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#### Abstract

Lady's slipper orchid (Cypripedium calceolus) serves as a flagship species for plant conservation in many European countries. Its populations are threatened by overcollecting and loss of suitable habitat. Information on local and regional genetic structure can help to develop appropriate conservation strategies. A total of fifteen novel microsatellite markers were developed using MiSeq sequencing. All loci found to be polymorphic, with the number of alleles per locus ranging from 2 to 8 . Observed heterozygosity ranged from 0.19 to 0.89 . The developed microsatellite markers will be useful to analyze genetic diversity and genetic structure of C. calceolus populations.
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Lady's slipper orchid (Cypripedium calceolus L.) is one of the largest and the most spectacular elements of European flora. This Euro-Asiatic species has suffered a marked decrease of localities and area occupied (Terschuren 1999). It is legally protected in all European countries, and listed in various national conventions and directives (Terschuren 1999). Studies of genetic diversity and fine-scale spatial genetic structure in relation to habitat management will improve management strategies of this vulnerable species.

[^0]Two, out of four recently published microsatellite loci for C. calceolus, may pose scoring problems (Pedersen et al. 2012). Therefore a higher number of variable loci is needed to estimate genetic structure and distinguish close relatives. Here we report the isolation and characterization of polymorphic loci that will be useful in future population genetic studies.

Seeds of C. calceolus were collected from population Bukówki (Poland) and asymbiotically germinated in in vitro culture. Total genomic DNA was isolated from three seedlings using procedure described by Bekesiova et al. (1999). Extracted DNA was used for a library preparation with a NEBNext ${ }^{\circledR}$ DNA Library Prep Master Mix Set for Illumina. The sequencing was performed on the MiSeq Benchtop Sequencer (Illumina) using the $2 \times 250 \mathrm{bp}$ read mode. The obtained data (10,19 Mega reads) was assembled using CLCGenomicWorkbench (CLCBio) into 513,225 contigs and the microsatellites were then detected using QDD 2.1 Beta (Meglecz et al. 2010). A total of 22,162 contigs contained at last one microsatellite of which 53 loci were selected for initial screening. We screened 32 plants from two Polish populations (Bukówki and Prokowo) for polymorphisms at these loci. All forward primers were tagged with M13(-21) ( $5^{\prime}$-TGTAAAACGACGGCCAGT- $3^{\prime}$ ) at the $5^{\prime}$ end. The $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ PCR volume contained: $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{MyTaq}^{\mathrm{TM}} \mathrm{HS}$ Mix (Bioline), $0.4 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of both forward and reverse primers, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ dye labelled primer, $0.3 \% \mathrm{DMSO}, 1.2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ water and $1 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ DNA template ( $\sim 50 \mathrm{ng}$ ). The following PCR conditions were used: 2 min initial denaturation at $96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by 35 cycles of $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30 \mathrm{~s} /$ primer specific annealing temperature for $30 \mathrm{~s} / 72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 s . Next, the reaction was paused at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and fluorescently labelled (6FAM, NED, PET or VIC) M13 primer was added. Amplification was then continued for the next 10 cycles
Table 1 Characteristics of 15 loci for Cypripedium calceolus

