ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Factors of heavy social media use among 13-year-old adolescents on weekdays and weekends

Yue-Yue You^{1,2} · Junwen Yang-Huang^{1,2} · Hein Raat² · Amy van Grieken²

Received: 29 August 2022 / Accepted: 16 January 2023 / Published online: 20 February 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Background Few studies have investigated which factors were related to adolescents' social media use. This study aimed to evaluate which factors were associated with heavy social media use on weekdays and weekends among 13-year-old adolescents.

Methods We analyzed data from 3727 children from the Generation R Study, a population-based cohort study in the Netherlands. Associations of demographic factors (child age, sex, ethnic background, and family situation), socioeconomic position (parental educational level, parental employment status, and net household income), screen-based behaviors (computer playing and TV viewing), and the home environment (communication, supervision, and restriction) with adolescents' heavy social media use (≥ 2 hours/day) were assessed separately on weekdays and weekends. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was applied.

Results The prevalence of heavy social media use was 37.7% on a weekday and 59.6% on a weekend day. Being a girl, living in a one-parent family, and more time spent playing on the computer were associated with heavy social media use on weekdays and weekends (all P < 0.05). Low socioeconomic position adolescents (low parental educational level and low household income) were more likely to show heavy social media use only on weekends (all P < 0.05). Children whose social media use was restricted by parents on weekdays or children whose social media use was supervised by parents on weekends had lower odds of heavy social media use (all P < 0.05).

Conclusions Being a girl, living in a one-parent family, or having a longer computer playing time were associated with heavy social media use on weekdays and weekends. More studies are needed to understand the factors associated with heavy social media use and the impact of heavy social media use on child health.

Keywords School-aged children \cdot Screen-based behaviors \cdot Social–cultural home environment \cdot Social media use \cdot Socioeconomic position

Introduction

In recent years, social media has achieved notable popularity worldwide, and adolescents are more likely than any other age group to use social media [1]. For example, a recent study conducted by six European countries among 14- to 17-year-old children showed that 69.5% of European adolescents use social media daily, with 38.8% reporting social media use for more than 2 hours per day [2]. Previous studies have indicated the beneficial effects of social media use among adolescents, including learning to express themselves and new skills [3, 4]. However, a systematic review reported that heavy social media use might cause negative implications on adolescents' mental health and well-being, given the unprecedented speed at which social media has become ubiquitous [5]. For example, Abi-Jaoude et al. reported that heavy social media use could increase mental distress, self-injury behavior and suicidality among youth; moreover, there is a dose—response relationship [6]. Therefore, identifying the factors of heavy social media use may help develop effective prevention programs and provide guidelines for healthy social media use.

Amy van Grieken a.vangrieken@erasmusmc.nl

¹ The Generation R Study Group, Erasmus Medical Center, Dr. Molewaterplein 40, 3015 GD Rotterdam, the Netherlands

² Department of Public Health, Erasmus Medical Center, Dr. Molewaterplein 40, 3015 GD Rotterdam, the Netherlands

Previous studies have explored several factors of social media use among adolescents, focusing mainly on two domains: demographic factors and socioeconomic position (SEP); however, the results are inconsistent in different countries [7–11]. For example, Blackwell et al. found no association between sex and time spent on social media among children in the US, but Spilkova et al. reported that boys exhibited half the likelihood of ≥ 2 hours of social media use than girls among Czech adolescents [7, 8]. In addition, a study conducted by the Pew Research Center in the US found that adolescents from middle and upper SEP families had higher daily social media use than their counterparts [9]. In contrast, Hugues et al. found no association between SEP and time spent on social media among children in Canada [10]. The inconsistent findings on the associations of demographic factors and SEP with heavy social media use suggest that more research is needed.

Furthermore, several other domains, such as screen-based behaviors or home environments, may contribute to social media use among adolescents. In the screen-based behaviors domain, Rideout et al. pointed out that media multitasking is increasingly common in the younger generation; among adolescents, the percentage of media multitasking was 60% in 2015 [12]. In addition, Ettinger et al. reported that most multitasking involves combinations of social media or video games among adolescents [13]. In the home environment domain, social-ecological theory suggests that the environment interacts with health behaviors and outcomes [14]. For example, parents use several mechanisms to regulate or monitor their children's behaviors, such as establishing rules, which may help reduce heavy social media use. However, studies on the association between other screen-based behaviors, home environment and heavy social media use among adolescents are relatively scarce [15, 16].

As adolescents spend more time on their phones or other devices on weekends than on weekdays (as they are at school during the day), we examined social media use on weekdays and weekends separately in this paper [17]. Most research investigating factors of heavy social media use uses overall days of measurement [8]. It could limit the identification of factors specific to weekday or weekend heavy social media use, which could be important to successfully prevent heavy social media use.

The current study aimed to identify which factors were associated with heavy social media use and whether factors differed between weekdays and weekends among adolescents aged 13 years. All factors were studied across four domains: demographic factors (child's age, sex, ethnic background, and family situation), SEP (parental educational level, parental employment status, and household income), other screen-based behaviors (computer playing and TV viewing), and home environment (communication, supervision, and restriction).

