Research



ARAP1 negatively regulates stress fibers formation and metastasis in lung adenocarcinoma via controlling Rho signaling

Zhengzheng Zhang^{1,3} · Wenran Xie² · Bojiang Gong² · Xue Liang² · Hongjia Yu² · Yanwen Yu² · Zhixiong Dong^{2,4} · Fanggui Shao^{1,4}

Received: 14 July 2023 / Accepted: 15 November 2023 Published online: 27 November 2023 © The Author(s) 2023 OPEN

Abstract

Small GTPases regulate multiple important cellular behaviors and their activities are strictly controlled by a mass of regulators. The dysfunction or abnormal expression of small GTPases or their regulators was frequently observed in various cancers. Here, we analyzed the expression and prognostic correlation of several GTPases and related regulators based on the TCGA database and found that Ankyrin Repeat and PH Domain 1 (ARAP1), a GTPase activating protein (GAP), is reduced in lung adenocarcinoma tissues compared to normal tissues and displays a positive correlation with overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) of patients with lung adenocarcinoma. qPCR and western blot verified that ARAP1 is frequently downregulated in lung adenocarcinoma tumor tissues and cancer cells, and its downregulation might be mediated by epigenetic modification. Moreover, metastatic assays showed that overexpression of ARAP1 significantly inhibits metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma in vitro and in vivo. We further demonstrated that Rho signaling inhibition, mediated by RhoGAP activity of ARAP1, majorly contributes to suppressing migration and invasion of lung adenocarcinoma cancer cells via inhibiting stress fibers formation. In summary, this study indicates that ARAP1 may serve as a potential prognostic predictor and a metastatic suppressor in lung adenocarcinoma via its RhoGAP activity.

Keywords ARAP1 · GTPase · Lung adenocarcinoma · Metastasis · Rho · Stress fiber

Abbreviations

ARAP1 ArfGAP with RhoGAP domain, ankyrin repeat and PH domain 1

- Arf ADP ribosylation factor
- CCK8 Cell counting kit 8
- DNMT DNA methyltransferase
- EMT Epithelial–mesenchymal transition

[☑] Zhixiong Dong, wmudzx@wmu.edu.com; ☑ Fanggui Shao, fangguishao@wmu.edu.cn | ¹Department of Laboratory Medicine, the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou 325000, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China. ²Zhejiang Provincial Key Laboratory of Medical Genetics, Key Laboratory of Laboratory Medicine, Ministry of Education, School of Laboratory Medicine and Life Science, Wenzhou Medical University, University Town, Chashan, 325000 Wenzhou, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China. ³Department of Intensive Care Unit, the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, 2 Fuxue Lane, Wenzhou 325000, Zhejiang, China. ⁴Department of Clinical Laboratory, Key Laboratory of Clinical Laboratory Diagnosis and Translational Research of Zhejiang Province, the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Wenzhou 325000, Zhejiang, People's Republic of China.



Discover Oncology (2023) 14:214

https://doi.org/10.1007/s12672-023-00832-x

Zhengzheng Zhang, Wenran Xie, and Bojiang Gong share co-first authorship.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s12672-023-00832-x.

FAK	Focal adhesion kinase
FBI-1	Factor that binds to the inducer of short transcripts of human immunodeficiency virus-1
GAP	GTPase activating protein
GDI	Guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitor
GEF	Guanine nucleotide exchange factor
HDAC	Histone deacetylase
LUAD	Lung adenocarcinoma
Mi-2	Mi-2 autoantigen
MLC	Myosin light chain
MYPT1	Myosin Phosphatase-Targeting Subunit 1
NSCLC	Non-small cell lung cancer
OS	Overall survival
NuRD	Nucleosome remodeling and histone deacetylase
PFS	Progression-free-survival
Rho	Ras homology
ROCK	Rho Kinase
SCLC	Small-cell lung cancer

1 Introduction

Lung cancer remains one of the most common cancers and the leading cause of cancer mortality worldwide [1], which is comprised of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and small-cell lung cancer (SCLC). NSCLC accounts for about 85% of all diagnosed lung cancers and is further sorted into three main subtypes: large cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma, and adenocarcinoma [2]. Among them, lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) is the most common subtype of NSCLC and accounts for about 40% of lung cancers. Despite obvious advances in diagnosis and therapy, the 5 years survival of NSCLC patients remains not satisfied, particularly for patients with advanced NSCLC was estimated to be only 6% [3]. Thus, it is vital to confirm the disease via earlier diagnosis and develop a reasonable therapeutic regimen for improving the survival rate.

Metastasis is the leading cause of mortality in patients with solid tumors [4]. NSCLC has been reported to frequently metastasize to the contralateral lung, bone, or brain, leading to worse survival [5]. Therefore, it is significant to develop effective therapeutic schedules for impeding NSCLC metastasis. Cell metastasis involves diverse cellular processes, including local invasion and intravasation into the blood system, survival and migration in circulation, and extravasation to seed a new tumor in metastatic sites [6]. In the past two decades, a large number of studies have demonstrated that epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) plays a critical role in cancer metastasis [7]. EMT is usually characterized by decreased epithelial marker E-cadherin and enhanced expression of mesenchymal markers N-cadherin, Vimentin and MMP9 [8]. In addition, cancer cells undergoing EMT require rearrangements of actin filaments, such as the formation of stress fibers and pseudopodia [9–11].

