Neth Heart J (2019) 27:169-170 https://doi.org/10.1007/s12471-019-1262-1



## Will intravenous paracetamol crush fentanyl in patients undergoing PCI for STEMI?

J. M. ten Berg · D. M. F. Claassens

Published online: 7 March 2019 © The Author(s) 2019

Pain relief is important in patients experiencing STelevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). Not only because pain management improves patient comfort, but also because pain is associated with activation of the sympathetic nervous system, causing vasoconstriction and increased workload of the heart [1]. Therefore, the 2012 STEMI guideline of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC STEMI) recommended administering titrated intravenous opioids (class I, level of evidence (LoE) C) [2]. Since then, multiple studies have shown that morphine causes slower uptake and delayed onset of action of oral antiplatelet agents leading to high platelet reactivity [3, 4]. Furthermore, it could cause nausea and vomiting, leading to the absence of any form of oral P2Y12 inhibition [1]. Therefore, the recommendation was downgraded to class IIa, LoE C, in the 2017 ESC STEMI guideline.

However, whether this higher platelet reactivity actually results in more myocardial injury and increased adverse events (e.g. stent thrombosis) remains unclear as there are no prospective trials on the subject. There are, however, multiple retrospective studies. A study by Gwag et al. included 332 patients with STEMI between 2008 and 2014 who underwent cardiac magnetic resonance imaging. They used propensity score matching to match 90 patients with morphine and 90 patients without morphine, and found no difference in the myocardial salvage index [5]. Multiple other studies investigating clinical outcomes after morphine use in STEMI patients also found no differences between patients with morphine and patients without [6, 7]. We do know that it takes several hours to reach sufficient inhibitory

J. M. ten Berg (⋈) · D. M. F. Claassens Department of Cardiology, St. Antonius ziekenhuis, Nieuwegein, The Netherlands j.ten.berg@antoniusziekenhuis.nl

effect on platelets, even with the use of ticagrelor [8]. An explanation for the discrepancy of this delayed onset of action and the absence of a clinical effect, might be that the administration of other intravenous antiplatelet and antithrombotic agents, such as aspirin, heparin and glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors, before, during and after primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) might mitigate the extra delayed onset of action after morphine use. Another explanation for the discrepancy might be that the studies were simply underpowered to prove any differences between groups. A study which was not underpowered, was the Crusade (Can Rapid Risk Stratification of Unstable Angina Patients Suppress Adverse Outcomes with Early Implementation of the ACC/AHA Guidelines) study, which included 57,039 non-STEMI patients between 2001 and 2003 [9]. In this retrospective analysis, morphine use was associated with an increased risk of in-hospital death and myocardial infarction. However, these patients did not routinely undergo primary PCI and only a minority of the patients received a P2Y12 inhibitor (40%). It is therefore questionable whether these results can be extrapolated to the current STEMI population.

As long as the effects of morphine on clinical outcomes are unsure, the search for other solutions should continue. The ON-TIME 3 randomised controlled trial, which is presented in the current issue of the Netherlands Heart Journal by Tavenier et al. will investigate patients receiving intravenous paracetamol on top of crushed ticagrelor compared with patients receiving intravenous fentanyl on top of crushed ticagrelor. This could be of great additional value to help determine the optimal treatment strategy of STEMI patients and improve our knowledge about the pharmacodynamics of ticagrelor [10]. Especially interesting, in our opinion, will be the result of crushed ticagrelor on timing of complete platelet



## Commentary

inhibition. Recently, crushed ticagrelor has been suggested as a better option than standard ticagrelor tablets in several smaller STEMI trials, demonstrating a significantly faster reduction in platelet reactivity as early as 30 minutes after administration [11, 12]. Intravenous platelet inhibitors, such as the P2Y12 inhibitor cangrelor, and the glycoprotein IIb/IIIa inhibitors abciximab and tirofiban are associated with an even earlier reduction in platelet reactivity [13, 14]. However, due to the high costs of these agents as compared with ticagrelor, and a lack of trials comparing the two on clinical endpoints, this is not routinely indicated [15]. The use of crushed ticagrelor could mean sufficient platelet inhibition would still be achieved during primary PCI. It will be interesting to see if the results of the smaller trials can be replicated. Furthermore, it will be interesting to see if 1000 mg of intravenously administered paracetamol, which has an infusion time of 15 minutes, is a strong enough analgesic and can achieve the analgesic effect within adequate time to overcome the pain and discomfort caused by myocardial infarction when compared with intravenous fentanyl, which has an infusion time of just 30 seconds. Prior research on this subject has not been published and if paracetamol is proven to have a sufficient analgesic effect in most cases, this could have a major impact on the pre-hospital treatment of STEMI patients worldwide. That is why we are looking forward to the results of the ON-TIME 3 trial by Tavenier et al.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

