ORIGINAL RESEARCH



Real-time updating of dynamic social networks for COVID-19 vaccination strategies

Sibo Cheng¹ · Christopher C. Pain² · Yi-Ke Guo¹ · Rossella Arcucci^{1,2}

Received: 11 August 2021 / Accepted: 5 March 2023 / Published online: 30 March 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Vaccination strategy is crucial in fighting the COVID-19 pandemic. Since the supply is still limited in many countries, contact network-based interventions can be most powerful to set an efficient strategy by identifying high-risk individuals or communities. However, due to the high dimension, only partial and noisy network information can be available in practice, especially for dynamic systems where contact networks are highly time-variant. Furthermore, the numerous mutations of SARS-CoV-2 have a significant impact on the infectious probability, requiring real-time network updating algorithms. In this study, we propose a sequential network updating approach based on data assimilation techniques to combine different sources of temporal information. We then prioritise the individuals with high-degree or high-centrality, obtained from assimilated networks, for vaccination. The assimilation-based approach is compared with the standard method (based on partially observed networks) and a random selection strategy in terms of vaccination effectiveness in a SIR model. The numerical comparison is first carried out using real-world face-to-face dynamic networks collected in a high school, followed by sequential multi-layer networks generated relying on the Barabasi-Albert model emulating large-scale social networks with several communities.

Keywords Network science · Data assimilation · COVID-19 vaccination · Centrality measure · Multi-layer networks

1 Introduction

The world is still in the midst of the COVID-19 pandemic. The World Health Organization (WHO) and partners are working together on the response, tracking the pandemic, providing recommendations on critical steps, delivering necessary medical supplies to those in need and, finally, racing for the development and introduction of safe and reliable vaccines. By the end of July 2021, nearly 300 vaccine candidates for COVID-19 are currently in trials, and several of them, such as AstraZeneca, Pfizer, Moderna and Gamaleya, have already been distributed in all countries to protect individuals. No other vaccine in human history has been so eagerly anticipated, especially given that until now no drugs are demonstrated to be available to treat COVID-19.

Rossella Arcucci r.arcucci@imperial.ac.uk By July 30th 2021, almost or above 50% of the population has been fully vaccinated in North America and European countries, including the USA(50.2%), the UK(57.2%) and Canada(59.6%). However in some less developed nations the vaccination rate is worryingly low such as India(7.6%) and Peru(14.7%), both having experienced a major COVID crisis recently. Since the vaccination capacity in these countries remains limited until now, people who are most at risk, such as healthcare workers and older population (Mills and Salisbury 2021), are given priority (Kumar et al. 2021). The effectiveness of the current vaccinations in addressing newly developed virus variants (e.g., B.1.617.2 (Delta) and C.37 (Lambda)) has also been challenged (Bernal et al. 2021), leading to the possibility of requiring new vaccinations or doses.¹ Vaccination strategies play an essential role in preventing the rapid diffusion of COVID-19. Clustering analysis has investigated transmission cascades in local social communities. Among all connecting clusters, particular attention has been given to educational settings, including high schools and universities (Ismail et al. 2020). Much effort

¹ Data Science Instituite, Department of Computing, Imperial College London, London, UK

² Department of Earth Science and Engineering, Imperial College London, London, UK

¹ https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/long-term-evolutionof-sars-cov-2-26-july-2021.

has been devoted to maintaining the possibility of face-toface teaching during the pandemic. However thousands of clusters and outbreaks of COVID-19 have been reported in educational establishments. As mentioned in Kumar et al. (2021), the Delta variant has become the dominant strain in the UK, spreading rapidly in schools since May 2021. Hence, finding an optimal vaccination strategy for students and staff has become vital to protecting children and young people since many countries, including India and the UK, plan to reopen colleges and schools, either in full or in part, from September 2021.

Continuous effort has been made for several decades to develop the simulation of infectious diseases based on observed social networks (Camacho et al. 2020), including, for instance, H1N1 influenza (face-to-face contact network) (Cauchemez et al. 2011) and HIV (sexual contact network) (Keeling and Eames 2005). Social network-based analysis for disease spread modelling has been widely implemented since the outbreak of COVID-19 (Mauras et al. 2020; Firth et al. 2020), with the help of SIR (Susceptible-Infected-Recovered) or SEIR (Susceptible-Exposed-Infected-Recovered) models. When the network structure of contacts is (at least) partially observable, network-based interventions are most helpful in determining an optimal vaccination strategy under a limited capacity, which has been proved in a variety of infectious diseases (Meyers 2006). These strategies are usually based on some individual-level measures, such as node degree or graph centrality, which require knowledge of the full network. Furthermore, significant variance of COVID infection probability is also observed (Davies et al. 2020) according to ages and activities. Meanwhile, many connecting clusters of COVID-19 have been identified in schools and workplaces (Yong et al. 2020), where individuals share similar characteristics. Thus the infectious probability of intra-connections inside these clusters could be considered homogeneous. This fact leads to the idea of multi-layer network modelling where the infectious probability may vary from layer to layer.

Much effort has been given to using network-based information for formulating optimal policy responses to COVID-19 (De la Sen et al. 2020), including social distancing and countrywide lockdown. However, the observation of social networks is often noisy (with either missing connections or mistaken edge weights), and, most of the time, incomplete (Rushmore et al. 2014). Obtaining precise knowledge is particularly challenging since face-to-face contact networks are strongly time-variant. The noise-level could be up to 74% (missing edges) for observed connection networks, as mentioned by (Koskinen et al. 2013). On the other hand, as pointed out by Alsdurf et al. (2020), contact tracing applications can significantly reduce the rate of infection in the studied population when the participation rate is above 60%. In other words, it is critical to maintaining an error level inferior to 40%. Therefore, a considerable gap can be found between the required precision and the available data on the temporal networks. real-time updatings of prior network knowledge is thus essential to improving vaccine efficiency.

In this paper, by investigating how the accuracy of network data could impact vaccination effectiveness, we propose a real-time network updating approach based on sequential data assimilation (DA) techniques (Carrassi et al. 2018). Recently, sequential DA algorithms have also been used for real-time parameter identification in the SIR model for COVID spread simulation (Wang et al. 2020; Nadler et al. 2020). An important advantage of using DA, compared to other statistical models for network reconstruction(e.g Peixoto 2019) is that DA is widely used for large-dimension problems with noisy and limited prior data (Cheng et al. 2022, 2021). As an example, Graph Neural Networks (GNN) (Wu et al. 2020) have been demonstrated to have high accuracy in network reconstructions with missing data (You et al. 2020). However, this approach requires retraining for each temporal graph, leading to difficulties in real-time predictions. DA and dynamic network data have been combined in Cheng et al. (2021) where the authors propose a graph clustering approach for the efficient localization of error covariances within an ensemble-variational DA framework. In this work, DA is employed for real-time updating of the network, including novel information from dynamic observations. This contributes to leveraging the information embedded in different noisy/incomplete observations using an optimisation process to reconstruct the current network. This is computationally feasible for large-scale problems thanks to the sparsity of the contact networks. Here, we propose two DA models for different parametrizations:

- The first consists of reconstructing the complete contact network structures by observing the edges in temporal sub-networks;
- 2. The second adjusts inhomogeneous infectious probabilities in a multi-layer network modelling.

These two models are respectively applied to

- A real-world dynamic network dataset describing the contacts of French high school students in a week (Génois and Barrat 2018), collected using wearable sensors;
- 2. Generated scale-free multi-layer networks, where each layer represents a social community/cluster, determined by individual characteristics such as age or activity.

