

Does the Use of Different Types of Probiotics Possess Detoxification Properties Against Aflatoxins Contamination in Rabbit Diets?

Said I. A. Mohamed¹ · Sabry A. M. Shehata¹ · Sabry M. Bassiony¹ · Samir A. M. Mahgoub² · Mohamed E. Abd El-Hack³

Accepted: 29 August 2022 / Published online: 26 September 2022 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{}}$ The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

The present work was carried out to study the ability of five probiotics on the in vitro degradation of Aflatoxins B_1 (AFB₁). The best results of in vitro were tested on the detoxification of AFB₁ in rabbits. A total of 40 growing New Zealand White (NZW) male rabbits were assigned to five experimental groups. Animals were fed the following diets: basal diet (control), basal diet contaminated with 300 ppb AFB₁, + probiotic 3 (0.5 g/kg diet), basal diet contaminated with 300 ppb AFB₁ + ajowan (0.5 g/kg diet), and basal diet contaminated with 300 ppb AFB₁ + probiotic 3 (0.5 g/kg diet), and basal diet contaminated with 300 ppb AFB₁ + probiotic 3 (0.5 g/kg diet) + ajowan (0.5 g/kg diet). Live body weight significantly (P < 0.05) decreased in rabbits fed AFB₁ contaminated diet compared to the control rabbits. All additives improved (P < 0.05) daily body weight gain in all weeks except the first week. Adding ajowan or ajowan + probiotic led to a significant (P < 0.05) increase in live body weight gain and feed intake compared to rabbits fed AFB₁ alone. The digestion coefficients of dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), crude fiber (CF), ether extract (EE), nitrogen-free extract (NFE), and digestible crude protein (DCP) significantly (P < 0.05) decreased in rabbits fed AFB₁ contaminated diet. All additives improved (P < 0.05) the digestibility coefficients of DM, OM, EE, CF, NFE, and total digested nutrients (TDN)%. The best improvement occurred with probiotics + ajowan. Concentrations of serum total protein, albumin and globulin significantly (P < 0.05) decreased in rabbits fed AFB₁ contaminated diet. All additives improved (P < 0.05) the digestibility coefficients of DM, OM, EE, CF, NFE, and total digested nutrients (TDN)%. The best improvement occurred with probiotics + ajowan. Concentrations of serum total protein, albumin and globulin significantly (P < 0.05) decreased in rabbits fed AFB₁ contaminated diet compared with the control rabbits. In conclusion, the addition of p

Keywords Aflatoxins · Probiotics · Degradation · Performance · Blood · Rabbits

Abbreviations

AFB_1	Aflatoxins B_{1}
AFM1	Aflatoxin M1
AFs	Aflatoxins
ALP	Alkaline phosphatase
ALT	Alanine aminotransferase
AST	Aspartate aminotransferase
CF	Crude fiber
DCP	Digestible crude protein
DM	Dry matter
DON	Deoxynivalenol

Mohamed E. Abd El-Hack m.ezzat@zu.edu.eg; dr.mohamed.e.abdalhaq@gmail.com

¹ Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Zagazig 44511, Egypt

- ² Agricultural Microbiology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Zagazig 44511, Egypt
- ³ Department of Poultry, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Zagazig 44511, Egypt

EE	Ether extract
EU	European Union
LAB	Lactic acid bacteria
NAGP	Non-antibiotic growth promoter
NFE	Nitrogen-free extract
NZW	New Zealand White
OM	Organic matter
OTA	Ochratoxin A
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
SC	Saccharomyces cerevisiae
TLC	Thin layer chromatography
ZEA	Zearalenone

Introduction

The Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) indicated that mycotoxins contaminate 25% of global feedstuffs following the current mycotoxin limits set by the European Union (EU) and the Codex Alimentations. However, 60 to 80% of foods have measurable mycotoxin levels [1]. The contamination of complete feeds in Europe with aflatoxins (AFs) varied greatly throughout the previous 10 years. The percentage of AFB1-positive feed samples between 2006 and 2007 was 8%, with the mean contamination being 47 mg/kg and the highest contamination being 311 mg/kg [2]. Aflatoxin, zearalenone (ZEA), deoxynivalenol (DON), ochratoxin A (OTA), patulin, fumonisins, T-2, and ergot alkaloids are among the mycotoxins that are regularly regulated by law in the European Union. But AFs were more frequent. The fungus Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus parasiticus create AFs, secondary toxic compounds that contaminate numerous feedstuffs and cause major health issues in both people and animals [3]. Although they were naturally present in milk, AFs species are named based on their Green and Blue fluorescence characteristics in thin layer chromatography (TLC) (B1, B2, G1, G2, M1, M2) Meulenaer [63].

During growth, on feeds, and in foods, several strains of Aspergillus flavus and Aspergillus parasiticus produce a category of poisonous and cancer-causing secondary metabolites known as AFs. Infesting both living and dead plants and animals, the fungus spores can be found in the air and soil all over the planet. The content, total excretion, and carry-over of aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) into milk as aflatoxin M1 (AFM1) were the subjects of an experiment [4]. A total of 550,000–600,000 new cases are reported annually [5]. The food and feed industries suffer considerable financial losses due to mycotoxin contamination, posing a serious public health hazard. AFs have hazardous (carcinogenic, teratogenic, and mutagenic) properties that can harm human and animal health [6]. Strong mycotoxin AFB1 has mutagenic, carcinogenic, teratogenic, hepatotoxic, and immunosuppressive traits [7]. Because eating foods contaminated with mycotoxins can have various negative health impacts on humans and animals, mycotoxin contamination of agricultural goods is a big issue worldwide [8].

The rabbit is one of the species most vulnerable to the harmful effects of AF. Consuming diets contaminated with AFs caused anorexia, decreased feed intake, altered feed utilization, reduced weight gain, inhibited growth, immune suppression, increased susceptibility to various stressors and diseases, increased mortality rate, altered reproductive performance, and ultimately led to financial issues for the livestock and poultry industries [9].

Ajowan (*Trachyspermum ammi* L.), an annual herb with roots in the Middle East, presumably in Egypt and the Indian subcontinent but also in Iran and Afghanistan, is a member of the Apiaceae (Umbelliferae) family of plants [10–13]. Ajowan contains a substance with known antibacterial, antifungal, antihelminthic, and antiseptic properties [14]. Phenols, particularly thymol and carvacrol, which are significant pharmacologically active chemicals, are among the principal active components of ajowan [15–18]. The present study hypothesized that probiotics and/or ajowan

may eliminate the toxicity of AFB1 and improve growth performance of rabbits. The current research aimed to determine how well five different probiotics might break down AFB₁ (in vitro). The best results were tested on the detoxification of AFsB₁ in rabbits.

Materials and Methods

The current research aimed to examine the potential of five probiotics to degrade AFB_1 at the Rabbit Farm and Laboratories of the Animal Production Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt (in vitro). Additionally, the finest probiotic and ajowan were used to detoxify AFB_1 in growing rabbits.

