**REPORTS OF ORIGINAL INVESTIGATIONS** 



## Frequency and characteristics of healthcare visits associated with chronic pain: results from a population-based Canadian study Fréquence et caractéristiques des visites aux services de soins de santé associées à la douleur chronique: résultats d'une étude canadienne fondée sur la population

Elizabeth G. Mann, RN, PhD · Ana Johnson, PhD · Elizabeth G. VanDenKerkhof, RN, DrPH

Received: 31 March 2015/Revised: 16 October 2015/Accepted: 21 December 2015/Published online: 3 February 2016 © Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society 2016

#### Abstract

**Purpose** This study was designed to investigate the role of chronic pain in healthcare visits. The specific objectives were to document the frequency of healthcare visits and to identify characteristics associated with frequent visits.

**Methods** This is a secondary analysis of data from a Canadian cross-sectional study on chronic pain. One thousand two hundred and ninety-four participants were screened for chronic pain, and 741 reported having "pain or discomfort that had been experienced either all the time or intermittently for at least three months". Data regarding sociodemographics, general health, and healthcare visits were also collected. The frequency of healthcare visits was defined as at or above the 90th percentile for the group. Frequency was calculated for each setting, i.e., physicians' offices ( $\geq$  9), emergency departments ( $\geq$  1), and other ( $\geq$  15). Binary logistic regression analyses were conducted to identify factors associated with frequent visits.

This article is accompanied by an editorial. Please see Can J Anesth 2016; 63: this issue.

E. G. Mann, RN, PhD School of Nursing, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada

A. Johnson, PhD Department of Public Health Sciences, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada

E. G. VanDenKerkhof, RN, DrPH (🖂) School of Nursing and Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine, Queen's University, 92 Barrie St., Kingston, ON K7L 3N6, Canada e-mail: ev5@queensu.ca URL: http://nursing.queensu.ca/faculty/elizabeth\_vandenkerkhof **Results** Chronic pain increased the frequency of visits to physicians (odds ratio [OR], 4.7; 95% confidence interval [CI], 2.8 to 7.9), emergency departments (OR, 1.4; 95% CI, 1.0 to 2.0), and "other" healthcare professionals (OR, 8.3; 95% CI, 4.5 to 15.5). Having  $\geq 3$ chronic conditions significantly increased the odds of frequent healthcare visits.

**Conclusion** Interventions aimed at reducing healthcare costs for chronic pain should target individuals living with multiple chronic conditions. Research is needed to develop and test interventions that focus on the needs of these groups. Identifying the risk factors for high healthcare use and improving self-management may reduce healthcare visits.

#### Résumé

**Objectif** Cette étude a été conçue afin d'examiner le rôle de la douleur chronique dans les visites aux services de soins de santé. Les objectifs spécifiques de l'étude étaient de documenter la fréquence des visites et de déterminer les caractéristiques associées à des visites fréquentes.

**Méthode** Cette étude est une analyse secondaire de données tirées d'une étude canadienne transversale sur la douleur chronique. Au total, 1094 patients ont été dépistés pour la douleur chronique, et 741 ont rapporté ressentir « des douleurs ou un inconfort perçus de façon continue ou intermittente pendant au moins trois mois ». Les données sociodémographiques ainsi que celles concernant la santé générale et les visites aux services de soins de santé ont également été colligées. La fréquence des visites aux services de soins de santé a été définie comme étant égale ou au-dessus du 90<sup>e</sup> percentile pour le groupe et calculée pour chaque type de service, soit les docteurs et spécialistes ( $\geq$  9), les départements d'urgence ( $\geq$  1), et autres ( $\geq$  15). Des analyses binaires de régression logistique ont été réalisées pour chacun des trois sites de visites fréquentes.

**Résultats** La douleur chronique a augmenté la probabilité de visites fréquentes chez le médecin (rapport de cotes [RC], 4,7; intervalle de confiance [IC] 95 %, 2,8 à 7,9), aux départements d'urgence (RC, 1,4; IC 95 %, 1,0 à 2,0) et aux « autres » professionnels de la santé (RC, 8,3; IC 95 %, 4,5 à 15,5). Indépendamment de la douleur chronique, le fait de souffrir d'au moins trois maladies chroniques augmentait la probabilité de visites fréquentes chez la plupart des professionnels de la santé.

**Conclusion** Les interventions visant à réduire les coûts de santé liés à la douleur chronique devraient cibler les personnes souffrant de maladies chroniques multiples. Des interventions sont nécessaires pour faire face aux défis liés à la prise en charge concomitante de plusieurs maladies (par ex., les médications multiples). Des recherches supplémentaires sont nécessaires pour mettre au point et tester des interventions qui ciblent les besoins de ces groupes. L'identification des facteurs de risque d'une utilisation élevée et l'amélioration de l'autogestion devraient aider à réduire les visites aux services de soins de santé.

Chronic pain affects approximately 30% of individuals internationally,<sup>1</sup> and treatment frequently involves multiple modalities provided by one or more health professionals.<sup>2</sup> Considerable costs accrue with healthcare visits (e.g., primary care physicians, specialists, and urgent care) as well as with hospitalization, medication, and other therapies.<sup>3,4</sup> In Canada, hospitals, medications, and physicians represent the three largest sources of healthcare costs for chronic pain care, with total physician costs exceeding \$20 billion in 2010 and continuing to grow.<sup>5</sup> When healthcare use and resulting costs are compared between individuals living with chronic pain and non-pain controls, those with chronic pain consistently report high use,<sup>6-9</sup> resulting in an estimated doubling of direct medical costs.<sup>6-8</sup> Hong et al. compared direct medical costs between individuals with chronic low back pain and matched controls and reported that 59% of the cost difference was due to visits to general physicians, 22% was due to specialist referrals, and 19% was due to medications.<sup>6</sup>

The increase in visits and costs related to the care of chronic pain are at least partially related to the characteristics of the pain, including pain intensity and resulting disability and interference.<sup>9-11</sup> Nevertheless, other characteristics of individuals with chronic pain have been identified as potential contributors to increased visits and resulting medical costs. These characteristics include

sociodemographic status,<sup>9</sup> insurance,<sup>12</sup> and comorbid conditions,<sup>13</sup> including depression<sup>7,9</sup> and other mental illnesses,<sup>7,13</sup> chronic obstructive pulmonary disorder,<sup>8</sup> diabetes mellitus, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease.<sup>13</sup>

Thus, although there is a disparity in healthcare visits and costs between individuals with and without chronic pain, multiple health and sociodemographic variables may explain these differences. It would be useful to identify the key risk factors for high use of healthcare resources in order to target interventions towards high-risk groups. The purpose of this paper was to explore the role of chronic pain on healthcare visits. We selected the following research objectives to facilitate this task: (1) to identify the number of visits to primary care, specialists, walk-in clinics, emergency departments, and "other" healthcare providers by individuals with and without chronic pain; and (2) to identify characteristics associated with frequent healthcare use, including chronic pain.

#### Methods

#### Design and participants

The Queen's University and Affiliated Teaching Hospitals Research Ethics Board (REB) reviewed this study for ethical compliance in September 2010. Completion of the questionnaire implied consent. This cross-sectional study was part of a large national survey involving 8,000 randomly selected Canadians.<sup>14</sup> The polling company, SM Research (www.smres.com), randomly selected the potential participant pool of adults aged 18 yr or older from telephone book listings from the ten provinces. Each survev package contained a cover letter, a questionnaire containing the potential participant's unique study identification number (see Appendix), and a stamped return envelope. The cover letter specified that the resident with the next calendar birthday was to complete the questionnaire. Prior to mailing, the questionnaire was reviewed by three health professionals and three lay persons who were not involved in the study. Furthermore, a pilot study was conducted to explore language preference and to identify questions that respondents may find difficult to understand or answer. The questionnaire was mailed in November 2011 with a follow-up to non-respondents in May 2012. It was available in both official languages (French and English), could be completed either via hard copy or online, and included multiple-choice, fill-in-the-blank, and openended items. Information collected on the presence and characteristics of pain, sociodemographic details, healthcare visits, general health, and self-management activities.

Hard copy responses were entered manually into the study database and pooled with the online responses. To ensure participant anonymity, the study database contained only study identification numbers.