Small GTPases, a kind of key molecular switches, regulate numerous cell functions via switching between GDPbound inactive and GTP-bound active forms. The switch is mediated by various regulators including Guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs), GTPase activating proteins (GAPs) and guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitors (GDIs) [12, 13]. Ras homology (Rho) GTPase is one of the most crucial small GTPase families involved in a wide range of tumor-related processes, such as malignant transformation, cell cycle progression, cell polarity, cytoskeleton dynamics, as well as cell migration and invasion [14, 15]. Plenty of studies have discovered altered expression or mutation of Rho GTPases and related regulators in malignant [16], which serve as a viable therapeutic strategy in cancer treatment. Upon activation, Rho can interact with downstream effectors to transmit signaling. For instance, Rho Kinase (ROCK), a serine/threonine kinase downstream of Rho, promotes the formation of RhoA-induced stress fibers and focal adhesions through phosphorylation of downstream targets, including LIM kinase, myosin light chain (MLC) and Myosin Phosphatase-Targeting Subunit 1 (MYPT1) [17–19]. It has been widely accepted that Rho GTPases play a vital role in forming actin-rich structures such as protrusions, stress fiber and pseudopodia, which provide the essential force for cell motility [20].

We sought to screen abnormality in small GTPases and their regulators in LUAD. We found that ArfGAP with RhoGAP Domain, Ankyrin Repeat and PH Domain 1 (*ARAP1*) mRNA is frequently reduced in tumor tissues, and its lower expression correlates with worse prognosis of patients with LUAD. ARAP1 is a member of ARAP family with both Arf GAP and

Rho GAP activities, by which ARAP1 makes a direct link between ADP-ribosylation factor (Arf) GTPases and Rho GTPases signaling [21]. Although it has been reported that ARAP1 participates in regulating epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) endocytosis and ARAP1 is significantly lower in high-grade serous carcinoma (HGSC) tumor tissues of patients with early progression compared to those with late [22–25], the function of ARAP1 in tumorigenesis remains elusive and needs to further investigate. Here, we explored the role of ARAP1 in LUAD tumorigenesis and demonstrated that ARAP1 inhibits cell metastasis mainly by suppressing Rho GTPase-mediated stress fibers formation in LUAD.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Human tissues collection

We collected paired tissues from tumor and adjacent non-cancerous tissues of thirty-eight LUAD patients were supplied by The First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (China). Written informed consent was obtained from the participants. The samples were used to extract total RNA and protein.

2.2 Plasmid construction and viral infection

Human ARAP1 cDNA was cloned into a pCDH-CMV-3 × Flag vector (a gift from Yu Zhang, Northeast Normal University) or pEGFP-N1 vector (Addgene) by using the ClonExpress II Kit (Vazyme). Mutagenesis was performed using inverse PCR.

All of the lentivirus vectors were packaged in 293 T cells with the psPAX2 and pMD2.G packaging vectors. Lentiviruses were used to infect A549 and HCC827 cells. Stably transfected cell lines were selected by puromycin for 2 days.

2.3 Cell culture and transfection

Lung adenocarcinoma cells A549 and HCC827 were generous gifts from Dr. Haishang Huang of Wenzhou Medical University (Wenzhou, China). A549 cells were cultured in Ham's F-12 K (Gibco) medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco), and HCC827 cells were grown in DMEM medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS. All cells were cultured at 37 °C with 5% CO₂. Lipofectamine 3000 (Life Technologies) was used for plasmids or siRNAs transfection.

2.4 Western blot and immunofluorescence analysis

Cells were harvested or fixed for western blot or immunofluorescence analysis as previously described [26]. Briefly, cells were lysed and equal amounts of total protein lysates were used for SDS-PAGE. Then performed western blot with indicated antibodies (Table S1). For immunofluorescence assay, cells were cultured on poly-L-lysine-coated coverslips and fixed with formaldehyde, and then immunostained with indicated antibodies (Table S1). DAPI was used for DNA staining. Images were captured using a NIKON A1 confocal microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). FITC-conjugated phalloidin was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (P5282, Billerica, USA).

2.5 CCK8 assay and colony formation

For CCK8 assay, cells were planted in 96-well plates (1000 cells per well) and grown at indicated time. Cells proliferation was determined by adding CCK8 solution (Dojindo, Kyushu, Japan) for 2 h and measuring the absorbance at 450 nm. For colony formation analysis, cells were seeded in 6-well plates (300 cells per well) and cultured for 10 days, and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with 0.1% crystal violet [27].

2.6 Wound healing assay, transwell migration and invasion assay

Cell migration and invasion assays were performed as previously described [28]. For wound healing assay, ARAP1 and its mutants stably overexpressed cells or control cells (1×10^6 cells per well) were planted on 6-well plates and cultured for 24 h. Then the cells were cultured overnight in serum-free medium for starvation. A 200 µl pipette tip was used to make a wound. Wound closure caused by cell migrating was photographed. The area of the wound was analyzed by Image J software (NIH, USA). Wound closure rate was calculated as a percentage of initial sizes. For transwell migration

and invasion assays, 1×10^5 starved cells were suspended in serum-free medium and were seeded to the upper chambers of transwell (Corning, NY, USA) with or without matrigel, and media containing 20% FBS were added to the bottom chambers. After 24 h incubation, the migrated or invaded cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with 0.1% crystal violet. The number of migrating cells in 5 random fields was counted under $10 \times$ magnification by Image J software, and the means for all fields in a chamber relative to control group were calculated. The relative changes in wound healing or metastatic ability of Flag-ARAP1 expressed cells to control cells were calculated.

