



Percutaneous closure of postsurgical thoracic aorta pseudoaneurysms: the why, when, and how

Robbert J. de Winter

Accepted: 29 August 2023 / Published online: 4 September 2023
© The Author(s) 2023

Pseudoaneurysm of the aorta, disruption of the arterial wall with extravasation of blood contained by periarterial connective tissue and not by the arterial wall layers, can occur after dissection, trauma, endocarditis, in the setting of arteritis and after surgery. Postsurgical thoracic aorta pseudoaneurysm (PTAP) can arise from the cannulation site, clamping site, anastomosis site of venous grafts, valvulotomy site, or proximal or distal anastomosis after conduit placement. PTAP following cardiac surgery is rare, with its incidence reported to be 0.2–0.5% [1]. Approximately 50% of patients with PTAPs are asymptomatic [2], necessitating follow-up with a computed tomography (CT) scan in patients after surgery on the ascending aorta. The natural history of PTAP is basically unknown; historic series from the middle of the previous century report a 10-year survival rate of 30% in untreated patients, but these series included all types of aortic aneurysms (including 20% related to syphilis) [3]. Mortality associated with pseudoaneurysms after aortic surgery was reported to be as high as 61%; however, this was mortality related to abdominal aortic surgery [4]. Pseudoaneurysms are potentially fatal when they grow larger and rupture, or they can cause fistulas or compression of surrounding structures. Both European and American guidelines recommend treatment of aortic pseudoaneurysms [5, 6]. ESC guidelines state that ‘In patients with aortic pseudoaneurysms—if feasible and independently of size—interventional or open surgical interventions are always indicated’. Currently, no randomised studies are available that compare outcomes after open

surgical and endovascular treatment in aortic pseudoaneurysm patients. The choice of treatment is commonly based on anatomical features, clinical presentation and comorbidities [5]. However, this relates to all pseudoaneurysms, both abdominal and thoracic. Thus, it seems reasonable to consider treatment of PTAP in all patients, weighing risks and feasibility of surgical or percutaneous intervention. Repeat surgery can be challenging and is associated with substantial morbidity and mortality [7]. Successful percutaneous treatment with an Amplatzer closure device was first reported in 2005 by Bashir et al. [8] and since then many case reports and small series have been published [9]. Depending on the size of the pseudoaneurysm, local anatomical factors, adjacent structures and operator preference and experience, atrial septal occluders, ventricular septal occluders, vascular plugs, duct occluders and coils have been shown to be successful [10].

In this issue of the *Netherlands Heart Journal*, Hege-man and colleagues report their experience with 11 cases of PTAP. The authors nicely illustrate the importance of three-dimensional imaging for procedure preparation and device selection and they include follow-up with CT scans [11]. They used different sizes of Amplatzer Vascular Plug III (AVP-III) and two atrial septal occluders. Importantly, they show that occlusion of the pseudoaneurysm was obtained in only four cases at short-term follow-up and significant residual flow remained in four cases on longer-term follow-up CT. Significant residual flow at long-term follow-up was present both with the AVP-III and septal occluder and was not predicted by residual flow on aortography at the end of the procedure. Patients will often take anticoagulants which cannot be discontinued, thus prohibiting thrombotic occlusion of the PTAP over time. Significant residual flow may predispose to endocarditis, especially when the original

R. J. de Winter (✉)
Department of Cardiology, Amsterdam University Medical
Centers, Location Meibergdreef, Amsterdam, The
Netherlands
r.j.dewinter@amsterdamumc.nl



surgery was performed because of bacterial infection. Although repeat passage of a guiding catheter in the case of significant residual flow may be challenging, passage of a microcatheter and subsequent delivery of coils might have been an option.

Interestingly, there was no association between the size of the neck of the PTAP and the size of the device chosen by the operators. In addition, the choice of using the AVP-III in the majority of the 11 cases was not explained. Perhaps the oval shape of the device permitted avoiding obstruction of adjacent structures? The AVP-III is relatively soft and compliant compared to atrial septal occluders or patent foramen ovale occluders and therefore may reduce the risk of erosion and rupture of the PTAP? The aortic wall and implanted conduits are thick and relatively rigid and might be better suited for a less compliant device if adjacent structures are not a limiting factor. The study also demonstrates that repeat surgery in these patients at high risk of significant residual flow in the pseudoaneurysm after a percutaneous closure attempt is clearly not without risk.

In summary, this study adds to the growing body of evidence that percutaneous treatment of postsurgical pseudoaneurysms may be an attractive option when the risk of repeat surgery is deemed to be (too) high. Careful preparation with high-quality imaging is important; several options in terms of device selection, delivery guides and access routes are available. Long-term CT follow-up is essential to determine successful closure of the pseudoaneurysm.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Katsumata T, Moorjani N, Vaccari G, Westaby S. Mediastinal false aneurysm after thoracic aortic surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2000;70:547–52.
2. Malvindi PG, van Putte BP, Heijmen RH, Schepens MA, Morshuis WJ. Reoperations for aortic false aneurysms after cardiac surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2010;90:1437–43.
3. Joyce JW, Fairbairn JF 2nd, Kincaid OW, Juergen JL. Aneurysms of the thoracic aorta. A clinical study with special reference to prognosis. *Circulation.* 1964;29:176–81.
4. Mulder EJ, van Bockel JH, Maas J, van den Akker PJ, Hermans J. Morbidity and mortality of reconstructive surgery of noninfected false aneurysms detected long after aortic prosthetic reconstruction. *Arch Surg.* 1998;133:45–9.
5. Erbel R, Aboyans V, Boileau C, et al. 2014 ESC Guidelines on the diagnosis and treatment of aortic diseases: document covering acute and chronic aortic diseases of the thoracic and abdominal aorta of the adult. The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Aortic Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J.* 2014;35:2873–926.
6. Hiratzka LE, Bakris GL, Beckman JA, et al. 2010 ACCF/AHA/AATS/ACR/ASA/SCA/SCAI/SIR/STS/SVM Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of patients with thoracic aortic disease. A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines, American Association for Thoracic Surgery, American College of Radiology, American Stroke Association, Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, Society of Interventional Radiology, Society of Thoracic Surgeons, and Society for Vascular Medicine. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2010;55:e27–e129.
7. Malvindi PG, Cappai A, Raffa GM, et al. Analysis of postsurgical aortic false aneurysm in 27 patients. *Tex Heart Inst J.* 2013;40:274–80.
8. Bashir F, Quaife R, Carroll JD. Percutaneous closure of ascending aortic pseudoaneurysm using Amplatzer septal occluder device: the first clinical case report and literature review. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2005;65:547–51.
9. Patel AV, Gupta S, Laffin LJ, Retzer EM, Dill KE, Shah AP. One size does not fit all: case report of two percutaneous closures of aortic pseudoaneurysm and review of the literature. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med.* 2014;15:160–4.
10. Stehli J, Alie-Cusson FS, Panneton JM, Mahoney PD. Percutaneous closure of iatrogenic ascending aortic pseudoaneurysms following surgical aortic repair. *JACC Case Rep.* 2021;3:327–33.
11. Hegeman R, Swaans MJ, Kara B, Heijmen RH, Smeenk HG, Timmers L, et al. Transcatheter closure of postsurgical aortic pseudoaneurysms guided by three-dimensional image reconstruction: a single-centre experience. *Neth Heart J.* 2023; <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12471-023-01784-1>.



Advertisement placed here.



Houten 2021