Participants were considered to have chronic pain if they reported experiencing pain or discomfort either all the time or on and off for at least three months (Appendix, item 1). As pain may be deemed chronic after a duration of one, three, or six months,<sup>15</sup> three months was used as the cut-off, which is consistent with the definition used in the main study.<sup>16</sup>

#### Data collection items

Pain frequency was captured with one item asking respondents how often they experienced pain.<sup>2</sup> Response options ranged from "daily" to "once per month or less"<sup>5,17,18</sup> (Appendix, item 2). Responses of "once per week" and "once per month or less" were collapsed due to low cell counts. Pain intensity over the past week was captured on an 11-point numeric rating scale<sup>2</sup> (Appendix, item 35). A list of common pain diagnoses, with "other" as an option, was provided, and respondents were asked to identify all pain-related diagnoses made by a clinician (Appendix, item 4). The two most commonly selected pain diagnoses are reported.

Healthcare visits were captured by two items associated with the following two questions: (1) "In the past 12 months, how many times have you seen your doctor, a specialist, visited the emergency department or visited a walk-in clinic?"; and (2) "In the past 12 months, how many times have you seen other healthcare professionals (e.g., chiropractors, physiotherapists)?" (Appendix, items 19 and 22). Participants were asked to respond separately for each type of health professional listed in the first item. Visits to doctors, specialists, and walk-in clinics were collapsed to represent total visits to physician offices. Visits to emergency rooms and "other" health professionals were analyzed separately, as emergency room visits represent significantly greater societal costs, while visits to "other" health professionals represent costs potentially paid by the visiting individual. Ambiguous responses by chronic pain respondents, such as "a lot" or "too many to count", were counted twice for visits to a primary care doctor, once for visits to a specialist, and thrice for visits to an "other" health professional; these responses were omitted from the analysis. Missing responses were counted as no visits to generate a conservative estimate of healthcare visits.

There is currently a lack of consensus in the literature as to what defines a frequent number of visits. From a review of the frequent number of visits to general practices, two main methods for defining "frequent visits" have been used in the literature: (1) a select number of visits over a set period of time, ranging from two to 24 visits over two to 48 months, or (2) a percentage delineating the greatest number of visits ranging from the top 3-25% of visits, with the top 10% being the most commonly used definition. In studies defining a frequent number of visits as the top 10%, these visits accounted for up to 50% of total annual visits in general practices, representing a relatively small group requiring a significant quantity of healthcare resources.<sup>19</sup> In the absence of consensus, and as the exact number of annual visits may vary by different healthcare systems (e.g., private vs publicly funded healthcare), frequent visits were defined as those reporting at or above the 90th percentile for each healthcare professional or setting (doctor, specialist, and walk-in clinic; emergency "other" healthcare professional). Each department; setting was considered separately; for example, a participant who reported one visit to a primary care doctor and 20 visits for physical therapy would have been considered in the 90th percentile for visits to an "other" healthcare professional but below the 90th percentile for visits to a primary care doctor, specialist, walk-in clinic, or emergency department.

Sociodemographic information was collected on sex, age, marital status, education, and annual household income (Appendix A, items 8-11, 16). Health information, in addition to chronic pain status, included smoking status and the number of chronic conditions (not including chronic pain) and was captured using items from the 2010 Canadian Community Health Survey (an annual cross-sectional survey administered by Statistics Canada that captures multiple health characteristics, including health status)<sup>20</sup> (Appendix, items 18 and 7). Responses for sociodemographic and general health items were categorized for comparison with previous Canadian research<sup>9</sup> and collapsed as needed to create a minimum of five responses per cell to maintain participants' anonymity.

#### Analysis

Age was normally distributed and thus described using mean [standard deviation (SD)]. Visits were described using median (interquartile range [IQR]). Sociodemographic, general health, and pain characteristics were described using frequency and percent. Sociodemographic and general health characteristics were compared between participants with and without chronic pain with odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The relationship between each sociodemographic and general health characteristic and frequent healthcare visits was first examined using ORs and 95% CIs. Reference categories were selected to represent what might be considered the low risk group (e.g., no chronic pain, youngest age, highest annual Sociodemographic income). and general health characteristics were tested for correlation using Kendall's tau before entering variables into the regression analysis. As no pair of sociodemographic or general health variables were correlated at  $r \ge 0.4$ , all variables were treated as independent variables rather than as confounders. Backwards manual logistic regression was used to determine the relationship between sociodemographic and general health characteristics and frequent visits to physicians (family doctors, specialists, and/or walk in emergency rooms; and "other" clinics); health professionals. Data analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS<sup>®</sup> version 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

#### Results

There were 1,509 completed questionnaires returned (response rate 1,509/8000 = 18.9%). There were 866 questionnaires returned due to address errors, 4,539 were not returned, and 1,086 were returned with refusals (response rate adjusted for address errors = 21.1%). Out of the 1509 respondents, 741 indicated that they experienced chronic pain, and 553 respondents indicated that they did not experience pain (215 respondents with pain of acute or missing duration were excluded). The provincial representation of the sample was consistent with the national population distribution, with the majority of participants from Ontario (38%), Quebec (24%), and British Columbia (13%).

Table 1 Sociodemographic and health characteristics of participants

Variable	Total Sample	No Chronic	Chronic Pain	Odds Ratio	P
	(n = 1, 2/4)	Pain (n = 555)	(n = 741)	(95% CI)	value
Sex <i>n</i> (%)					
Male	694 (54.3)	322 (58.8)	372 (50.9)	1.0	
Female	585 (45.7)	226 (41.2)	359 (49.4)	1.4 (1.1 to 1.7)	0.005
Age, mean (SD)	57.5 (14.1)	55.9 (15.3)	58.8 (12.9)	1.0 (1.0 to 1.0)	< 0.001
Marital status, n (%)					
Married or common-law	926 (72.5)	401 (73.3)	525 (71.8)	1.0	
Divorced, separated, or widowed	229 (17.9)	84 (15.4)	145 (19.8)	1.3 (1.0 to 1.8)	0.069
Single	123 (9.6)	62 (11.3)	61 (8.3)	0.8 (0.5 to 1.1)	0.137
Highest level of education, $n$ (%)					
Post-secondary degree or certificate	755 (58.3)	344 (62.2)	411 (55.5)	1.0	
High school diploma, CEGEP, or less	386 (29.8)	145 (26.2)	241 (32.5)	1.4 (1.1 to 1.8)	0.010
Other	153 (11.8)	64 (11.6)	89 (12.0)	1.2 (0.8 to 1.7)	0.398
Total annual household income, n (%	)				
\$100,000+	324 (27.3)	156 (30.7)	168 (24.5)	1.0	
\$50,000-\$99,999	451 (38.1)	203 (40.0)	248 (36.6)	1.1 (0.9 to 1.5)	0.388
< \$50,000	410 (34.6)	149 (29.3)	261 (38.6)	1.6 (1.2 to 2.2)	0.001
Smoking status, n (%)					
Never smoked	619 (48.7)	297 (54.7)	322 (44.3)	1.0	
Previously smoked	492 (38.7)	185 (34.1)	307 (42.2)	1.5 (1.2 to 1.9)	0.001
Currently smoking	159 (12.9)	61 (11.2)	98 (13.5)	1.5 (1.0 to 2.1)	0.031
Chronic conditions (not including pair	in diagnoses), n (%)				
0	637 (49.5)	324 (58.9)	313 (42.5)	1.0	
1	381 (29.6)	156 (28.4)	225 (30.6)	1.5 (1.2 to 1.9)	0.002
2	165 (12.8)	50 (9.1)	115 (15.6)	2.4 (1.7 to 3.4)	< 0.001
$\geq 3$	103 (8.0)	20 (3.6)	83 (11.3)	4.3 (2.6 to 7.2)	< 0.001

Sociodemographic and health characteristics of the participants are presented in Table 1. The most commonly reported chronic conditions were hypertension (n = 265; 20.5%), asthma or respiratory disorder (n = 125; 9.6%), mood or anxiety disorder (n = 119; 9.2%), diabetes (n = 105; 8.1%), and gastrointestinal ulcer or disorder (n = 99; 7.6%). Participants with chronic pain were more likely to report being diagnosed with other chronic conditions. Notably, they had 4.3 greater odds of having at least three chronic conditions when compared with participants without chronic pain (95% CI, 2.6 to 7.2).

Chronic pain was most commonly described as present many days or every day of the week (n = 626; 86.6%). On the 11-point numeric rating scale, 31% of participants rated the intensity of their pain as  $\geq 7$  (n = 219), and 38.7% of participants rated the intensity as 4-6 (n = 278). Eighty-seven percent of participants reporting chronic pain had been diagnosed with at least one pain condition (n = 641), with back problems (n = 255; 35.9%) and osteoarthritis (n = 203; 28.6%) as the most commonly identified pain diagnoses.