Preliminary analysis is performed to understand the data structure (clustering, classes, grades) of the high school contact networks and to demonstrate the time-variance. The same data set, collected in a high school in Lyon, has cination state L



been used to simulate a COVID outbreak and estimate the reproductive ratio R_0 in Mauras et al. (2020). It is also shown in their work that the study of contact networks in schools or workplaces could lead to more optimal contact-limiting strategies, such as self-isolation or countrywide lockdown. In this work, we make similar assumptions to Mauras et al. (2020) in terms of infection rate (slightly higher regarding new SARS-CoV-2 variants) in the contact network. However, since the availability of the temporal network data is limited, we set a small value for the average recovery period (5 days) to simulate the highest number of infected in the SIR model. With regard to multi-layer systems, the dynamic networks are generated using the Barabasi-Albert model (Albert and Barabási 2002), with a power law degree distribution. The latter exists widely in real social networks. Since mutations of SARS-CoV-2 have continuously arisen, the infection probability in each network layer is supposed to be time-variant, following an additive stochastic process. In both cases, the SIR simulation is carried out with realistic assumptions of COVID-19 to simulate the SARS-CoV-2 propagation, while real-time observations are generated synthetically based on preliminary network analysis. The DA models proposed in this paper are general, and could be applied to various scenarios with different types of real-

world dynamic networks and observation data.

In summary, in this work we

- simulate the COVID-19 propagation and vaccination impact using real or generated multi-layer networks with the SIR model.
- propose a DA framework, with two different network parametrizations, to sequentially update the network structure based on noisy prior information and real-time observations.
- compare different graph measures, such as node degree and betweenness centrality for vaccination prioritization criteria of prior and assimilated networks.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the graph-based diffusion modelling and vaccination strategies. Data assimilation principle and adaptation of graph data are presented in Sect. 3. Section 4 shows numerical experiments in real-world social contact networks, and Sect. 5 shows experiments with multi-layer networks. Section 6 closes the paper with conclusions and future work.

2 Graph-based diffusion modelling and vaccination strategies

2.1 SIR model

The analysis of the diffusion is conducted using a standard SIR model with an additional state describing the number of vaccinated people, as shown in Fig. 1. For each individual, S, I, R denote the susceptible, the infected and the recovered (patients who are not infectious anymore). The SIR assumption has been widely adapted to simulate COVID-19 propagation (Wang et al. 2020; Venkatasen et al. 2020) since reported COVID reinfection cases (e.g. Tillett et al. 2021) are still rare compared to the total number of reported cases thus far. The SIR model has also been broadly used in network-based disease simulations via random-walk-based simulations (Keeling and Eames 2005). Each node symbolizes an individual in the social network, whose status can alter from susceptible to infected (S-I), or infected to recovered (I-R), according to the random walk through temporal edges (Durrett 2010). The transition from susceptible (S) to vaccinated (L) only takes place when required according to chosen vaccination strategies. In contrast to classical disease modelling, since recent research (Bernal et al. 2021) shows that current COVID vaccinations can be significantly less effective when facing new variants (e.g., B. 1.617. 2 (Delta)), the L-S and L-I transitions can be activated as shown in Fig. 1. More details about the transition probabilities are given in Sect. 2.2. In view of the fact that until these days the infection probability after vaccination is still unclear, L-S and L-I transitions are not considered in this study. Nevertheless, the developed model can easily incorporate these types of transitions when required.

2.2 Graph-based vaccination strategy

Both disease spread simulation and optimal vaccination modelling based on social networks have been receiving increasing interest for different types of infectious diseases (Newman 2002). We consider an undirected graph G that is a pair of sets $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$, where $V = \{v_1, v_2 \dots v_n\}$ represents the set of individuals (graph nodes) and the set E contains the edges, each connecting a pair of individuals. Each graph edge $e \in E$ is represented by a triple $e = (v_i, v_i, w_{i,i})$ where v_i, v_j are the two endpoints and $w_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$ is the edge weight. For unweighted graphs $w_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\}$, while for weighted graphs $w_{i,i}$ could represent the frequency or the intimacy of the contact. In epidemic spread modelling, the infectious probability $p_{i,i}$ from the individual *i* to *j* (and vice versa) is often in function of $w_{i,j}$, $p_{i,j} = \mathcal{IP}(w_{i,j})$. We also note that p_{ii} may depend on individual-level characteristics of v_i and v_i , such as age or activities. The connecting graph can be fully represented by the associated adjacency matrix $\mathbf{A} = \{A_{i,j}\}_{i,j=1,...,n}$. We use three Boolean vectors $\{\mathbf{I}_t, \mathbf{L}_t, \mathbf{R}_t\} \in \{\{0, 1\}^n\}^3$ to indicate the status of each individual, either infected, vaccinated or recovered in the SIR model, at time *t*. The recovery period $T_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{N}$ is an uniform distributed random variable generated individually for each individual.

If we adopt the edge-wise function $\mathcal{IP}(.)$ in the whole network,

$$\mathcal{IP}(\mathcal{G})_{i,j} = \mathcal{IP}(A_{i,j}),\tag{1}$$

the infectious probability vector $\mathbf{I}_t^p \in (0, 1)^n$ at time *t* in this SIR model reads

$$\mathbf{I}_{t}^{p} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{IP}(\mathbf{A}_{t-1}) & \mathbf{I}_{t-1} \end{pmatrix} \odot (\mathbf{1}_{n} - \mathbf{R}_{t}) \odot (\mathbf{1}_{n} - \mathbf{L}_{t-1}) \odot (\mathbf{1}_{n} - \mathbf{I}_{t-1}),$$
(2)

where $\mathbf{I}_n = [1, 1 \dots 1]^T$ and \odot denotes the vector-wise Hadamard product. Following a uniform probability distribution, the vector of infections \mathbf{I}_t is simulated using \mathbf{I}_t^p and \mathbf{I}_{t-1} . The only controllable variable in Eq. 2 is the vaccination vector \mathbf{L}_t .

Different graph-based vaccination strategies can be employed to enhance the immunization impact with a limited vaccination capacity. The state of the art approaches are usually determined by observed individual- or community- level social connections, often involving classical graph measures, for instance, graph degree, betweenness centrality (Freeman 1977) or community links (Chen et al. 2008). Much efforts have also been made to use these strategies in practical settings where significant positive impacts have been observed (Harling and Onnela 2018). Since the available graph data often include non-negligible uncertainties (missing vertices or edges), statistical models are commonly employed to provide an optimal estimation of these graph measures. Practical approaches involve, for example, fixed choice designs (FCD) (McCarty et al. 2007) and the nomination strategy (Fernández-Gracia et al. 2017), both based on an estimation of the graph degree. Even with partially observed dynamic networks, the vaccination strategy could be significantly improved in terms of reducing the maximum infected number and delaying the disease propagation, compared to a random choice (Yang et al. 2019). Nevertheless, precise knowledge of the network structure is crucial to determining an efficient vaccination strategy. It is essential to use community-based approaches (e.g Génois et al. 2014; Chen et al. 2008), since graph clustering algorithms can be sensitive to noises. However, the data collection of dynamic social networks remains cumbersome, especially for large dimensional problems. In this paper, we conducted our analysis based on three classical strategies, considered less sensitive to data noise, compared to community-based approaches,