In Vitro Study

Probiotics

- First probiotic (Biogen S), each 1 kg contained: *Bacillus subtilis* natto not less than 1×10¹¹ CFU. SAMU MEDIAN CO. LTD, China, manufactured this product.
- Second probiotic (Promax), each kg contained: Lactobacillus acidophilus 150 g (5×10⁹ CFU), Lactobacillus plantarum 500 g (5×10⁸ CFU), vitamin A (8,000,000 IU), vitamin B₁ (600 mg), vitamin B₂ (1500 mg), vitamin C (38,000 mg), vitamin D₃ (1,500,000 IU), vitamin E (4000 mg), vitamin K₃ (2000 mg), pantothenic acid (12,000 mg), nicotinic acid (12,000 mg), potassium citrate (40 g), sodium chloride (33 g), magnesium sulfate (60 g), dextrose up to (1000 g). Egyptian European Co. produced this product for Vet. Industries (EMIC VET).
- Third probiotic (AVI-5-BAC), each g contained: Lactobacillus acidophilus 10 g (1×10⁸ CFU), Lactobacillus plantarum 5 g (9.8×10⁷ CFU), Bifidobacterium bifidum 5 g (2×10⁶ CFU) and maltodextrin add to 1 kg. SURE PHARMACEUTICA, USA, produced this product.
- Fourth probiotic (YEAST PLUS), each 1 kg contained: Saccharomyces cerevisiae (250,000 mg), vitamin D3 (2,000,000 IU), DI methionine (10,000 mg), selenium (200 mg), calcium carbonate up to 1000 g. This product was produced by United Brothers for Feed Supplements, Egypt.
- Fifth probiotic (GUARDIZEN-M), each 1 kg contained: Lactobacillus plantarum (1.2×10⁶ CFU/g), Lactobacillus bulgaricus (1.2×10⁶ CFU/g), Lactobacillus rhamnosus (1.2×10⁶ CFU/g), Lactobacillus acidophilus (1.2×10⁶ CFU/g), Bifidobacterium bifidum (1.2×10⁶ CFU/g), Streptococcus faecium (1.2×10⁶ CFU/g), Enterococcus faecium (1.2×10⁶ CFU/g), Aspergillus oryzae (1.2×10⁶ CFU/g), Candida pintolopesii (1.2×10⁶ CFU/g), carrier dextrose (994.4 g). This product was produced by DONC BNC CO. LTD, South Korea.

Screening the Ability of Probiotics on the Degradation of AFB1 by Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) Analysis

The standard of AFs from the Regional Centre for Food and Feed, Ministry of Agriculture, Giza, Egypt, was graciously contributed by Dr. Khaled El-Meligy; 200 ppb of standard AFB1 were obtained by dissolving it in a solution of methanol and water (2:8). The Market of Veterinary Medicine was used to obtain the probiotics, which were then grown in nutritional broth with or without AFB1. The treatments were:

- 1. Culture $(20 \text{ ml}) + \text{AFB}_1 (1 \text{ ml})$
- 2. Culture $(20 \text{ ml}) + \text{AFB}_1 (1 \text{ ml}) + \text{probiotic } 1$
- 3. Culture $(20 \text{ ml}) + \text{AFB}_1 (1 \text{ ml}) + \text{probiotic } 2$
- 4. Culture $(20 \text{ ml}) + \text{AFB}_1 (1 \text{ ml}) + \text{probiotic } 3$
- 5. Culture $(20 \text{ ml}) + \text{AFB}_1 (1 \text{ ml}) + \text{probiotic } 4$
- 6. Culture $(20 \text{ ml}) + \text{AFB}_1 (1 \text{ ml}) + \text{probiotic 5}$

The treatments were incubated at 37 $^{\circ}$ C for 72 h, after which 20 ml of each treatment was extracted using 20 ml chloroform. This mixture was then thoroughly agitated for 5 min, transferred to a separatory funnel, allowed to stand, and drained the bottom layer into a clean flask. The chloroform extracts were dried by evaporation, and AFs were found by TLC.

Preparing the Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC) Plates

TLC Plates Were Prepared [19] as Follows:

To prevent air bubbles, 10 g of silica gel (GF 254) was aggressively mixed with 30 ml of warm distilled water. Chromatographic glass plates (20×20 cm) were air dried after being promptly covered with 0.05 mg of silica gel dispersion. The plates were heated in an electric oven for 1–2 h at 110–120 °C to activate them. Plates were taken out of the oven immediately to cool in a desiccator.

Spotting on TLC:

A predetermined volume of chloroform was used to dissolve the residual from purified extracts (0.5 ml). On TLC plates against standard AFB1, micropipettes spotted the concentrated crude extract's known volume (100 μ l). Spots were kept uniformly small and small in size. Plates were spotted and then left to air dry.

Development of Solvents:

Following AOAC (1980), an appropriate solvent system (chloroform:acetone (90:10v/v)) was placed in a jar. Plates were taken out of the jar and set vertically in the air until dry after the solvent system had migrated about 16 cm. The plates were carefully inserted into the jar.

Detection of Aflatoxins by TLC [19]:

After development, the plates were allowed to air dry before being examined under long-wavelength (366 nm) UV light to compare the color intensity of the spots to the standard. The distinctive fluorescent dots are present at the same Rf levels as the common toxin. Each poison was presumed to exist based on UV excitation. The TLC technique and UV spectrophotometer were used to calibrate the toxin concentration in accordance with the AOAC [19] method for qualitative toxin emission.

In Vivo Study

The best probiotic of in vitro (probiotic 3) and ajowan was tested on detoxification of AFB_1 in rabbits.

Preparation of Aflatoxin B₁

To create AFB1, *Aspergillus flavus* MD 341 was obtained from the Dokki, Egypt-based Central Laboratory of Residues of Analysis of Pesticides Heavy Metals in Foods. On liquid media containing 2% yeast extract and 20% sucrose, the fungus was cultured for 8 days. The media was sprayed into a pelleted diet (300 ppb of AFB1). A reversed-phase column was used in the extraction, filtration, and quantitative HPLC analysis of aflatoxins [19]. The mobile phase contained 45% methanol and was injected into the apparatus at a flow rate of 1 ml per minute. A fluorescence detector was used to find analyses, and the column temperature was set to 40 °C. Aflatoxin was bought from Sigma-Aldrich (ASA). The media was discovered just to contain AFB1.

Treatments

In this experiment, 40 growing New Zealand White (NZW) male rabbits with an average body weight of 800 ± 120 g were assigned to 5 experimental groups (8 animals/each). The animals in experimental groups were fed the following diets:

- 1. Basal diet (Control).
- 2. Basal diet contaminated with 300 ppb AFB₁.
- Basal diet contaminated with 300 ppb AFB₁. + probiotic 3 (0.5 g/kg diet)
- Basal diet contaminated with 300 ppb AFB₁. + ajowan (0.5 g/kg diet)
- Basal diet contaminated with 300 ppb AFB₁. + probiotic 3 (0.5 g/kg diet) + ajowan (0.5 g/kg diet).