The median [IQR] number of visits to a physician's office was 3 [1-5], and the median number of visits to an emergency department (0 [0-0] visits) or "other" health professional (0 [0-5] visits) was zero in the past year (Table 2). Participants with chronic pain were more likely to be frequent visitors ( $\geq$  90th percentile) to all health settings compared with those without chronic pain. Categorizing visits at the 90th percentile resulted in the following definitions of frequent visitors:  $\geq$  9 annual visits to a physician's office,  $\geq$  1 annual visit to an emergency department, and  $\geq$  15 annual visits to an "other" health characteristics of non-frequent visitors (bottom 90% of visits) and frequent visitors (top 10% of visits) are presented in Tables 3-5.

In the regression analyses, chronic pain was associated with frequent visits to a physician's office (OR, 4.7; 95%) CI, 2.8 to 7.9); emergency room (OR, 1.4; 95% CI, 1.0 to 2.0); and "other" healthcare professional (OR, 8.3; 95% CI, 4.5 to 15.5) (Tables 3-5).

The presence of multiple chronic conditions consistently increased the odds of participants being frequent visitors to all healthcare settings (Tables 3-5). In the regression analysis, having three or more chronic conditions had at least twice the odds of frequent visits to physicians' offices (OR, 8.5; 95% CI, 4.8 to 15.2), emergency departments (OR, 3.3; 95% CI, 1.9 to 5.6), and "other" health professionals (OR, 2.3; 95% CI, 1.2 to 4.5).

Sex, marital status, age, and annual household income were the only sociodemographic characteristics that were associated with frequent visits (Tables 3-5). The logistic regression models explained only a small proportion (7-20%) of the variation in frequency of visits for each setting.

#### Discussion

This novel Canadian study was designed to quantify the number of visits to healthcare settings by individuals with and without chronic pain (i.e., pain not limited to one location, e.g., back pain, or to one diagnosis) and to explore the role of chronic pain in the frequency of healthcare visits. Participants with chronic pain were more likely to be highly frequent visitors ( $\geq$  90th percentile) compared with those without chronic pain. The greatest disparity was seen in visits to family doctors, specialists, and/or walk-in clinics, and "other" healthcare professionals. Collectively, chronic pain status, general health, and sociodemographic characteristics explained only a small proportion of the variability in frequent visits; thus, the main drivers of frequent healthcare visits remain unknown.

**Table 2** Frequency and percent of participants with (n = 741) and without (n = 553) chronic pain seeking healthcare stratified at  $\geq$  90th percentile of visits in past year

Type of HCP* (90th percentile)	Median Number of Visits [IQR]**		< 90th Percentile of Visits $n (\%)^+$		$\geq$ 90th Percentile of Visits $n (\%)^{++}$		
	Total	No pain	Chronic pain	No pain	Chronic pain	No pain	Chronic pain
Primary care, specialists, and walk-in clinic ( $\geq$ 9 visits)	3 [1-5]	2 [1-3]	4 [2-7]	532 (46.4)	615 (53.6)	21 (14.5)	124 (85.5)
Emergency ( $\geq 1$ visit)	0 [0-0]	0 [0-0]	0 [0-0]	496 (44.4)	622 (55.6)	57 (32.4)	119 (67.6)
"Other" HCP* ( $\geq 15$ visits)	0 [0-5]	0 [0-0]	1 [0-10]	541 (46.6)	620 (53.4)	12 (9.2)	118 (90.8)

Valid percentages presented; \*HCP = Healthcare Provider; \*\* IQR = interquartile range; + = Percent below the 90th percentile of visits with/without chronic pain; ++ = Percent at and above the 90th percentile of visits with/without chronic pain

**Table 3** Sociodemographic and general health characteristics stratified by visits to a physician's office (doctor, specialists, and/or a walk-in clinic) dichotomized at the 90th percentile of visits in past year (< 9 and  $\geq$  9)

Variable	< 9 Visits	$\geq$ 9 Visits <i>n</i> (%)*	Logistic Regression				
	n (%)*		Unadjusted OR (95% CI) <sup>†</sup>	P value	Adjusted OR (95% CI) <sup>†</sup>	P value	
Sex							
Male	620 (54.7)	73 (50.7)	1.0				
Female	513 (45.3)	71 (49.3)	1.2 (0.8 to 1.7)	0.516			
Age (yr)	57.4 (13.8) <sup>‡</sup>	58.2 (16.1) <sup>‡</sup>	1.0 (1.0 to 1.0)	0.097			
Marital status							
Married or common-law	823 (72.7)	101 (70.1)	1.0		1.00		
Divorced, separated, or widowed	206 (18.2)	23 (16.0)	0.6 (0.3 to 1.1)	0.112	0.6 (0.4 to 1.1)	0.087	
Single	103 (9.1)	20 (13.9)	1.5 (0.7 to 2.9)	0.266	1.8 (1.0 to 3.3)	0.060	
Highest level of education							
Post-secondary degree or certificate	675 (58.8)	80 (55.2)	1.00				
High school diploma, CEGEP, or less	333 (29.0)	52 (35.9)	1.2 (0.8 to 1.9)	0.391			
Other	139 (12.1)	13 (9.0)	0.8 (0.4 to 1.6)	0.554			
Total annual household income							
\$100,000+	303 (28.9)	21 (15.3)	1.0				
\$50,000-\$99,999	397 (37.9)	53 (38.7)	1.6 (0.9 to 2.9)	0.087			
<\$50,000	347 (33.1)	63 (46.0)	1.7 (0.9 to 3.2)	0.085			
Smoking status							
Never smoked	561 (50.0)	57 (39.3)	1.0				
Previously smoked	418 (37.2)	73 (50.3)	1.4 (0.9 to 2.2)	0.123			
Currently smoking	144 (12.8)	15 (10.3)	0.8 (0.4 to 1.6)	0.576			
Chronic conditions (not including pain dia	agnoses)						
0	603 (52.9)	33 (22.8)	1.0		1.0		
1	337 (29.6)	44 (30.3)	2.0 (1.2 to 3.3)	0.010	2.1 (1.3 to 3.5)	0.004	
2	134 (11.8)	31 (21.4)	3.8 (2.1 to 6.8)	0.000	3.9 (2.3 to 6.9)	< 0.001	
$\geq 3$	65 (5.7)	34 (25.5)	7.9 (4.3 to 14.8)	0.000	8.5 (4.8 to 15.2)	< 0.001	
Chronic Pain							
No	532 (46.4)	21 (14.5)	1.0		1.0		
Yes	615 (53.6)	124 (85.5)	4.6 (2.7 to 7.8)	0.000	4.7 (2.8 to 7.9)	< 0.001	

\* = Valid percentages presented; † OR (95% CI) = odds ratio (95% confidence interval); ‡ = Mean (standard deviation) reported

1.0 denotes reference category

Unadjusted model Nagelkerke  $r^2 = 0.22$ 

Adjusted model Nagelkerke  $r^2 = 0.20$ 

The results of the regression analyses suggest that sociodemographic characteristics have a small influence and that the number of chronic diseases and the presence of chronic pain were the key health characteristics associated with frequent visits. These results are consistent with other studies comparing groups with and without chronic pain in which increased healthcare costs were associated with mental health conditions<sup>7,13</sup> and other comorbidities.<sup>8,13</sup> These results are also similar to those identified in the general population and chronic disease groups accessing care in both Canadian

and international healthcare systems, where multiple chronic conditions (including pain diagnoses)<sup>21-28</sup> was one of the most commonly identified characteristics associated with high use of healthcare resources or high healthcare costs. Older age has also been commonly associated with increasing healthcare use and costs,<sup>13,21,23</sup> but in this study, it was only significant for visits to "other" health professionals. This result may have been due to the older average age of participants, as < 20% of the total sample was younger than 40 yr of age. Social issues (e.g., financial trouble,

**Table 4** Sociodemographic and general health characteristics stratified by emergency room visits dichotomized at the 90th percentile of visits in past year (0 and  $\geq 1$ )

