

OPTImal CARDiac REhabilitation (OPTICARE) following Acute Coronary Syndromes: Rationale and design of a randomised, controlled trial to investigate the benefits of expanded educational and behavioural intervention programs

M. Sunamura · N. ter Hoeve · H. J. G. van den Berg-Emons ·
M. Haverkamp · K. Redekop · M. L. Geleijnse · H. J. Stam ·
E. Boersma · R. T. van Domburg

Published online: 23 May 2013

© The Author(s) 2013. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract The majority of cardiac rehabilitation (CR) referrals consist of patients who have survived an acute coronary syndrome (ACS). Although major changes have been implemented in ACS treatment since the 1980s, which highly influenced mortality and morbidity, CR programs have barely changed and only few data are available on the optimal CR format in these patients. We postulated that standard CR programs followed by relatively brief maintenance programs and booster sessions, including behavioural techniques and focusing on incorporating lifestyle changes

into daily life, can improve long-term adherence to lifestyle modifications. These strategies might result in improved (cardiac) mortality and morbidity in a cost-effective fashion. In the OPTImal CARDiac REhabilitation (OPTICARE) trial we will assess the effects of two advanced and extended CR programs that are designed to stimulate permanent adaption of a heart-healthy lifestyle, compared with current standard CR, in ACS patients. We will study the effects in terms of cardiac risk profile, levels of daily physical activity, quality of life and health care consumption.

M. Sunamura (✉) · N. ter Hoeve
Capri Cardiac Rehabilitation Rotterdam,
Parklaan 38, 3016 BC Rotterdam, the Netherlands
e-mail: m.sunamura@caprihr.nl

N. ter Hoeve · H. J. G. van den Berg-Emons · H. J. Stam
Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Erasmus MC Rotterdam,
's Gravendijkwal 230, Rotterdam, the Netherlands

M. Haverkamp
Bronovo Hospital the Hague, Bronovolaan 5,
The Hague, the Netherlands

K. Redekop
Institute of Medical Technology Assessment (iMTA),
Erasmus University Rotterdam, PO Box 1738,
Rotterdam, the Netherlands

M. L. Geleijnse
Department of Cardiology, Thoraxcenter,
Erasmus MC Rotterdam, 's Gravendijkwal 230,
Rotterdam, the Netherlands

E. Boersma · R. T. van Domburg
Department of Clinical Epidemiology,
Erasmus MC Rotterdam, 's Gravendijkwal 230,
Rotterdam, the Netherlands

Keywords Acute coronary syndrome · Secondary prevention · Cardiac rehabilitation · Active lifestyle · Cardiovascular risk factors · Health behaviour

Introduction

Healthy lifestyle management is becoming increasingly important in the Western world, as the incidence of obesity, hypertension, and diabetes is taking on epidemic proportions [1–3]. According to the World Health Organisation, 75 % of cardiovascular diseases could be prevented by optimal lifestyle management [4]. Indeed, the INTERHEART investigators have demonstrated that 90 % of (first) myocardial infarctions (MIs) could be attributed to nine modifiable risks, including hypertension, diabetes, and hypercholesterolaemia [5]. Furthermore, smoking cessation, physical activity, moderate alcohol consumption and combined dietary changes are associated with mortality risk reductions of 20–45 % in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD) [6].

Several cardiac rehabilitation (CR) programs have been developed since the 1980s for CAD patients, which offer a variety of interventions that aim to stimulate an active and

healthy lifestyle. In meta-analyses it has been demonstrated that these programs effectively reduce the 1-year incidence of total mortality, cardiovascular mortality and nonfatal MI [7, 8]. However, these initial beneficial results were not maintained during longer-term follow-up [9]. The lifestyle changes adopted during the rehabilitation period were probably not incorporated into daily routine.

Throughout the past decades, patients who are referred for CR constitute a heterogeneous and dynamically changing population. Nowadays, the majority of CR referrals consist of patients who have survived an acute coronary syndrome (ACS). Major changes have been implemented in ACS treatment since the 1980s, which have highly influenced mortality and morbidity. Currently, most ACS patients undergo percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in the acute phase, and receive antiplatelet therapy, lipid-lowering therapy and other cardioprotective medication during long-term follow-up. As a result, ACS patients usually have preserved left ventricular function and, consequently, a good survival [10, 11]. Also, the duration of the hospital stay after ACS is considerably reduced; the current average is approximately only 5 days [12]. Interestingly, CR programs have barely changed since the 1980s, and only few data are available on the optimal CR format in ACS subjects [13–15].

