Gamma$ with the signature $(d ;+;[-] ;\{-\}$ ) which consists of all preserving automorphisms of $\Lambda$. If $Y$ is an orientable surface without boundary, then $Y^{+}$consists of two connected components $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ with different analytic structures each one homeomorphic to $Y$ and there is an anticonformal isomorphism from $Y_{1}$ to $Y_{2}$.

By Proposition 3 of May in [9], an automorphism group of $Y=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma$ is isomorphic to the quotient group $\Lambda / \Gamma$ for some NEC group $\Lambda$ containing $\Gamma$ as a normal subgroup. So an action of a finite group $G$ on a Klein surface of algebraic genus $d \geq 2$ is associated with a short exact sequence of homomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda \xrightarrow{\theta} G \rightarrow 1 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $\Lambda$ is an NEC group and $\Gamma$ is a surface NEC group isomorphic to the fundamental group of the surface. This action is denoted by $(\Lambda, \theta, G)$.

If there does not exist another NEC group containing $\Lambda$ properly, then $\Lambda$ is called a maximal NEC group and $G=\Lambda / \Gamma$ is the full automorphism group of the Klein surface. The detailed exposition of maximality can be found in [4].

A signature $\sigma$ is called maximal, if for every NEC group $\Lambda^{\prime}$ with a signature $\sigma^{\prime}$ containing an NEC group $\Lambda$ with the signature $\sigma$ and having the same Teichmüller dimension, the equality $\Lambda=\Lambda^{\prime}$ holds. For any maximal signature $\sigma$, there exists a maximal NEC group with the signature $\sigma$. The lists of non-maximal signatures are given in [2, 4].

## 3 Clifford actions on Riemann surfaces

### 3.1 The maximal base subgroups of Clifford algebras

We start this chapter by introducing a notation that will be valid throughout the entire paper. Let $t$ and $n$ be two integers such that $0 \leq t<n$. $\mathrm{By} \mathrm{Cl}(n-t, t)$ we denote the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(V, Q)$ associated with a vector space $V=K^{n}$ for $K=\mathbb{R}$ or $K=\mathbb{C}$ and a quadratic form $Q_{t}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{t}(v)=\left(x_{1}^{2}+\ldots x_{t}^{2}\right)-\left(x_{t+1}^{2} \ldots+x_{n}^{2}\right) \text { for } v=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in V \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the same symbols for vectors of $V$ and their images in the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(n-t, t)$. There is an automorphism of $\mathrm{Cl}(n-t, t)$ which maps $v$ to $-v$ for all $v \in V$. The subalgebra of $\mathrm{Cl}(n-t, t)$ fixed by this automorphism will be denoted by $\mathrm{Cl}(n-t, t)^{+}$.

Let $\mathcal{B}=\left\{v_{p}\right\}_{1}^{n}$ be the canonical basis of $V$ such that $v_{p}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, where $x_{p}=1$ and $x_{i}=0$ for $i \neq p$. By the base group of the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(n-t, t)$ we mean its multiplicative subgroup $M_{n-t, t}$ generated by $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$.

Lemma 3.1 For $n>1$, the base group $M_{n-t, t}$ of the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(n-t, t)$ has order $2^{n+1}$.

Proof $\operatorname{In} \mathrm{Cl}(n-t, t)$ an element $v_{p}$ satisfies the relation $v_{p}^{2}=Q_{t}\left(v_{p}\right) \cdot 1$ what implies that $v_{p}^{2}=1$ for $p=1, \ldots, t$ and $v_{p}^{2}=-1$ for $p>t$. Moreover, for $p \neq r$, we have $v_{p} v_{r}+v_{r} v_{p}=2 B_{t}\left(v_{p}, v_{r}\right)=0$, where $B_{t}$ is the bilinear form associated with $Q_{t}$. Thus $v_{p} v_{r} v_{p}^{-1}=-v_{r}$. The element -1 is central in the group $M_{n-t, t}$ and the quotient $M_{n-t, t} /\langle-1\rangle$ is an abelian group generated by $n$ elements of order 2 what implies that $\left|M_{n-t, t}\right|=2^{n+1}$.

By the proof of Lemma 3.1 we get the relations in the group $M_{n-t, t}$ which will be used later in the paper.

Corollary 3.2 The generators $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ of the group $M_{n-t, t}$ for $t=0,1$ satisfy the following relations:
$t=1: v_{1}^{2}=1, v_{p}^{4}=1, v_{p} v_{1} v_{p}^{-1}=v_{1} v_{p}^{2}$ for $p>1$,
$v_{q}^{2}=v_{p}^{2}$ for $1<p, q \leq n$ and $v_{q} v_{p} v_{q}^{-1}=v_{p}^{-1}$ for $p \neq q$,
$t=0: v_{p}^{4}=1, v_{p}^{2}=v_{q}^{2}$ for $1 \leq p, q \leq n$ and $v_{q} v_{p} v_{q}^{-1}=v_{p}^{-1}$ for $p \neq q$.

### 3.2 Clifford actions defining Klein surfaces

An action of the base group $M_{n-t, t}$ on a Riemann surface $X$ of genus $g \geq 2$ will be called a ( $n-t, t, g$ )-Clifford action. This action is full if $M_{n-t, t}$ is the group of all automorphisms of $X$. We restrict our attention to Clifford actions for which the orbit space $X / M_{n-t, t}$ is isomorphic to a Klein surface $Y$ of algebraic genus $d>1$. In this case we will say that $Y$ is definable by the ( $n-t, t, g$ )-Clifford action.

Let $M_{n-t, t}^{+}=\mathrm{Cl}(n-t, t)^{+} \bigcap M_{n-t, t}$. The orbit space $Y^{\prime}=X / M_{n-t, t}^{+}$is a double cover of $Y$ which will be called the Clifford double cover defined by the ( $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{g}$ )Clifford action.