Variable	0 Visits	$\geq 1$ Visits <i>n</i> (%)*	Logistic Regression					
	n (%)*		Unadjusted OR (95% CI)†	P value	Adjusted OR (95% CI)†	P value		
Sex								
Male	597 (54.1)	97 (55.4)	1.0					
Female	507 (45.9)	78 (44.6)	0.8 (0.5 to 1.1)	0.170				
Age (yr)	57.5 (13.7) <sup>‡</sup>	57.7 (16.0) <sup>‡</sup>	1.0 (1.0 to 1.0)	0.051				
Marital status								
Married or common-law	807 (73.2)	119 (67.6)	1.0					
Divorced, separated, or widowed	191 (17.3)	38 (21.6)	1.5 (0.9 to 2.3)	0.105				
Single	104 (9.4)	19 (10.8)	1.2 (0.6 to 2.2)	0.569				
Highest level of education								
Post-secondary degree or certificate	658 (58.9)	97 (55.1)	1.0					
High school diploma, CEGEP, or less	322 (28.8)	64 (36.4)	1.3 (0.9 to 1.9)	0.154				
Other	138 (12.3)	15 (8.5)	0.8 (0.4 to 1.4)	0.392				
Total annual household income								
\$100,000+	294 (28.9)	30 (17.8)	1.0		1.0			
\$50,000-\$99,999	377 (37.1)	74 (43.8)	1.7 (1.1 to 2.7)	0.028	1.7 (1.1 to 2.7)	0.028		
<\$50,000	345 (34.0)	65 (38.5)	1.4 (0.8 to 2.4)	0.252	1.4 (0.9 to 2.2)	0.186		
Smoking status								
Never smoked	534 (48.8)	85 (48.3)	1.0					
Previously smoked	419 (38.3)	73 (41.5)	0.9 (0.6 to 1.3)	0.495				
Currently smoking	141 (12.9)	18 (10.2)	0.6 (0.3 to 1.1)	0.081				
Chronic conditions (not including pain dia	agnoses)							
0	581 (52.3)	56 (31.6)	1.0		1.0			
1	327 (29.5)	54 (30.7)	1.6 (1.0 to 2.4)	0.038	1.5 (1.0 to 2.3)	0.033		
2	127 (11.4)	38 (21.6)	3.1 (1.9 to 5.1)	0.000	2.8 (1.7 to 4.5)	< 0.001		
$\geq 3$	75 (6.8)	28 (15.9)	3.7 (2.1 to 6.4)	0.000	3.3 (1.9 to 5.6)	< 0.001		
Chronic Pain								
No	496 (44.4)	57 (32.4)	1.0		1.0			
Yes	622 (55.6)	119 (67.6)	1.5 (1.0 to 2.1)	0.037	1.4 (1.0 to 2.0)	0.054		

\* = Valid percentages presented; † = 95% CI = 95% confidence interval; ‡ = Mean (standard deviation) reported

1.0 denotes reference category

Unadjusted model Nagelkerke  $r^2 = 0.08$ 

Adjusted model Nagelkerke  $r^2 = 0.07$ 

uncertain housing) have also been identified as critical elements in explaining high healthcare use and costs.<sup>23</sup> This information was not collected, which may explain why the variables captured in this study were limited in predicting frequent visits.

The role of chronic pain in explaining the number of frequent visits, after controlling for number of chronic conditions, suggests that individuals with chronic pain may seek care because they have complicated health needs (e.g., multiple medications). The strong association between pain and chronic disease may only be partly explained by pain being a symptom of the chronic disease.<sup>3,29-31</sup> Altered physiological processes and the added physiological and psychological stress of various chronic diseases may also increase vulnerability to the development of chronic pain.<sup>30</sup>

Participants from across Canada reported a wide range of chronic pain conditions. As a result, this study contributes to our knowledge about the relationship between chronic pain and healthcare utilization in Canada beyond what was previously limited to individual diagnoses<sup>9,32</sup> or rural family practice settings.<sup>33</sup> Potential

**Table 5** Sociodemographic and general health characteristics stratified by visits to "other" healthcare professionals dichotomized at the 90th percentile of visits in past year (<15 and  $\geq$  15)

Variable	<15 Visits n (%)*	$\geq$ 15 Visits <i>n</i> (%)*	Logistic Regression				
			Unadjusted OR (95% CI)†	P value	Adjusted OR (95%CI)†	P value	
Sex							
Male	641 (55.9)	51 (39.2)	1.0		1.0		
Female	505 (44.1)	79 (60.8)	1.9 (1.3 to 2.9)	0.003	1.9 (1.2 to 2.8)	0.003	
Age (yr)	57.9 (14.1) <sup>‡</sup>	54.9 (13.3) <sup>‡</sup>	1.0 (1.0 to 1.0)	0.154	1.0 (1.0-1.0)	0.055	
Marital status							
Married or common-law	822 (71.8)	102 (78.5)	1.0				
Divorced, separated, or widowed	213 (18.6)	16 (12.3)	0.7 (0.4 to 1.2)	0.178			
Single	110 (9.6)	12 (9.2)	1.2 (0.6 to 2.6)	0.590			
Highest level of education							
Post-secondary degree or certificate	675 (58.1)	79 (60.8)	1.0				
High school diploma, CEGEP, or less	346 (29.8)	38 (29.2)	1.0 (0.6 to 1.6)	0.951			
Other	140 (12.1)	13 (10.0)	0.8 (0.4 to 1.6)	0.539			
Total annual household income							
\$100,000+	280 (26.4)	43 (35.0)	1.0		1.0		
\$50,000-\$99,999	404 (38.1)	47 (38.2)	0.8 (0.5 to 1.3)	0.287	0.7 (0.5 to 1.2)	0.198	
<\$50,000	280 (26.4)	43 (35.0)	0.5 (0.3 to 0.8)	0.011	0.4 (0.3 to 0.7)	0.003	
Smoking status							
Never smoked	547 (58.1)	70 (53.8)	1.0				
Previously smoked	446 (39.2)	46 (35.4)	0.7 (0.5 to 1.1)	0.110			
Currently smoking	144 (12.7)	14 (10.8)	0.6 (0.3 to 1.2)	0.122			
Chronic conditions (not including pain dia	agnoses)						
0	587 (50.9)	47 (36.4)	1.0		1.0		
1	334 (28.9)	47 (36.4)	2.0 (1.3 to 3.3)	0.003	1.9 (1.2 to 3.1)	0.006	
2	148 (12.8)	17 (13.2)	1.7 (0.9 to 3.2)	0.111	1.5 (0.8 to 2.9)	0.176	
$\geq 3$	85 (7.4)	18 (14.0)	2.4 (1.2 to 4.8)	0.011	2.3 (1.2 to 4.5)	0.017	
Chronic Pain							
No	541 (46.6)	12 (9.2)	1.0		1.0		
Yes	620 (53.4)	118 (90.8)	8.8 (4.7 to 16.5)	0.000	8.3 (4.5 to 15.5)	< 0.001	

\* = Valid percentages presented; † = 95% CI = 95% confidence interval; ‡ = Mean (standard deviation) reported

1.0 denotes reference category

Unadjusted model Nagelkerke  $r^2 = 0.19$ 

Adjusted model Nagelkerke  $r^2 = 0.18$ 

participants were identified through telephone books; thus, the study sample may have included fewer younger individuals who are more likely to rely on mobile telephones.<sup>34</sup> The higher likelihood of including older individuals in the participant pool may explain why participants had more chronic conditions on average than national norms.<sup>35</sup> The older sample may have inflated the number of reported visits above the true average for Canadian adults as increasing age has been previously identified as a predictor of increased healthcare costs and use.<sup>7,13,21,23</sup> As all the data were gathered using self-report, the number of visits may have been affected by recall bias; however, prior comparisons suggest that participant recall

is similar to actual number of visits in consecutive<sup>36</sup> and ill patient groups.<sup>37</sup> As recall bias has not been compared between healthy and ill individuals, it is unknown whether the results of this study may have been affected by pain-free individuals perhaps recalling fewer visits than those with chronic pain. Similarly, self-reported chronic conditions would not include undiagnosed conditions that may be associated with frequent visits, and the duration of each condition was not captured, although individuals with recently diagnosed *vs* stabilized conditions may differ in the amount of resources accessed.<sup>38</sup> A major limitation of this study is the low response rate. While within the range reported by other international population-level surveys of

chronic pain<sup>1</sup> and similar to other pain surveys of Canadians,<sup>39,40</sup> a large proportion of questionnnaires were never returned. Consequently, it is unknown whether these missing questionnaires were lost in the mailing process due to the concurrent postal strike or whether the recipients refused to participate. Assuming the latter, the results reported in this manuscript may not fully represent the diverse pain, health, and healthcare visit experiences of Canadians with varying health issues (e.g., those with vision impairment would be unlikely to participate). With no information collected on nonrespondents, those individuals opting not to participate may have differed from respondents in some important characteristics, and thus, the results must be interpreted in light of this limitation. Visits to the various health settings were treated independently in the analysis, but they were likely linked as individuals with poor access to primary care may be more likely to visit an emergency department.<sup>41</sup> The lack of consensus in definitions of "frequent visits" makes the results of this study difficult to compare with the literature; however, using the 90th percentile to define the frequent visits group likely identified a group with high need and associated costs.