The ajowan was purchased from the local market, fine ground, and added to ingredients before pelleting. The ingredient (%) and chemical composition of the basal diet are shown in Table 1.

Rabbits Rearing

Each animal was kept in its stainless steel cage. For the trial, all rabbits were kept in the same management,

Table 1 Ingredients and chemical composition of diets fed to rabbits

Items	%
Ingredients	
Yellow corn	17.00
Clover hay	35.00
Wheat bran	20.00
Barley	10.00
Soybean meal	13.00
Molasses	3.00
Sodium chloride	0.10
Methionine	0.30
Vitamins and minerals premix	0.30
Bone meal	1.00
Limestone	0.30
Total	100
Chemical composition (DM) basis	
Dry matter	100
Organic matter	87.53
Crude protein	19.80
Crude fiber	12.39
Ether extract	2.58
Nitrogen free extract	52.76
Ash	12.47

sanitary, and environmental circumstances with constant access to fresh water (8 weeks). Rabbits were fed ad libitum during the whole experiment. At the start of the trial and weekly intervals throughout the experiment, each rabbit was weighed separately. Before the animals had access to food and water, the weight was taken. The gain in body weight was calculated. Additionally, feed intake was daily calculated after being determined weekly. It was determined what the feed conversion ratio was (feed intake/ weight gain).

Digestibility Trials

Digestibility trials were conducted over 5 days. Digestibility tests were conducted to assess the impact of treatments on nutritional digestibility and feeding values such as TDN percent and DCP percent after the study period (8 weeks). Throughout the collection period, samples from each animal's dried feces and provided meals were collected daily for chemical analysis in accordance with AOAC [20].

Blood Analysis

In a private medical lab, blood hematological parameters were conducted. Blood samples from four groups of rabbits were taken at the time of slaughter at the end of the experimental feeding period to evaluate various blood parameters. Using commercial kits acquired from Diamond Diagnostics Company, Egypt, it was possible to assess the levels of total protein, albumin, aspartate and alanine aminotransferases (AST and ALT), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), total protein, and albumin in rabbit blood serum.

Statistical Analysis

SAS's (1996) general linear model program was used to examine the experiment's data statistically. Duncan's Multiple Range Test evaluated if there were significant changes between treatment means [21]. The statistical model used was:

$$Y_{ij} = \mu + T_i + e_{ij}$$

where Y_{ij} = observed value; μ = overall mean; T_i = treatment effect (control, and 1–6); and e_{ij} = random error. Differences among recorded means were estimated by the test of Student–Newman–Keuls. The SEM and mean values were reported. The differences between groups are considered significant at P < 0.05.

Results and Discussion

In Vitro Study

Degradation of Aflatoxin B_1 by Probiotics Using Thin Layer Chromatography (TLC)

All types of probiotics could degrade AFB1 (Table 2 and Fig. 1). Probiotic 3 performed the AFB1 degradation process the best. These findings concur with Atya [22], who used TLC to examine the impact of 43 bacterial and 10 fungal species on the degradation of AFs. There were two fungi and nine bacterial isolates that could degrade down AFs. These isolates were collected for further High-Performance Liquid Chromatography examination (HPLC). According to HPLC data, three bacterial and one fungal isolate destroyed AFs by more than 90%.

Since many mycotoxins are chemically stable but do not seem to accumulate in natural surroundings, biological degradation of mycotoxins happens in nature. Therefore, environmental samples rich in microorganisms were chosen as sources for choosing microorganisms that degrade AFB1, such as animal feces, degraded barks, soils, and cereal grains [23].

It has been noted that a number of bacteria bind or degrade AFs in foods and feeds. This study tested the ability of 20 lactic acid bacteria (LAB) strains and bifidobacterial to bind AFB1 from contaminated solution. Twelve Lactobacillus, five Bifidobacterium, and three Lactococcus strains Table 2Degradation ofaflatoxin B1 by probiotics

Probiotic no	TLC result
1	50%
2	50%
3	70%
4	65%
5	40%

were chosen for usage in the food sector. According to the findings, these strains bind between 5.6 and 79.7% of AFB1 from the solution. Two strains of *Lactobacillus amylovorus* and one strain of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* eliminated more than 50% of AFB1 Peltonen [66].

AFB1, a chemical with a modified furan and lactone ring, was bio-transformed by *Pseudomonas putida* into three new compounds with distinct structural properties (AFD1, AFD2, and AFD3). The percentage of AFs that were bound by LAB ranged from 19.41 to 75.06%. The AF-binding activity displayed a time-dependent pattern when different incubation times were considered. During the investigated course of incubation durations, *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* TMU094 bound 25.64 to 75.06%, *Lactobacillus fermentum* bound 38.63 to 72.15%, *Pediococcus pentosaceus* bound 24.86 to 63.21%, and *L. rhamnosus* PTCC1637 bound 19.41 to 35% of AFB1. These findings demonstrated the capability of native LAB strains to bind AFB1 [24] efficiently. According to toxicity research conducted on HeLa cells, the newly synthesized chemicals are less hazardous than AFB1 [25].

AFB1 was degraded by the chicken cecum-derived AFB1degrading bacteria CG1061 at a rate of 93.7% by HPLC, which was isolated and characterized. A multiplex PCR assay and examination of the 16S RNA gene sequence revealed that CG1061 was a non-pathogenic strain of *Escherichia coli*. The *E. coli* CG1061's culture supernatant demonstrated a 61.8% disintegration rate. The active component was constitutively released into the extracellular area, as evidenced by the intracellular extracts' low degradation rate of only 17.6% [26].

In Vivo Study

Effect of Aflatoxin B₁ on Growth Performance of Rabbits

Tables 3, 4, 5, and 6 indicate how ajowan and the probiotic aflatoxin B1 (AFB1) affect body weight, daily body gain, feed intake, and conversion. When compared to control rabbits, the live body weight of rabbits fed an AFB1-contaminated food declined significantly (P<0.05) from the third week through the end of the experiment (8 weeks). The live body weight was improved by all supplements (probiotic, ajowan, and probiotic + ajowan) (P<0.05). The combination of probiotics and ajowan produced the best results. At all weeks of the trial period, the AFB1 diet decreased feed consumption and daily body weight gain (P<0.05). In all weeks but the first, adding probiotics increased (P<0.05) the daily increase in body weight.

In addition, as compared to rabbits fed AFB1 alone, adding ajowan or ajowan + probiotic increased live body weight gain and feed consumption significantly (P<0.05). The daily feed intake increased (P 0.05) over all weeks with the addition of probiotics. Rabbits fed a diet contaminated with AFB1 had the worst feed conversion. Probiotic plus ajowan or ajowan + probiotics were added, and this resulted in a substantial (P<0.05) increase in feed conversion (Table 6).