Methods

Study design and population

The data used in this study come from the Generation R Study. Generation R is a multiethnic population-based cohort from fetal life onward. It has previously been described in detail [18]. Briefly, all women living in Rotterdam, the Netherlands, with an expected delivery date between April 2002 and January 2006, were eligible for participation. Consent for postnatal follow-up was available for 6842 children aged 13 years [19]. Children with missing data on all factors (n = 444) and social media (n=2303) were excluded. To avoid clustering of data, the second (n=360) and third children (n=8) of the same mother were excluded, leaving a study population of 3727 participants. The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines proposed in the Declaration of Helsinki. The Medical Ethics Committee of the Erasmus Medical Center, Rotterdam, approved the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all the participants.

Measurements

Demographic factors

Child age (years), sex (boy, girl), ethnic background, and family situation were used as indicators of demographic factors, which were obtained by parent-reported questionnaires. The child's ethnic background (Dutch, other Western and non-Western) was based on the parents' country of birth, which was assessed by a questionnaire when the child was 6 years old. If one of the parents was born outside the Netherlands, this country of birth determined the ethnic background of the child. If both parents were born outside the Netherlands, the mother's country of birth determined the ethnic background [20]. The family situation was categorized as a two-parent family and a one-parent family and was obtained when the child was 13 years old.

Socioeconomic position (SEP)

Maternal and paternal educational level, maternal and paternal employment status, and net household income were used as indicators of SEP, which were obtained by parent-reported questionnaires. Maternal and paternal educational levels were obtained when the child was 6 years old and categorized as follows: low (no education, primary school, lower vocational training, intermediate general school, or 3 years or less general secondary school), middle (more than 3 years general secondary school, intermediate vocational training, first year of higher vocational training, or higher vocational training), and high (university or PhD degree) [21]. Maternal and paternal employment status (paid job, no paid job) and net household income per month ($< \varepsilon 2000$ /month, $\varepsilon 2000 \cdot \varepsilon 3600$ /month) were obtained when the child was 13 years old.

Other screen-based behaviors

Computer playing (continuous) and TV viewing (continuous) were used as indicators of other screen-based behaviors, which were obtained by the adolescent self-report questionnaire when the adolescent was 13 years old. Adolescents were asked to report: "How many hours per weekday do you usually spend playing games on a computer? and "How many hours per weekend day do you usually spend playing games on a computer?". TV viewing time was also obtained by the same method.

Home environment

Communication, supervision, and restriction were used as indicators of the home environment, which were obtained by a parent-reported questionnaire when the adolescent was 13 years old. For communication, parents answered the question "I talk to my child about what they see when they are on social media"; for supervision, parents answered the question "I sit at the computer together with my child if they are on social media"; for restriction, parents answered the question "I restrict the time my child is allowed to visit social media". Response options were never, rarely, sometimes, often, and always. We grouped these options into two categories: never/ rarely versus sometimes/often/always.

Adolescents' time spent on social media

Time spent on social media was examined separately on weekdays and weekends since adolescents spend more time on their devices on weekends than on weekdays, as they are at school during the weekdays. Adolescents were asked to report "On average, how many hours per weekday do you usually spend on social media?" and "On average, how many hours per weekend day do you usually spend on social media?". Based on the previously used definition of heavy social media use, a binary measure was constructed to contrast regular use (<2 hours/day) against heavy use (≥ 2 hours/day) [22, 23].

Statistical analysis

Data analysis

Descriptive analysis was applied to characterize the study population. Next, logistic regression models were constructed to study factors of heavy social media use separately for weekdays and weekends. In model 1, each factor was entered into the model separately (i.e., univariable model). In model 2, all factors were entered into the model together to assess the effect of each factor corrected for the other variables in the model (i.e., multivariable models). The results of all models are also presented as figures (Figs. 1 and 2). The correlations between the independent variables were analyzed using Spearman's r. The correlation coefficient did not indicate collinearity; therefore, all variables were simultaneously included in the full models.

Multiple imputations by fully conditional specification (FCS MI) were used to address the missing values on all independent variables (ranging from 1.0% to 21.9%, see Table 1), and 10 imputed datasets were generated. Pooled estimates from these 10 imputed datasets were used to report effect estimates [odds ratios (ORs)] and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). FCS MI is a powerful and statistically valid method for creating imputations in large datasets, which include both categorical and continuous variables. It specifies the multivariable imputation model on a variable-by-variable basis and offers a principled yet flexible method of addressing missing data, which is particularly useful for large datasets with complex data structures [24]. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. A significance level of P < 0.05 was used to indicate significant associations.

Nonresponse analyses

Children with missing data on social media use at 13 years old (n=2303) were compared with those without missing data (n=3727) regarding socio-demographic characteristics using Chi-square tests. Data were often missing for children from a non-Western ethnic background, parents with a low educational level, a low household income, or a mother or father without a paid job (all P < 0.05).

Sensitivity analyses

To compare the results based on complete case analyses and the imputed dataset, sensitivity analyses were performed. The analyses of the association between factors and heavy social media use on weekdays and weekends were performed on both the non-imputed and imputed datasets. The results from the analyses on the non-imputed dataset are shown in supplemental Tables 1 and 2.