Theorem 3.3 Every Klein surface $Y$ of algebraic genus $d \geq 2$ is definable by a ( $n-$ $t, t, g)$-Clifford action for $g=1+2^{d+1}(d-1), n=d+1$ and $t=0$, 1 . If $Y$ is a proper Klein surface except a sphere with three boundary components, then the Clifford double cover defined by this Clifford action is isomorphic to the canonical double cover of $Y$ and in the exceptional case this is true only for $t=0$. Moreover, for any Klein surface of genus $d>3$, there exists a full ( $n-t, t, g$ )-Clifford action defining a Klein surface homeomorphic to $Y$.

Proof Assume that $Y$ is a Klein surface of algebraic genus $d \geq 2$ with $k$ boundary components. Then $Y$ is isomorphic to the orbit space of the hyperbolic plane $\mathcal{H}$ under
the action of a surface NEC group $\Lambda$ with a signature $\sigma$ given by (10) in which the sign is + or - according to whether $Y$ is orientable or not, respectively. To simplify the notation we will write " $\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)=+$ " or " $\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)=-$ ". In the first case $\Lambda$ is generated by hyperbolic elements $a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{\gamma}, b_{\gamma}$ and reflections $c_{1,0}, \ldots, c_{k, 0}$ and connecting generators $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k}$ which satisfy the relations $\left[e_{i}, c_{i, 0}\right]=1$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ and

$$
\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \cdots\left[a_{\gamma}, b_{\gamma}\right] e_{1} \cdots e_{k}=1
$$

In the second case there are generating glide reflections $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{\gamma}$ instead of hyperbolic generators and the long relation has the form

$$
d_{1}^{2} \cdots d_{\gamma}^{2} e_{1} \cdots e_{k}=1
$$

Let $M_{n-t, t}$ be the base group of the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{n-t, t}$ for $t=0,1$ and $n=d+$ $1=\alpha \gamma+k$, where $\alpha=2$ or $\alpha=1$ according to whether $" \operatorname{sign}(\sigma)=+$ " or $" \operatorname{sign}(\sigma)=-"$, respectively. In order to find an action of the group $M_{n-t, t}$ on a Riemann surface for which the orbit space is isomorphic to $Y$ we need to find a smooth epimorphism $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow M_{n-t, t}$ for which $\Gamma=\operatorname{ker} \theta$ is a torsion free Fuchsian group. Then $M_{n-t, t} \simeq \Lambda / \Gamma$ is an automorphism group of the Riemann surface $X=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma$. By the Hurwitz-Riemann formula, $X$ has genus $g=1+2^{d+1}(d-1)$ and

$$
X / M_{n-t, t} \simeq(\mathcal{H} / \Gamma) /(\Lambda / \Gamma) \simeq \mathcal{H} / \Lambda \simeq Y
$$

The preimage $\Lambda^{\prime}=\theta^{-1}\left(M_{n-t, t}^{+}\right)$is a subgroup of $\Lambda$ with index 2 and the orbit space $X / M_{n-t, t}^{+} \simeq \mathcal{H} / \Lambda^{\prime}$ is a double cover of $Y$.

Using the relations listed in Corollary 3.2, we will define an epimorphism $\theta$ : $\Lambda \rightarrow M_{n-t, t}$ for which the long relation is preserved and all generating reflections of $\Lambda$ are mapped to elements of order 2 and none product of generators of the group $\Lambda$ containing an odd number of anti-conformal elements is mapped to 1 . These conditions guarantee that kernel of $\theta$ is a surface Fuchsian group.

We start with the case when $Y$ is a Riemann surface uniformized by a surface Fuchsian group $\Lambda$ with a signature $\sigma(\Lambda)=(\gamma ;+;[-] ;\{-\})$ for some $\gamma \geq 2$. For the base group $M_{n-t, t}$ with $n=2 \gamma$, let $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow M_{n-t, t}$ be induced by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(a_{i}\right)=v_{n} v_{2 i-1}, \quad \theta\left(b_{i}\right)=v_{n} v_{2 i} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq \gamma-1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\theta\left(a_{\gamma}\right)=v_{n-1}, \theta\left(b_{\gamma}\right)=v_{n}$ if $\gamma$ is even or $\theta\left(a_{\gamma}\right)=v_{n-2} v_{n-1}$ and $\theta\left(b_{\gamma}\right)=v_{n}$ if $\gamma$ is odd. Thanks the relations listed in Corollary 3.2 we have $\prod_{i=1}^{\gamma}\left[\theta\left(a_{i}\right), \theta\left(b_{i}\right)\right]=1$. So $\Gamma=\operatorname{ker} \theta$ is a surface Fuchsian group and the Riemann surface $X=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma$ has an automorphism group $\Lambda / \Gamma=M_{n-t, t}$ such that $X / M_{n-t, t} \simeq \mathcal{H} / \Lambda \simeq Y$.