According to Shehata [27, 44], Somorin et al. [9], Helal [28], Sorour [29], and Yang et al. [30], the performance of the rabbits is consistent with their findings. The depression in feed intake, reduction in protein, lipid, and carbohydrate metabolism, and decreased absorption of dissolved vitamins in lipid may all contribute to AFB1's decreased growth

St 1 2 3 4 5

Fig. 1 TLC analysis of aflatoxin B_1 degradation by probiotics

Table 3	Effect of treatments	on body	weight of rabbits
---------	----------------------	---------	-------------------

Items	Treatments					
	Control	Aflatoxin	Aflatoxin + probiotic	Aflatoxin + ajowan	Aflatoxin + probiotic + ajowan	
Initial body weight	808.5±139.94	800 ± 110.23	800.62 ± 139.45	815.25 ± 130.14	773.13±138.69	
1 week	1052.87 ± 118.79	982.5 ± 136.04	1000.61 ± 135.18	1043.38 ± 143.14	993.75 ± 134.82	
2 weeks	1275.15 ± 123.54	1135.66 ± 134.27	1196.26 ± 129.28	1245.89 ± 157.09	1208.09 ± 117.23	
3 weeks	$1503.94 \pm 129.73a$	1293.51 ± 127.04b	1419.42±128.34a	1442.17 ± 164.13a	$1450.01 \pm 126.22a$	
4 weeks	$1730.67 \pm 142.58a$	1427.14±133.61b	1628.51±137.71a	$1645.94 \pm 180.13a$	$1682.06 \pm 146.13a$	
5 weeks	$1923.31 \pm 143.1a$	$1557.48 \pm 125.86b$	$1798.54 \pm 151.23a$	$1831.58 \pm 190.48a$	1915.79±141.8a	
6 weeks	$2109.58 \pm 158.28a$	1695.67±125.98b	1969.78±147.16a	$2045.29 \pm 182.37a$	$2120.12 \pm 140.89a$	
7 weeks	$2321.99 \pm 173.04a$	1842.55 ± 133.23b	2167.27 ± 177.4a	2258.44±191.1a	$2329.49 \pm 123.19a$	
8 weeks	$2556.95 \pm 166.88a$	1987.51 ± 127.47c	$2358.25 \pm 178.3b$	2459.06 ± 183.16 ab	2533.89±125.71a	

a, b, c means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly (P < 0.05) different

performance [31, 9]. Through the stimulation of the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) or the enhancement of tissue sensitivity to peroxidation, the mycotoxins directly cause lipid peroxidation. Because AFs encourage the enzymatic production of intracellular ROS like the superoxide anion, which in turn causes the AF metabolite to bind to DNA, RNA, and proteins, AFs are hazardous. The expression of inflammatory response-related proteins in the liver, such as NFKB1 and GPX1, can also rise as a result of AF consumption, according to Pate et al. [65].

Probiotics' findings concur with those of Wang et al. [26, 32], Samuel et al. [25], and Fashandi et al. [62]. Probiotics may operate as biodegradable toxins that can act as an antioxidant by triggering the creation of enzymes, which in turn causes weight gain by enhancing protein metabolization and vitamin and mineral absorption [33], Abd El-Aziz et al. [34, 55], Nasr [64]. Supplementing with biodegrading agents affects the digestive tract, promoting the production of digestive enzymes that are essential for improved digestion and, consequently, weight gain Dersjant et al. [60]. By lengthening the villi, decreasing intestinal pH, eliminating

intestinal bacteria, increasing the secretion of auxiliary digestive enzymes, and improving nutrient absorption, biodegradation agent supplementation promotes growth [35]. Ajowan's findings are consistent with those of Hajare et al. [36], who discovered that the aqueous extract of ajowan seeds contained an AF inactivation component. Over the controls, a roughly 80% decrease in the overall amount of AFs was seen.

Additionally, these results support Iram et al. [37]. They stated that in vitro and in vivo tests were performed to see whether an aqueous extract of ajowan seeds and leaves could detoxify AFB1 and AFB2. The AFB1 and AFB2 were shown to be significantly (*P*<0.05) degraded by ajowan seeds extract, by 92.8 and 91.9%, respectively. The extract from ajowan leaves, however, performed less well at destroying these AFs. Eight degradation products of AFB1 and AFB2 were generated according to the structural study of the toxin by LCMS/MS. By removing the double bond from the terminal furan ring and changing the lactone group, most of the products were created, suggesting they were less dangerous than the parent compounds. The minimal toxicity of

Items	Treatments						
	Control	Aflatoxin	Aflatoxin+ probiotic	Aflatoxin + ajowan	Aflatoxin + probiotic + ajowan		
1 week	34.91 ± 5.06a	26.07±3.23c	28.57 ± 4.08 bc	32.59±2.71ab	31.52±6.6ab		
2 weeks	$31.75 \pm 2.13a$	$21.88 \pm 2.16b$	$27.95 \pm 4.03a$	28.93±4.18a	$30.62 \pm 5.63a$		
3 weeks	32.68 ± 4.43a	$22.55 \pm 1.98c$	$31.88 \pm 4.27a$	$28.04 \pm 4.4b$	$34.56 \pm 2.35a$		
4 weeks	32.39±7.11a	19.09 ± 2.41 b	$29.87 \pm 3.72a$	29.11±3.3a	33.15±3.31a		
5 weeks	27.52 ± 4.4 b	$18.62 \pm 4.15c$	$24.29 \pm 0.37b$	$26.52 \pm 3.06b$	$33.39 \pm 4.45a$		
6 weeks	26.61 ± 3.91ab	$19.74 \pm 4.2c$	$24.46 \pm 1.86b$	30.53 ± 3.39a	$29.19 \pm 4.6a$		
7 weeks	$30.34 \pm 2.37a$	$20.98 \pm 3.33b$	$28.21 \pm 5.9a$	$30.45 \pm 4.43a$	29.91 ± 4.81a		
8 weeks	$33.57 \pm 3.54a$	$20.71 \pm 2.13c$	$27.28 \pm 1.5b$	28.66±3.16b	$29.2 \pm 2.71b$		

Table 4Effect of treatments onbody weight gain of rabbits

a, b, c means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly (P < 0.05) different

Table 5 Effect of treatments onfeed intake of rabbits

Items	Treatments						
	Control	Aflatoxin	Aflatoxin + probiotic	Aflatoxin + ajowan	Aflatoxin + probiotic + ajowan		
1 week	90.00±5.55a	80.00±9.53b	95.00±9.15a	97.00±3.78a	95.38±10.23a		
2 weeks	$95.00 \pm 1.85a$	$85.00 \pm 2.93b$	98.75±9.82a	95.00±4.5 a	$94.00 \pm 7.25a$		
3 weeks	$100.00 \pm 3.34a$	$90.00 \pm 1.2b$	$103.00 \pm 8.45a$	$105.00 \pm 7.35a$	$105.00 \pm 7.19a$		
4 weeks	125.0 ± 13.98 b	$93.00 \pm 4.24c$	135.00±10.69ab	$140.00 \pm 9.87a$	$135.00 \pm 10.32 \mathrm{ab}$		
5 weeks	127.00 ± 4.54 b	$100.00 \pm 5.29c$	130.00 ± 5.37 ab	$135.00 \pm 4.84a$	130.00 ± 6.14 ab		
6 weeks	130.00±8.73a	$107.00 \pm 13.89b$	$127.00 \pm 4.31a$	$130.00 \pm 6.05a$	$135.00 \pm 9.07a$		
7 weeks	133.00 ± 8.4a	$121.25 \pm 5.37b$	$135.00 \pm 6.2a$	131.75±8.08a	$137.0 \pm 8.45a$		
8 weeks	$140.00 \pm 5.58a$	$110.00 \pm 5.15b$	$140.00 \pm 5.29a$	143.00±3.78a	$140.00 \pm 4.63a$		
Average	$117.5 \pm 19.34a$	$98.28 \pm 13.89b$	$120.47 \pm 18.37a$	$122.09 \pm 19.77a$	121.14 ± 19.75a		

