Correction to: A Pilot Randomized Trial of a Mindfulness-Informed Intervention for Child Welfare-Involved Families

Samantha M. Brown¹ · Kimberly A. Bender² · Jennifer L. Bellamy² · Eric L. Garland³ · Julia Dmitrieva⁴ · Jeffrey M. Jenson²

Published online: 5 September 2018

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2018

Correction to: Mindfulness

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-018-1001-5>

In the original online publication of this article, the direction and significance of effect sizes in Table 2 were omitted and have been included in this erratum.

The original article has been corrected.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-018-1001-5>

✉ Samantha M. Brown
Samantha.Brown@colostate.edu

¹ School of Social Work, College of Health and Human Sciences, Colorado State University, 1586 Campus Delivery, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA

² Graduate School of Social Work, University of Denver, Denver, CO, USA

³ College of Social Work, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA

⁴ Department of Psychology, University of Denver, Denver, CO, USA

Table 2 Means and standard deviations for mindfulness-informed intervention and control groups

Outcome	Intervention (<i>N</i> = 15)			Control (<i>N</i> = 13)		
	Pre	Post	ES	Pre	Post	ES
Parenting Stress						
PSI/SF total stress	81.07 (18.55)	71.54 (17.69)	.83*	74.31 (18.09)	77.30 (15.06)	-.20
Mindfulness						
FFMQ awareness	25.47 (6.05)	27.85 (6.00)	.55	27.23 (6.02)	24.20 (4.92)	-.42*
FFMQ non-judgment	23.53 (8.55)	26.31 (7.12)	.77	28.15 (4.22)	24.80 (3.68)	-.63
FFMQ observe	30.60 (3.76)	31.38 (4.70)	.19	26.46 (4.59)	30.00 (4.52)	.67
FFMQ describe	28.33 (8.13)	30.08 (7.24)	.35	26.46 (7.31)	26.80 (5.69)	.04
FFMQ non-reactivity	23.67 (4.45)	23.80 (3.94)	.03	20.92 (1.80)	22.60 (2.27)	.63
Coping						
CERQ positive reappraisal	9.13 (1.30)	9.08 (1.04)	-.04	8.23 (1.54)	7.30 (2.02)	-.43
CERQ catastrophizing	5.87 (2.92)	5.69 (2.14)	.08	6.15 (3.08)	6.40 (2.32)	-.13
BCOPE substance use	2.80 (1.66)	2.46 (.88)	.22	3.00 (1.73)	3.10 (1.20)	-.08
Substance Use						
SSI-SA total risk	3.20 (3.63)	1.15 (1.34)	.71*	4.62 (3.43)	1.60 (2.76)	.76*
Child Abuse Potential						
CAPI rigidity	17.80 (13.56)	13.91 (14.86)	.54	23.23 (11.57)	27.30 (17.06)	-.54
CAPI abuse	197.53 (118.27)	147.27 (114.73)	1.22	207.62 (91.99)	214.20 (116.43)	-.14
Child Behavior Problems						
CBCL total problems ^a	63.69 (8.73)	56.90 (11.60)	1.96***	59.75 (6.89)	61.44 (7.06)	-.33

Note: Data are given as mean (SD); ES = within-group effect size (Cohen's *d*); A negative effect size indicates a worsening, whereas a positive effect size indicates improvement from pre- to post-assessment; ^a Sample size = 13 for intervention and = 12 for control groups; *PSI/SF* Parenting Stress Index-Short Form; *FFMQ* Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire; *CERQ* Cognitive Emotion Regulation Questionnaire-Short Form; *BCOPE* Brief Cope; *SSI-SA* Simple Screening Instrument for Substance Abuse; *CAPI* Child Abuse Potential Inventory; *CBCL* Child Behavior Checklist

* $p < .05$, *** $p < .001$