2.7 Tumor metastasis assay in vivo

Animal experiments were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Wenzhou Medical University (WMU). We performed animal experiments in the SPF environment in the Animal Center of WMU. 4–5-weeks-old male BALB/c nude mice were purchased from Vital River Laboratories (Zhejiang, China). 2×10^6 cells were injected into the tail vein of each mouse. After 7 weeks, all mice were sacrificed by euthanasia. The lungs of each BALB/c nude mouse were isolated, and visible nodules on the surface of the lungs were counted. Then, the lungs were formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) [29]. The area of tumor in each H&E image was measured using Image J, and the ratio of tumor area to lung area was calculated.

2.8 RNA extraction and quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR)

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR were performed as previous depicted [30]. Briefly, total RNA from siRNAs or plasmids transfected cells was extracted with Trizol reagent (Invitrogen). One microgram RNA was used for cDNA synthesis and qPCR was performed on a Biorad CFX 96 Touch using SYBR Green (TIANGEN BIOTECH, Beijing, China) as a dsDNA-specific fluorescent dye. *GAPDH* was used for standardizing indicated mRNA level [31]. Primers used for qPCR amplification were as follows [32–34]: *ARAP1*: 5'-GGGACCAGAAGTTTGAAGTGA-3', 5'-CCACGTACAGCTTATTCTTGAA-3'; *GAPDH*: 5'-TTCATT GACCTCAACTACATGGTTTAC-3', 5'-TGACAAGCTTCCCGTTCTCA-3'; *RhoA*: 5'-CTGGTGATTGTTGGTGATGG-3', 5'-GCGATCATA ATCTTCCTGCC-3'; *RhoB*: 5'-TGCTGATCGTGTTCAGTAAG-3', 5'-AGCACATGAGAATGACGTCG-3'; *RhoC*: 5'-TCCTCATCGTCTTCA GCAAG-3', 5'-GAGGATGACATCAGTGTCCG-3'.

2.9 RhoA and RhoC GTPase activity assays

RhoA and RhoC GTPase activities were determined by using Active GTPase Kits (Cell Signaling Technology) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cells were harvested and lysed in lysis buffer, and the activated RhoA-GTP or RhoC-GTP was bound to the GST-Rhotekin RBD fusion protein, which can then be pulled down by glutathione resin. After precipitation, samples were subjected to immunoblotting with indicated antibodies.

2.10 Statistical analysis

All of the statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8.0 and SPSS 20.0 statistical software. Data are presented as the means \pm standard deviations from at least 3 independent experiments. *P* < 0.05 is considered statistically significant. Chi-square test was used to analyze the correlation between *ARAP1* expression and clinical features.

3 Results

3.1 ARAP1 is frequently reduced in LUAD with unfavorable prognosis of LUAD patients.

To explore the critical regulator(s) in LUAD tumorigenesis, we sought to screen and analyze the expression and prognostic correlation of small GTPases and their regulators using the online database (UALCAN: http://ualcan.path.uab.edu/ index.html; Kaplan–Meier Plotter: https://kmplot.com/analysis/). We identified that both mRNA and protein expression of ARAP1 were reduced in LUAD tumor tissues in comparison to normal tissues (Fig. S1A, B). Moreover, analysis of Kaplan–Meier survival datasets showed that lower expression of ARAP1, even in tumor tissues of early-stage, was closely associated with poorer overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) in LUAD patients (Fig. 1A, B and S1C, D). To investigate the possible role of ARAP1 in LUAD, we examined ARAP1 expression in patients with LUAD (Table S2). The results revealed that both protein and mRNA expression of ARAP1 were frequently decreased in tumor samples as compared with the adjacent normal counterparts (Fig. 1C–F). Accordingly, compared with a case of normal lung tissue, ARAP1 was dramatically down-regulated in a panel of LUAD cell lines (Fig. 1G, H). Together, these results indicate that ARAP1 is reduced in LUAD tumorigenesis and positively correlated with a favorable prognosis of LUAD patients.

3.2 ARAP1 expression in LUAD cells might be regulated by epigenetic modifications

Epigenetics plays an important role in controlling gene expression and has been demonstrated to participate in carcinogenesis [35]. To explore the mechanism of ARAP1 reduction in LUAD, we screened several epigenetic enzymes with suppressed function in genes expression and found that, except for other tested enzymes, knockdown of EZH2, SUV39H1, HDAC1, HDAC2, HDAC3, HDAC4, HDAC5, DNMT1 or DNMT3b could significantly induce an increase of *ARAP1* mRNA (Fig. 2A). We further demonstrated that simultaneously knockdown of above epigenetic enzymes can

Fig. 1 Ankyrin Repeat and PH Domain 1 (ARAP1) is frequently down-regulated with a worse prognosis in lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD) patients. TCGA data analysis showed that ARAP1 expression is negatively correlated with poor OS (A) and PFS (B) of LUAD patients. Western blot (C) or qRT-PCR (E, F) examined the expression of ARAP1 in tumor and paired adjacent noncancerous tissues of LUAD patients. T: tumor; A: paired adjacent non-cancerous. (D) The density of bands in C was quantified using Image J and relative expression to loading control was calculated. Western blot (G) and gRT-PCR (H) detected ARAP1 protein and mRNA level in different LUAD cancer cells and a normal lung epithelial tissue. ARAP1 mRNA level was normalized to the expression of GAPDH $(\text{mean} \pm SD, n=3)$, ***P < 0.001compared to N1 by Student t test



synergistically enhance *ARAP1* expression (Fig. 2B). Consistently, DNMT inhibitor (Aza), HDAC inhibitor (SAHA) or EZH2 inhibitor (GSK126) treatment could cause an increase of *ARAP1* mRNA, while combined treatment with these epigenetic inhibitors could synergistically upregulate *ARAP1* mRNA in A549 cells (Fig. 2C). Collectively, these results suggest that epigenetics modifications might be involved in ARAP1 expression controlling in LUAD cells.