The pre-image $\Lambda^{\prime}=\theta^{-1}\left(M_{n-t, t}^{+}\right)$is a surface Fuchsian group which by the Hurwitz-Riemann formula has signature ( $2 \gamma-1 ;+;[-] ;\{-\}$ ). We can choose elements $A_{1}, B_{1}, \ldots A_{2 \gamma-1}, B_{2 \gamma-1}$ as the canonical generators of $\Lambda^{\prime}$, where for even $\gamma$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{i}=a_{i}, & B_{i}=b_{i} \\
A_{\gamma-1+i}=A_{2 \gamma-1} b_{\gamma-i} A_{2 \gamma-1}^{-1}, & B_{\gamma-1+i}=A_{2 \gamma-1} a_{\gamma-i} A_{2 \gamma-1}^{-1} \\
A_{2 \gamma-1}=a_{\gamma} b_{\gamma}, & \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq \gamma-1 \\
B_{2 \gamma-1}=b_{\gamma} a_{\gamma} . &
\end{array}
$$

and for odd $\gamma$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{i}=b_{\gamma} a_{i} b_{\gamma}^{-1}, \quad B_{i}=b_{\gamma} b_{i} b_{\gamma}^{-1} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq \gamma-1 \\
& A_{\gamma-1+i}=a_{i}, \quad B_{\gamma-1+i}=b_{i} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq \gamma-1 \\
& A_{2 \gamma-1}=a_{\gamma}, \quad B_{2 \gamma-1}=b_{\gamma}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We leave to a reader checking that $\prod_{i=1}^{2 \gamma-1}\left[A_{i}, B_{i}\right]=1$ and that $\theta$-images of $A_{1}, B_{1}, \ldots A_{2 \gamma-1}, B_{2 \gamma-1}$ generate the group $M_{n-t, t}^{+}$. The orbit space $Y^{\prime}=X / M_{n-t, t}^{+}$ is isomorphic to Riemann surface $\mathcal{H} / \Lambda^{\prime}$. The quotient group $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \simeq \Lambda / \Lambda^{\prime}$ acts in natural way on $Y^{\prime}$ and the orbit space is isomorphic to $Y$.

Next, we assume that $\Lambda$ is a proper NEC group with a signature (10) and $\Lambda^{+}$is its canonical Fuchsian subgroup consisting of all conformal elements in $\Lambda$. If there exists a smooth epimorphism $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow M_{n-t, t}$ which maps all conformal generators of $\Lambda$ to elements of the group $M_{n-t, t}^{+}$and maps all anti-conformal generators to elements outside $M_{n-t, t}^{+}$, then $\theta\left(\Lambda^{+}\right) \subseteq M_{n-t, t}^{+}$because any element of $\Lambda^{+}$is a product of the canonical generators of $\Lambda$ containing an even number of anti-conformal elements. If additionally, the generators $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ of $M_{n-t, t}$ are $\theta$-images of anticonformal elements of the group $\Lambda$ then they are themselves anti-conformal and since any element of $M_{n-t, t}^{+}$is a product of even number of these generators, it follows that $M_{n-t, t}^{+} \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}^{+}(X)=\theta\left(\Lambda^{+}\right)$. Consequently, $M_{n-t, t}^{+}=\theta\left(\Lambda^{+}\right) \simeq \Lambda^{+} / \Gamma$ for $\Gamma=\operatorname{ker} \theta$ which means that the group $M_{n-t, t}^{+}$acts on the Riemann space $X \simeq \mathcal{H} / \Gamma$ and the orbit space is isomorphic to the canonical double cover $Y^{+}=\mathcal{H} / \Lambda^{+}$of $Y=\mathcal{H} / \Lambda$. So in order to prove that $Y$ is definable by a ( $n-t, t, g$ )-Clifford action for which the Clifford cover is the canonical double cover of $Y$, we need to define a smooth epimorphism $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow M_{n-t, t}$ such that the image $\theta(\lambda)$ of any generator $\lambda$ of $\Lambda$ belongs to $M_{n-t, t}^{+}$if and only if $\lambda$ is conformal, and all generators $v_{p}$ of $M_{n-t, t}$ are $\theta$-images of anti-conformal elements of $\Lambda$. Using the relations given in Corollary 3.2, it is easy to check that $\theta$ defined below is a smooth epimorphism which satisfies the above conditions. The definition is divided into a few cases depending on parameters $\gamma, k$ and $\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon=1$ is $\gamma$ is odd and $\varepsilon=0$ if $\gamma$ is even.

A smooth epimorphism $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow M_{n, 0}$ can be defined as follows:
(1) $\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)=-, \gamma=1$ and $k \geq 2$
$\theta\left(d_{1}\right)=v_{1}, \theta\left(e_{1}\right)=\theta\left(e_{2}\right)=v_{1} v_{k+1}, \theta\left(e_{j}\right)=1$ for $3 \leq j \leq k$ and $\theta\left(c_{i 0}\right)=$ $v_{1} v_{k+1} v_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k-1, \theta\left(c_{k 0}\right)=\theta\left(c_{k-10}\right)$.
(2) $\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)=-, \gamma>1, \gamma+k \geq 3$
$\theta\left(c_{j 0}\right)=v_{1} v_{2} v_{\gamma+j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k, \theta\left(d_{i}\right)=v_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \gamma-1$ and $\theta\left(e_{j}\right)=$ 1 for $2 \leq j \leq k$. If $k>0$, then $\theta\left(d_{\gamma}\right)=v_{\gamma}$ and $\theta\left(e_{1}\right)=v_{\gamma}^{2 \varepsilon}$. If $k=0$, then $\theta\left(d_{\gamma}\right)=\left(v_{1} v_{2}\right)^{\varepsilon} v_{\gamma}$.
(3) $\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)=+, \gamma>0, k>0$ and $2 \gamma+k \geq 3$
$\theta\left(a_{i}\right)=v_{2 i-1} v_{2 \gamma+k}, \quad \theta\left(b_{i}\right)=v_{2 i} v_{2 \gamma+k}, \quad$ for $1 \leq i \leq \gamma, \theta\left(c_{j 0}\right)=$ $v_{1} v_{2} v_{2 \gamma+j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, k, \theta\left(e_{1}\right)=v_{1}^{2 \varepsilon}$ and $e_{j}=1$ for $j>1$.
(4) $\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)=+, \gamma=0$ and $k \geq 3$
$\theta\left(e_{1}\right)=v_{1} v_{k}, \theta\left(e_{2}\right)=v_{2} v_{k}, \theta\left(e_{3}\right)=v_{2} v_{1}$ and $\theta\left(e_{j}\right)=1$ for $j>3$, $\theta\left(c_{k-10}\right)=\theta\left(c_{k 0}\right)=v_{1} v_{2} v_{k}$, and $\theta\left(c_{j 0}\right)=v_{1} v_{2} v_{j+2}$ for $j=1, \ldots, k-2$.