- *Random*: The individuals to be vaccinated are randomly chosen according to the number of doses limited, where no network knowledge is used.
- *Highest degree*: For each temporal network, we choose to vaccinate people with the most contacts based on prior knowledge. Only observable individuals are taken into account. The degree *d*(*v*) of node *v* in a network is simply defined as the sum of the column (or the row for undirected graphs) of the adjacency matrix,

$$d(v) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |A_{k,v}|.$$
(3)

Highest Centrality: The betweenness centrality (Freeman 1977) g(v) of node v is defined as the number of shortest paths of all pairs of nodes in the graph that pass by the node v,

$$g(v) = \sum_{u \neq q \neq v} \frac{\sigma_{uq}^{\mathbf{A}}(v)}{\sigma_{uq}^{\mathbf{A}}} \quad u, q \in V,$$
(4)

where $\sigma_{uq}^{\mathbf{A}}$ represents the total number of shortest paths from node *u* to node *q* and $\sigma_{uq}^{\mathbf{A}}(v)$ is the number of those paths that pass through *v*. Other graph measures relying on detailed understandings of the network (e.g Chen et al. 2008) could also be used to establish a vaccine strategy. However, in real applications precise knowledge of the network is often out of reach. Here, our criteria for choosing graph-based vaccination strategies are two-folds: computationally efficient and non-sensitive to observation noise. The latter ensures the "validity" of the methodology even when working with incomplete networks. To enhance our estimation of dynamic contact networks, we make use of data assimilation algorithms.

3 Data assimilation principle and adaptation of graph data

In this section we introduce the variational data assimilation concept and the resolution using a linear estimator. We also introduce the novel approach which combines DA techniques with dynamic network data.

3.1 Variational assimilation and BLUE

DA algorithms aim to combine different sources of noisy information in order to provide a more reliable estimation of the current system (Carrassi et al. 2018; Cheng et al. 2021). The state variables could be either a physical field or a sequence of parameters. The true state, denoted by \mathbf{x}^{true} , stands for the theoretical value of the state at some given coordinates/time, often out of reach in real-world applications. The objective of the assimilation is to gain an optimal approximation \mathbf{x}^a of the true state \mathbf{x}^{true} , based on the prior information which are two parts: an initial state estimation \mathbf{x}^b (so-called the background state) and an observation vector \mathbf{y} . The former is often issued from prior numerical simulations/predictions while the latter can be obtained via physical measures of some control variables. Their tolerances, regarding theoretical values, are quantified by ϵ_b and ϵ_v ,

$$\epsilon_b = \mathbf{x}^b - \mathbf{x}^{\text{true}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{B}), \quad \epsilon_v = \mathbf{y} - \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}^{\text{true}}) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{O}),$$

where the observation operator \mathcal{H} from the state space to the observable space is supposed to be known. The probability distributions of the prior error are supposed to be centred Gaussian, characterized respectively by the covariance matrices **B** and **O** (Cheng and Qiu 2021).

The key idea in variational methods is to find a balance between the background and the observations using maximum a posteriori (MAP) method. This leads to the loss function weighted by the inverse of **B** and **O**,

$$J_{3\text{D-VAR}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^b)^T \mathbf{B}^{-1} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^b) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y} - \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}))^T \mathbf{O}^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x}))$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} (||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}^b||_{\mathbf{B}^{-1}}^2 + ||\mathbf{y} - \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{x})||_{\mathbf{O}^{-1}}^2).$$
(5)

The optimisation problem defined by the objective function of Eq. (5) is called three-dimensional variational method (*3D-VAR*), which can also be considered as the general equation of variational methods without considering the transition model error. The output of Eq. 5 is denoted as \mathbf{x}^a , i.e. $\mathbf{x}^a = \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\operatorname{argmin}} (J(\mathbf{x}))$. If \mathcal{H} can be approximated by some linear operator **H**, Eq. 5 can be solved via BLUE (Best Linearized Unbiased Estimator) formulation,



Fig. 2 Illustration of real-time DA updating for partially observed contact networks

$$\mathbf{x}^{a} = \mathbf{x}^{b} + \mathbf{K}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}^{b}),$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{\Delta} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{K}\mathbf{H})\mathbf{B}, \text{ with } \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}^{T}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}^{T} + \mathbf{O})^{-1},$$
(6)

where $\mathbf{P}_{A} = \text{Cov}(\mathbf{x}^{a} - \mathbf{x}_{\text{true}})$ is the analyzed error covariance and **K** is known as the Kalman gain matrix. In the rest of this paper, we denote **H** as the linearized transformation operator. The case when \mathcal{H} is non-linear is more challenging for finding the minimum of Eq. (5), especially for high-dimensional problems. The resolution often involves gradient descent algorithms (such as "L-BFGS-B" or adjoint-based numerical techniques).

3.2 Online assimilation with graph data

The essential idea is to perform real-time updating of the partially observed dynamic networks based on other available information, such as sub-graph structures or the current number of those infected. To this end, the prior observed network \mathbf{A}_t^b at time *t* is considered as the background state (i.e., $\mathbf{x}_t^b = \mathbf{A}_t^b$), while other information is embedded in the observation vector \mathbf{y}_t .

Once the current contact network is updated based on Eq. 5, vaccination strategies can be implemented on the analyzed network $\mathbf{x}_t^a = \mathbf{A}_t^a$ (i.e., step 1 \rightarrow step 2 in Fig. 2) which is a more accurate approximation of the true state. The degree and the betweenness centrality of the assimilated network i s given b y $d_t^a(v) = \sum_{k=1}^n |(\mathbf{A}_t^a)_{k,v}|, \quad g^a(v) = \sum_{u \neq q \neq v} \frac{\sigma_{uq}^{A^a}(v)}{\sigma_{uq}^{A^a}}, \text{where}(\mathbf{A}_t^a)_{k,v}$ denotes the element (k, v) of the adjacency matrix \mathbf{A}_{t}^{a} . Similar expressions of $d^b_t(v)$ and $g^b(v)$ on the background state can be given using \mathbf{A}^{b} and $\sigma^{\mathbf{A}^{b}}$. The principle of realtime assimilation with graph data is illustrated in Fig. 2 where the virus propagation is simulated using the SIR



Fig. 3 Preliminary analysis of the l high-school connection network: a overlapped contact network. b Performance rate $p^r(C)$ against assumed community number. c Reordered adjacency matrix after clustering

model, as described in Sect. 2.2 between two vaccination steps. Compared to the overlapped graph, the advantage of working with temporal networks is that the temporal correlation could be considered. In fact, an individual can be active for a relatively short period of time only, as shown below in Sect. 4.1. Therefore, instead of using an overlapped graph (if available), analysing temporal networks can result in an efficient real-time vaccination strategy.

A major challenge of implementing DA algorithms with graph data is the computational cost since the adjacency matrix \mathbf{A}_t , considered as the state variable, is a two-dimensional vector. We can rely on the assumption of graph sparsity and appropriate parameterization to reduce the computational burden. In this work, we propose two DA frameworks for dynamic networks updating, respectively introduced in Sects. 4 and 5. The former aims to reconstruct the full network with observations of sub-graphs, while the latter attempts to adjust the parameterized community-wise infectious probability, relying on multi-layer modelling. These two modellings, relatively at the local and global scale, also show the flexibility of this data assimilation framework.