3.3 ARAP1 inhibits metastasis of LUAD in vitro and in vivo

To investigate the effects of ARAP1 in LUAD, we constructed ARAP1 over-expressed (ARAP1 OE) LUAD cancer cell lines using a lentivirus system. As shown in Fig. S2A, B, lentivirus-mediated ARAP1 transduction significantly enhanced ARAP1 expression in A549 and HCC827 cells. CCK8 and colony formation assays revealed that ARAP1 OE had no significant effects on cell proliferation (Fig. S2C-H). We further assessed the effects of ARAP1 on cell migratory and invasive abilities. Wound-healing assays results showed that ARAP1 OE weakened the migratory ability of A549 and HCC827 cells (Fig. 3A, B). Transwell assays demonstrated that the number of migratory and invasive cells was significantly diminished when ARAP1 OE (Fig. 3C, D). Meanwhile, western blot and immunostaining results further revealed that ARAP1 OE caused a significantly decrease of mesenchymal markers N-cadherin and Vimentin in LAUD cells, and accompanied with a strikingly increased of epithelial marker E-cadherin (Fig. 3E and S3). Collectively, these results indicate that ARAP1 can impede EMT and metastasis in LUAD cells.

In vivo metastatic assay was also designed to examine the role of ARAP1 in metastasis. A metastatic model was established by tail vein injection of A549-ARAP1 OE cells and controls. The results showed that ARAP1 OE significantly decreased the number of pulmonary metastatic nodules in mice (Fig. 3F). Meanwhile, H&E-staining showed that ARAP1 OE induced a dramatically decreased size of metastatic nodules in the lung (Fig. 3F). In summary, these results demonstrate that ARAP1 could inhibit LUAD cancer cells metastasis.

3.4 ARAP1 inhibits stress fibers formation in LUAD cancer cells

Tumor cells undergoing EMT require rearrangements of actin cytoskeleton as well as formation of cell adhesion to satisfy the demand for cell retraction and motility [10]. Stress fiber composed of microfilament plays a critical role in

Fig. 2 ARAP1 expression in LUAD is regulated by epigenetic modification. A, B A549 cells were transfected with indicated siRNA for 72 h, and the cells were collected and used for qRT-PCR to detect the expression of ARAP1 mRNA. C A549 cells were treated with Aza (5 µM for 72 h), SAHA (2.5 µM for 24 h), GSK126 (5 µM for 72 h) alone or in combination. The cells were then collected and used for gRT-PCR to examine the expression of ARAP1 mRNA. The value in each group was displayed as fold change relative to siNC or DMSO $(\text{mean} \pm \text{SD}, n = 3)$. *P < 0.05, **P<0.01 and ***P<0.001 compared to siNC by Student t test



Fig. 3 ARAP1 inhibits metastasis and EMT of LUAD cancer cells. Wound healing (A, B) and transwell (C, D) assays detected the effects of ARAP1 OE on migration and invasion of A549 cells (mean ± SD, n = 3). Scale bar: 200 µm. E Western blot analyzed EMT markers expression in LUAD cells after ARAP1 OE. F The effect of ARAP1 OE on metastasis of A549 cells in vivo. Red arrows indicate metastatic nodules on the lung surface and the tumor number is counted. The relative area of tumor to lung of each H&E image was calculated. Scale bar: 500 μ m (n = 6). *P < 0.05 and ***P<0.001 compared to control by Student t test



regulating cells motility, migration and invasion [36]. We next sought to investigate whether ARAP1 regulates stress fibers formation in LUAD cancer cells. As shown in Fig. 4A, intracellular microfilament was stained with phalloidin-FITC, and large amount of robust stress fibers can be observed in control and vector transfected cells, while only scarce stress fibers were seen in ARAP1 OE cells. Since FAK (Focal adhesion kinase) regulates the organization of stress fibers via phosphorylating and inhibiting a severing protein, cofilin [37], we then detected the level of p-FAK and p-cofilin after ARAP1 OE. Western blot results showed that the level of p-FAK and p-cofilin was significantly decreased in ARAP1 OE cells (Fig. 4B and S4A). Therefore, we demonstrate that ARAP1 negatively regulates stress fibers formation, which would contribute to the motility and migration of LUAD cancer cells.

3.5 ARAP1 regulates fibers formation via its RhoGAP activity

ARAP1 is a GAP that has been reported to regulate Arf1/Arf5 activities via ArfGAP domain and Rho activities via RhoGAP domain (Fig. 5A) [21]. These small GTPases have been reported to control cell skeleton remodeling and cell metastasis [38]. Therefore, we wanted to determine which domain is responsible for ARAP1-induced inhibition of stress fibers formation. We constructed plasmids coding different GAP mutants and examined the effects of these mutants on the stress fibers. As shown in Fig. 5B, similar to ARAP1^{WT}, overexpression of ARAP1 ARF^{mut} (ARAP1^{R338K} with ArfGAP mutation), but not ARAP1 Rho^{mut} (ARAP1^{R753K} with RhoGAP mutation) or ARAP1 A/R^{mut} (with both ArfGAP and RhoGAP mutation), dramatically inhibited stress fibers formation. Western blot results further demonstrated that, unlike WT and ARF^{mut}, overexpression of Rho^{mut} or A/R^{mut} could not inhibit p-FAK and p-cofilin level (Fig. 5C). Therefore, we speculated that the inhibitory ability of ARAP1 to stress fibers formation attributes to its RhoGAP domain.