Next, we define a smooth epimorphism $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow M_{n-1,1}$ as follows:
(1) $\gamma>0, k>0$ and $\alpha \gamma+k \geq 3$
$\theta\left(c_{i 0}\right)=v_{1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$,
$\theta\left(e_{i}\right)=v_{k+1} v_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i<k, \theta\left(e_{k}\right)=\left(\Pi_{i=1}^{k-1} v_{k+1} v_{i+1}\right)^{-1} v_{k+1}^{2 \varepsilon}$,
if sign $=-$, then $\theta\left(d_{j}\right)=v_{k+j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq \gamma$,
if sign $=+$, then $\theta\left(a_{i}\right)=v_{k+2 i-1} v_{1}, \theta\left(b_{i}\right)=v_{k+2 i} v_{1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \gamma$,
(2) $\gamma=0$ and $k \geq 3$ :
if $k=3$ then $\theta\left(c_{10}\right)=v_{1}, \theta\left(c_{20}\right)=v_{1} v_{2}, \theta\left(c_{30}\right)=v_{1} v_{3}$ and $\theta\left(e_{1}\right)=\theta\left(e_{2}\right)=$ $\theta\left(e_{3}\right)=1($ the exceptional case in which $Y$ is not double definable $)$,
if $k>3$ then $\theta\left(c_{10}\right)=v_{2} v_{3} v_{4}, \theta\left(c_{i 0}\right)=v_{1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq k, \theta\left(e_{1}\right)=v_{3} v_{4}$, $\theta\left(e_{i}\right)=v_{2} v_{i+1}$ for $2 \leq i \leq k-1, \theta\left(e_{k}\right)=\left(\theta\left(e_{1}\right) \cdots \theta\left(e_{k-1}\right)\right)^{-1}$.
(3) $\gamma \geq 3, k=0$ and $\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)=-$
$\theta\left(d_{j}\right)=v_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, \gamma-1$ and $\theta\left(d_{\gamma}\right)=\left(v_{2} v_{3}\right)^{1-\varepsilon} v_{\gamma}$,
By browsing the lists of non-maximal NEC signatures we check that the signature (10) is non-maximal only in few cases listed in Table below.

| non-maximal surface signatures | $d$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $(2 ;+;[-] ;\{-\})$ | 3 |
| $(1 ;+;[-] ;\{(-)\})$ | 2 |
| $(0 ;+;[-] ;\{(-),(-),(-)\})$ | 2 |
| $(1 ;-;[-] ;\{(-),(-)\})$ | 2 |
| $(2 ;-;[-] ;\{(-)\})$ | 2 |
| $(3 ;-;[-] ;\{-\})$ | 2 |

For $d>3$, the signature (10) is maximal. There exists a maximal NEC group with any given maximal signature which is not contained properly in any other NEC group. Let $\Lambda^{\prime}$ be a maximal NEC group with a signature (10) for $d>3$. By Theorem 2.1, NEC groups with the same signatures are isomorphic. Thus there is an isomorphism $\tau: \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow \Lambda$. Composing $\tau$ with $\theta$ we get an epimorphism $\theta^{\prime}: \Lambda^{\prime} \rightarrow M_{n-t, t}$ with kernel $\Gamma$ which defines a full action ( $\Lambda^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}, M_{n-t, t}$ ) on the Riemann surface $X=\mathcal{H} / \Gamma$. It means that $M_{n-t, t}$ is the group of all automorphisms of $X$. Otherwise, there would be an NEC group $\Lambda^{\prime \prime}$ containing $\Lambda^{\prime}$ as a proper subgroup, against the assumption that $\Lambda^{\prime}$ is maximal.

Corollary 3.4 For a given integer $n \geq 3$, let $g=1+2^{n}(n-2)$ and let $n_{(2)} \in\{0,1\}$ such that $n_{(2)} \equiv n \bmod 2$. Then for any $t \in\{0,1\}$ there are at least $n(n-t, t, g)$-Clifford actions defining non-homeomorphic non-orientable Klein surfaces of algebraic genus $n-1$ and there are at least $1+\frac{n-n_{(2)}}{2}$ such actions defining non-homeomorphic orientable Klein surfaces of algebraic genus $n-1$.

Proof Let $(\gamma, k, \alpha)$ be a triple of nonnegative integers such that $n=\alpha \gamma+k, \alpha \in\{1,2\}$, and $\gamma \neq 0$ if $\alpha=1$. For $\alpha=1$, there are $n$ such triples because $\gamma$ can be any integer in the range $1 \leq \gamma \leq n$ and $k$ is uniquely determined for a fixed $\gamma$. For $\alpha=2$, $\gamma$ can be any integer in the range $0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{n-n_{(2)}}{2}$ and we get $1+\frac{n-n_{(2)}}{2}$ different triples. Let $\sigma$ be a signature (10) corresponding to a given triple ( $\gamma, k, \alpha$ ), where $\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)=-$ for $\alpha=1$ and $\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)=+$ for $\alpha=2$. There exists an NEC group $\Lambda$ with the signature $\sigma$ and the orbit space $Y=\mathcal{H} / \Lambda$ is a Klein surface of algebraic genus $d=n-1 \geq 2$ which is non-orientable or orientable according to whether $\alpha=1$ or $\alpha=2$, respectively. According to Theorem 3.3, the surface $Y$ is definable by a $(n-t, t, g)$-Clifford action for $g=1+2^{n}(n-2)$ and $t \in\{1,0\}$.