#### Implications

The results of this study indicate that individuals living with chronic pain are more likely to have more frequent healthcare visits than individuals without chronic pain. Nevertheless, factors influencing highly frequent use among individuals with chronic pain were not clearly identified in this study. Therefore, the findings of this study require confirmation in larger prospective studies with additional risk factors that may impact healthcare use. If further study supports our finding that individuals with chronic pain and multiple chronic conditions represent a group with high needs and unique challenges, then research will be needed to develop and test interventions that draw on multiple resources to target this group, including medical, social, financial, and community services.

#### Conclusion

The combination of chronic pain and multiple chronic conditions may increase the odds of making frequent visits to family doctors, specialists, and urgent care settings, adding to the growing utilization and costs of healthcare. Further studies are needed to elucidate potentially modifiable risk factors in order to address the challenges of living with chronic pain and multimorbidity. Interventions can then be developed to support these individuals in achieving optimal function and independence in managing their health.

**Author contributions:** *Elizabeth Mann* and *Elizabeth VanDenKerkhof* made substantial contributions to the acquisition of data and drafted the article. *Elizabeth Mann, Elizabeth VanDenKerkhof*, and *Ana Johnson* made substantial contributions to the analysis and interpretation of data and critically revised the article for important intellectual content. *Ana Johnson* and *Elizabeth VanDenKerkhof* made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the study.

Acknowledgements We gratefully acknowledge the contributions of the investigators on the original study, Nicola Torrance, Blair Smith and Ian Gilron.

#### Conflicts of interest None declared.

**Authors' disclosure** Dr. VanDenKerkhof's Pfizer Canada Neuropathic Pain Research Award (https://pfizercns.ca/en/) provided the funding for the survey, including preparation and mailing of survey supplies and hiring of research assistants to aid with data entry. This competition funds independent investigator-driven pain research. Applications are reviewed and selected by an independent committee of Canadian medical researchers. Pfizer Canada played no role in the study design.

#### Appendix



# Epidemiology and Self-Management of Neuropathic Pain in Canada

### This survey can also be completed online at: <u>http://ca.studentvoice.com/queens/neuropathicpain</u> (Your ID number is: \_\_\_\_\_\_)

If you do not wish to participate in this study, would you please help us by indicating a reason below:

- □ I have severe pain and am thus unable to participate.
- **I** have a health condition that prevents me from participating.

#### □ Other (*please specify*): We may wish to contact you again to learn more about your health. If you are willing to be contacted about future research opportunities, please tick the box. 1. Are you currently troubled by pain or discomfort, either all of the time or on and off? □ Yes *If yes*, have you had this pain or discomfort for more than **3 months**? Yes 🗆 No 🗆 No If no, please answer questions 7 - 34. 2. How often are you bothered by this pain or discomfort? $\Box$ All the time or daily $\Box$ Many days of the week □ Once per week □ Once per month 3. Are you experiencing pain at the time of completing this questionnaire? □ Yes □ No (If no, please answer any pain-related questions thinking of a painful episode you have had in the past three weeks) 4. Have you been diagnosed with any of the following common causes of pain? □ Pain from past surgery If ves, did you have surgery more than 3 months ago? $\Box$ Yes $\Box$ No Back problems (such as a slipped disc, back surgery, or sciatica) □ Diabetes □ An accident that damaged a nerve □ Amputation of a limb Fibromyalgia □ Leg ulcers □ Shingles □ Cancer □ Chronic widespread pain □ Migraine □ Osteoarthritis (OA) □ Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) □ Arthritis (other than OA or RA): *please specify*: \_\_\_\_ U Vulvodynia $\Box$ Other, *please specify*: $\Box$ None of the above



5. I experience pain in the area(s) marked with the number(s)

7. Have you been told by a health professional that you have any of the following chronic health conditions? (*Please select all that apply*)

□ Asthma

- Anxiety disorder (e.g. phobia, obsessive-compulsive disorder, or panic disorder)
- □ Bowel disorder (e.g. Crohn's disease, ulcerative colitis, irritable bowel syndrome, or bowel incontinence)
- Chronic bronchitis, emphysema, or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD)
- □ Chronic fatigue syndrome
- □ Diabetes
- □ Heart disease (e.g. heart attack, congestive heart failure)
- □ Hypertension or high blood pressure
- □ Mood disorder (e.g. depression, bipolar disorder, mania, or dysthymia)
- □ Multiple chemical sensitivities
- $\Box$  Intestinal or stomach ulcers
- □ Stroke
- $\Box$  Urinary incontinence
- □ Other, *please specify*: \_\_\_\_\_

8. Are you?  $\Box$  Male  $\Box$  Female

9. What is your current age?

10. Which best describes your marital status?

□ Single	Separated
□ Married	Divorced
Living together	□ Widowed

11. What is your highest level of education?

- 12. Which of the following best describes your current employment status:
- □ Working full time (35 hrs or more per week)
- □ Working part time (less than 35 hrs per week)
- $\Box$  Unemployed and looking for work
- □ Unable to work due to disability and receiving disability compensation
- $\Box$  Unable to work due to disability and seeking disability compensation
- □ Retired □ At home and not looking for paid employment
- □ At nonice □ Student
- □ Other, *please specify*: \_

13. Is your home:

□ Owned or mortgaged by you or your family

□ Rented from a private landlord

□ Rented from the city/council

 $\Box$  Other, *please specify*:

14. How many persons usually live at this address as of August 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011?

(Include all persons who have their main residence at this address, even if they are temporarily away. Children in joint custody should be included in the home of that parent where they live most of the time. Children who spend equal time with each parent should be included in the home of that parent with whom they are staying August 1<sup>st</sup>, 2011 (same as date above). Students should be included in their parents' address, even if they live elsewhere while attending school or working at a summer job. Spouses or common-law partners temporarily away should be listed in the main residence of their family. Persons in an institution for less than 6 months should be listed at their usual residence)

15. Is your main source of income from:

Employment
Employment insurance/Workplace compensation/Welfare
Senior's benefits
Other, *please specify*: \_\_\_\_\_\_

16. Is your annual household income:

□ Less than \$19,999 □ \$20,000 to \$49,999 □ \$50,000 to \$99,999 □ \$100,000 to \$149,999 □ \$150,000 or more 17. Please indicate your ethnic origin:

□ White
□ South Asian (e.g. East Indian, Pakistani, Sri Lankan, etc.)
□ Chinese
□ Black
□ Filipino
Latin American
🗆 Arab
□ Southeast Asian (e.g. Vietnamese, Cambodian, Malaysian, Laotian, etc.)
□ West Asian (e.g. Iranian, Afghan, etc.)
□ Korean
□ Japanese
□ Other, <i>please specify</i> :

18. Which of the following best describes your smoking habits? (For this question, a regular smoker is someone who has smoked at least 1 cigarette per day for at least 1 year).

 $\Box$  I have never smoked

□ I am an ex-smoker

□ I smoke occasionally

 $\Box$  I am a regular smoker now

19. In the past 12 months, how many times have you seen your doctor,	Doctor
a specialist, visited the emergency department or visited a walk-in clinic?	Specialist
(if you have not visited one/all of the listed services, please write "0")	Walk-in
	ER
20. In the past 12 months, how many days of work, school, or other regular activities did you miss due to health-related issues?	Days
21. In the past 12 months, how many days did your health interfere with physical or daily activities including socializing?	Days
22. In the past 12 months, how many times have you seen other health care professionals (e.g. chiropractors, physiotherapists)?	Times

23. In the past 12 months, how happy have you been with your ability to **control your pain** by means of medication or other therapy?

□ Not applicable, since I have no significant pain

Completely dissatisfied

□ Somewhat or fairly satisfied

Completely satisfied

not

The following questions ask for your views about your health. Answer every question by selecting your answer as indicated. If you are unsure about how to answer a question, please give the best answer you can.

24. In general, would you say your health is:

Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor
	Ó			

25. Compared to one year ago, how would you rate your health in general now?

Much better	Somewhat better	About the	Somewhat worse	Much worse
now than one	now than one	same as one	now than one	now than one
year ago	year ago	year ago	year ago	year ago

26. The following questions are about activities you might do during a typical day. Does <u>your</u> <u>health now limit you</u> in these activities? If so, how much?

	Yes, lim a lot	ited a li	Yes, lin	nited limited	No, at all
a. <u>Vigorous activities</u> , such as running, lifting heavy objects, participating in strenuous sports					
b. <u>Moderate activities</u> , such as moving a table, pushing a vacuum cleaner, bowling, or playing golf					
c. Lifting or carrying groceries					
d. Climbing several flights of stairs					
e. Climbing one flight of stairs					
f. Bending, kneeling, or stooping					
g. Walking more than a kilometre					
h. Walking several hundred meters					
i. Walking one hundred meters					
j. Bathing or dressing yourself					

27. During the <u>past 4 weeks</u>, how much of the time have you had any of the following problems with your work or other regular daily activities <u>as a result of your physical health</u>?