a, b, c means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly (P < 0.05) different

degradation products was further supported by brine shrimp bioassay, demonstrating that ajowan seeds extract can be a powerful detoxification agent for AFs. Ajwoan's therapeutic effects are also mentioned, including its 40% thymol content and properties as an antispasmodic, stimulant, tonic, and carminative. It is prescribed for cholera and given for diarrhea, atonic dyspepsia, and flatulence. Presence of various phytochemical components, including volatile oils, phenolic compounds, minerals, proteins, fats, carbohydrates, glycosides, and fiber [10–12]. Antioxidant, antibacterial, antifungal, hypolipidemic, antihypertensive, antispasmodic, bronchodilator, diuretic, antitussive, anthelmintic, and abortifacient are only a few examples of the many pharmacological qualities [38–41].

To efficiently produce high-quality meat without antibiotics, ajowan can be promoted as a non-antibiotic growth promoter (NAGP) in the broiler sector [42]. Latter authors examined how ajowan affected the performance of broiler chicks. The basal diet (control group), the basal diet plus 0.02% ajowan powder, and the basal diet plus 0.02% virginiamycin powder were given to the chicks. Data indicated that feed consumption significantly increased in treated groups compared to controls. Additionally, there was a substantial (P < 0.05) increase in total body weight and body weight gain in the treated groups Dinodiya [12, 61].

Digestion Coefficients and Nutritive Values of the Experimental Diets

Effect of Aflatoxin B_1 (AFB₁):

Comparing rabbits fed an AFB1-contaminated diet to control rabbits, the digestion coefficients of DM, OM, CF, EE and NFE and nutritional values as TDN and DCP were considerably (P<0.05) decreased (Table 7). The detrimental effects of AFB1 on nutritive values and digestibility are consistent with those described by Salem et al. [43], Shehata (27, 44, and Helal [28]. AFB1 may interfere with the utilization of dietary nutrients, which would explain its negative impact on nutrient digestibility [45]. The digestibility coefficients of DM, OM, EE, CF, and NFE and TDN percent were improved (P<0.05) by all additions (probiotic, ajowan, and probiotic + ajowan). Probiotics plus ajowan caused the greatest improvement (Table 7).

Items	Treatments					
	Control	Aflatoxin	Aflatoxin+ probiotic	Aflatoxin + ajowan	Aflatoxin + probiotic + ajowan	
1 week	2.57±0.25 c	3.07±0.24 ab	3.33±0.35 a	2.97±0.32 b	3.03 ± 0.37 ab	
2 weeks	2.99 ± 0.24 c	3.88±0.34 a	3.53 ± 0.32 b	3.28 ± 0.46 bc	3.07 ± 0.44 bc	
3 weeks	3.06 ± 0.54 b	3.99±0.36 a	3.23 ± 0.22 b	3.74±0.39 a	3.04±0.31 b	
4 weeks	3.85 ± 0.76 b	4.87±0.53 a	4.51 ± 0.28 ab	4.80±0.43 a	4.07±0.53 b	
5 weeks	4.61 ± 0.72 b	5.37 ± 0.77 ab	$5.35 \pm 0.5a$	5.09 ± 0.65 ab	$3.89 \pm 0.37c$	
6 weeks	4.88 ± 0.64 ab	$5.42 \pm 0.64a$	5.19 ± 0.47 ab	$4.25 \pm 0.38c$	$4.62 \pm 0.52 bc$	
7 weeks	$4.38 \pm 0.26b$	$5.76 \pm 0.92a$	$4.79 \pm 0.92 \mathrm{b}$	$4.32 \pm 0.45b$	$4.58 \pm 0.57b$	
8 weeks	$4.17 \pm 0.51b$	5.31±0.67a	$5.13 \pm 0.3a$	4.98±0.47a	$4.79 \pm 0.34a$	
Average	3.81±0.8a	$4.71 \pm 0.97c$	$4.38 \pm 0.91b$	$4.16 \pm 0.8b$	$3.88 \pm 0.76a$	

Table 6Effect of treatments onfeed conversion of rabbits

a, b, c means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly (P < 0.05) different

The results of the probiotic studies support those of Kasmani et al. [24]. They stated that *Lactobacillus plantarum* and *Lactobacillus paracasei* could successfully reduce the immunological toxicities of AFs in mice and that *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* could reduce the concentration of AFB1 by 44–54% utilizing a chicken intestinal loop approach. TLC and HPLC analysis revealed that nine bacterial isolates might degrade down AFs. According to HPLC detection, three bacterial cultures had AF degradation ratio greater than 90% [46].

Several bacteria identified from soil, dung, nuts, and other habitats, including *Rhodococcus erythropolis*, *Mycobacterium fluoranthenivorans*, *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, *Enterobacteriaceae* sp., *Myxococcusfulvus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, and *Pseudomonas putida*, efficiently degrade AFB1 [47, 48, 25].

AFB1 is eliminated from contaminated water by lactic acid bacteria (*Bifidobacterium angulatum*, *Lactobacillus acidophilus*, *L. rhamnosus*, *L. plantarum*, and *Streptococcus thermophiles*) [49]. As starter cultures, LABs from various origins, including Egypt, Thailand, and German culture collecting facilities, can be employed to lower AFM1. Eleven probiotic Lactobacillus strains were added, and the resulting AFM1 binding ability ranged from 4.13 to 64.16%. Additionally, the analysis of the stability of the bacterial-AFM1 complex revealed a minor release of AFM1 in the first and second washes but total stability in the third wash [50]. Ajowan's increased digestibility and nutritional value may be a result of its advantageous effects on biological functions [41, 42]

Blood Biochemistry

 Table 7
 Effect of treatments

 on digestion coefficient and

nutritive values

Table 8 illustrates how eating an AFB1-contaminated diet affected the blood biochemistry of rabbits. When compared

to control rabbits, concentrations of total protein, serum albumin, and globulin significantly (P < 0.05) decreased in rabbits fed an AFB1-contaminated diet (Table 8). On the other hand, the blood of rabbits fed an AFB1-contaminated diet had significantly (P < 0.05) higher levels of the liver enzymes like AST, ALT, and ALP activities. The negative impact of AFB1 on blood components is consistent with the findings of Helal [28] and Sorour [29]. They found that NZW rabbits fed a diet containing AFB1 decreased serum total protein and albumin (P < 0.05). Our findings on AST, ALT, and ALP enzyme activity are consistent with those of Yousef et al. [51] and Sorour [29]. Increased cell membrane permeability or hepatocellular necrosis may cause elevated ALT activity. Aflatoxin's effects on protein synthesis and cellular integrity in the liver may be responsible for the decline in total protein and albumin levels [52]. The dangerous impact of aflatoxin on immunity may be to blame for the drop in globulin content [31].