## 4 Linear representations of surface NEC groups

In the previous chapter we proved that every Klein surface $Y$ of algebraic genus $d \geq 2$ is the orbit space of a Riemann surface under the action of a base group of some Clifford algebra. Using the spinor representation of this algebra, described in section 2.3, we will get a linear representation of the fundamental group of $Y$ or linear representation of the fundamental group of the double Clifford cover of $Y$ depending on whether $d$ is odd or even. For this purpose we need Clifford algebras under an algebraically closed field. Therefore in this chapter we assume that $\mathrm{Cl}(n-t, t)$ for $t=1,0$ is the Clifford algebra associated with a complex vector space $V=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and a quadratic form $Q_{t}$ defined by (12) and $M_{n-t, t}$ is the base group of $\mathrm{Cl}(n-t, t)$ generated by images of vectors of the canonical basis $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ of $V$. Vectors of this basis and their images in $\mathrm{Cl}(n-t, t)$ will be denoted with the same symbols. By $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ and $\sigma_{3}$ we denote the following Pauli matrices:

$$
\sigma_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \sigma_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -i \\
i & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { and } \sigma_{3}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Theorem 4.1 Let $\eta_{m}: \mathrm{Cl}(2 m-1,1) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(Z)$ be the spinor representation of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(2 m-1,1)$ for a vector space $Z$ of dimension $2^{m}$. Then in some basis of $Z$ the endomorphism $\eta_{m}\left(v_{p}\right)$ is represented by a matrix $A_{m, p}$, where $A_{1,1}=\sigma_{1}, A_{1,2}=-i \sigma_{2}$ and for $m \geq 2$ the matrix $A_{m, p}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{m, p}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{m-1, p} & 0 \\
0 & A_{m-1, p}
\end{array}\right], \quad p=1, \ldots, m-1,  \tag{14}\\
A_{m, p}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{m-1, p-2} & 0 \\
0 & A_{m-1, p-2}
\end{array}\right], \quad p=m+2, \ldots, 2 m,  \tag{15}\\
A_{m, m}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -D_{m} \\
D_{m} & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { and } A_{m, m+1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & D_{m}(-i) \\
D_{m}(-i) & 0
\end{array}\right], \tag{16}
\end{gather*}
$$

with

$$
D_{2}=\sigma_{3} \text { and } D_{m}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D_{m-1} & 0 \\
0 & -D_{m-1}
\end{array}\right] \text { for } m>2
$$

Proof Let $\left\{v_{p}\right\}_{p=1}^{n}$ be the canonical basis of a vector space $V=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ for $n=2 m$. Then the maximal totally isotropic subspaces $W$ and $U$ of dimension $m$ in Witt decomposition $V=W \oplus U$ can be spanned by sets $\left\{w_{p}\right\}_{1}^{m}$ and $\left\{u_{p}\right\}_{1}^{m}$, respectively, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{1}-v_{n}\right), \quad u_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{1}+v_{n}\right), \\
& w_{p}=\frac{1}{2}\left(i v_{n+1-p}-v_{p}\right) \text { and } u_{p}=\frac{1}{2}\left(i v_{n+1-p}+v_{p}\right) \text { for } 2 \leq p \leq m .
\end{aligned}
$$

The images of these vectors in $\mathrm{Cl}(n-1,1)$ satisfy the relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{p}^{2}=0, u_{p}^{2}=0 \text { and } w_{p} u_{j}+u_{j} w_{p}=\delta_{p, j} \text { for } p, j=1, \ldots, m \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $f=w_{1} \cdots w_{m}$, the subalgebra $\mathrm{Cl}(n-1,1) f=\{a f: a \in \mathrm{Cl}(n-1,1)\}$ of $\mathrm{Cl}(n-1,1)$ is generated by

$$
\mathcal{B}=\{f\} \cup\left\{u_{j_{1}} \cdots u_{j_{k}} f: 1 \leq j_{1}<\ldots<j_{k} \leq m\right\}
$$

The external algebra $Z=\bigwedge U$ of dimension $2^{m}$ with basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{1\} \cup\left\{u_{j_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{j_{k}}: 1 \leq j_{1}<\ldots<j_{k} \leq m\right\} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is isomorphic to the Clifford algebra associated with vector space $U$ and the zero quadratic form $\left.Q_{1}\right|_{U}$. Let $\varphi: Z \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(n-1,1) f$ be given by

$$
\varphi(1)=f, \varphi\left(u_{j_{1}} \wedge u_{j_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{j_{k}}\right)=u_{j_{1}} u_{j_{2}} \cdots u_{j_{k}} f
$$

The spinor representation $\eta_{m}: \mathrm{Cl}(n-1,1) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(Z)$ of the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(n-1,1)$ is defined by

$$
\eta_{m}(a)(u)=\varphi^{-1}(a \varphi(u)) \text { for } a \in \mathrm{Cl}(n-1,1) \text { and } u \in Z .
$$

For an ordered subset $S=\left\{z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right\} \subset Z$ and $z \in Z$, let $Z \wedge z$ denote an ordered set $\left\{z_{1} \wedge z, \ldots, z_{k} \wedge z\right\}$. There is a sequence

$$
\mathcal{B}_{1} \subset \mathcal{B}_{2} \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{B}_{m}
$$

of ordered subsets of $\bigwedge U$ such that $\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{1, u_{1}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{j+1}=\mathcal{B}_{j} \cup\left(\mathcal{B}_{j} \wedge u_{j+1}\right)$ for $j=1, \ldots, m-1$. So $\mathcal{B}_{2}=\left\{1, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{1} \wedge u_{2}\right\}, \mathcal{B}_{3}=\left\{1, u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{1} \wedge u_{2}, u_{3}, u_{1} \wedge\right.$ $\left.u_{3}, u_{2} \wedge u_{3}, u_{1} \wedge u_{2} \wedge u_{3}\right\}$ and so on. In particular, the set $\mathcal{B}_{m}$ is the basis of $Z$ given by (18). Let $A_{m, p} \in M_{2^{m} \times 2^{m}}(\mathbb{C})$ denote the matrix of $\eta_{m}\left(v_{p}\right)$ in this basis.