We next determined the effects of ARAP1 on Rho GTPases. Western blot results showed that ARAP1 OE suppressed the expression of RhoC but not RhoA in A549 cells, while inhibited the protein expression of RhoA but not RhoC in



Fig. 4 ARAP1 inhibits stress fibers formation. **A** Immunostaining analyzed stress fibers after ARAP1 OE. F-actin was labeled with phalloidin-FITC (green), Flag and Flag-ARAP1 transfected cells were labeled with anti-Flag antibody (red), and DNA was labeled with DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 10 µm. **B** Western blot examined the effects of ARAP1 OE on the expression of actin rearrangement related proteins and their phosphorylation including FAK and cofilin

Fig. 5 ARAP1 regulates stress fibers formation depending on its RhoGAP activity. A The schematic diagram of ARAP1 protein. **B** Immunostaining analyzed the effects of ARAP1 and its different mutants OE on stress fibers in A549 cells. DNA was labeled with DAPI. Scale bar: 10 µm. C Western blot examined the effects of ARAP1 and indicated mutants OE on the expression of actin rearrangement related proteins. The level of p-FAK and p-cofilin were quantified using Scion Image software and normalized to the density of the GAPDH bands. D Western blot detected the effects of ARAP1 OE on expression of Rho GTPases. E IP and western blot detected the effects of ARAP1 OE on Rho activity



HCC827 cells (Fig. 5D and S4A). qRT-PCR results showed that ARAP1 OE did not cause similar effects on *RhoA/B/C* mRNA expression in different LUAD cancer cells (Fig. S4B, C). Therefore, we further wanted to examine whether ARAP1 affects Rho GTPases activity. Indeed, we found that the amount of activated RhoA-GTP and RhoC-GTP was reduced in ARAP1 OE cells (Fig. 5E). Altogether, these results imply that ARAP1 might affect microfilament remodeling via its RhoGAP activity.

3.6 ARAP1-mediated metastatic inhibition mainly attributes to its RhoGAP domain

We further examined whether the GAP activity of ARAP1 contributes to its migratory and invasive inhibition in LUAD cancer cells. As shown in Fig. 6A-D, unlike ARAP1^{WT}, the inhibitory ability of ARAP1 in cell migration, invasion and EMT was significantly impaired when ArfGAP or RhoGAP domain was mutated. In particular, compared with weaker impairment of ARF^{mut}, Rho^{mut} and A/R^{mut} led to a significant promotion in metastasis of LUAD cancer cells. These results indicate that the RhoGAP activity contributes to the metastatic inhibition of ARAP1 to a greater extent.

Fig. 6 ARAP1-mediated inhibition of LUAD cancer cell metastasis is mainly dependent on its RhoGAP activity. Wound healing (A) and transwell (B, C) assays detected the effects of ARAP1 and indicated mutants OE on migration and invasion of A549 cells (mean \pm SD, n = 3). Scale bar: 200 µm. D Western blot examined EMT markers expression after ARAP1 and indicated mutants OE. E The model of ARAP1 regulates metastasis in LUAD. ns: no significant; #P < 0.05; *P < 0.05 and ***P < 0.001 compared to control by Student t test



4 Discussion

Small GTPases regulate a range of cellular functions via different downstream effectors. Plenty of regulators have been demonstrated to modulate the activity of small GTPase and their abnormal expression and/or dysfunction are closely related to many human diseases, such as cancer [12, 39]. Here, we found that the expression of a GAP protein, ARAP1, is frequently reduced in tumor tissues and its downregulation is positively correlated with a worse prognosis in LUAD patients. ARAP1 serves as a metastatic suppressor mainly by inhibiting Rho GTPase-mediated stress fibers formation (Fig. 6E).

It is well known that solid tumors are heterogeneous and consisted of different types of cells, including cancer cells as well as endovascular cells, immune cells and mesenchymal cells [40]. In addition, cancer cells in a single tumor are also heterogeneous [41]. These features inevitably lead to the possibility that the expression of a biomarker is distinct among different patients. Here, we examined the expression of ARAP1 in a LUAD cohort, and found that ARAP1 is frequently downregulated in tumor tissues. To eliminate the effects of tumor heterogeneity, we also analyzed the expression of ARAP1 based on TCGA and CPTAC data, and the results further support that the expression of ARAP1 is reduced in LUAD tumor tissues. However, it is certainly worth examining the expression of ARAP1 and its relationship with the clinicopathological characteristics of LUAD patients in a large cohort.

It was known that epigenetics including DNA methylation and histone modification involved in regulating gene expression, which also plays a crucial role in tumorigenesis [42]. Some epigenetic regulators, such as DNMTs and HDACs, are upregulated in LUAD, which can inhibit the transcription of tumor suppressor genes, thus promoting LUAD progression [43, 44]. Meanwhile, these epigenetic regulators are often recruited onto a same promoter and synergistically modulate the transcription of these genes. For example, proto-oncoprotein FBI-1 recruits Mi-2, NuRD-HDAC complex as well as DNMTs, inhibiting the expression of *CDKN1A* [45]. Here, we found that inhibition of DNA methylation and histone modification could reverse the expression of *ARAP1* in LUAD cells, suggesting that these epigenetic modifications may participate in LUAD tumorigenesis by suppressing *ARAP1* expression. However, the epigenetic regulatory mechanism causing the downregulation of *ARAP1* in LUAD needs to further investigate.