El-Afifi et al. [53] revealed that probiotics decreased the effect of AF on body weight gain and improved blood parameters, liver function, and renal function. Probiotic results support their findings. The blood's hematology and biochemistry were enhanced (P<0.05) by all supplements (probiotic, ajowan, and probiotic + ajowan). Additionally, the liver and kidney functions, as well as serum biochemical markers and mouse weight gain, were all improved by *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (SC) (P<0.05). However, it was discovered that SC was a secure and effective agent for reducing the toxicity of AFs and guarding against the toxicity that AFs caused [54]. According to Iram et al. [37], ajowan's content may be the cause of its activation factor for AFs, which may explain why blood parameters improved [42, 55–67].

Items	Treatments					
	Control	Aflatoxin	Aflatoxin+ probiotic	Aflatoxin + ajowan	Aflatoxin + probiotic + ajowan	
Digesti	on coefficient (%)					
DM	$75.57 \pm 1.04a$	64.27±0.53c	$71.29 \pm 1.06b$	72.11 ± 1.54 b	$72.59 \pm 0.47b$	
ОМ	76.89±1.35a	$68.95 \pm 5.27 \text{b}$	73.78±1.15a	74.44 ± 1.71a	$74.87 \pm 0.72a$	
СР	81.10±1.63a	78.11 ± 0.99b	$78.21 \pm 1.25b$	$78.74 \pm 1.31b$	80.00 ± 1.88 ab	
EE	$85.89 \pm 0.14a$	$74.15 \pm 4.44c$	80.39±3.66b	$79.84 \pm 1.67b$	82.10 ± 2.85 ab	
CF	$41.99 \pm 3.05a$	$29.19 \pm 2.55b$	$38.82 \pm 2.47a$	$38.91 \pm 1.22a$	$40.46 \pm 2a$	
NFE	$83.06 \pm 1.2a$	$70.97 \pm 0.74c$	$80.01 \pm 1.41b$	81.04 ± 2.3 ab	81.00 ± 0.72 ab	
Nutriti	ve values (%)					
TDN	$69.95 \pm 1.35a$	$60.83 \pm 0.95c$	67.17±1.11b	$67.68 \pm 1.53b$	68.33 ± 0.73 ab	
DCP	$16.06 \pm 0.33a$	$15.47 \pm 0.2b$	$15.50 \pm 0.23b$	$15.59 \pm 0.26b$	15.82 ± 0.35 ab	

a, b, c means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly (P < 0.05) different

Items	Treatments						
	Control	Aflatoxin	Aflatoxin + probiotic	Aflatoxin + ajowan	Aflatoxin + probiotic + ajowan		
AST (u/l)	34.73 ± 1.62 b	56.26±10.83 a	37.24 ± 1.91 b	40.71 ± 6.49 b	35.89±2.54 b		
ALT (u/l)	41.68±1.46 b	68.55 ± 2.88 a	43.89±2.45 b	41.89±7.77 b	42.46 ± 2.32 b		
ALP (u/l)	$180.00 \pm 3 c$	264.50±11.5 a	$205.00 \pm 6 \text{ b}$	$191.00 \pm 6 \text{ bc}$	$180.00 \pm 10.5 \text{ c}$		
Total protein (g/dl)	6.83±0.9 a	$4.19 \pm 0.6c$	5.32 ± 0.21 b	5.36 ± 0.6 b	5.47±0.24 b		
Albumin (g/dl)	4.46±0.9 a	3.32 ± 0.14 c	4.04 ± 0.9 b	$4.04 \pm 0.5 \text{ b}$	4.05 ± 0.17 b		
Globulin (g/dl)	2.37±0.18 a	0.87±0.19 c	1.28 ± 0.12 b	1.32±0.11 b	1.42±.15 b		
A/G ratio	1.90 ± 0.2 c	4.05 ± 1.05 a	3.20 ± 0.2 ab	3.05 ± 0.25 b	2.85 ± 0.5 b		

AST aspartate aminotransferase (u/l), ALT alanine aminotransferase (u/l), ALP alkaline phosphatise (u/l)

a, b, c means in the same row with different superscripts are significantly (P < 0.05) different

Conclusions

The conclusion that can be derived from the findings mentioned above and discussion is that probiotic 3 (AVI-5-BAC) + ajowan can be added to rabbit diets to reduce and eliminate the toxicity of AFB1 and enhance growth performance criteria.

Acknowledgements Authors thank their respective university (Zagazig University) for its support.

Author Contribution Conceptualization and supervision, S.A.M.S., S.M.B., and S.A.M.M.; methodology and investigation, S.I.A.M.; original draft writing, M.E.A.E.-H.; writing—review and editing: S.A.M.M. and M.E.A.E.-H.. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding Open access funding provided by The Science, Technology & Innovation Funding Authority (STDF) in cooperation with The Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB).

Data Availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate Animal maintenance and care adhered to Zagazig University's (ZU-IACUC/2/F/56/2021) criteria for the care and use of laboratory animals and those of the Egyptian Research Ethics Committee.

Consent for Publication Not applicable.

Competing Interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated

otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Eskola M, Kos G, Elliott CT, Hajšlová J, Mayar S, Krska R (2019) Worldwide contamination of food-crops with mycotoxins: validity of the widely cited 'FAO estimate' of 25%. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr
- Binder LM, Tan LJ, Chin J, Handl J, Richard EM (2007) Worldwide occurrence of mycotoxins in commodities, feeds and feed ingredients. Anim Feed Sci Technol 137:265–282
- Reda FM, Ismail IE, El-Mekkawy MM, Farag MR, Mahmoud, HK, Alagawany M (2020) Dietary supplementation of potassium sorbate, hydrated sodium calcium almuniosilicate and methionine enhances growth, antioxidant status and immunity in growing rabbits exposed to aflatoxin B₁ in the diet. J Animal Physiol Animal Nutr 104(1):196–203
- Mugerwa S, Kabirizi J, Zziwa E (2015) Effect of supplementing lactating goats fed on aflatoxin contaminated feed with calcium bentonite and activated charcoal on aflatoxin M₁ concentration, excretion and carryover in milk. Uganda J of Agricultural Sciences 16(1):83–92
- Liu Y, Wu F (2010) Global burden of aflatoxin-induced hepatocellular carcinoma: a risk assessment. Environ Health Perspect 118:818–824
- Guan Y, Chen J, Nepovimova E, Long M, Wu W, Kuca K (2021) Aflatoxin detoxification using microorganisms and enzymes. Toxins 13(1):46
- Wang J, Ogata M, Hirai H, Kawagishi H (2010) Detoxification of aflatoxin B₁ by manganese peroxidase from the white-rot fungus *Phanerochaete sordida* YK-624. FEMS Microbiol Lett 314(2):164–169
- Omotayo OP, Omotayo AO, Mwanza M, Babalola OO (2019) Prevalence of mycotoxins and their consequences on human health. Toxicol Res 35:1–7
- Somorin YM, Bertuzzi T, Battilani P, Pietri A (2012) Aflatoxin and fumonisin contamination of yam flour from markets in Nigeria. Food Control 25(1):53–58
- Abd El-Hack A, Mohamed E, Alagawany M, Shaheen H, Samak D, Othman SI, Sitohy M (2020) Ginger and its derivatives as