4 Numerical experiments in real-world social contact networks

4.1 Assumptions and preliminary analysis

This study is based on recently (before the COVID outbreak) collected face-to-face contact data from a French high school (Génois and Barrat 2018), which has been used to simulate a COVID outbreak (Mauras et al. 2020). The connection networks of 329 students (coverage of 86% of the students) in a high school in Lyon are available for 7374 time steps in a week. For the sake of simplicity, we condense the dynamic

graph to 78 time steps by overlapping every 100 consecutive networks. Each time condensed time step symbolizes 30-60 min. The temporal networks remain sparse since the average graph density (i.e. number of non-zero edges divided by the number of node pairs) is equal to 0.76%. All contact networks are assumed to be undirected, which means the associated adjacency matrices are all symmetric (i.e., $\mathbf{A}_t = \mathbf{A}_t^T$) and the virus could spread in both directions of an edge. According to Mauras et al. (2020), the infectious probability (of a 20-second contact) in this network can be estimated as $p \approx 0.1-1\%$. However, this estimated probability might be contested for the newly discovered SARS-CoV-2 variants (Hou et al. 2020). In this paper, in order to adequately investigate the optimality of different vaccination strategies, we fix the infectious probability to p = 2%. Since the temporal network data is only available for a week, the average recovery period in the SIR model is set to 60 time steps (around 4 to 5 days), following a uniform probability distribution, i.e. $T_{\gamma} \sim \text{unif}(55, 65)$. Although the average recovery period can be longer in real cases, it should not impact the analysis qualitatively.

We begin by performing some preliminary analysis of the network data in order to better understand the underlying graph structures. The overlapped network (i.e. $\sum_{t=1}^{78} \mathbf{A}_t$) of all the time steps is shown in Fig. 3a where a clear community structure can be observed. Identifying these communities is crucial to simulating the disease spread, especially for a highly infectious virus like SARS-CoV-2, and to determining optimal vaccination strategies. Much effort has been given to developing community-detection algorithms in social networks (Agbehadji et al. 2021; Parés et al. 2018). In this work, we make use of the Fluid community detection algorithm proposed by Parés et al. (2018), which is advantageous for sparse graphs since the algorithm complexity is *linear* to the number of non-zero edges in the network, i.e. $\mathcal{O}(|E|)$.



Fig. 4 Evolution of infected against different prior error level (percentage of unobserved vertices): a 50%, b 60%, c 70%. Standard deviations are also displayed by transparent shades

In real applications, specifying the number of communities is usually difficult. Here, we apply several times the community detection algorithms against different assumed community numbers k_c , before evaluating the performance rate $p^r(\mathcal{C})$ (Fortunato 2010) of the obtained partition \mathcal{C} . The latter is defined as

$$p^{r}(\mathcal{C}) = \frac{|E_{c}| + \left(n(n-1) - |E_{\bar{c}}|\right)}{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}.$$
(7)

where $|E_c|$, $|E_{\bar{c}}|$ indicate the number of edges of intra- and inter-clusters respectively. The performance rate is commonly used as an indicator for finding the optimal community number. According to the result presented in Fig. 3b, where we clearly observe a stationary performance rate starting from $k_c = 4$, we choose to proceed with the optimal number of clusters $k_c^o = 3$. The final clustering result is displayed in Fig. 3a where clusters/communities are shown in red, green and blue. The three detected communities are equivalently distributed, as shown by the reordered adjacency matrix (Fig. 3c), with 106, 110 and 111 nodes respectively. From a practical perspective, these communities could be considered as different grades or classes in the high school, with a similar structure to the graph data presented in Guclu et al. (2016).

4.2 DA modelling and numerical results

Since it is infeasible to collect contact networks via wireless equipment in all educational settings post lockdown, the objective of this study is to enhance the vaccination strategy when only partial/noisy information is available, for instance, via tracing applications. For this reason, the full contact networks \mathbf{A}_t^{true} are supposed to be out of reach. In terms of background states and observations, we suppose that the temporal network is only partially observable *a priori* where 50% to 70% of nodes are missing in the background estimation of the network $\mathbf{A}_t^b \in \mathbb{R}^{329 \times 329}$. The missing nodes are selected randomly and kept invariant at all time steps. In reality, the missing nodes could refer to, for example, people who haven't installed the tracing application on their smartphone. We also use an observation vector \mathbf{y}_t , which contains the sub-networks for each of these three detected clusters. Thus, we suppose that the intra-community contacts of students in each class/grade are fully observable with \mathbf{y}_t . The objective is to perform DA algorithms sequentially to correct the knowledge of the background network relying on the observed sub-networks. The transformation operator **H** is thus linear (sub-Identity matrix) and the DA problem is solved via BLUE, as shown in Eq. (5). $\mathbf{x}_b^t = \text{vect}(\mathbf{A}_t^b)$ and \mathbf{y}_t are vectorized with Identity error covariances **B** and **O**.

After each vaccination, the SIR model is applied to simulate the virus propagation until the next time step, as summarized in Eq. (2). An essential advantage of BLUEtype formulation with invariant prior covariances is that the Kalman gain matrix can be computed offline a priori since it is invariant to the current \mathbf{x}_b and \mathbf{y} . The computational cost of DA can thus be considerably reduced. The vaccination capacity is fixed 2% (= 6 individuals of all students for all strategies (random, highest degree, highest centrality) presented in Sect. 2.2, based on prior or assimilated graphs. The evolution of the number of infected $|\mathbf{I}_t|$, according to different vaccination strategies, is displayed in Fig. 4, where the percentage of missing nodes in the background state is fixed as 50%, 60% and 70% respectively. To acquire robust numerical results, each type of simulation with or without vaccinations is repeated 10 times and the average values are drawn in solid or dashed curves in Fig. 4. Standard deviations of the simulations (except dashed lines) are also displayed in transparent shades to ensure the robustness of the comparison. The averaged maximum number of infected for each strategy is shown in Table 1. We note that vaccinations take place at every time step for 6 selected students ($\approx 2\%$ of the population) after the simulation of virus propagations with a infectious probability of 2% for each temporal edge. The initial infected $I_{t=0}$, commonly used for all simulations, is

Strategy	Prior error level				
	50 (%)	60 (%)	70 (%)		
Free	88	88	88		
Random	62	62	62		
Background (hd)	51	55	56		
Background (bc)	52	55	55		
Assimilated (hd)	47	50	47		
Assimilated (bc)	51	52	50		

 Table 1
 Maximum number of infected (in percentage) against different vaccination strategies

randomly simulated with a probability of $P((\mathbf{I}_{t=0})_k) = 10\%$ for k = 1, ..., 329.

From Fig. 4, we observe that almost all averaged curves rise to a high point and peak around t = 50-60 when all individuals are either infected or vaccinated. Since the vaccination process takes place in a relatively short period (a week), we suppose that the infected individuals are not detected in real-time. As a consequence, a student can be vaccinated after being infected by the virus, leading to vaccine failure. This fact emphasizes the importance of the vaccination strategy chosen. What can be clearly observed from Fig. 4 is the decreasing infected number according to the vaccination strategy in the order of free (no vaccination) \rightarrow random \rightarrow background \rightarrow assimilated (DA). This order is globally consistent regardless of time. First, all vaccination strategies manage to significantly reduce the number of infected and delay virus propagation compared to the free simulation (green curve). In terms of maximum infected number, for all three cases, the peak value is reduced on average by 26%, 34%, 34%, 40% and 37%, respectively for random, background with highest degree, background with highest centrality, assimilated with highest degree and assimilated with highest centrality. All other strategies are dominated by the assimilated curves, especially when proceeding with the highest degree strategy. The difference, in particular between background and assimilated curves, is more significant when working with large-scale networks. On the other hand, for background-network-based strategies, a growth of maximum infected number against prior error level is noticed in Table 1 while the results based on assimilated networks remain robust. This fact promotes the use of data assimilation on network data when prior error level can not be precisely specified. We note that the missing nodes at each time step are generated independently with no temporal correlation, explaining why reasonably good results can be obtained with 70% missing nodes. In summary, numerical results show that the DA-based real-time updating of networks considerably improves the impact of vaccination, resulting in reducing virus spread.