	All of the time	the time	Most of the time	Some of the time	A litt the t	le of None of ime
a Cut down on the <u>amount of tim</u> spent on work or other activities	<u>e</u> you					
b <u>Accomplished less</u> than you we	ould like					
c Were limited in the <u>kind</u> of wor other activities	rk or □					
d Had <u>difficulty</u> performing the v other activities (for example, it to effort)	vork or ook extra □					

28. During the <u>past 4 weeks</u>, how much of the time have you had any of the following problems with your work or other regular daily activities <u>as a result of any emotional problems</u> (such as feeling depressed or anxious)?

All of the time the time	Most of the time	Some of the time	A littl the ti	e of None of me
a. Cut down on the <u>amount of time</u> spent on work other activities $\Box$				
b. Accomplished less than you would like $\Box$				
c. Did work or other activities less carefully than usual				

29. During the <u>past 4 weeks</u>, to what extent has your <u>physical or emotional problems</u> interfered with your normal social activities with family, friends, neighbours, or groups?

Not at all	Slightly	Moderately	Quite a bit	Extremely

30. How much bodily pain have you had during the past 4 weeks?

None	Very M	lild	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Very Severe	

31. During the <u>past 4 weeks</u>, how much did pain interfere with your normal work (including both work outside the home and housework)?

Not at all	A little bit	Moderately	Quite a bit	Extremely
			Ū.	

32. These questions are about how you feel and how things have been with you <u>during the past 4</u> weeks. For each question, please give the one answer that comes closest to the way you have been feeling.

How much of the time during the past 4 weeks . . .

	All of the time the	Most of S time the t	Some of ime the	A little of time the	f None of time
a. Did you feel full of life?		C	ם נ		
b. Have you been very nervous?		C			
c. Have you felt so down in the c that nothing could cheer you up?	lumps	C			
d. Have you felt calm and peacef	ùl? □	C	ם נ		
e. Did you have a lot of energy?		C	ם נ		
f. Have you felt downhearted and depressed?	i □	C	ם נ		
g. Did you feel worn out?		C	ם נ		
h. Have you been happy?		C	ם נ		
i. Did you feel tired?			ם נ		

33. During the <u>past 4 weeks</u>, how much of the time has your <u>physical health or emotional</u> <u>problems</u> interfered with your social activities (like visiting friends, relatives, etc.)?

All of the time Most of the time Some of the time A little of the time None of the time

34. How TRUE or FALSE is each of the following statements for you?

Definitely Mostly Don't Mostly Definitely true true know false false a. I seem to get sick a little easier than П other people b. I am as healthy as anyone I know c. I expect my health to get worse d. My health is excellent 

35. On the scale below, please indicate how bad your pain (that you have previously identified on the body diagram) has been in the last week where: '0' means no pain and '10' means pain as severe as it could be.

NONE 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 SEVERE PAIN

Think about how your pain that you showed in the diagram has felt **over the last week**. Put a tick against the descriptions that best match your pain. These descriptions may, or may not match your pain no matter how severe it feels. Only circle the responses that describe your pain.

36. In the area where you have pain, do you also have 'pins and needles', tingling, or prickling sensations?

 $\square$  NO – I don't get these sensations  $\square$  YES – I get these sensations often

37. Does the painful area change colour (perhaps looks mottled or more red) when the pain is particularly bad?

NO – The pain does not affect the colour of my skin
YES – I have noticed that the pain does make my skin look different from normal

38. Does your pain make the affected skin abnormally sensitive to touch? Getting unpleasant sensations or pain when lightly stroking the skin might describe this.

 $\square$  NO – The pain does not make my skin in that area abnormally sensitive to touch  $\square$  YES – My skin in that area is particularly sensitive to touch

39. Does your pain come on suddenly and in bursts for no apparent reason when you are completely still? Words like 'electric shocks', jumping and bursting might describe this.

□ NO – My pain doesn't really feel like this □ YES – I get these sensations often

40. In the area where you have pain, does your skin feel unusually hot like a burning pain?

 $\square$  NO – I don't have burning pain  $\square$  YES – I get burning pain often

41. Gently <u>rub</u> the painful area with your index finger and then rub a non-painful area (for example, an area of skin further away or on the opposite side from the painful area). How does this rubbing feel in the painful area?

□ The painful area feels no different from the non-painful area

□ I feel discomfort, like pins and needles, tingling, or burning in the painful area that is different from the non-painful area

42. Gently <u>press</u> on the painful area with your finger tip then gently press in the same way onto a non-painful area (the same non-painful area that you chose in the last question). How does this feel in the painful area?

The painful area does not feel different from the non-painful area
I feel numbress or tenderness in the painful area that is different from the non-painful area

43. Does the pain have one or more of the following characteristics?

1	0		
		YES	NO
Burning			
Painful cold			
Electric shocks			

44. Is the pain associated with one or more of the following symptoms in the same area?

	YES	NO
Tingling	🗆	
Pins and needles	🗆	
Numbness	🗆	
Itching	□	
6		

45. Please use the scale below to tell us how <u>intense</u> your pain is. Place an "X" through the number that best describes the intensity of your pain.

No pain sensation	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	The most <u>intense</u> pain
ounouron												magmaore

46. Please use the scale below to tell us how <u>sharp</u> you pain feels. Words used to describe "sharp" feelings include "like a knife", "like a spike", "jabbing" or "like jolts."

Not sharn	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	The most <u>sh</u>	arp sensation
Sharp			•									· magmaole	ince a kinne

47. Please use the scale below to tell us how <u>hot</u> your pain feels. Words used to describe very hot pain include "burning" and "on fire".

48. Please use the scale below to tell us how <u>dull</u> your pain feels. Words used to describe very dull pain include "like a dull toothache," "dull pain," and "like a sore muscle."

Not Dull	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	The <u>dullest</u> sensation
Dun												magmaore

49. Please use the scale below to tell us how <u>cold</u> your pain feels. Words used to describe very cold pain include "like ice" and "freezing."

Not cold	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	The <u>coldest</u> pain sensation
cora												magmaole ( neezing )

50. Please use the scale below to tell us how <u>sensitive</u> your skin is to light touch or clothing. Words used to describe sensitive skin include "like sunburned skin" and "raw skin."

Not sensitive	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	The most <u>sensitive</u> pain sensation imaginable ("raw
sensitive												skin")

51. Please use the scale below to tell us how <u>itchy</u> your pain feels. Words used to describe itchy skin include "like poison oak" and "like a mosquito bite."

Not itchy	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	The <u>itchiest</u> pain sensation imaginable ("like
nony												poison ivy")

52. Which of the following best describes the  $\underline{\text{time}}$  quality of your pain? Please check only one answer.

() I feel a background pain <u>all of the time</u> **and** occasional flare-ups (break-through pain) <u>some</u> <u>of the time</u>.

Describe the background pain:

	Describe the flare-up (break-through) pain:
С	) I feel a single type of pain <u>all the time</u> . Describe this pain:
(	) I feel a single type of pain only <u>sometimes</u> . Other times I am pain free.
	Describe this occasional pain:
53 of de	. Now that you have told us the different physical aspects of your pain, and the different types sensations, we want you to tell us overall how <u>unpleasant</u> your pain is to you. Words used to scribe very unpleasant pain include "miserable" and "intolerable." Remember, pain can have a

intensity but be very tolerable. With this scale, please tell us how unpleasant your pain feels. Not The most unpleasant 5 7 10 0 1 2 3 4 6 8 9 unpleasant sensation imaginable ("intolerable")

low intensity, but still feel extremely unpleasant, and some kinds of pain can have a high

54. Lastly, we want you to give us an estimate of the severity of your <u>deep</u> versus <u>surface</u> pain. We want you to rate each location of pain separately. We realize that it can be difficult to make these estimates, and most likely it will be a "best guess," but please give us your best estimate.

	Н	IOW	INT	ENS	E IS	YO	UR L	DEEF	PA	IN?		
No <u>deep</u> pain	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	The most <u>intense deep</u> pain sensation imaginable

	H	IOW	INT	ENS	E IS	YO	UR S	URF	FACE	E PA	IN?				
No surface	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	The mos	t <u>inten</u> sation i	se sur imagii	f <u>ace</u> 1able
pain												r			
												Y	es		No
55. Have ye	ou so	ught	treat	ment	for t	his p	ain o	r disc	omfo	ort re	cently	?□			
56. Have you sought treatment for this pain or discomfort often?															
57. Have ye	ou tal	cen p	ainki	illers	for tl	his pa	ain oi	r disc	omfo	ort re	cently	?□			
58. Have yo	ou tal	ken p	ainki	illers	for tl	his pa	ain oi	r disc	omfo	ort of	ten?		ב		

59. What treatments or medications are you receiving for your pain?

These items deal with ways you've been coping with the stress in your life since you developed chronic pain. Different people deal with things in different ways, but we are interested in how you have tried to deal with it. Each item says something about a particular way of coping. Please indicate how much or how frequently you have been doing each item. Don't answer on the basis of whether it seems to be working or not-just whether or not you are doing it. Make your answers as true FOR YOU as you can.

