Correction to: Imaging tools for assessment of myocardial fibrosis in humans: the need for greater detail

Summer Hassan^{1,2} · Carolyn J Barrett¹ · David J Crossman¹

Published online: 19 November 2021

© International Union for Pure and Applied Biophysics (IUPAB) and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Correction to: Biophysical Reviews (2020) 12:969–987
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12551-020-00738-w>

Table 1 of the original article was published with an error in the “Confocal microscope”.

Incorrect data: 5–50 nm

Correct data: 250 nm

The corrected Table 1 is shown below.

Table 1 The resolutions achieved by different imaging modalities of the myocardium (Eugene Lin, 2009)

Imaging modality	Spatial resolution full width at half maximum (FWHM)
Echocardiography	~ 0.5–2 mm
CT	0.5–0.625 mm
MRI	1–2 mm
PET	4–10 mm
SPECT	4–15 mm
Electron microscope	1–10 nm
Confocal microscope	250 nm

The original article has been corrected.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12551-020-00738-w>.

✉ David J Crossman
d.crossman@auckland.ac.nz

¹ Department of Physiology, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand

² Auckland City Hospital, Auckland District Health Board, Auckland, New Zealand