In these experiments, the use of node degree (solid curves) and centrality, for both background (red) and assimilated (blue) cases, exhibits a similar performance. Such fact suggests a high-level (non-negligible) inter-clusters connections where a contrary case can be found in Sect. 5.

5 Experiments with multi-layer networks

5.1 Multi-layer modelling of scale-free networks

As stated in recent research (Levin et al. 2020), the infectious probability of COVID-19 can differ significantly for different populations, based on, for instance, their age, gender, and activities. For example, both the transmissibility and the mortality rate is reported to be higher for aged people, necessitating appropriate strategies to protect this fraction of the population. SARS-CoV-2 variants may also vary geographically (Baric 2020), leading to inhomogeneous transition probabilities. Since the outbreak of the COVID-19 pandemic, continuous effort has been made to understand the behaviour of the virus infection with respect to individuallevel (e.g. aged people Mueller et al. 2020) and communitylevel (e.g. healthcare workers Shaukat et al. 2020) characteristics. These phenomena have led to the idea of using multi-layer networks, where different types of connections

Fig. 5 a Illustration of multilayers network modelling where the infectious probability depends on the layer of the reception node. **b** Different layers in one temporal contact network where, for example, the yellow layer could represent the community of academic staff in the department of Computing at Imperial College London and the other layers stand for students of different grades (CI_a)



exist between graph nodes (see Fig. 5a) to simulate the virus spread in social networks. In general, multi-layer networks (De Domenico et al. 2016) are widely used to study graph diffusion problems (Gueuning et al. 2019). Recently, multi-layer modelling has also been applied to COVID-19 spread simulation (Scabini et al. 2021) where each layer refers to a potential contamination community, such as school, work-place or transport. Appropriate use of the information on these layers can optimise vaccination strategies as mentioned in Buckner et al. (2020), by prioritising the populations with high risk and high transmissibility.

Since the collection of large-scale face-to-face contact multi-layer dynamic networks is extremely complicated, we rely on conceptual modelling in this work to further examine the performance of the novel approach. Dynamic contact networks of 1000 individuals and 5 layers (each of 200 nodes) are synthetically generated, where each layer suggests a specific group in the population, according to their age or activities (e.g. students, healthcare workers). Assuming all the edges in the temporal networks are fully observable, our objective is to calibrate the time-variant infection probabilities $\{p_{i,t}\}_{i=1,\dots,5}$ based on the observation of infected number in each of the layers $\{I_{i,t}\}_{i=1,\dots,5}$. The temporal variance of $\{p_{i,t}\}_{i=1,\dots,5}$ can be a consequence of SARS-CoV-2 mutations. More precisely, the values of $\{p_{i,t}\}_{i=1,\dots,5}$ update every 5 time steps, following a stochastic process, $p_{i,5t_m+1} = \max(p_{i,5t_m} + \delta_{p,m}, 0)$ for $t_m \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\delta_{p,m} \sim unif(-0.04\%, 0.04\%)$ and the observation vector consists of incremental infected numbers $\Delta I_{i,t} = I_{i,t} - I_{i,t-1}$. For inter-layer connections, the infectious probability is determined by the layer of the receiving nodes, $\mathcal{IP}(\mathcal{G}_t)_{i,i} = \mathcal{IP}((\mathbf{A}_t)_{i,i} \times p_{i,t})$, as shown in Fig. 5a. It is worth mentioning that the associated adjacency matrix A_t is no longer symmetric under this assumption. Nevertheless, the network virus spread modelling in Sect. 2.2 remains valid.

As for the generation of temporal networks, we depend on the concept of scale-free networks where the degree distribution follows a power law, $P_{\rm sf}(k) \sim k^{-\gamma}$, where $P_{\rm sf}(k)$ stands for the probability of a node to have k connections while $2 \le \gamma \le 3$ is a chosen parameter. To simulate intra-connections in each layer, we use the Barabasi-Albert (BA) model (Albert and Barabási 2002), which is scale-free with $\gamma = 3$, incorporating two important concepts in graph theory: growth and preferential attachment (Krapivsky and Krioukov 2008), which exist widely in social networks. Therefore, the BA model is a reference tool to generate real-world-like networks, including web connections or citation networks. To generate a BA network, nodes are added to the network consecutively where the probability of the new node to be connected with the existing node v writes $P_{BA}(v) = d(v) / \sum_{i} d(j)$. The denominator here represents twice the current number of edges in the network. Individuals with a higher degree have a stronger ability to grab links added to the BA network, which is an adequate

Table 2 Initial infectious probability $\{p_{i,0}\}$ in different layers

	Layer 1 (%)	Layer 2 (%)	Layer 3 (%)	Layer 4 (%)	Layer 5 (%)
CIa	2.5	1	1	1	1
CI_b	3.5	1.5	1	0.5	0.5
CI_c	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.5	0.5
CI_d	4.5	1.5	1	0.5	0.5
CI_e	3.5	2.5	1	1	0
CI_f	2	2	1.5	1	1%

assumption for social networks. Moreover, the inter-layer connections are generated randomly with a density of 0.5%, much sparser than intra-layer edges. Eventually, an example of a complete temporal network is drawn in Fig. 5b where the five layers are shown in different colors.

Since temporal edges are supposed to be known in this modelling, we aim to estimate $\{p_{i,t}\}_{i=1...5}$ based on the evolution of the infected number in all five layers. In fact, we can predict $\Delta\{I_{i,t}\}_{i=1...5}$ via a prior estimation of $\{p_{i,t}\}$, establishing a state-observation mapping $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{5\times5}$ for DA algorithms. The DA problem could be addressed as

$$\mathbf{x}^{b} = \begin{pmatrix} p_{1,t}^{b} \\ p_{2,t}^{b} \\ p_{3,t}^{b} \\ p_{4,t}^{b} \\ p_{5,t}^{b} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta I_{1,t} \\ \Delta I_{2,t} \\ \Delta I_{3,t} \\ \Delta I_{4,t} \\ \Delta I_{5,t} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{H} = 200 \times \mathbf{N} \quad (\mathbf{A}_{t}\mathbf{I}_{t}) \odot (\mathbf{1}_{n} - \mathbf{L}_{t})$$
(8)

where

$$\mathbf{N} = \begin{pmatrix} 1_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200} \\ 0_{1\times200}, 1_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200} \\ 0_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200}, 1_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200} \\ 0_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200}, 1_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200} \\ 0_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200}, 0_{1\times200}, 1_{1\times200} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(9)