For $m=1$, the canonical basis of $V$ consists of two vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=u_{1}+w_{1} \text { and } v_{2}=u_{1}-w_{1} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus by the relations (17) we have

$$
\eta_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)(1)=\varphi^{-1}\left(\left(u_{1}+w_{1}\right) w_{1}\right)=u_{1}
$$

and

$$
\eta_{1}\left(v_{1}\right)\left(u_{1}\right)=\varphi^{-1}\left(\left(u_{1}+w_{1}\right) u_{1} w_{1}\right)=\varphi^{-1}\left(w_{1} u_{1} w_{1}\right)=\varphi^{-1}\left(\left(1-u_{1} w_{1}\right) w_{1}\right)=1
$$

what implies that $A_{1,1}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0\end{array}\right]=\sigma_{1}$. By similar calculation we get $A_{1,2}=-i \sigma_{2}$.
Now let $P=\{1, \ldots, m-1\}$ and $R=\{m+2, \ldots n\}$ for $m>1$. Vectors $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are given by (19) while for $p=2, \ldots, m$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{p}=\left(u_{p}-w_{p}\right), \quad v_{n+1-p}=\left(u_{p}+w_{p}\right)(-i) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since for all $p \in P \cup R$ vector $v_{p}$ is a linear combination of vectors $u_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq m-1$, it follows that $\eta_{m}\left(v_{p}\right)(u) \in \operatorname{Lin}\left(\mathcal{B}_{m-1}\right)$ and $\eta_{m}\left(v_{p}\right)\left(u \wedge u_{m}\right) \in$ $\operatorname{Lin}\left(\mathcal{B}_{m-1} \wedge u_{m}\right)$ for any $u \in \mathcal{B}_{m-1}$. It means that there are zero square matrices of dimension $2^{m-1}$ in the right upper corner and in the left lower corner of the matrix $M_{m, p}$ for all $p \in P \cup R$. Moreover, if $p \in P$, then $\eta_{m}\left(v_{p}\right)(u)=\eta_{m-1}\left(v_{p}\right)(u)$ and $\eta_{m}\left(v_{p}\right)\left(u \wedge u_{m}\right)=\left(\eta_{m-1}\left(v_{p}\right)(u)\right) \wedge u_{m}$ what implies that there is the matrix $A_{m-1, p}$ in the left upper corner and in the right lower corner of the matrix $A_{m, p}$. Thus the endomorphism $\eta_{m}\left(v_{p}\right)$ in basis $\mathcal{B}_{m}=\mathcal{B}_{m-1} \cup\left(\mathcal{B}_{m-1} \wedge u_{m}\right)$ has the matrix

$$
A_{m, p}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{m-1, p} & 0 \\
0 & A_{m-1, p}
\end{array}\right] \text { for } p \in P .
$$

Since $\eta_{m}\left(v_{n+1-j}\right)(u)=\eta_{m-1}\left(v_{n-1-j}\right)(u)$ for $j=1, \ldots, m-1$ and $u \in \mathcal{B}_{m-1}$, it follows that $\eta_{m}\left(v_{p}\right)$ has the matrix

$$
A_{m, p}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A_{m-1, p-2} & 0 \\
0 & A_{m-1, p-2}
\end{array}\right] \text { for } p \in R .
$$

It remains to determine the matrices $M_{m, m}$ and $M_{m, m+1}$. If $u=u_{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge u_{i k} \in \mathcal{B}_{m-1}$, then by the relations (17), we have $\eta_{m}\left(w_{m}\right)(u)=0$ and $\eta_{m}\left(u_{m}\right)\left(u \wedge u_{m}\right)=0$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{m}\left(v_{m}\right)(u)=\eta_{m}\left(u_{m}-w_{m}\right)(u)=\eta_{m}\left(u_{m}\right)(u)=(-1)^{k} u \wedge u_{m} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{m}\left(v_{m}\right)\left(u \wedge u_{m}\right)=\eta\left(-w_{m}\right)\left(u \wedge u_{m}\right)=(-1)^{k+1} u \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

what implies that $A_{m, m}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & -D_{m} \\ D_{m} & 0\end{array}\right]$ for some diagonal matrix $D_{m} \in$ $M_{2^{m-1} \times 2^{m-1}}(\mathbb{C})$. Similarly, for $v_{m+1}=\left(u_{m}+w_{m}\right)(-i)$ we have

$$
\eta_{m}\left(v_{m+1}\right)(u)=(-1)^{k}(-i)\left(u \wedge u_{m}\right) \text { and } \eta\left(v_{m+1}\right)\left(u \wedge u_{m}\right)=(-1)^{k}(-i) u
$$

what implies that $A_{m, m+1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & D_{m}(-i) \\ D_{m}(-i) & 0\end{array}\right]$. By simple calculation for $m=2$ we get

$$
A_{m, m}=A_{2,2}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\sigma_{3} \\
\sigma_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { and } A_{m, m+1}=A_{2,3}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \sigma_{3}(-i) \\
\sigma_{3}(-i) & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

For $m=3$ we have

$$
A_{m, m}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & -\sigma_{3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{3} \\
\sigma_{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\sigma_{3} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \text { and } A_{m, m+1}=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & -\sigma_{3} i & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \sigma_{3} i \\
-\sigma_{3} i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \sigma_{3} i & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

These two examples help us to notice that

$$
D_{m}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D_{m-1} & 0 \\
0 & -D_{m-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

for any $m>2$. This is a consequence of relations (21) and (22) and the fact that $\mathcal{B}_{m}=\mathcal{B}_{m-1} \cup\left(\mathcal{B}_{m-1} \wedge z_{m}\right)$.