Results

Table 1 shows the general characteristics of the study population. The mean age of adolescents was 13.54 [standard deviation (SD): 0.36] years, and 48.6% were boys. More than half of the children had a Dutch ethnic background

Ū				q			
Variables		OR (95% CI)	P-value	Variables		OR (95% CI)	P-value
Demographic variables				Demographic variables			
Child age	Į	1.10 (0.92, 131)	0.317	Child age	Ŧ	1.05 (0.87, 1.27)	0.600
Child sex (girl)	Ī	1.36 (1.19, 1.55)	< 0.001	Child sex (girl)	ł	1.58 (1.36, 1.83)	< 0.001
Child ethnic background				Child ethnic background			
Dutch		Ref		Dutch	•	Ref	
Other western	ł	1.26 (0.99, 1.60)	0.052	Other western	I	1.25 (0.98, 1.60)	0.068
Non-western	Ī	1.32 (1.14, 1.53)	< 0.001	Non-western	ļ	1.17 (0.98, 1.40)	0.078
Family situation (one-parent)	I	1.54 (131, 1.82)	0.001	Family situation (one-parent)	Ī	1.44 (1.16, 1.78)	0.001
SEP				SEP			
Maternal education level				Maternal education level			
High		Ref		High	•	Ref	
Middle	ł	1.35 (1.15, 1.58)	< 0.001	Middle	ł	0.84 (0.70, 1.02)	0.071
Low	1	- 1.69 (134, 2.13)	< 0.001	Low	ļ	1.15 (0.86, 1.54)	0.347
Pateral education level				Paternal education level			
High		Ref		High	•	Ref	
Middle	Ī	1.42 (1.21, 1.67)	< 0.001	Middle	Į	1.20 (0.99, 1.45)	0.061
Low	I	1.56 (1.27, 190)	< 0.001	Low	I	1.11 (0.85, 1.45)	0.433
Maternal employment status (no paid job)	ŀ	1.16 (0.98, 137)	0.087	Maternal employment status (no paid	iob)	1.02 (0.84, 1.24)	0.838
Paternal employment status (no paid job)	Į	1.11 (0.87, 1.41)	0.398	Paternal employment status (no paid	job) 🗝	0.86 (0.66, 1.14)	0.301
Household income				Household income			
>€3600/mon		Ref		> €3600/mon	•	Ref	
€2000-€3600/mon	Ī	1.26 (1.07, 147)	0.005	€2000-€3600/mon	ł	0.98 (0.81, 1.18)	0.839
<€2000/mon	I	1.45 (1.21, 1.74)	< 0.001	< €2000/mon	ſ	0.88 (0.66, 1.18)	0.395
Other screen-based behanviors				Other screen-based behaviors			
Computer playing	ĕ	1.21 (1.14, 129)	< 0.001	Computer playing	ž	1.25 (1.17, 1.34)	< 0.001
TV viewing	ē	1.14(1.06, 1.23)	0.001	TV viewing	ě	1.05 (0.97, 1.14)	0.239
Home environment				Home environment		8	
Communication	Ŧ	1.00 (0.87, 1.15)	0.980	Communication	I	1.03 (0.89, 1.19)	0.680
Supervision	ī	0.90 (0.77, 1.05)	0.166	Supervision	ŧ	0.87 (0.73, 1.03)	0.098
Restriction		0.74 (0.64, 0.85)	< 0.001	Restriction	Ŧ	0.78 (0.67, 0.91)	0.002
L	-	[Ĺ	
05.0	0500	05.2			0500050	05.00	

Fig. 1 Factors of heavy social media use among 13-year-old adolescents on a weekday. a Univariate mode 1; b multivariate mode 1. SEP socioeconomic position, CI confidence interval, OR odds ratio



Fig. 2 Factors of heavy social media use among 13-year-old adolescents on a weekend day. **a** Univariate mode 1; **b** multivariate mode 1. *SEP* socioeconomic position, *CI* confidence interval, *OR* odds ratio

Table 1 General characteristics of the study population (n=3727). Table is based on a non-imputed dataset. ^aCommunication: parents talk to their child about what the child see when the child is on social media. ^bSupervision: parents sit at the computer together with their child if their child is on social media. ^cRestriction: parents restrict the time their child visit social media. ^dHeavy social media use defined as social media use ≥ 2 hours per day. *SD* standard deviation