Tumor metastasis, the leading reason for tumor-related mortality, remains a primary clinical problem in cancer diagnosis and treatment. To meet the requirement of motility and military, cytoskeleton particularly microfilaments are reorganized. It has been wildly proven that several specific microfilament-based structures including stress fibers, invadopodia, lamellipodia, filopodia and focal adhesion are involved in EMT and cell metastasis [46, 47]. Among them, stress fibers are dynamic structures shifted from cortical actin fibers that play important roles in cell motility and contractility. Our results found that ARAP1 significantly inhibited the stress fibers formation and metastasis in LUAD cancer cells, which further support the critical role of stress fiber in cell metastasis.

Rho family of GTPases acts as core regulators in cell migration. It has been reported that activated Rho, including Rho, Rac and Cdc42, can regulate microfilaments rearrangement and metastasis in different manners. For example, RhoA is required for stress fibers formation. Activated RhoA binds to and activates its downstream effectors ROCK 1/2, a kind of serine/threonine kinases, which subsequently activates LIMK. LIMK phosphorylates cofilin and inhibits cofilin-mediated actin filaments disassembly, therefore, facilitating stress fibers formation and cell motility [10, 48]. Additionally, RhoA/ROCK pathway could phosphorylate and activate FAK, which also participates in inactivating cofilin by promoting cofilin phosphorylation [37, 49]. Rac1 and Cdc42 regulate metastasis by promoting the lamellipodia and filopodia assembly, respectively [50, 51]. Dozens of GTPases regulators have been found to regulate Rho GTPase-mediated metastasis and play important role in carcinogenesis [52–58]. In this study, overexpression of ARAP1, a GAP protein, can effectively inhibit RhoA activity, which in turn suppresses the phosphorylation of FAK and cofilin, and then inhibits stress fibers formation and metastasis.

ARAP1 is a GAP protein that has been reported to inhibit Rho GTPase via RhoGAP domain and Arf1/5 GTPases activity via ArfGAP domain. Several studies have demonstrated that ARAP1 plays a critical role in regulating membrane trafficking and reorganization of actin cytoskeleton [20, 22, 23]. However, the functions of ARAP1 in different systems are controversial. For example, Hye-Young et al. found that ARAP1 knockdown accelerated the degradation of EGFR, while Tiziana et al. showed that ARAP1 silencing led to an accumulation of EGFR in a sorting/late endosomal compartment that is accompanied by prolonged EGFR signaling [22, 23]. Qin et al. found that overexpression of ARAP1 ArfGAP mutant, but not wild-type, could inhibit cell migration induced by shear stress treatment in MDA-MB-231 cells [59]. However, we demonstrated that ARAP1 could effectively inhibit stress fibers formation and metastasis in LUAD cells primarily by inhibiting RhoA and RhoC activities depending on the RhoGAP domain. It also cannot be ignored that Arf GAP activity

of ARAP1 plays a certain role in regulating metastasis in LUAD cells, because we found that the ARF^{mut} impaired the capacity of ARAP in metastatic inhibition, although it did not affect the stress fibers formation.

In summary, we found that ARAP1 is frequently reduced in lung LUAD tumor tissues and cells, and displays metastatic suppression in LUAD cancer cells via inhibiting Rho GTPase-mediated microfilament remodeling.

Acknowledgements We thank Yi Zheng for technical support and Scientific Research Center of Wenzhou Medical University for consultation and instrument availability.

Author contributions ZD and F. S designed research. ZZ, WX, BG and XL performed research and collected the data. XL, YY and HY analyzed data. ZZ, WX, and ZD wrote the paper. All the authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This research was supported by Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. LQ22H200003 and Grant No. LY21C070004, the Medical and Health Science and Technology Program of Zhejiang Province to Shao FG (Grant No. 2024KY1243), the Fundamental Scientific Research Fees of Wenzhou Medical University to Shao FG (KYYW2021026), the Basic Research Project of Wenzhou Municipal Science and Technology Bureau to Shao FG (Y20220127), Key Laboratory of Clinical Laboratory Diagnosis and Translational Research of Zhejiang Province to Shao FG and Dong ZX(Grant No: 2022E10022).

Data availability The data and materials of the current study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. This study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study protocol and methods were reviewed and approved by the institutional ethics committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University (2019-ky-50). Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. All animal experiments were approved by the ethics committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University. In addition, the animal experiments have followed the guidelines of The Three Rs and the Humanity Criterion.