The simulation/vaccination framework is similar to the one in Sect. 4 with a vaccination rate of $\approx 2\%$ of the population at each time step. This means that all people will be vaccinated before t = 50. For all assimilations, the error covariances are set to be identity matrices, as in Sect. 4. Our goal is to determine an optimal vaccination order based on available noisy information. In order to cover more possible scenarios, we set various initial probabilities { $p_{i,0}$ }, as shown in Table 2, denoted as $\mathbf{CI}_a, \dots, \mathbf{CI}_f$. For the sake of simplicity, { $p_{i,0}$ } always follow a decreasing order from layer 1 to layer 5. Typically, the initial probabilities in \mathbf{CI}_f are more homogeneous compared to \mathbf{CI}_a or \mathbf{CI}_e . To give an example, \mathbf{CI}_a could be used to simulate, for instance, a scenario in the department of computing at Imperial College where nearly 800 students plus faculty members can be found. The layer



Fig. 6 Evolution of infected number (average of 10 simulations) following initial conditions $CI_{a...}CI_{b...}$

Table 3 Averaged maximum infected number and averaged		Highest degree					
standard deviation when		max			std		
using node degree as order of vaccination priority		Prior (%)	DA (%)	True (%)	Prior (%)	DA (%)	True (%)
	CI _a	44.9	23.2	21.5	10.5	3.7	1.7
	CI_b	43.8	23.9	22.6	10.9	2.4	1.4
	CI_c	49.1	29.8	21.0	8.1	2.8	1.7
	CI_d	45.8	22.8	21.1	9.7	2.9	1.8
	CI_e	48.6	29.8	22.7	8.4	3.2	2.0
	CL.	47.8	30.9	21.1	91	3.6	16

with high infectious probability may consist of professors, (senior) researchers and HR officers, while the other four layers can represent graduate or undergraduate students of different grades. The former community has a much higher average age, in contrast to the latter. Furthermore, each community holds a dense intra-connections, coherent with our model assumption. The diversity of the initial conditions $(\mathbf{CI}_a, \dots, \mathbf{CI}_f)$ ensures the robustness of the proposed approach.

The experiments set-up is similar to the one in Sect. 4. While computing the node degree and the betweenness centrality, the graph edges are weighted by either the background $(\{p_{i_t}^b\})$ or the analyzed $(\{p_{i_t}^a\})$ layer probabilities. Since the layer information is unattainable apriori, background networks are set to be homogeneous (i.e., $\{p_{1,t}^b \equiv p_{2,t}^b \equiv p_{3,t}^b \equiv p_{4,t}^b \equiv p_{5,t}^b\}$). The evolution of the infected number, issued from a Monte Carlo test of 10 simulations, is illustrated in Fig. 6. The stand deviation is represented by colored transparent zones. We also display the result of using exact $\{p_{i,t}\}$ (instead of $\{p_{i,t}^b\}$ (red) or $\{p_{i,t}^a\}$ (green)) for vaccination in yellow. This curve is thus considered as the optimal target for the assimilation-based strategy. When vaccinating the nodes with the highest degree, a substantial advantage of the DA approach (solid green line) compared to the background one (solid red line), can be noticed in all 6 sub-figures of Fig. 6. In fact, both the maximum infected number and the average standard deviation have been significantly reduced, as confirmed in Tables 3 and 4. On the other hand, DA has much less impact when selecting the individuals with the highest centrality, as shown by the dashed lines in Fig. 6. A reasonable explanation for this could be the phenomenon of brokerage (Kwon et al. 2020). The endpoints of the few inter-layer edges play an essential role in virus spread. These nodes, also known as

 Table 4
 Averaged maximum
 infected number and averaged standard deviation when using betweenness centrality as order of vaccination priority

	Highest centrality						
	max			std			
	Prior (%)	DA (%)	True (%)	Prior (%)	DA (%)	True (%)	
CI _a	32.6	30.8	23.3	6.0	5.1	1.6	
CI_b	27.3	28.6	22.5	2.8	2.6	2.2	
CI_c	32.7	32.6	23.6	4.4	3.4	2.0	
CI_d	31.3	32.8	22.7	30.0	30.0	18.0	
CI_e	35.1	31.6	22.9	36.3	24.3	17.8	
CI _f	34.0	34.7	23.5	3.8	3.9	2.2	

"broker", do not necessarily have a high degree in the graph. However, since many of the shortest paths pass by them from one layer to another, the betweenness centrality may peak at these nodes with or without adjusting $\{p_{i,t}\}$. This fact shows that when precise knowledge about inhomogeneous infectious probability is out of reach, proceeding with the highest centrality might be a robust choice. Nevertheless, both the dashed green line and the dashed red line are dominated by the solid green line (assimilated networks with the highest degree) in all 6 sub-figures.

We also note that for Fig. 6a, b, d where the five layers exhibit more variance for the initial probabilities, the assimilated curve is much closer to the optimal one. In fact, optimally vaccinating an inhomogeneous network requires less accurate knowledge of layer probabilities so long as the most infectious layers can be identified. For example, proceeding with (5%, 1%, 1%, 1%, 1%) and (7%, 0.5%, 0.5%, 0.5%, 0.5%) for vaccine priorities may lead to similar results.

The evolution of the normalized true layer probabilities is $\frac{p_{i,t}}{\sum_k p_{k,t}}$, while their posterior (analyzed) estimation is $\frac{p_{i,t}^a}{\sum_k p_{k,t}^a}$. The gap between the estimated and the true ratio of probabilities is rapidly reduced with the increasing of $p_{1,i}^a$, which results in a more optimal vaccination strategy. Since vaccinating infected individuals is ineffective, the early phase (around the first 20 time steps) of the outbreak is crucial to delaying the COVID spread because the most active individuals (either in terms of degree or centrality) can be infected very quickly. Therefore, the DA correction at the start of the vaccination process plays an essential role in reducing the propagation speed. On another note, we also observe that a strong oscillation in the values of $\frac{p_{i,t}^a}{\sum_k p_{k,t}^a}$ which implies high instability of the observation vector $\mathbf{y}_t = [\Delta I_{i,t}]_{i=1..5}$ due to sampling uncertainties.

In summary, the assimilation-based vaccination strategy shows competitive performance in this multi-layer modelling even though the assimilated layer probabilities are just approximations. Using the assimilated temporal networks with "highest degree" dominates other approaches,

with a smaller average infected number and lower standard deviation.

6 Conclusion and future work

Despite the continuous efforts, including vaccination and countrywide lockdown, it remains unclear how the COVID-19 pandemic will play out. Determining an efficient vaccination strategy is essential for combating the COVID long-term, especially with arising numbers of SARS-CoV-2 mutations. For the moment, it remains difficult to vaccinate the entire population in many countries. Using temporal contact network information can significantly improve the vaccination impact on slowing down disease propagation. This is crucial to alleviating the burden on hospitals and emergency clinics. In this paper, we propose a data assimilation framework to monitor the evolution of social contact networks based on different information sources. The assimilated networks are used to govern vaccination strategies by prioritising high-risk individuals. An important strength of this framework compared to other network reconstruction methods, is the flexibility of dealing with available data and the efficiency for large-scale networks. We have applied the proposed approach to real high school contact networks with synthetic observations and real-world-like dynamic multilayer networks generated using the Barbasi-Albert model. The latter is used to simulate virus propagation with inhomogeneous community-level infectious probabilities. In both applications, the proposed method exhibits a significant advantage in terms of effectiveness (smaller infected number) and robustness (lower deviation). The choice of graph measures for identifying high-risk individuals, such as node degree or betweenness centrality, has also been discussed through numerical results. Data assimilation-based surrogate models have been recently developed in many fields (Cheng et al. 2022; Peyron et al. 2021; Cheng et al. 2022; Xiao et al. 2018; Liu et al. 2022) to release the system computational burden. This idea can be used to improve the efficiency of our model proposed in this paper. We note that some recent work focuses on establishing data-driven models to predict individual- or community-level infection probability by learning personal data, including height, weight and health records (Zoabi et al. 2021; Quilodrán-Casas et al. 2022). Computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations are also being developed to simulate SARS-CoV-2 transmission in schools and offices. Future work can be considered to improve individual-level modelling by incorporating these features in the contact networks. Our work opens promising perspectives on governing efficient vaccination strategies, especially for countries with a relatively low vaccination rate, or, if new vaccinations (e.g., against specific SARS-CoV-2 variants) are disseminated. The current modelling could be extended when more network information (e.g. from tracing applications Basmi et al. 2021) becomes available.