Characteristics	Total	Missing, n (%)
Demographic variables		
Child age, mean (SD)	13.54 (0.36)	40 (1.1)
Child sex, n (%)		
Boy	1810 (48.6)	0
Girl	1917 (51.4)	
Child ethnic background, n (%)		
Dutch	2359 (63.9)	38 (1.0)
Other western	326 (8.8)	
Non-western	1004 (27.3)	
Family situation, n (%)		
Two-parent family	2922 (80.8)	109 (2.9)
One-parent family	696 (19.2)	
Socioeconomic position		
Maternal education level, n (%)		
Low	314 (9.4)	386 (10.4)
Middle	979 (29.3)	
High	2048 (61.3)	
Paternal education level, n (%)		
Low	393 (12.7)	642 (17.2)
Middle	792 (25.7)	
High	1900 (61.6)	
Maternal employment status, n (%)		
Paid job	2860 (81.2)	207 (5.6)
No paid job	660 (18.8)	
Paternal employment status, n (%)		
Paid job	3055 (91.1)	372 (10.0)
No paid job	300 (8.9)	
Household income, n (%)		
< €2000/mon	571 (17.1)	386 (10.4)
€2000–€3600/mon	870 (26.0)	
> €3600/mon	1,900 (56.9)	
Other screen time behaviors		
Computer playing (h/d, mean (SD)		
On a weekday	1.15 (1.20)	789 (21.2)
On a weekend day	1.89 (1.61)	815 (21.9)
TV viewing (h/d), mean (SD)		
On a weekday	1.05 (0.98)	798 (21.4)
On a weekend day	1.72 (1.27)	818 (21.9)
Home environment		
Communication ^a , <i>n</i> (%)		
Never/rarely	1743 (49.2)	182 (4.9)
Sometimes/often/always	1802 (50.8)	
Supervision ^b , n (%)		
Never/rarely	2660 (74.9)	176 (4.7)
Sometimes/often/always	891 (25.1)	

Table 1 (continued)		
Characteristics	Total	Missing, n (%)
Restriction ^c , n (%)	·	
Never/rarely	1084 (30.8)	213 (5.7)
Sometimes/often/always	2430 (69.2)	
Social media use		
Heavy social media use ^d , n (%)		
On a weekday	1404 (37.7)	0
On a weekend day	2223 (59.6)	0

(63.9%), and the most common type of family was a twoparent family (80.8%). In total, 61.3% of the mothers and 61.6% of the fathers had a high educational level. More than half of the children belonged to a family with a high-income household (56.9%). Adolescents spent 1.15 (SD: 1.20) and 1.89 (SD: 1.61) hours on the computer playing on a weekday and a weekend, respectively. The time spent on TV viewing was 1.05 (SD: 0.98) on a weekday and 1.72 (SD: 1.27) on a weekend day. More than half of the parents communicated about (50.8%) and restricted (69.2%) their child's social media use. The prevalence of heavy social media use was 37.7% (on a weekday) and 59.6% (on a weekend day).

Table 2 and Fig. 1 show the associations between all factors and heavy social media use on a weekday. Being a girl, being from a non-Western ethnic background, living in a one-parent family, having a low family SEP (low parental educational level and low household income), having longer TV viewing and longer computer playing time, and less parental restriction of social media use were significantly associated with heavy social media use (Table 2 model 1; Fig. 1a). After including all factors in the model, associations were observed between sex, family situation, computer playing, restriction, and heavy social media use (Table 2 model 2; Fig. 1b). Girls [odds ratio (OR): 1.58; 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.36, 1.83] or children living in one-parent families (OR: 1.44, 95% CI 1.16, 1.78) were more likely to show heavy social media use than their counterparts. Spending more time on the computer playing on a weekday was associated with heavy social media use (OR: 1.25; 95% CI 1.17, 1.34). Children whose social media use was restricted by parents (OR: 0.78; 95% CI 0.67, 0.91) had lower odds of heavy social media use. The Chi-square by the Omnibus Test was 153.00 (P < 0.001), and the Nagelkerke R Squared in the model summary was 7.5% (model 2).

Table 3 and Fig. 2 show the associations between all factors and heavy social media use on a weekend day. Being a girl, being from a non-Western ethnic background, living in a one-parent family, having a low family SEP (low parental educational level, maternal with unemployment status, and low household income), having longer TV viewing and **Table 2** Factors of heavy social media use on a weekday among 13-year-old adolescents (n=3727). Table is based on imputed dataset. Bold print indicates statistical significance at P < 0.05. Values represent odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals derived from (multi-

ple) logistic regression analyses. Model 1: each factor was added to the model separately. Model 2: all factors were added to the model. *OR* odds ratio, *CI* confidence interval