Theorem 4.2 Let $\mu_{m}: \mathrm{Cl}(n, 0)^{+} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(Z)$ be the spinor representation of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(n, 0)^{+}$for $n=2 m+1$. Then the homomorphisms $\mu_{m}\left(v_{n} v_{p}\right)$ with $1 \leq p \leq 2 m$ are represented in some basis of $Z$ by $A_{1,1}=(-i) \sigma_{2}, A_{1,2}=$ $(-i) \sigma_{1}$ for $m=1$ and by matrices $A_{m, p}$ given by (14), (15) and (16) for $m>1$.

Proof Let $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{1}^{n}$ be the canonical basis of a vector space $V=\mathbb{C}^{n}$ for $n=2 m+1$. There exists Witt decomposition $V=W \oplus U \oplus \operatorname{Lin}\left(v_{n}\right)$ for $W$ and $U$ generated by

$$
\left\{w_{p}=\frac{1}{2}\left(i v_{n-p}-v_{p}\right)\right\}_{p=1}^{m} \text { and }\left\{u_{p}=\frac{1}{2}\left(i v_{n-p}+v_{p}\right)\right\}_{p=1}^{m},
$$

respectively. Here $W$ and $U$ are two maximal totally isotropic subspaces of $V$ and $v_{n}$ is a non-isotropic vector ortogonal to $V^{\prime}=W \oplus U$ with respect to the bilinear form $B_{0}$ associated with $Q_{0}$. The subspace $V^{\prime}$ is spanned by the set $\left\{v_{i}\right\}_{1}^{n-1}$ and it has a non-degenerate quadratic form $Q^{\prime}$ defined by

$$
Q^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=-Q_{0}\left(v_{n}\right) Q\left(v^{\prime}\right) \text { for } v^{\prime} \in V^{\prime}
$$

Let us notice that $Q^{\prime}=\left.Q_{0}\right|_{V^{\prime}}$ because $Q_{0}\left(v_{n}\right)=-1$. A linear map $f: V^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Cl}(n, 0)^{+}$given by $f\left(v^{\prime}\right)=v_{n} v^{\prime}$ satisfies the condition $f\left(v^{\prime}\right)^{2}=Q^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right) \cdot 1$ for all $v^{\prime} \in$
$V^{\prime}$. Thus by universality of the Clifford algebra $\mathrm{Cl}\left(V^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right)$, there is an isomorphism $\bar{f}: \mathrm{Cl}\left(V^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(n, 0)^{+}$such that $\bar{f} \circ j=f$ for the canonical map $j: V^{\prime} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{Cl}\left(V^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right)$. It is induced by

$$
\bar{f}\left(v_{p}\right)=v_{n} v_{p} \text { for } p=1, \ldots, n-1 .
$$

Let $\eta_{m}: \mathrm{Cl}\left(V^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\bigwedge U)$ be the spinor representation of the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}\left(V^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\mu_{m}=\eta_{m} \circ \bar{f}^{-1}: \mathrm{Cl}(V, Q)^{+} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(\bigwedge U)$ is an isomorphism such that

$$
\mu_{m}\left(v_{n} v_{p}\right)=\eta_{m}\left(v_{p}\right) \text { for } p=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

In order to determine the matrices $A_{m, p}$ of endomorphisms $\mu_{m}\left(v_{n} v_{p}\right)$ in basis $\mathcal{B}_{m}$ of $\bigwedge U$ we can use formulas

$$
v_{p}=u_{p}-w_{p} \text { and } v_{n-p}=(-i)\left(u_{p}+w_{p}\right) \text { for } p=1, \ldots, m
$$

and the relations (17) which are satisfied in the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}\left(V^{\prime}, Q^{\prime}\right)$ by vectors $w_{p}$ and $u_{p}$. By repeating the argumentation from the proof of Theorem 4.1 we get that matrices $A_{m, p}$ are defined for $m>1$ by (14), (15) and (16); and for $m=1$ we have $A_{1,1}=(-i) \sigma_{2}$, and $A_{1,2}=(-i) \sigma_{1}$.

Theorem 4.3 Let $_{T}$ be the fundamental group of a proper Klein surface $Y$ of algebraic genus $d \geq 2, \pi_{Y^{+}}$-the fundamental group of the Riemann surface $Y^{+}$being a double cover of $Y$ and let $m=\left(d+d_{(2)}\right) / 2$ for $d_{(2)} \in\{0,1\}$ such that $d_{(2)} \equiv d \bmod 2$. If $d$ is odd, then there is a linear representation $\rho: \pi_{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gl}\left(2^{m}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ with image generated by the matrices $A_{m, 1} \ldots A_{m, 2 m}$ defined in Theorem 4.1. If $d$ is even, then there is a linear representation $\rho: \pi_{Y^{+}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gl}\left(2^{m}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ with image generated by the matrices $A_{m, 1} \ldots A_{m, 2 m}$ defined in Theorem 4.2.

Proof According to Theorem 3.3, any proper Klein surface $Y$ of algebraic genus $d \geq 2$ is definable by a ( $n-t, t, g$ )-Clifford action $\left(\Lambda, \theta, M_{n-t, t}\right)$ for $n=d+1$, $g=1+2^{d+1}(d-1)$ and $t \in\{0,1\}$ and the Clifford cover defined by this action is isomorphic to the canonical double cover $Y^{+}$of $Y$. Here $\Lambda$ is a surface NEC group isomorphic to the fundamental group of $Y$ and $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow M_{n-t, t}$ is a smooth epimorphism. By composing $\theta$ with the spinor representation of the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(n-t, t)$ for even $n$ or with the spinor representation of the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}(n-t, t)^{+}$for odd $n$, we get linear representations of the fundamental groups of $Y$ or $Y^{+}$, respectively.