promising alternatives to antibiotics in poultry feed. Animals 10(3):452

- 11. Abd El-Hack ME, Alagawany M, Farag MR, Tiwari R, Karthik K, Dhama K (2016) Nutritional, healthical and therapeutic efficacy of black cumin (*Nigella sativa*) in animals, poultry and humans. Int J Pharmacol 12(3):232–248
- 12. Aladaileh SH, Khafaga AF, Abd El-Hack ME, Al-Gabri NA, Abukhalil MH, Alfwuaires MA, Abdelnour S (2020) Spirulina platensis ameliorates the sub chronic toxicities of lead in rabbits via anti-oxidative, anti-inflammatory, and immune stimulatory properties. Sci Total Environ 701:134879
- Christie PJ, Atmakuri K, Krishnamoorthy V, Jakubowski S, Cascales E (2005) Biogenesis, architecture, and function of bacterial type IV secretion systems. Ann Rev Microbiol 59
- Morsi NM (2000) Antimicrobial effect of crude extracts of Nigella sativa on multiple antibiotics-resistant bacteria. Acta Microbiol Pol 49(1):63–74
- Abdelnour SA, Abd El-Hack ME, Alagawany M, Farag MR, Elnesr SS (2019) Beneficial impacts of bee pollen in animal production, reproduction and health. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr 103(2):477–484
- 16. Alagawany M, Abd El-Hack ME, Farag MR, Elnesr SS, El-Kholy MS, Saadeldin IM, Swelum AA (2018) Dietary supplementation of *Yucca schidigera* extract enhances productive and reproductive performances, blood profile, immune function, and antioxidant status in laying Japanese quails exposed to lead in the diet. Poult Sci 97(9):3126–3137
- 17. Ashour EA, Abd El-Hack ME, Shafi ME, Alghamdi WY, Taha AE, Swelum AA, El-Saadony MT (2020) Impacts of green coffee powder supplementation on growth performance, carcass characteristics, blood indices, meat quality and gut microbial load in broilers. Agriculture 10(10):457
- Saeed M, Abd El-Hack ME, Alagawany M, Arain MA, Arif M, Mirza MA, Dhama K (2017) Chicory (*Cichorium intybus*) herb: chemical composition, pharmacology, nutritional and healthical applications. Int J Pharmacol 13(4):351–360
- AOAC (1990) Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Agricultural Chemists, thirteenth. Benjamin, Franklin Station, Washington DC
- 20. AOAC (2000) Association of Official Analytical Chemists of official methods of analysis, 17th edn. Washington, DC
- 21. Duncan DB (1955) Multiple range and multiple F-test. Biometrics 11:1-42
- 22. Atya AAI (2018) Biodegradation of aflatoxins. Thesis, Faculty of Science, Zagazig university, M. S. C
- Volkl A, Vogler., B., Schollenberger, M. and Karlovsky. P. (2004) Microbial detoxification of mycotoxin deoxynivalenol. J Basic Microbiol 44:147–156
- Kasmani FB, Torshizi MAK, Allameh AA, Shariatmadari F (2012) Aflatoxin detoxification potential of lactic acid bacteria isolated from Iranian poultry. Iran J Vet Res 13:152–155
- Samuel MS, Sivaramakrishna A, Mehta A (2014) Degradation and detoxification of aflatoxin B₁ by *Pseudomonas putida*. Int Biodeterior Biodegradation 86:202–209
- 26. Wang Q, Zhang Y, Zheng N, Guo L, Song X, Zhao S, Wang J (2019) Biological system responses of dairy cows to aflatoxin B₁ exposure revealed with metabolomic changes in multiple biofluids. Toxins 11:77
- 27. Shehata SAM (2002) Detoxification of mycotoxin contaminated animal feedstuffs. Ph.D. Thesis, Zagazig Univ Fac Agric Egypt
- 28. Helal AAA (2014) Amelioration of the aflatoxin toxicity in rabbits. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, MS.c
- 29. Sorour MMM (2019) Alleviation of hazard effects of aflatoxin contaminated rabbit diets by some plant additives. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University, M. S. C

- Yang C-K, Cheng Y-H, Tsai W-T, Liao R-W, Chang C-S, Chien W-C, Jhang J-C, Yu Y-H (2019) Prevalence of mycotoxins in feed and feed ingredients between 2015 and 2017 in Taiwan. Environ Sci Pollut Res 26:1–9
- Marai IFM, Asker AA (2008) Aflatoxins in rabbit production: hazards and control. Tropical and Subtropical Agroecosystems 8:1–28
- 32. Wang Y, Zhang H, Yan H, Yin C, Liu Y, Xu Q, Zhang Z (2018) Effective biodegradation of aflatoxin B₁ using the *Bacillus licheniformis* (BL010) strain. Toxins 10(12):497
- 33. Koc F, Samli H, Okur A, Ozduven M, Akyurek H, Senkoylu N (2010) Effects of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and/or mannanoligosaccharide on performance, blood parameters and intestinal microbiota of broiler chicks. Bulg J Agric Sci 16:643–650
- 34. El-shall NA, Abd El-Hack ME, Albaqami NM, Khafaga AF, Taha AE, Swelum AA, Elbestawy AR (2022) Phytochemical control of poultry coccidiosis: a review. Poult Sci 101(1):101542
- 35. Zhang W, Xue B, Li M, Mu Y, Chen Z, Li J, Shan A (2014) Screening a strain of *Aspergillus niger* and optimization of fermentation conditions for degradation of aflatoxin B₁. Toxins 6:3157–3172
- Hajare SS, Hajare SN, Sharma A (2005) Aflatoxin inactivation using aqueous extract of ajowan (*Trachyspermum ammi*) seeds. J of food science 70(1):C29–C34
- Iram W, Anjum T, Iqbal M, Ghaffar A, Abbas M (2016) Structural elucidation and toxicity assessment of degraded products of aflatoxin B₁ and B₂ by aqueous extracts of *Trachyspermum ammi*. Front Microbiol 7:346
- Abd El-Hack ME, Alqhtani AH, Swelum AA, El-Saadony MT, Salem HM, Babalghith AO, El-Tarabily KA (2022a) Pharmacological, nutritional and antimicrobial uses of *Moringa oleifera* Lam. leaves in poultry nutrition: an updated knowledge. Poultry Sci 10203 f]
- 39. Abd El-Hack ME, El-Saadony MT, Salem HM, El-Tahan AM, Soliman MM, Youssef GB, Swelum AA (2022c) Alternatives to antibiotics for organic poultry production: types, modes of action and impacts on bird's health and production. Poultry Sci 101696
- 40. Arif M, ur Rehman, A., Naseer, K., Abdel-Hafez, S. H., Alminderej, F. M., El-Saadony, M. T., Alagawany, M. (2022) Effect of *Aloe vera* and clove powder supplementation on growth performance, carcass and blood chemistry of Japanese quails. Poult Sci 101(4):101702
- 41. Ramchander PJ, Middha A (2017) Recent advances on ajowan and its essential constituents. Journal of Medicinal Plants 5(3):16–22
- Shroha A, Bidhan DS, Yadav DC, Rohila H (2019) Ajwain as nonantibiotic growth promoter in Broiler industry: a review. Pharma Innov 8:518–524
- Salem MH, Kamel KI, Yousef MI, Hassan GA, EL-Nouty, F.D. (2001) Protective role of ascorbic acid to enhance semen quality of rabbits treated with sub lethal doses of aflatoxin B₁. Toxicology 162:209–218
- Shehata SA (2012) Effect of chlorophyllin on reducing the toxicity of aflatoxin B₁ in growing rabbit diets. Egyptian J Nutrition and feeds 15(3):567–576
- 45. Shehata SA (2010) Effect of adding *Nigella sativa* and vitamin C to rabbit diet contaminated with aflatoxin B₁. Egyptian Journal of Nutrition and Feeds 13(1):137–148
- Abdel-shafi S, Shehata S, Shindia A, El-melligy KH, Khidr A (2018) Biodegradation of aflatoxins by bacteria. Egypt J Microbiol 53:241–254
- El-Deeb B, Altalhi A, Khiralla G, Hassan S, Gherbawy Y (2013) Isolation and characterization of endophytic bacilli bacterium from maize grains able to detoxify aflatoxin B₁. Food Biotechnol 27:199–212