Informed consent Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- 1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209–49.
- 2. Molina JR, Yang P, Cassivi SD, Schild SE, Adjei AA. Non-small cell lung cancer: epidemiology, risk factors, treatment, and survivorship. Mayo Clin Proc. 2008;83(5):584–94.
- 3. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fuchs HE, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2021. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(1):7–33.
- 4. Friberg S, Nystrom A. Cancer metastases: early dissemination and late recurrences. Cancer Growth Metastasis. 2015;8:43–9.
- 5. Tamura T, Kurishima K, Nakazawa K, et al. Specific organ metastases and survival in metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer. Mol Clin Oncol. 2015;3(1):217–21.
- 6. Lambert AW, Pattabiraman DR, Weinberg RA. Emerging biological principles of metastasis. Cell. 2017;168(4):670–91.
- 7. Pastushenko I, Blanpain C. EMT transition states during tumor progression and metastasis. Trends Cell Biol. 2019;29(3):212–26.
- 8. Loh CY, Chai JY, Tang TF, et al. The E-cadherin and N-cadherin switch in epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition: signaling, therapeutic implications, and challenges. Cells. 2019;8(10):1118.
- 9. Datta A, Deng S, Gopal V, et al. Cytoskeletal dynamics in epithelial-mesenchymal transition: insights into therapeutic targets for cancer metastasis. Cancers. 2021;13(8):1882.
- 10. Lamouille S, Xu J, Derynck R. Molecular mechanisms of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2014;15(3):178–96.
- 11. Yilmaz M, Christofori G. EMT, the cytoskeleton, and cancer cell invasion. Cancer Metast Rev. 2009;28(1–2):15–33.
- 12. Cherfils J, Zeghouf M. Regulation of small GTPases by GEFs, GAPs and GDIs. Physiol Rev. 2013;93(1):269–309.
- 13. Reiner DJ, Lundquist EA. Small GTPases. WormBook. 2018;2018:1–65.
- 14. Sun BO, Fang Y, Li Z, Chen Z, Xiang J. Role of cellular cytoskeleton in epithelial-mesenchymal transition process during cancer progression. Biomed Rep. 2015;3(5):603–10.
- 15. Jaffe AB, Hall A. Rho GTPases: biochemistry and biology. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 2005;21:247–69.

- 16. Crosas-Molist E, Samain R, Kohlhammer L, et al. RHO GTPase SIGNALING IN CANCER PROGRESSION AND DISSEMINATION. *Physiol Rev.* 2022;102(1).
- 17. Sumi T, Matsumoto K, Nakamura T. Specific activation of LIM kinase 2 via phosphorylation of threonine 505 by ROCK, a Rho-dependent protein kinase. J Biol Chem. 2001;276(1):670–6.
- 18. Riddick N, Ohtani K, Surks HK. Targeting by myosin phosphatase-RhoA interacting protein mediates RhoA/ROCK regulation of myosin phosphatase. J Cell Biochem. 2008;103(4):1158–70.
- 19. Song S, Park JK, Shin SC, et al. The complex of Fas-associated factor 1 with Hsp70 stabilizes the adherens junction integrity by suppressing RhoA activation. J Mol Cell Biol. 2022;14:mjac037.
- 20. Hasegawa J, Tsujita K, Takenawa T, Itoh T. ARAP1 regulates the ring size of circular dorsal ruffles through Arf1 and Arf5. Mol Biol Cell. 2012;23(13):2481–9.
- 21. Miura K, Jacques KM, Stauffer S, et al. ARAP1: a point of convergence for Arf and Rho signaling. Mol Cell. 2002;9(1):109–19.
- 22. Daniele T, Di Tullio G, Santoro M, Turacchio G, De Matteis MA. ARAP1 regulates EGF receptor trafficking and signalling. Traffic. 2008;9(12):2221–35.
- 23. Yoon HY, Lee JS, Randazzo PA. ARAP1 regulates endocytosis of EGFR. Traffic. 2008;9(12):2236–52.
- 24. Kang SA, Lee ES, Yoon HY, Randazzo PA, Lee ST. PTK6 inhibits down-regulation of EGF receptor through phosphorylation of ARAP1. J Biol Chem. 2010;285(34):26013–21.
- 25. Nadaraja S, Schledermann D, Herrstedt J, Ostrup O, Ditzel HJ. ARAP1 is an independent prognostic biomarker in older women with ovarian high-grade serous adenocarcinoma receiving first-line platinum-based antineoplastic therapy. Acta Oncol. 2020;59(1):40–7.
- 26. Liu S, Zhang R, Yang Z, et al. HOXA13 serves as a biomarker to predict neoadjuvant therapy efficacy in advanced colorectal cancer patients. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin. 2022;55(3):1–11.
- 27. Wei C, Yang C, Wang S, et al. Crosstalk between cancer cells and tumor associated macrophages is required for mesenchymal circulating tumor cell-mediated colorectal cancer metastasis. Mol Cancer. 2019;18(1):64.
- 28. Dong Z, Li J, Dai W, et al. RRP15 deficiency induces ribosome stress to inhibit colorectal cancer proliferation and metastasis via LZTS2mediated beta-catenin suppression. Cell Death Dis. 2023;14(2):89.
- 29. Liao YC, Ruan JW, Lua I, et al. Overexpressed hPTTG1 promotes breast cancer cell invasion and metastasis by regulating GEF-H1/RhoA signalling. Oncogene. 2012;31(25):3086–97.
- 30. Zhang R, Liu S, Gong B, et al. Kif4A mediates resistance to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in patients with advanced colorectal cancer via regulating DNA damage response. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin. 2022;54(7):940–51.
- 31. Li J, Zhang J, Hou W, et al. Metabolic control of histone acetylation for precise and timely regulation of minor ZGA in early mammalian embryos. Cell Discov. 2022;8(1):96.
- 32. Suwa H, Ohshio G, Imamura T, et al. Overexpression of the rhoC gene correlates with progression of ductal adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. Br J Cancer. 1998;77(1):147–52.
- 33. Diao F, Chen K, Wang Y, et al. Involvement of small G protein RhoB in the regulation of proliferation, adhesion and migration by dexamethasone in osteoblastic cells. PLoS ONE. 2017;12(3): e0174273.
- 34. Wang W, Yang LY, Huang GW, et al. Genomic analysis reveals RhoC as a potential marker in hepatocellular carcinoma with poor prognosis. Br J Cancer. 2004;90(12):2349–55.
- 35. Dawson MA, Kouzarides T. Cancer epigenetics: from mechanism to therapy. Cell. 2012;150(1):12–27.
- 36. Santy LC, Casanova JE. GTPase signaling: bridging the GAP between ARF and Rho. Curr Biol. 2002;12(10):R360-362.
- 37. Shi Y, Pontrello CG, DeFea KA, Reichardt LF, Ethell IM. Focal adhesion kinase acts downstream of EphB receptors to maintain mature dendritic spines by regulating cofilin activity. J Neurosci. 2009;29(25):8129–42.
- 38. Ungefroren H, Witte D, Lehnert H. The role of small GTPases of the Rho/Rac family in TGF-beta-induced EMT and cell motility in cancer. Dev Dyn. 2018;247(3):451–61.
- 39. Vigil D, Cherfils J, Rossman KL, Der CJ. Ras superfamily GEFs and GAPs: validated and tractable targets for cancer therapy? Nat Rev Cancer. 2010;10(12):842–57.
- 40. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell. 2011;144(5):646–74.
- 41. Meacham CE, Morrison SJ. Tumour heterogeneity and cancer cell plasticity. Nature. 2013;501(7467):328–37.
- 42. Biswas S, Rao CM. Epigenetics in cancer: Fundamentals and Beyond. Pharmacol Ther. 2017;173:118–34.
- 43. Robertson KD, Uzvolgyi E, Liang G, et al. The human DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs) 1, 3a and 3b: coordinate mRNA expression in normal tissues and overexpression in tumors. Nucleic Acids Res. 1999;27(11):2291–8.
- 44. Gutierrez JR, Salgado ARM, Arias MA, San-Juan-Vergara H, Rada WR, Gomez CMM. Epigenetic Modulators as Treatment Alternative to Diverse Types of Cancer. Curr Med Chem. 2022;29(9):1503–42.
- 45. Choi WI, Jeon BN, Yoon JH, et al. The proto-oncoprotein FBI-1 interacts with MBD3 to recruit the Mi-2/NuRD-HDAC complex and BCoR and to silence p21WAF/CDKN1A by DNA methylation. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41(13):6403–20.
- 46. Lehtimaki J, Hakala M, Lappalainen P. Actin Filament Structures in Migrating Cells. Handb Exp Pharmacol. 2017;235:123–52.
- 47. Rubtsova SN, Zhitnyak IY, Gloushankova NA. Phenotypic plasticity of cancer cells based on remodeling of the actin cytoskeleton and adhesive structures. Int J Mol Sci. 2021;22(4):1821.
- 48. Matsuoka T, Yashiro M. Rho/ROCK signaling in motility and metastasis of gastric cancer. World J Gastroenterol. 2014;20(38):13756–66.
- 49. Xie L, Huang H, Zheng Z, et al. MYO1B enhances colorectal cancer metastasis by promoting the F-actin rearrangement and focal adhesion assembly via RhoA/ROCK/FAK signaling. Ann Transl Med. 2021;9(20):1543.
- 50. Ridley AJ, Paterson HF, Johnston CL, Diekmann D, Hall A. The small GTP-binding protein rac regulates growth factor-induced membrane ruffling. Cell. 1992;70(3):401–10.
- 51. Nobes CD, Hall A. Rho, rac, and cdc42 GTPases regulate the assembly of multimolecular focal complexes associated with actin stress fibers, lamellipodia, and filopodia. Cell. 1995;81(1):53–62.
- 52. Xu M, Huang S, Dong X, et al. A novel isoform of ATOH8 promotes the metastasis of breast cancer by regulating RhoC. J Mol Cell Biol. 2021;13(1):59–71.