Variables	Model 1		Model 2	
	OR (95% CI)	P value	OR (95% CI)	P value
Demographic variables				
Child age	1.10 (0.92, 1.31)	0.317	1.05 (0.87, 1.27)	0.600
Child sex				
Воу	1.00		1.00	
Girl	1.36 (1.19, 1.55)	< 0.001	1.58 (1.36, 1.83)	< 0.001
Child ethnic background				
Dutch	1.00		1.00	
Other western	1.26 (0.99, 1.60)	0.052	1.25 (0.98, 1.60)	0.068
Non-western	1.32 (1.14, 1.53)	< 0.001	1.17 (0.98, 1.40)	0.078
Family situation				
Two-parent family	1.00		1.00	
One-parent family	1.54 (1.31, 1.82)	< 0.001	1.44 (1.16, 1.78)	0.001
Socioeconomic position				
Maternal education level				
High	1.00		1.00	
Middle	1.35 (1.15, 1.58)	< 0.001	0.84 (0.70, 1.02)	0.072
Low	1.69 (1.34, 2.13)	< 0.001	1.15 (0.86, 1.54)	0.347
Paternal education level				
High	1.00		1.00	
Middle	1.42 (1.21, 1.67)	< 0.001	1.20 (0.99, 1.45)	0.061
Low	1.56 (1.27, 1.90)	< 0.001	1.11 (0.85, 1.46)	0.433
Maternal employment status				
Paid job	1.00		1.00	
No paid job	1.16 (0.98, 1.37)	0.087	1.02 (0.84, 1.24)	0.838
Paternal employment status				
Paid job	1.00		1.00	
No paid job	1.11 (0.87, 1.41)	0.398	0.86 (0.66, 1.14)	0.301
Household income				
>€3600/mon	1.00		1.00	
€2000–€3600/mon	1.26 (1.07, 1.47)	0.005	0.98 (0.81, 1.18)	0.839
<€2000/mon	1.45 (1.21, 1.74)	< 0.001	0.88 (0.66, 1.18)	0.395
Other screen-based behaviors				
Computer playing	1.21 (1.14, 1.29)	< 0.001	1.25 (1.17, 1.34)	< 0.001
TV viewing	1.14 (1.06, 1.23)	0.001	1.05 (0.97, 1.14)	0.239
Home environment				
Communication				
Never/rarely	1.00		1.00	
Sometimes/often/always	1.00 (0.87, 1.15)	0.980	1.03 (0.89, 1.19)	0.680
Supervision				
Never/rarely	1.00		1.00	
Sometimes/often/always	0.90 (0.77, 1.05)	0.166	0.87 (0.73, 1.03)	0.098
Restriction				
Never/rarely	1.00		1.00	
Sometimes/often/always	0.74 (0.64, 0.85)	< 0.001	0.78 (0.67, 0.91)	0.002

longer computer playing time, and less parental supervision of social media use were significantly associated with heavy social media use (Table 3 model 1; Fig. 2a). After including all factors in the model, associations between sex, family situation, parental educational level, household income, computer playing, supervision, and heavy social media use were observed (Table 3 model 2; Fig. 2b). Girls (OR: 1.39; 95% CI 1.19, 1.63) or children living in one-parent families (OR: 1.64; 95% CI 1.30, 2.06) were more likely to show heavy social media use than their counterparts. Children from a family with a low SEP, including low maternal educational level (OR: 1.29, 95% C 1.07, 1.57), low paternal educational level (low: OR: 1.39, 95% CI 1.13, 1.70; middle: OR: 1.37, 95% CI 1.01, 1.86) and low household income (low: OR: 1.46, 95% CI 1.10, 1.92; middle: OR: 1.73, 95% CI 1.27, 2.34), had higher odds of heavy social media use than their counterparts. Spending more time playing on a computer on a weekend day was associated with heavy social media use (OR: 1.30; 95% CI 1.24, 1.37). Children whose social media was supervised by parents (OR: 0.75; 95% CI 0.64, 0.89) had lower odds of heavy social media use. The Chi-square by the Omnibus Test was 214.48 (P < 0.001), and the Nagelkerke R Squared in the model summary was 12.2% (model 2).

Supplementary tables 1 and 2 show the results of (multiple) logistic regression conducted with non-imputed dataset. The results were comparable to those of the main analyses (Tables 2 and 3). The factors associated with heavy social media use on weekdays and weekends were the same compared to the main analyses, although effect estimates (ORs) were smaller. The contribution of each factor is reported in Supplementary Tables 3 and 4.

Discussion

The present study evaluated factors associated with heavy social media use (≥ 2 hours/day) among 13-year-old children. We studied the associations of demographic factors, socioeconomic position, other screen-based behaviors, and home environment with heavy social media use on weekdays and weekends. The findings showed that the prevalence of heavy social media use among adolescents was 37.7% and 59.6% on weekdays and weekends, respectively. Being a girl, living in a one-parent family, and having longer computer playing time were positively associated with heavy social media use on weekdays and weekends. Interestingly, a low family SEP (low parental educational level and low household income) was significantly associated with heavy social media use only on weekend days. Children whose social media use was restricted by parents on weekdays or children whose social media use was supervised by parents on weekends had lower odds of heavy social media use.

Previous studies have shown a wide range of prevalence rates of heavy social media use, ranging from 25% to 43.6%, potentially due to methodological differences such as small sample sizes [8, 25]. For instance, a nationally representative study in the Czech Republic showed that 25.9% of adolescents spent more than 2 hours on social media per day [8]. In contrast, the prevalence of heavy social media use among adolescents in a province-wide school-based survey in Ontario (Canada) was 42.9% [25]. Our study also observed a high prevalence of heavy social media use. Furthermore, compared to previous literature, the current study showed an increase in heavy social media use, especially on weekends (on weekdays: 37.7%; on weekends: 59.6%) [26, 27]. Therefore, our results suggest that preventing heavy social media use on weekends may have the biggest impact on the prevention of heavy social media use.

The association between demographic factors (sex and family situation) and heavy social media use was confirmed in the present study. The results showed that being a girl or children living in one-parent families had higher odds of heavy social media use. Previous studies have shown that girls and boys interact differently with and on social media and consume its content differently [28]. Currently, no definitive explanation for sex differences in heavy social media use is available, and more research on this area is needed.