Let $m=\frac{d+d_{(2)}}{2}$ and let $t=d \bmod 2$. For an odd $d$, the spinor representation $\eta_{m}$ of the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{d, 1}$ associates with every generator $v_{p}$ of the group $M_{d, 1}$ an isomorphism of a vector space $Z$ of dimension $2^{m}$. By Theorem 4.1, there is a basis $\mathcal{B}$ of $Z$ in which endomorphisms $\eta_{m}\left(v_{p}\right)$ are represented by matrices $A_{m, p} \in \mathrm{Gl}\left(2^{m}, \mathbb{C}\right)$, where $A_{1,1}=\sigma_{1}$ and $A_{1,2}=-i \sigma_{2}$ for $m=1$ and $A_{m, p}$ are given by (14), (15) and (16) for $m>1$. Thus we get an epimorphism $\rho=\eta \circ \theta: \Lambda \rightarrow G \subset \mathrm{Gl}\left(2^{m}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ onto the group generated by matrices $A_{m, 1}, \ldots A_{m, 2 m}$.

If $d$ is even, then there is a spinor representation $\eta_{m}: \mathrm{Cl}_{n, 0}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gl}\left(2^{m}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ such that the generators $v_{1} v_{n}, \ldots, v_{n-1} v_{n}$ of the group $M_{n, 0}^{+}$are represented by matrices given
in Theorem 4.2. Thus composing $\left.\theta\right|_{\Lambda^{+}}$with $\eta_{m}$ we get an epimorphism $\rho: \Lambda^{+} \rightarrow G$ onto the group generated by these matrices.

Corollary 4.4 For any odd $d \geq 3$ and $m=\frac{d+1}{2}$, there exist a Klein surface $Y \simeq \mathcal{H} / \Lambda$ of algebraic genus $d$ and a linear representation $\rho: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{Gl}\left(2^{m}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ which maps bijectively canonical generators of a canonical presentation of $\Lambda$ to matrices $A_{m, 1}, \ldots$, $A_{m, 2 m}$ defined in Theorem 4.1.

Proof Let $\Lambda$ be an NEC group with the signature ( $\gamma ;-;[-] ;\{(-)\})$ for $\gamma=d \geq 3$. Then $Y=\mathcal{H} / \Lambda$ is a Klein surface of algebraic genus $d$. Let $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{\gamma}$ be generating glide reflections of $\Lambda$ and let $c_{10}$ and $e_{1}$ be generators of $\Lambda$ associated with the only period cycle. Then $e_{1}=\left(d_{1}^{2} \cdot \ldots \cdot d_{\gamma}^{2}\right)^{-1}, c_{10}^{2}=1$ and $e_{1} c_{10} e_{1}^{-1}=c_{10}$. There is a homomorphism $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow M_{d, 1}$ induced by $\theta\left(d_{i}\right)=v_{1+i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, \gamma, \theta\left(c_{10}\right)=$ $v_{1}$ and $\theta\left(e_{1}\right)=v_{d+1}^{2}$. The generator $e_{i}$ is redundant because it can be expressed by $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{\gamma}$. So generators of $\Lambda$ correspond bijectively to generators $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{d+1}$ which according to Theorem 4.1 are represented by matrices $M_{m, 1}, \ldots, A_{m, d+1}$ by the spinor representation of $\mathrm{Cl}_{d, 1}$.

Corollary 4.5 For any even $d \geq 2$ and $m=\frac{d}{2}$, there exist a Klein surface $Y \simeq$ $\mathcal{H} / \Lambda$ of algebraic genus $d$ and a linear representation $\rho: \Lambda \rightarrow \operatorname{Gl}\left(2^{m}, \mathbb{C}\right)$ which maps bijectively canonical conformal generators of a canonical presentation of $\Lambda$ to matrices $A_{m, 1}, \ldots, A_{m, 2 m}$ defined in Theorem 4.2.

Proof An NEC group $\Lambda$ with the signature ( $\gamma ;+;[-] ;\{(-)\}$ ) for $\gamma=\frac{d}{2}$ is generated by elements $a_{1}, b_{1}, \ldots, a_{\gamma}, b_{\gamma}$ and $c_{10}$ such that $c_{10}^{2}=1$ and $e_{1} c_{10} e_{1}^{-1}=c_{10}$ for $e_{1}=\left(\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \cdots\left[a_{\gamma}, b_{\gamma}\right]\right)^{-1}$. There is a smooth epimorphism $\theta: \Lambda \rightarrow M_{n, 0}$ for $n=$ $d+1$ induced by $\theta\left(a_{i}\right)=v_{2 i-1} v_{n}, \theta\left(b_{n}\right)=v_{2 i} v_{n}$ for $i=1, \ldots, \gamma, \theta\left(c_{10}\right)=v_{1} v_{2} v_{n}$ and $\theta\left(e_{1}\right)=v_{n}^{\varepsilon}$, where $\varepsilon=\gamma \bmod$ (2). The generator $e_{1}$ is redundant and the other conformal generators of $\Lambda$ are mapped to generators $v_{1} v_{n}, \ldots, v_{n-1} v_{n}$ of the group $M_{n, 0}^{+}$which according to Theorem 4.2 are represented by matrices $A_{m, 1}, \ldots, A_{m, 2 m+1}$ for $m=\frac{d}{2}$ by the spinor representation of the algebra $\mathrm{Cl}_{n, 0}^{+}$
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