- 53. Yin H, Zhang C, Wei Z, et al. EGF-induced nuclear translocation of SHCBP1 promotes bladder cancer progression through inhibiting RACGAP1-mediated RAC1 inactivation. Cell Death Dis. 2022;13(1):39.
- 54. Wang J, Yuan L, Xu X, et al. Rho-GEF Trio regulates osteosarcoma progression and osteogenic differentiation through Rac1 and RhoA. Cell Death Dis. 2021;12(12):1148.
- 55. Zhang T, Wang C, Wang K, et al. RacGAP1 promotes the malignant progression of cervical cancer by regulating AP-1 via miR-192 and p-JNK. Cell Death Dis. 2022;13(7):604.
- 56. Wang J, Zhong Q, Zhang H, et al. Nogo-B promotes invasion and metastasis of nasopharyngeal carcinoma via RhoA-SRF-MRTFA pathway. Cell Death Dis. 2022;13(1):76.
- 57. Liang L, Gu W, Li M, et al. The long noncoding RNA HOTAIRM1 controlled by AML1 enhances glucocorticoid resistance by activating RHOA/ ROCK1 pathway through suppressing ARHGAP18. Cell Death Dis. 2021;12(7):702.
- Wang LL, Luo J, He ZH, et al. STEAP3 promotes cancer cell proliferation by facilitating nuclear trafficking of EGFR to enhance RAC1-ERK-STAT3 signaling in hepatocellular carcinoma. Cell Death Dis. 2021;12(11):1052.
- 59. Qin X, Zhang Y, He Y, et al. Shear stress triggered circular dorsal ruffles formation to facilitate cancer cell migration. Arch Biochem Biophys. 2021;709: 108967.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.