The results showed that low family SEP (low parental educational level and low-income household) was positively associated with heavy social media use only on weekend days. A possible explanation may be that children may have less free time spent on social media due to a busy school schedule and heavy homework on weekdays, either with a high or a low SEP [29]. However, weekends may provide an opportunity for increasing social media use for children with a low SEP. On weekend days, compared to children from low-income families, children from high-income families are more likely to participate in organized sports that involve multiple expenses (e.g., membership fees, transport fees, and play material fees), such as swimming, cycling, and hockey [30, 31]. These activities may lead to less time for children on social media. In contrast, children from low-income families are more likely not to participate in organized sports and may have more free time to use social media on weekends. In addition, low-educated parents might be less aware of the possible adverse health effects due to heavy social media use, consequently exerting less control over their children's social media use than highly educated parents [32].

The results also showed that longer computer playing time was associated with heavy social media use on weekdays and weekends. Younger adolescents are most likely to multitask when engaged in media-based behavior [33, 34]. Griffiths et al. reported that teenagers make the most use of social media compared to the general population [35]. They often use social media as a communication tool and utilize **Table 3** Factors of heavy social media use on a weekend day among 13-year-old adolescents (n=3727). Table is based on imputed dataset. Bold print indicates statistical significance at P < 0.05. Values represent odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals derived from

(multiple) logistic regression analyses. Model 1: each factor was added to the model separately. Model 2: all factors were added to the model. *OR* odds ratio, *CI* confidence interval

	Model 1		Model 2	
Varaibles	OR (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value	OR (95% CI)	P value
Demographic variables				
Child age	1.19 (0.99, 1.43)	0.071	1.16 (0.96, 1.42)	0.130
Child sex				
Воу	1.00		1.00	
Girl	1.36 (1.19, 1.55)	< 0.001	1.39 (1.19, 1.63)	< 0.001
Child ethnic background				
Dutch	1.00		1.00	
Other western	1.16 (0.91, 1.47)	0.226	0.86 (0.67, 1.10)	0.237
Non-western	1.33 (1.15, 1.55)	< 0.001	1.05 (0.79, 1.38)	0.756
Family situation				
Two-parent family	1.00		1.00	
One-parent family	1.54 (1.29, 1.83)	< 0.001	1.64 (1.30, 2.06)	< 0.001
Socioeconomic position				
Maternal education level				
High	1.00		1.00	
Middle	1.49 (1.29, 1.73)	< 0.001	1.23 (0.85, 1.78)	0.264
Low	1.54 (1.18, 1.99)	0.001	1.29 (1.07, 1.57)	0.010
Paternal education level				
High	1.00		1.00	
Middle	1.60 (1.35, 1.90)	< 0.001	1.37 (1.01, 1.86)	0.043
Low	1.68 (1.35, 2.10)	< 0.001	1.39 (1.13, 1.70)	0.002
Maternal employment status				
Paid job	1.00		1.00	
No paid job	1.20 (1.01, 1.42)	0.037	1.16 (0.95, 1.42)	0.144
Paternal employment status				
Paid job	1.00		1.00	
No paid job	1.10 (0.86, 1.39)	0.455	0.93 (0.70, 1.23)	0.601
Household income				
>€3600/mon	1.00		1.00	
€2000–€3600/mon	1.20 (1.02, 1.48)	0.030	1.73 (1.27, 2.34)	< 0.001
<€2000/mon	1.23 (1.02, 1.48)	0.031	1.46 (1.10, 1.92)	0.008
Other screen-based behaviors				
Computer playing	1.26 (1.21, 1.32)	< 0.001	1.30 (1.24, 1.37)	< 0.001
TV viewing	1.07 (1.00, 1.14)	0.029	1.05 (0.98, 1.12)	0.173
Home environment				
Communication				
Never/rarely	1.00		1.00	
Sometimes/often/always	1.11 (0.97, 1.27)	0.116	1.14 (0.99, 1.31)	0.067
Supervision				
Never/rarely	1.00		1.00	
Sometimes/often/always	0.81 (0.69, 0.94)	0.005	0.75 (0.64, 0.89)	0.001
Restriction				
Never/rarely	1.00		1.00	
Sometimes/often/always	0.87 (0.76, 1.01)	0.068	0.95 (0.81, 1.10)	0.473

its potential for technology-enabled connections when playing computer games [36]. In other words, adolescents use social media to exchange opinions and communicate with their team members when playing games, increasing their social media use. Thus, encouraging adolescents to spend less time on computer playing may be an effective strategy to reduce their social media use. Previous studies have shown that more time spent in health-promoting activities (i.e., physical activity) or face-to-face interactions could effectively reduce adolescent spending time on computer games, which may, in turn, reduce social media use [37].

Furthermore, our results showed that children whose social media use was restricted by parents on weekdays or children whose social media use was supervised by parents on weekends had lower odds of heavy social media use. There are little data available to compare our results, as few studies have examined social-cultural home environment factors of heavy social media use, and fewer have stratified analyses on weekdays and weekends. Previous studies on these factors have mainly focused on adolescents' traditional media device usage, such as television or computer use [38, 39]. There are a few potential explanations for the differences in associations between social media rules on weekdays and weekends. First, parents might be more available and involved with their children on weekends than on weekdays. For example, Yeung et al. found that parents have more hours of involvement time with their children on a weekend day than on a weekday [40]. Second, parents supervise children's social media use significantly more on weekends than on weekdays. Overall, these results underscore the critical role of parents in adolescents' social media use.