## References

- Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, et al. 2017 ESC guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: the task force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 2018;39(2):119–77.
- Steg PG, James SK, Atar D, et al. ESC guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: the task force on the management of ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur Heart J. 2012;33:2569–619.
- 3. Kubica J, Adamski P, Ostrowska M, et al. Morphine delays and attenuates ticagrelor exposure and action in pa-

- tients with myocardial infarction: the randomized, doubleblind, placebo-controlled IMPRESSION trial. Eur Heart J. 2016;37(3):245-52.
- 4. Bellandi B, Zocchi C, Xantopoulou I, et al. Morphine us and myocardial reperfusion in patients with acute myocardial infarction treated with primary PCI. Int J Cardiol. 2016;221:567–71.
- Gwag HB, Park TK, Song YB, et al. Morphine does not affect myocardial salvage in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. PLoS ONE. 2017;12:e170115.
- Bonin M, Mewton N, Roubile F, et al. Effect and safety of morphine use in acute anterior ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. JAm Heart Assoc. 2018;7:e6833.
- 7. Puymirat E, Lamhaut L, Bonnet N, et al. Correlates of prehospital morphine use in ST-elevation myocardial infarction patients and its association with in-hospital outcomes and long-term mortality: the FAST-MI (French Registry of Acute ST-elevation and non-ST-elevation Myocardial Infarction) programme. Eur Heart J. 2016;37(13):1063–71.
- 8. Bergmeijer TO, Godschalk TC, Janssen PWA, et al. How long does it take for clopidogrel and ticagrelor to inhibit platelets in patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention? A detailed pharmacodynamic analysis: time course of platelet reactivity in STEMI (TOPS). Semin Thromb Hemost. 2017;43:439–46.
- 9. Meine TJ, Roe MT, Chen AY, et al. Association of intravenous morphine use and outcomes in acute coronary syndromes: results from the CRUSADE Quality Improvement Initiative. Am Heart J. 2005;149(6):1043–9.
- 10. Tavenier AH, Hermanides RS, Ottervanger JP, et al. A randomised, investigator-initiated, clinical trial of the effects of fentanyl on P2Y12-receptor inhibition in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction who are pre-treated with crushed ticagrelor: rationale and design of the Opioids aNdcrushed Ticagrelor In Myocardial infarction Evaluation (ON-TIME 3) trial. Neth Heart J. 2019; https://doi.org/10.1007/s12471-019-1241-6.
- Alexopoulos D, Barampoutis N, Gkizas V, et al. Crushed versus integral tablets of ticagrelor in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction patients: a randomized pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamic study. Clin Pharmacokinet. 2016;55:359–67.
- 12. Parodi G, Xanthopoulou I, Bellandi B, et al. Ticagrelor crushed tablets administration in STEMI patients, the MOJITO study. JAm Coll Cardiol. 2015;65:511–2.
- Franchi F, Rollini F, Rivas A, et al. Platelet inhibition with cangerlor and crushed ticagrelor in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention: results of the CAN-TIC-study. Circulation. 2019; https://doi.org/10.1161/ CIRCULATIONAHA.118.038317.
- 14. Desch S, Siegemund A, Scholz U, et al. Platelet inhibition and GP IIb/IIIa receptor occupancy by intracoronary versus intravenous bolus administration of abciximab in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. Clin Res Cardiol. 2012;101:117–24.
- 15. Zorginstituut Nederland. Cangrelor. Farmacotherapeutisch Kompas. 2015; https://www.farmacotherapeutisch kompas.nl/bladeren/preparaatteksten/c/cangrelor. Accessed 7 Feb 2019.