Acknowledgements This work is supported by the EP/V036777/1 Risk EvaLuatIon fAst iNtelligent Tool (RELIANT) for COVID19 and the EP/T000414/1 PREdictive Modelling with QuantIfication of UncER-tainty for MultiphasE Systems (PREMIERE). This research was partially funded by the Leverhulme Centre for Wildfires, Environment and Society, grant number RC-2018-023.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Agbehadji IE, Millham RC, Abayomi A, Jung JJ, Fong SJ, Frimpong SO (2021) Clustering algorithm based on nature-inspired approach for energy optimization in heterogeneous wireless sensor network. Appl Soft Comput 104:107171
- Albert R, Barabási A-L (2002) Statistical mechanics of complex networks. Rev Mod Phys 74:47–97
- Alsdurf H, Belliveau E, Bengio Y, Deleu T, Gupta P, Ippolito D, Janda R, Jarvie M, Kolody T, Krastev S, Maharaj T, Obryk R, Pilat D, Pisano V, Prud'homme B, Qu M, Rahaman N, Rish I, Rousseau J-F, Sharma A, Struck B, Tang J, Weiss M, Yu YW (2020) Covid white paper. preprint arXiv:2005.08502
- Baric RS (2020) Emergence of a highly fit SARS-CoV-2 variant. N Engl J Med 383(27):2684–2686
- Basmi W, Boulmakoul A, Karim L, Lbath A (2021) Distributed and scalable platform architecture for smart cities complex events data

collection: Covid19 pandemic use case. J Ambient Intell Humaniz Comput 12(1):75–83

- Buckner JH, Chowell G, Springborn MR (2020) Optimal dynamic prioritization of scarce COVID-19 vaccines. medRxiv : the preprint server for health sciences
- Camacho D, Panizo-LLedot Á, Bello-Orgaz G, Gonzalez-Pardo A, Cambria E (2020) The four dimensions of social network analysis: An overview of research methods, applications, and software tools. Inf Fusion 63:88–120
- Carrassi A, Bocquet M, Bertino L, Evensen G (2018) Data assimilation in the geosciences: An overview of methods, issues, and perspectives. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Clim Change 9(5):e535
- Cauchemez S, Bhattarai A, Marchbanks TL, Fagan RP, Ostroff S, Ferguson NM, Swerdlow D (2011) Role of social networks in shaping disease transmission during a community outbreak of 2009 h1n1 pandemic influenza. Proc Natl Acad Sci 108(7):2825–2830
- Chen Y, Paul G, Havlin S, Liljeros F, Stanley HE (2008) Finding a better immunization strategy. Phys Rev Lett 101:058701
- Cheng S, Argaud J-P, Iooss B, Lucor D, Ponçot A (2021) Error covariance tuning in variational data assimilation: application to an operating hydrological model. Stoch Env Res Risk Assess 35(5):1019–1038
- Cheng S, Argaud J-P, Iooss B, Ponçot A, Lucor D (2021) A graph clustering approach to localization for adaptive covariance tuning in data assimilation based on state-observation mapping. Math Geosci 1–30
- Cheng S, Chen J, Anastasiou C, Angeli P, Guo MOKY-K, Pain CC, Arcucci R (2022) Generalised latent assimilation in heterogeneous reduced spaces with machine learning surrogate models. under review
- Cheng S, Jin Y, Harrison SP, Quilodrán-Casas C, Prentice IC, Guo Y-K, Arcucci R (2022) Parameter flexible wildfire prediction using machine learning techniques: Forward and inverse modelling. Remote Sens 14(13):3228
- Cheng S, Lucor D, Argaud J-P (2021) Observation data compression for variational assimilation of dynamical systems. J Comput Sci 101405
- Cheng S, Prentice IC, Huang Y, Jin Y, Guo Y-K, Arcucci R (2022) Data-driven surrogate model with latent data assimilation: application to wildfire forecasting. J Comput Phys 111302
- Cheng S, Qiu M (2021) Observation error covariance specification in dynamical systems for data assimilation using recurrent neural networks. Neural Comput Appl 1–19
- Davies NG, Klepac P, Liu Y, Prem K, Jit M, Pearson CAB, Quilty BJ, Kucharski AJ, Gibbs H, Clifford S, Gimma A, van Zandvoort K, Munday JD, Diamond C, Edmunds WJ, Houben RMGJ, Hellewell J, Russell TW, Abbott S, Funk S, Bosse NI, Sun YF, Flasche S, Rosello A, Jarvis CI, Eggo RM, CC- w group (2020) Agedependent effects in the transmission and control of COVID-19 epidemics. Nat Med 26(8):1205–1211
- De Domenico, M, Granell C, Porter MA, Arenas A (2016) The physics of spreading processes in multilayer networks. Nat Phys 12(10)
- De la Sen M, Ibeas A, Agarwal RP (2020) On confinement and quarantine concerns on an seiar epidemic model with simulated parameterizations for the COVID-19 pandemic. Symmetry 12(10)
- Durrett R (2010) Some features of the spread of epidemics and information on a random graph. Proc Natl Acad Sci 107(10):4491–4498
- Fernández-Gracia J, Onnela J-P, Barnett ML, Eguíluz VM, Christakis NA (2017) Influence of a patient transfer network of us inpatient facilities on the incidence of nosocomial infections. Sci Rep 7(1):2930
- Firth J, Hellewell J, Klepac P, Kissler S, Kucharski A, Spurgin L (2020) Using a real-world network to model localized COVID-19 control strategies. Nat Med 26