Reducing heavy social media use is important for adolescents' health and well-being. Therefore, youth health care professionals working with families and schools may need guidelines to suggest practical strategies to limit social media use. Moreover, a clear definition of limiting "heavy" use might support professionals in actively promoting less social media use.

Strengths and limitations

This study's strength is the large number of adolescents being studied and the collection of data on social media use on weekdays and weekends. In addition, our study assessed parental communication, supervision, and restriction rules. However, the current study has some limitations that should be mentioned. First, our study was crosssectional and did not permit the establishment of causal relationships. Future work should examine the associations longitudinally. Second, factors of social media use rules were based on parent reports, which is a parent proxy measure. We recommend that future studies measuring these factors use adolescent self-reports together with parent reports. Third, missing information on factors was imputed. Therefore, we used the non-imputed dataset to explore which factors were associated with heavy social media use on weekdays and weekends. All results were comparable to the imputed dataset, suggesting minimal bias. Despite these limitations, our study offers important insights and implications for professionals in developing interventions to promote healthy social media use among school-aged children.

In conclusion, the prevalence of heavy social media use among 13-year-old children was 37.7% and 59.6% during week and weekends, respectively. A low family socioeconomic position was associated with heavy social media use only on weekends. Longer computer playing time was associated with heavy social media use. Children whose social media use was restricted or supervised by parents had lower odds of heavy social media use. These results may help professionals provide advice to families and support intervention development that can promote healthy social media use among children and adolescents.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s12519-023-00690-1.

Author contributions YY: conceptualization, investigation, methodology, software, and writing—original draft preparation. HJY: methodology, software, and writing—review and editing (equal). HR: data curation, supervision, and writing—review and editing (equal). AvG: conceptualization, supervision, and writing—review and editing (lead).

Data availability The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available due to individual privacy consideration, but are available from the data managers (datamanagementgenr@erasmusmc.nl) and Director Generation R, Vincent Jaddoe (v.jaddoe@erasmusmc.nl) after a written agreement about the use of the data made via the Technology Transfer Office of Erasmus MC.

Declarations

Conflict of interest No financial or nor-financial benefits have been received or will be received from any party related directly or indirectly to the subject of this article.

Ethical approval The study was conducted in accordance with the guidelines proposed in the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki and has been approved by the Medical Ethical Committee at Erasmus MC, University Medical Center Rotterdam. Informed consent was obtained from a parent and/or legal guardian.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not

permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Shimoga SV, Erlyana E, Rebello V. Associations of social media use with physical activity and sleep adequacy among adolescents: cross-sectional survey. J Med Internet Res. 2019;21:e14290.
- Tsitsika AK, Tzavela EC, Janikian M, Ólafsson K, Iordache A, Schoenmakers TM, et al. Online social networking in adolescence: patterns of use in six European countries and links with psychosocial functioning. J Adolesc Health. 2014;55:141–7.
- 3. Rajamohan S, Bennett E, Tedone D. The hazards and benefits of social media use in adolescents. Nursing. 2019;49:52–6.
- Cipolletta S, Malighetti C, Cenedese C, Spoto A. How can adolescents benefit from the use of social networks? The iGeneration on Instagram. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020;17:6952.
- Keles B, McCrae N, Grealish A. A systematic review: the influence of social media on depression, anxiety and psychological distress in adolescents. Int J Adolesc Youth. 2020;25:79–93.
- Abi-Jaoude E, Naylor KT, Pignatiello A. Smartphones, social media use and youth mental health. CMAJ. 2020;192:E136–41.
- Blackwell CK, Lauricella AR, Conway A, Wartella E. Children and the internet: developmental implications of web site preferences among 8-to 12-year-old children. J Broadcast Electron Media. 2014;58:1–20.
- Spilkova J, Chomynova P, Csemy L. Predictors of excessive use of social media and excessive online gaming in Czech teenagers. J Behav Addict. 2017;6:611–9.
- Pew Research Center. Teens, social media and technology 2018. Available: http://www.pewinternet.org/2018/05/31/teens-socialmedia-technology-2018/. Accessed 31 May 2018.
- Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Lewis RF. Frequent use of social networking sites is associated with poor psychological functioning among children and adolescents. Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw. 2015;18:380–5.
- You Y, Yang-Huang J, Raat H, van Grieken A. Sociodemographic factors and social media use in 9-year-old children: the generation r study. BMC Public Health. 2021;21:1–9.
- Rideout V. The common sense census: media use by tweens and teens. 2015. Available: https://www.commonsensemedia.org/ sites/default/files/uploads/research/census_researchreport.pdf. Accessed 3 Nov 2015.
- Ettinger K, Cohen A. Patterns of multitasking behaviours of adolescents in digital environments. Educ Inf Technol. 2020;25:623–45.
- 14. Bronfenbrenner U. Ecology of the family as a context for human development: research perspectives. Dev Psychol. 1986;22:723.
- 15. Kuczynski L. Handbook of dynamics in parent-child relations. London: Sage Publications; 2002.
- Spera C. A review of the relationship among parenting practices, parenting styles, and adolescent school achievement. Educ Psychol Rev. 2005;17:125–46.
- Ofcom UK. Children and parents: media use and attitudes report 2018. Available: https://www.ofcom.org.uk/__data/assets/pdf__ file/0024/134907/children-and-parents-media-use-and-attitudes-2018.pdf. Accessed 29 Jan 2019.
- Jaddoe VWV, Mackenbach JP, Moll HA, Steegers EAP, Tiemeier H, Verhulst FC, et al. The generation r study: design and cohort profile. Eur J Epidemiol. 2006;21:475.
- 19. Kooijman MN, Kruithof CJ, van Duijn CM, Duijts L, Franco OH, van Ijzendoorn MH, et al. The generation r