| Locus | Primer pair sequence ( $5^{\prime}-3{ }^{\prime}$ ) | Repeat motif | $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | Size range (bp) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Accession no | All $\mathrm{N}_{\text {A }}$ | Prokowo |  |  |  | Bukówki |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | N | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {A }}$ | $H_{\mathrm{O}}$ | $H_{\text {E }}$ | N | $\mathrm{N}_{\text {A }}$ | $H_{\mathrm{O}}$ | $H_{\text {E }}$ |
| Ccal _5 | CCACAAAGCCACACTACATAACA | (AG) ${ }_{9}$ | 62 | 141-143 | KJ130946 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 0.44 | 0.34 | 16 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  | TGTAAGGGTGATCTTGGAAAGC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ccal _7 | TAAGCACTTCTTGGGAGGCA | $(\mathrm{AGT})_{12}$ | 60 | 195-207 | KJ130947 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 0.53 | 0.60 | 16 | 3 | 0.81 | 0.58 |
|  | GAGGTTGAGCACAAGAAAGAAA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ccal _9 | AGAAGAGATGTGGGAAGCCC | $(\mathrm{AT})_{10}$ | 59 | 122-124 | KJ130948 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 0.43 | 0.46 | 16 | 2 |  | 0.50 |
|  | CTTCCAAGCTCCAGCTACCA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ccal_19 | CGAGCCTCTCGACAACATCT | $(\mathrm{AT})_{10}$ | 60 | 291-293 | KJ130949 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 16 | 2 | 0.50 | 0.52 |
|  | TGGTGGTTGTTTGGTGTGAA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ccal_24 | GGCTTATAGAGAGAGAGGCTATGG | $(\mathrm{ATC})_{13}$ | 59 | 118-123 | KJ130950 | 5 | 16 | 4 | $0.87$ |  |  | 5 | $0.87$ | $0.72$ |
|  | CATGGGAGCTGACTCATCAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ccal_25 | CAGCATTTTGCTCAATGTCTTT | $(\mathrm{ATC})_{16}$ | 60 | 162-180 | KJ130951 | 8 | 16 | 5 | 0.87 | 0.76 | 16 | 7 | 0.69 | 0.78 |
|  | CAGATAATGGCCCTTTGGTC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ccal_31 | GGCAATGTCATTAGGGGAAG | $(\mathrm{AT})_{10}$ | 62 | 115-119 | KJ130952 | 3 | 16 | 2 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 16 | 2 | 0.12 | 0.12 |
|  | GGGGTTCAAGTAGCATAAGACAA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ccal_34 | CATGGAAGGGAATAACATCCT | $(\mathrm{AT})_{10}$ | 58 | 128-136 | KJ130953 | 4 | 15 | 3 | 0.47 | 0.37 | 16 | 3 | 0.50 | 0.43 |
|  | TGCAATTCCATGTACTTGTTCATTA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ccal_39 | TTCTCCTCAAAGAATGATTCCA | $(\mathrm{AC})_{15}$ | 59 | 154-164 | KJ130954 | 6 | 8 | 5 | 0.89 | 0.67 | 8 | 5 | 0.75 | 0.70 |
|  | CCATTGGGCAATTCACTCAT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ccal_47 | AAGGCTCAAGATCCCAAGGA | $(\mathrm{AAC})_{11}$ | 60 | 127-136 | KJ130955 | 4 | 15 | 3 | $0.33$ | 0.34 | 15 | 3 | 0.33 | 0.34 |
|  | ATCATTATGGTTGTCTCTTTATCGTT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ccal_48 | CAATAAGCTAAGTGAGTAGCAGGTTG | $(\mathrm{AAC})_{12}$ | 61 | 141-150 | KJ130956 | 4 | 15 | 4 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 15 | 4 | 0.27 | 0.24 |
|  | AGGTTCTTCCTTTCACTTCACTACC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ccal_49 | TGGAAGGGTCATGTTACTAGCAG | $(\mathrm{AAG})_{13}$ | 58 | 115-143 | KJ130957 | 6 | $16$ | 4 | $0.69$ | $0.66$ | 15 | 6 | $0.93$ | 0.76 |
|  | TGGTGATGACACAACTAACTCCA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ccal_50 | GAGAAGGGATTCAATAGGTTTGG | $(\mathrm{AAG})_{10}$ | 60 | 122-134 | KJ130958 | 6 | 16 | 3 | 0.56 | 0.47 | 16 | 5 | 0.53 | 0.67 |
|  | AAGTTCCTTCTCATTTCTAGCTCTC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ccal_51 | CCCTCCACCCATTCTCTAGC | $(\mathrm{AAG})_{8}$ | 60 | 174-177 | KJ130959 | 2 | 15 | 2 | 0.33 | 0.28 | 15 | 2 | 0.33 | 0.28 |
|  | ATCTGTTGAAGGTGTTCGGC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ccal_53 | CСTACCTCCACCCTGACACA | $(\mathrm{AAG})_{13}$ | 60 | 164-185 | KJ130960 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 0.50 | 0.61 | 9 | 4 | 0.33 | 0.57 |
|  | TGAGGCCTAGGCTAGCAAGT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$N$ number of individuals analyzed, $N_{\mathrm{A}}$ number of alleles per locus, $H_{\mathrm{O}}$ observed heterozygosity, $H_{\mathrm{E}}$ expected heterozygosity
according to Arruda et al. (2010) with 15 min of final extension at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Samples were run on an ABI 3730 DNA Analyzer and analyzed with GeneMarker 2.2.0 (SoftGenetics) using GS-500 (LIZ) as a size standard.

The number of alleles per locus, departures from HardyWeinberg equilibrium (HWE), and heterozygosity for two Polish populations were calculated in GenAlEx (Peakall and Smouse 2012). The presence of linkage disequilibrium (LD) was tested in Arlequin (Excoffier and Lischer 2010). Presence of null alleles and scoring errors were checked using Micro-Checker version 2.2.3 (Van Oosterhout et al. 2004). The statistical significances in multiple statistical tests were adjusted by the Bonferroni corrections (Rice 1989). A set of 18 SSR was polymorphic. Three of them showed evidence for presence of null alleles and were excluded. Remaining loci showed no evidence of LD after sequential Bonferroni correction. Number of alleles per locus for remaining 15 SSR ranged from 2 to 8 (Table 1) with mean of 4.3. In the studied populations, the mean $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ranged from 3.27 to 3.6 . Observed $\left(H_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ and expected $\left(H_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ heterozygosity ranged from 0.19 to 0.89 and 0.12 to 0.71 respectively. None of the loci showed deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The 15 polymorphic SSR loci reported in this study will be useful for assessing genetic diversity, population structure and parentage analysis.
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