 Juri MGF, Dalcero AM, Magnoli CE (2015) In vitro aflatoxin B-1 binding capacity by two *Enterococcus faecium* strains isolated from healthy dog faeces. J Appl Microbiol 118:574–582

 Elsanhoty RM, Al-Turki IA, Ramadan MF (2016) Application of lactic acid bacteria in removing heavy metals and aflatoxin B₁ from contaminated water. Water Sci Technol 74:625–638

- Panwar R, Kumar N, Kashyap V, Ram C, Kapila R (2018) Aflatoxin M1 detoxification ability of probiotic lactobacilli of Indian origin in vitro digestion model. Probiotics Antimicrob Proteins 1–10
- 51. Yousef MI, Salem MH, Kamel KI, Hassan GA, El-Nouty FD (2003) Influence of ascorbic acid supplementation on the haematological and clinical biochemistry parameters of male rabbits exposed to aflatoxin B₁. J of Environmental Science and Health, Part B 38(2):193–209
- 52. Orsi RB, Oliveira CAF, Dilkin P, Xavier JGD, G.M and Correa, B. (2007) Effects of oral administration of aflatoxin B₁ and fumonisin B₁ in rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*). Chem Biol Interact 170:201–208
- 53. El-Afifi TM, Haggar A, Amel A, El Sawy AM, Abdel-Salam AF, El-Meleigy KM (2013) Effect of probiotic bacteria on aflatoxins in broiler chickens: growth performance, serum parameters and histological alterations. J of Animal and Poultry Production 4(1):17–35
- Darwish HR, Omara EA, Abdel-Aziz KB, Farag IM, Nada SA, Tawfek NS (2011) Saccharomyces cerevisiae modulates Aflatoxin-induced toxicity in male Albino mice. Report and Opinion 3(12):32–43
- 55. Abd El-Aziz AH, El-Kasrawy NI, Abd El-Hack ME, Kamel SZ, Mahrous UE, El-Deeb EM, Abo Ghanima MM (2022) Growth, immunity, relative gene expression, carcass traits and economic efficiency of two rabbit breeds fed prebiotic supplemented diets. Anim Biotechnol 33(3):417–428
- 56. Abd El-Hack ME, Alagawany M, Arif M, Emam M, Saeed M, Arain MA, Khan RU (2018) The uses of microbial phytase as a feed additive in poultry nutrition—a review. Annals of Animal Science 18(3):639
- 57. Abd El-Hack ME, El-Saadony MT, Elbestawy AR, Gado AR, Nader MM, Saad AM, El-Tahan AM, Taha AE, Salem HM, El-Tarabily KA (2022b) Hot red pepper powder as a safe alternative to antibiotics in organic poultry feed: an updated review. Poultry Sci 101(4):Art-101684

- Abd El-Hack ME, El-Shall NA, El-Kasrawy NI, El-Saadony MT, Shafi ME, El-Tarabily KA (2022e) The use of black pepper (*Piper guineense*) as an ecofriendly antimicrobial agent to fight foodborne microorganisms. Environ Sci Pollut Res 1–14
- AOAC Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1980) Official methods of analysis, 13th ed., sect. 26.051. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C
- Dersjant-Li Y, Verstegen MW, Gerrits WJ (2003) The impact of low concentrations of aflatoxin, deoxynivalenol or fumonisin in diets on growing pigs and poultry. Nutr Res Rev 16:223–239
- 61. Dinodiya J, Jhirwal AK, Choudhary RS, Goswami SC, Choudhary VK, Mahla V et al (2015) Broiler performance of cobb-400 chicks with herbal versus synthetic antimicrobial feed supplements in diet. Anim Sci Repor 9(1):16–21
- 62. Mahmood Fashandi H, Abbasi R, Mousavi Khaneghah A (2018) The detoxification of aflatoxin M_1 by Lactobacillus acidophilus and Bifidobacterium spp.: a review. J Food Proc Preserv 42(9):e13704
- Meulenaer B, "Chemical Hazards", In: P.A. Luning, F. Devlieghere and R. Verhé, (eds) (2008) Safety in the Agri-Food Chain. Wageningen Academic Publishers, Wageningen, pp 145–208
- 64. Nasr AM, Ela SEDSA, Ismail IE, Aldhahrani A, Soliman MM, Alotaibi SS, Abd El-Hack ME (2022) A comparative study among dietary supplementations of antibiotic, grape seed and chamomile oils on growth performance and carcass properties of growing rabbits. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences 29(4):2483–2488
- 65. Pate RT, Cardoso FC (2018) Injectable trace minerals (selenium, copper, zinc, and manganese) alleviate inflammation and oxidative stress during an aflatoxin challenge in lactating multiparous Holstein cows. J Dairy Sci 101:8532–8543
- 66. Peltonen K, El-Nezami H, Haskard C, Ahokas J, Salminen S (2001) Aflatoxin B₁ binding by dairy strains of lactic acid bacteria and bifidobacteria. J of Dairy science 84(10):2152–2156
- 67. SAS Institute (1996) SAS/STAT software: changes and enhancements for release 6.12. Sas Inst

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.