60. I've been turning to work or other activities to take my mind off things.

☐ I haven't been doing this at all	□ I've been doing this a little bit	□ I've been doing this □ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot
61. I've been concentra	ting my efforts on doir	ng something about the situation I'm in.
☐ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	☐ I've been doing this ☐ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot
62. I've been saying to	myself "this isn't real."	,
☐ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	☐ I've been doing this ☐ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot
63. I've been using alco	ohol or other drugs to r	nake myself feel better.
□ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	□ I've been doing this □ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot
64. I've been getting en	notional support from	others.
□ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	□ I've been doing this □ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot
65 Urve heen sirving van	turving to dool with it	

65. I've been giving up trying to deal with it. \_

I haven't been	□ I've been doing	$\Box$ I've been doing this	□ I've been doing
doing this at all	this a little bit	a medium amount thi	s a lot

66. I've been taking action to try to make the situation better.

☐ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	□ I've been doing this □ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
67. I've been refusing to believe that it has happened.							
☐ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	□ I've been doing this □ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
68. I've been saying the	ings to let my unpleasa	nt feelings escape.					
☐ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	□ I've been doing this □ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
69. I've been getting h	elp and advice from otl	ner people.					
☐ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	□ I've been doing this □ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
70. I've been using alco	70. I've been using alcohol or other drugs to help me get through it.						
☐ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	□ I've been doing this □ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
71. I've been trying to	see it in a different ligh	t, to make it seem more positive.					
☐ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	□ I've been doing this □ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
72. I've been criticizin	g myself.						
☐ I haven't been doing this at all	□ I've been doing this a little bit	$\Box$ I've been doing this $\Box$ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
73. I've been trying to	come up with a strategy	y about what to do.					
□ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	$\Box$ I've been doing this $\Box$ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
74. I've been getting co	omfort and understandi	ng from someone.					

I haven't been	I've been doing	□ I've been doing this	I've been doing
doing this at all	this a little bit	a medium amount	this a lot

75. I've been giving up	the attempt to cope.						
☐ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	$\Box$ I've been doing this $\Box$ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
76. I've been looking f	For something good in v	what is happening.					
□ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	$\Box$ I've been doing this $\Box$ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
77. I've been making j	okes about it.						
□ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	☐ I've been doing this ☐ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
78. I've been doing son watching TV, reading	mething to think about ng, daydreaming, sleep	it less, such as going to movies, ing, or shopping.					
□ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	$\Box$ I've been doing this $\Box$ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
79. I've been accepting	79. I've been accepting the reality of the fact that it has happened.						
□ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	$\Box$ I've been doing this $\Box$ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
80. I've been expressir	ng my negative feelings	3.					
□ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	□ I've been doing this □ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
81. I've been trying to	find comfort in my reli	igion or spiritual beliefs.					
□ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	$\Box$ I've been doing this $\Box$ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
82. I've been trying to	get advice or help fror	n other people about what to do.					
□ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	$\Box$ I've been doing this $\Box$ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					
83. I've been learning	to live with it.						
□ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	□ I've been doing this □ I've been doing a medium amount this a lot					

84. I've been thinking hard about what steps to take.

☐ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	☐ I've been doing this a medium amount this a	☐ I've been doing lot				
85. I've been blaming	35. I've been blaming myself for things that happened.						
☐ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	☐ I've been doing this a medium amount this a	□ I've been doing lot				
86. I've been praying o	r meditating.						
□ I haven't been doing this at all	☐ I've been doing this a little bit	☐ I've been doing this a medium amount this a	□ I've been doing lot				
87. I've been making fu	in of the situation.						
☐ I haven't been doing this at all	□ I've been doing this a little bit	☐ I've been doing this a medium amount this a	□ I've been doing lot				
00 From the list helen	nlagga galaat anv of t	ha things you faal have made r	nonaging your				

88. From the list below, please select any of the things you feel have made managing your chronic pain either easier or harder (select all that apply):

□ Self-confidence in your ability to manage your pain

□ Support from family and/or friends

□ Relationship with your health care provider(s)

 $\Box$  Access to health care services

Depression or feeling down

□ Intensity of your pain

□ Fear of making your pain worse

□ Your ability to read and/or understand health information

 $\Box$  Other, *please specify*:

Please rate how <u>confident</u> you are that you can do the following things <u>at present</u>, **despite the pain**. To indicate your answer, circle <u>one</u> of the numbers on the sale under each item, where 0 =not at all confident and 6 = completely confident. Remember, this questionnaire is <u>not</u> asking whether or not you have been doing these things, but rather <u>how confident you are that you can</u> <u>do them at present</u>, **despite the pain**.

89. I can enjoy things, despite the pain.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Not at all						Completely
confident						confident

90. I can do most of the household chores (e.g. tidying-up, washing dishes, etc.), despite the pain.



91. I can socialize with my friends or family members as often as I used to do, despite the pain.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Not at all						Completely
confident						confident

92. I can cope with my pain in most situations.



93. I can do some form of work, despite the pain. ("work" includes housework, paid and unpaid work).

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Not at all						Completely
confident						confident

94. I can still do many of the things I enjoy doing, such as hobbies or leisure activities, despite pain.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Not at all						Completely
confident						confident

95. I can cope with my pain without medications.



96. I can still accomplish most of my goals in life, despite the pain.



97. I can live a normal lifestyle, despite the pain.



98. I can gradually become more active, despite the pain.

<u>0</u>	1	2	3	4	5	6
Not at all						Completely
confident						confident

Managing a chronic illness can be time-consuming and challenging. It can involve taking medicine daily, exercising, following a specific diet, regular doctor visits, and coping with the impact of the illness upon you and those with whom you interact. The following questions ask about a variety of different resources that people may use to manage their illness. For each item, select the number that best indicates your experience over the **past 3 months**.

99. Which health care professional(s) do you feel is the most helpful in managing your pain?

□ Family doctor

□ Specialist doctor (e.g. rheumatologist)

D Physical or Occupational Therapist

□ Nurse or Nurse Practitioner

 $\Box$  Health care team

 $\Box$  Other (please specify):

Please answer questions 100-106 thinking of the health care professional/team you identified above. Over the **past 3 months**, to what extent...

		Not at all		A moderate amount			A great deal	
		1	2	3	4	5		
100.	Has your doctor or other health advisor (nurse, dietician) clearly explained what you need to do to manage your illness? ( <i>If</i> you have not had any health visits in the past 3 months, think back to the last visit you had.)							
101.	Has your doctor or other health advisor provided support between visits such as telephone calls, reminder letters, or newsletters?							
		Not a	t	А	mode	rate	A great	

all

amount

deal

		1 2	2 3	3 4 5	
102.	Has your doctor involved you as an equal partner in making decisions and illness management strategies and goals?				
103.	Has your doctor or other health care advisor listened carefully to what you had to say about your illness?				
104.	Has your doctor or other health advisor (nurse, dietician) answered your questions and addressed your concerns during office visits?				
105.	Has your doctor or other health care provider thoroughly explained the results of tests you have had done (e.g. cholesterol, blood pressure, or other laboratory tests)?				
106.	How <b>important</b> are <i>health care team</i> resources to you in managing your illness?				
107.	Have family or friends exercised with you?				
108.	Have family or friends listened carefully to what you have to say about your illness?				
109.	Have family or friends encouraged you to do the things you need to do for your illness?				
110.	Have family or friends selected or requested healthy food choices when you ate with them?				
111.	Have you shared healthy low-fat recipes with family or friends?				
112.	Have family or friends helped you remember to take your medicine?				
		Not at all		A moderate amount	A great deal

1

2	3	4	5	

113.	Have family or friends bought food or prepared food for you that was especially healthy or recommended?			
114.	How <b>important</b> is <i>family and friend</i> support in managing your illness?			

Over the *last 2 weeks*, how often have you been bothered by any of the following problems?