study: design and cohort update 2017. Eur J Epidemiol. 2016;31:1243–64.

- Netherlands S. Annual report on integration 2010–summary. Statistics Netherlands. 2012. Available: https://www.cbs.nl/nlnl/publicatie/2010/47/jaarrapport-integratie-2010. Accessed 25 Nov 2010.
- 21. Voor de Statistiek CB. Standaard onderwijsindeling 2006 (The Dutch Standard Classification od Education). 2008. Available: https://www.cbs.nl/en-gb/background/2008/24/the-dutch-stand ard-classification-of-education-soi-2006. Accessed 11 June 2008.
- 22. Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Goldfield GS, Kingsbury M, Clayborne Z, Colman I. Social media use and parent–child relationship: a cross-sectional study of adolescents. J Community Psychol. 2020;48:793–803.
- 23. Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Colman I, Goldfield GS, Hamilton HA, Chaput J-P. Sex differences in the relationship between social media use, short sleep duration, and body mass index among adolescents. Sleep Health. 2020;6:601–8.
- Liu Y, De A. Multiple imputation by fully conditional specification for dealing with missing data in a large epidemiologic study. Int J Stat Med Res. 2015;4:287.
- 25. Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Hamilton HA, Chaput JP. Use of social media is associated with short sleep duration in a dose–response manner in students aged 11 to 20 years. Acta Paediatr. 2018;107:694–700.
- Sampasa-Kanyinga H, Chaput JP. Use of social networking sites and alcohol consumption among adolescents. Public Health. 2016;139:88–95.
- Jelenchick LA, Eickhoff JC, Moreno MA. "Facebook depression?" Social networking site use and depression in older adolescents. J Adolesc Health. 2013;52:128–30.
- Festl R, Quandt T. The role of online communication in longterm cyberbullying involvement among girls and boys. J Youth Adolesc. 2016;45:1931–45.
- Devís-Devís J, Peiró-Velert C, Beltrán-Carrillo VJ, Tomás JM. Brief report: association between socio-demographic factors, screen media usage and physical activity by type of day in Spanish adolescents. J Adolesc. 2012;35:213–8.
- Wijtzes AI, Jansen W, Bouthoorn SH, Pot N, Hofman A, Jaddoe VWV, et al. Social inequalities in young children's sports participation and outdoor play. Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act. 2014;11:1–10.
- 31. Jehoel-Gijsbers G. Kunnen alle kinderen meedoen. Onderzoek naar de maatschappelijke participatie van arme kinderen (English: Are all children taking part? Research on the social participation of poor Dutch children). 2009. Available: https://www.kenni scentrumsportenbewegen.nl/kennisbank/publicaties/?kunnen-allekinderen-meedoen&kb_id=6154. Accessed May 2009.
- 32. Nikken P, Schols M. How and why parents guide the media use of young children. J Child Fam Stud. 2015;24:3423–35.
- 33 Luo J, Sun M, Yeung P-S, Li H. Development and validation of a scale to measure media multitasking among adolescents: results from China. Child Youth Serv Rev. 2018;95:377–83.
- Brasel SA, Gips J. Media multitasking behavior: concurrent television and computer usage. Cyberpsychol Behav Soc Netw. 2011;14:527–34.
- 35. Griffiths MD. Child and adolescent social gaming: what are the issues of concern? Educ Health. 2014;32:9–12.
- Baruah TD. Effectiveness of social media as a tool of communication and its potential for technology enabled connections: a micro-level study. Int J Sci Res Pub. 2012;2:1–10.
- Nie NH. Sociability, interpersonal relations, and the internet: reconciling conflicting findings. Am Behav Sci. 2001;45:420–35.
- Määttä S, Kaukonen R, Vepsäläinen H, Lehto E, Ylönen A, Ray C, et al. The mediating role of the home environment in relation

to parental educational level and preschool children's screen time: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2017;17:1–11.

- 39. De Jong E, Visscher TLS, HiraSing RA, Heymans MW, Seidell JC, Renders CM. Association between TV viewing, computer use and overweight, determinants and competing activities of screen time in 4-to 13-year-old children. Int J Obes. 2013;37:47–53.
- Yeung WJ, Sandberg JF, Davis-Kean PE, Hofferth SL. Children's time with fathers in intact families. J Marriage Fam. 2001;63:136–54.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.