- Fortunato S (2010) Community detection in graphs. Phys Rep 486(3):75–174
- Freeman LC (1977) A set of measures of centrality based on betweenness. Sociometry 40(1):35–41
- Guclu H, Read J, Vukotich CJ Jr, Galloway DD, Gao H, Rainey JJ, Uzicanin A, Zimmer SM, Cummings DAT (2016) Social contact networks and mixing among students in k-12 schools in pittsburgh. PA. PLOS ONE 11:1–19
- Gueuning M, Cheng S, Lambiotte R, Delvenne J-C (2019) Rockpaper-scissors dynamics from random walks on temporal multiplex networks. J Complex Netw 8(2)
- Génois M, Barrat A (2018) Can co-location be used as a proxy for face-to-face contacts? EPJ Data Sci 7(1):11
- Génois M, Vestergaard C, Fournet J, Panisson A, Bonmarin I, Barrat A (2014) Data on face-to-face contacts in an office building suggest a low-cost vaccination strategy based on community linkers. Netw Sci 3:326–347
- Harling G, Onnela J-P (2018) Impact of degree truncation on the spread of a contagious process on networks. Netw Sci 6(1):34–53
- Hou YJ, Chiba S, Halfmann P, Ehre C, Kuroda M, Dinnon KH, Leist SR, Schäfer A, Nakajima N, Takahashi K, Lee RE, Mascenik TM, Graham R, Edwards CE, Tse LV, Okuda K, Markmann AJ, Bartelt L, de Silva A, Margolis DM, Boucher RC, Randell SH, Suzuki T, Gralinski LE, Kawaoka Y, Baric RS (2020) SARS-CoV-2 d614g variant exhibits efficient replication ex vivo and transmission in vivo. Science 370(6523):1464–1468
- Ismail S, Saliba V, Bernal J, Ramsay M, Ladhani S (2020) SARS-CoV-2 infection and transmission in educational settings: a prospective, cross-sectional analysis of infection clusters and outbreaks in England. Lancet Infect Dis
- Keeling MJ, Eames KT (2005) Networks and epidemic models. J R Soc Interface 2(4):295–307
- Koskinen JH, Robins GL, Wang P, Pattison PE (2013) Bayesian analysis for partially observed network data, missing ties, attributes and actors. Soc Netw 35(4):514–527
- Krapivsky P, Krioukov D (2008) Scale-free networks as preasymptotic regimes of superlinear preferential attachment. Phys Rev E Statistical, nonlinear, and soft matter physics 78:026114
- Kumar VM, Pandi-Perumal SR, Trakht I, Thyagarajan SP (2021) Strategy for COVID-19 vaccination in India: the country with the second highest population and number of cases. NPJ Vaccines 6(1):1–7
- Kwon S-W, Rondi E, Levin DZ, Massis AD, Brass DJ (2020) Network brokerage: An integrative review and future research agenda. J Manag 46(6):1092–1120
- Levin AT, Hanage WP, Owusu-Boaitey N, Cochran KB, Walsh SP, Meyerowitz-Katz G (2020) Assessing the age specificity of infection fatality rates for COVID-19: systematic review, meta-analysis, and public policy implications. Eur J Epidemiol 35(12):1123–1138
- Liu C, Fu R, Xiao D, Stefanescu R, Sharma P, Zhu C, Sun S, Wang C (2022) Enkf data-driven reduced order assimilation system. Eng Anal Bound Elem 139:46–55
- Bernal JL, Andrews N, Gower C, Gallagher E, Simmons R, Thelwall S, Stowe J, Tessier E, Groves N, Dabrera G et al (2021) Effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines against the b. 1.617. 2 (delta) variant. N Engl J Med
- Mauras S, Cohen-Addad V, Duboc G, la Tour MD, Frasca P, Mathieu C, Opatowski L, Viennot L (2020) Analysis of mitigation of COVID-19 outbreaks in workplaces and schools by hybrid telecommuting. medRxiv
- McCarty C, Killworth PD, Rennell J (2007) Impact of methods for reducing respondent burden on personal network structural measures. Soc Netw 29(2):300–315

- Meyers L (2006) Contact network epidemiology: Bond percolation applied to infectious disease prediction and control. Bull Am Math Soc 44:63–86
- Mills MC, Salisbury D (2021) The challenges of distributing COVID-19 vaccinations. EClin Med 31:100674
- Mueller AL, McNamara MS, Sinclair DA (2020) Why does COVID-19 disproportionately affect older people? Aging (Albany NY) 12(10):9959–9981
- Nadler P, Wang S, Arcucci R, Yang X, Guo Y (2020) An epidemiological modelling approach for COVID-19 via data assimilation. Eur J Epidemiol 35(8):749–761
- Newman M (2002) Spread of epidemic disease on networks. Phys Rev E 66:016128
- Parés F, Gasulla DG, Vilalta A, Moreno J, Ayguadé E, Labarta J, Cortés U, Suzumura T (2018) Fluid communities: A competitive, scalable and diverse community detection algorithm. In Complex Networks & Their Applications VI. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp 229–240
- Peixoto TP (2019) Network reconstruction and community detection from dynamics. Phys Rev Lett 123:128301
- Peyron M, Fillion A, Gürol S, Marchais V, Gratton S, Boudier P, Goret G (2021) Latent space data assimilation by using deep learning. Q J R Meteorol Soc 147(740):3759–3777
- Quilodrán-Casas C, Silva VL, Arcucci R, Heaney CE, Guo Y, Pain CC (2022) Digital twins based on bidirectional lstm and gan for modelling the covid-19 pandemic. Neurocomputing 470:11–28
- Rushmore J, Caillaud D, Hall RJ, Stumpf RM, Meyers LA, Altizer S (2014) Network-based vaccination improves prospects for disease control in wild chimpanzees. J R Soc Interface 11(97):20140349
- Scabini LF, Ribas LC, Neiva MB, Junior AG, Farfán AJ, Bruno OM (2021) Social interaction layers in complex networks for the dynamical epidemic modeling of COVID-19 in brazil. Phys A 564:125498
- Shaukat N, Ali DM, Razzak J (2020) Physical and mental health impacts of COVID-19 on healthcare workers: a scoping review. Int J Emerg Med 13(1):40
- Tillett RL, Sevinsky JR, Hartley PD, Kerwin H, Crawford N, Gorzalski A, Laverdure C, Verma SC, Rossetto CC, Jackson D, Farrell MJ, Van Hooser S, Pandori M (2021) Genomic evidence for reinfection with SARS-CoV-2: a case study. Lancet Infect Dis 21(1):52–58
- Venkatasen M, Mathivanan SK, Jayagopal P, Mani P, Rajendran S, Subramaniam U, Ramalingam AC, Rajasekaran VA, Indirajithu A, Somanathan MS (2020) Forecasting of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic in india using sir model, flatten curve and herd immunity. J Ambient Intell Hum Comput 1–9
- Wang S, Yang X, Li L, Nadler P, Arcucci R, Huang Y, Teng Z, Guo Y (2020) A bayesian updating scheme for pandemics: Estimating the infection dynamics of COVID-19. IEEE Comput Intell Mag 15(4):23–33
- Wu Z, Pan S, Chen F, Long G, Zhang C, Philip SY (2020) A comprehensive survey on graph neural networks. IEEE Trans Neural Netw Learn Syst 32(1):4–24
- Xiao D, Du J, Fang F, Pain C, Li J (2018) Parameterised non-intrusive reduced order methods for ensemble Kalman filter data assimilation. Comput Fluids 177:69–77
- Yang Y, McKhann A, Chen S, Harling G, Onnela J-P (2019) Efficient vaccination strategies for epidemic control using network information. Epidemics 27:115–122
- Yong SEF, Anderson DE, Wei WE, Pang J, Chia WN, Tan CW, Teoh YL, Rajendram P, Toh MPHS, Poh C, Koh VTJ, Lum J, Suhaimi NM, Chia PY, Chen MI, Vasoo S, Ong B, Leo YS, Wang L, Lee VJM (2020) Connecting clusters of COVID-19: an epidemiological and serological investigation. Lancet Infect Dis 20(7):809–815

You J, Ma X, Ding DY, Kochenderfer M, Leskovec J (2020) Handling missing data with graph representation learning. arXiv preprint arXiv:2010.16418

Zoabi Y, Deri-Rozov S, Shomron N (2021) Machine learning-based prediction of covid-19 diagnosis based on symptoms. NPJ Digit Med 4(1):1–5 **Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.