	Not at all	Several day	Mo1 s	e than Nearly half the every
115. Little interest or pleasure in doing things				days day □
116. Feeling down, depressed or hopeless				
117. Trouble falling or staying asleep, or sleeping too much				
118. Feeling tired or having little energy				
119. Poor appetite or overeating				
120. Feeling bad about yourself – or that you a a failure or have let yourself or your family down	ure			
121. Trouble concentrating on things, such as reading the newspaper or watching television	ם נ			
<ul><li>122. Moving or speaking so slowly that other people could have noticed? Or the opposite – being so fidgety or restless that you have been moving around a lot more than usual</li></ul>				
123. Thoughts that you would be better off dea or of hurting yourself in some way	ad 🗆			

#### THANK YOU FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION!

#### References

- 1. *Elzahaf RA*, *Tashani OA*, *Unsworth BA*, *Johnson MI*. The prevalence of chronic pain with an analysis of countries with a Human Development Index less than 0.9: a systematic review without meta-analysis. Curr Med Res Opin 2012; 28: 1221-9.
- 2. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management, American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. Practice guidelines for chronic pain management: an updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Chronic Pain Management and the American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine. Anesthesiology 2010; 112: 810-33.
- Leadley RM, Armstrong N, Lee YC, Allen A, Kleijnen J. Chronic diseases in the European Union: the prevalence and health cost implications of chronic pain. J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother 2012; 26: 310-25.
- 4. *Barham L.* Economic burden of chronic pain across Europe. J Pain Palliat Care Pharmacother 2012; 26: 70-2.

- 5. Canadian Institute for Health Information. Doctors' Pay Still Growing, But Slower Than Before. Available from URL: http:// www.cihi.ca/CIHI-ext-portal/internet/EN/document/spending+ and+health+workforce/workforce/physicians/release\_22jan13 (accessed (February, 2015)).
- Hong J, Reed C, Novick D, Happich M. Costs associated with treatment of chronic low back pain: an analysis of the UK General Practice Research Database. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2013; 38: 75-82.
- 7. Gore M, Sadosky A, Stacey BR, Tai KS, Leslie D. The burden of chronic low back pain: clinical comorbidities, treatment patterns, and health care costs in usual care settings. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2012; 37: E668-77.
- Roberts MH, Mapel DW, Hartry A, Von Worley A, Thomson H. Chronic pain and pain medication use in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. A cross-sectional study. Ann Am Thorac Soc 2013; 10: 290-8.
- 9. Lim KL, Jacobs P, Klarenbach S. A population-based analysis of healthcare utilization of persons with back disorders: results from

the Canadian Community Health Survey 2000-2001. Spine (Phila Pa 1976) 2006; 31: 212-8.

- Jonsdottir T, Jonsdottir H, Lindal E, Oskarsson GK, Gunnarsdottir S. Predictors for chronic pain-related health care utilization: a cross-sectional nationwide study in Iceland. Health Expect 2014; DOI:10.1111/hex.12245.
- Jonsdottir T, Jonsdottir H, Gunnarsdottir S, Lindal E. Health care utilization in chronic pain-A population based study. Scand J Pain 2013; 4: 255.
- 12. Gannon B, Finn DP, O'Gorman D, Ruane N, McGuire BE. The cost of chronic pain: an analysis of a regional pain management service in Ireland. Pain Med 2013; 14: 1518-28.
- Ruetsch C, Tkacz J, Kardel PG, Howe A, Pai H, Levitan B. Trajectories of health care service utilization and differences in patient characteristics among adults with specific chronic pain: analysis of health plan member claims. J Pain Res 2013; 6: 137-49.
- VanDenKerkhof EG, Mann EG, Torrance N, Smith BH, Johnson A, Gilron I. An epidemiological study of neuropathic pain symptoms in Canadian adults. Pain Res Manag 2015; pii: 17125.
- Task Force on Taxonomy of the International Association for the Study of Pain. Classification of Chronic Pain. Descriptions of Chronic Pain Syndromes and Definitions of Pain Terms, 2nd ed. Seattle: IASP Press; 1994.
- Torrance N, Smith BH, Bennett MI, Lee AJ. The epidemiology of chronic pain of predominantly neuropathic origin. Results from a general population survey. J Pain 2006; 7: 281-9.
- Azevedo LF, Costa-Pereira A, Mendonca L, Dias CC, Castro-Lopes JM. Epidemiology of chronic pain: a population-based nationwide study on its prevalence, characteristics and associated disability in Portugal. J Pain 2012; 13: 773-83.
- Johannes CB, Le TK, Zhou X, Johnston JA, Dworkin RH. The prevalence of chronic pain in United States adults: results of an Internet-based survey. J Pain 2010; 11: 1230-9.
- Vedsted P, Christensen MB. Frequent attenders in general practice care: a literature review with special reference to methodological considerations. Public Health 2005; 119: 118-37.
- Statistics Canada. Canadian Community Health Survey (CCHS) -2010. Available from URL: http://www23.statcan.gc.ca/imdbbmdi/pub/instrument/3226\_Q1\_V7-eng.pdf (accessed October 2015).
- Terner M, Reason B, McKeag AM, Tipper B, Webster G. Chronic conditions more than age drive health system use in Canadian seniors. Healthc Q 2011; 14: 19-22.
- Health Canada. Complementary and Alternative Health Care: The Other Mainstream? Available from URL: http://www.hc-sc. gc.ca/sr-sr/pubs/hpr-rpms/bull/2003-7-complement/index-eng.php# page9 (accessed October 2015).
- 23. Chechulin Y, Nazerian A, Rais S, Malikov K. Predicting patients with high risk of becoming high-cost healthcare users in Ontario (Canada). Healthc Policy 2014; 9: 68-79.
- 24. Lehnert T, Heider D, Leicht H, et al. Review: health care utilization and costs of elderly persons with multiple chronic conditions. Med Care Res Rev 2011; 68: 387-420.

- 25. *Glynn LG*, *Valderas JM*, *Healy P*, *et al*. The prevalence of multimorbidity in primary care and its effect on health care utilization and cost. Fam Pract 2011; 28: 516-23.
- 26. Howard M, Goertzen J, Kaczorowski J, et al. Emergency department and walk-in clinic use in models of primary care practice with different after-hours accessibility in Ontario. Healthc Policy 2008; 4: 73-88.
- 27. Moe J, Bailey AL, Oland R, Levesque L, Murray H. Defining, quantifying, and characterizing adult frequent users of a suburban Canadian emergency department. CJEM 2013; 15: 214-26.
- van Oostrom SH, Picavet HS, de Bruin SR, et al. Multimorbidity of chronic diseases and health care utilization in general practice. BMC Fam Pract 2014; 15: 61.
- 29. van Hecke O, Torrance N, Smith BH. Chronic pain epidemiology - where do lifestyle factors fit in? Br J Pain 2013; 7: 209-17.
- Dominick CH, Blyth FM, Nicholas MK. Unpacking the burden: understanding the relationships between chronic pain and comorbidity in the general population. Pain 2012; 153: 293-304.
- Rashiq S, Dick BD. Factors associated with chronic noncancer pain in the Canadian population. Pain Res Manag 2009; 14: 454-60.
- 32. Stokes M, Becker WJ, Lipton RB, et al. Cost of health care among patients with chronic and episodic migraine in Canada and the USA: results from the International Burden of Migraine Study (IBMS). Headache 2011; 51: 1058-77.
- Osmun WE, Copeland J, Parr J, Boisvert L. Characteristics of chronic pain patients in a rural teaching practice. Can Fam Physician 2011; 57: e436-40.
- Vicente P, Reis E, Santos M. Using mobile phones for survey research. A comparison with fixed phones. Int J Market Res 2009; 51: 613-33.
- Health Council of Canada. Self-Management Support for Canadians with Chronic Health Conditions: A focus for Primary Health Care - May 2012. Available from URL: http:// healthcouncilcanada.ca/rpt\_det.php?id=372 (accessed October 2015).
- Patel A, Rendu A, Moran P, Leese M, Mann A, Knapp M. A comparison of two methods of collecting economic data in primary care. Fam Pract 2005; 22: 323-7.
- Gordon LG, Patrao T, Hawkes AL. Can colorectal cancer survivors recall their medications and doctor visits reliably? BMC Health Serv Res 2012; 12: 440.
- White LA, Robinson RL, Yu AP, et al. Comparison of health care use and costs in newly diagnosed and established patients with fibromyalgia. J Pain 2009; 10: 976-83.
- Schopflocher D, Taenzer P, Jovey R. The prevalence of chronic pain in Canada. Pain Res Manag 2011; 16: 445-50.
- Boulanger A, Clark AJ, Squire P, Cui E, Horbay GL. Chronic pain in Canada: have we improved our management of chronic noncancer pain? Pain Res Manag 2007; 12: 39-47.
- 41. Cowling TE, Cecil EV, Soljak MA, et al. Access to primary care and visits to emergency departments in England: a crosssectional, population-based study. PLoS One 2013; 8: e66699.