The favourable developments in ACS treatment have, however, an important downside: ACS patients have less time for reflection on the event they experienced. The contact time with healthcare professionals during the acute phase is limited, whereas in this period patients might be most open to accept (lifestyle) advice to avoid future cardiac events. In order to adapt and maintain a heart-healthy lifestyle, ACS patients therefore probably need more guidance in the subacute phase than is currently offered in CR programs. Recently, some successful maintenance programs have been presented [16–18]. However, these programs consist of high frequency contacts during long-term follow-up, and may therefore not be cost-effective. We postulated that CR programs followed by relatively brief maintenance programs and booster sessions, including behavioural techniques and focusing on incorporating lifestyle changes into daily life, can also improve long-term adherence to lifestyle modifications [16, 19, 20]. These strategies might result in improved (cardiac) mortality and morbidity in a cost-effective fashion.

In the OPTimal Cardiac REhabilitation (OPTICARE) trial we will assess the effects of two advanced and extended CR programs that are designed to stimulate permanent adaption of a heart-healthy lifestyle, compared with current standard CR, in ACS patients. We will study the effects in terms of cardiac risk profile, levels of daily physical activity, quality of life and health care consumption.

Objectives

Primary objective

The primary objective of OPTICARE is to evaluate the effectiveness of an extended CR program in patients who have experienced an ACS. The program combines physical activities, psychosocial counselling and personal coaching. Effectiveness will be expressed in terms of levels of daily physical activity and (reduction in) estimated cardiovascular risk, which will be measured by the Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation (SCORE) function [21].

Secondary objectives

We have defined the following secondary objectives:

- To evaluate the effects of the extended CR programs on physical fitness, body mass index (BMI), waist circumference, health care consumption, quality of life, return to work, occurrence of anxiety and depression, and cardiovascular events;
- To evaluate which health benefits (cardiac risk profile, physical fitness, quality of life, anxiety, depression, participation, fatigue, health care consumption) are associated with improved levels of physical activity;
- To investigate whether extended CR is more cost-effective than standard care.

Methods

The OPTICARE trial is a multicentre, open, multidisciplinary randomised controlled trial with a 6-month follow-up. The PROspective Open, Blinded Endpoint (PROBE) design will be applied, and an independent Clinical Event Committee will verify all cardiac events [22]. The protocol and procedures of OPTICARE were approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of Erasmus MC Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

Each patient will receive oral and written information on the trial objectives, study design, and advantages and disadvantages of study participation. A signed informed consent form by the patient is a prerequisite for participation in the trial.

Patient selection

OPTICARE is designed for patients with a documented ACS who are referred for CR. ACS is defined as persistent (>20 min) chest pain suggestive of myocardial ischaemia, which is unresponsive to nitroglycerin and which is accompanied by ST-T changes (electrocardiographic evidence)

and/or cardiac troponin elevations (biochemical evidence), regardless of in-hospital treatment. A total of 10 hospitals in the broader region of Rotterdam—The Hague refer their ACS patients to the local Capri Centre, which offers a standard CR program that is consistent with the Dutch guidelines [23, 24].

Allocated treatment

Eligible patients who consent to participate in the trial will be randomly allocated to one of three treatment strategies (Table 1), following inclusion and exclusion criteria as mentioned in Table 2. Randomisation will be performed by using sequentially numbered, opaque, sealed envelopes with information on allocated treatment. The envelopes will be prepared by an independent statistician, who uses a random number generator to construct the treatment sequence. The allocation process will be monitored to preserve randomness and concealment.

1) OPTICARE-Basic

Standard care (or: OPTICARE-Basic) consists of standard CR according to the Dutch guidelines as is currently offered to all patients referred to Capri Cardiac Rehabilitation.

OPTICARE-Basic is a group exercise program of 1.5 h that is offered 2 times a week for 12 weeks under the supervision of a physiotherapist. Participation in multifactor lifestyle and cardiovascular risk factor group education sessions is offered to all patients, and comprises: information on cardiovascular diseases risk factors, medical information, dietary advice, and advice on coping with emotions. If indicated, there is an option to participate in a smoking cessation program, nutritional counselling sessions, stress management sessions or an individually based psychological program.

At the start of the program, each patient will undergo an intensive interview to determine his/her individual program. Only the physical training program is strictly obligatory; the counselling and group sessions will be attended upon motivation of each patient.

2) OPTICARE-COACH

The 2nd strategy is based on the COACH study that demonstrated favourable effects of personal coaching [20]. In the OPTICARE-COACH arm of the trial, standard CR is extended with five telephone coaching sessions with an interval of 5–6 weeks during the first 6 months after completion of standard CR. The coaching sessions intend to keep the patient aware of his or her cardiovascular risk factors, and on methods learned to improve cardiovascular health. The personal coaching is offered by specialised nurses, who are trained to stimulate patients to pursue the target levels

for their particular coronary risk factors. This COACH-based strategy consists of coaching the patient in a process of continuous improvement in coronary risk factors. Patients are stimulated to develop a personal plan of action in which they measure their coronary risk factors (e.g. at their general practitioner's office), define their targets, act upon, measure again, etc. Patients are also persuaded to adopt and adhere to appropriate lifestyle measures, including a healthy diet, persistent smoking cessation, and daily physical activities at moderate intensity.

3) OPTICARE-CAPRI

The 3rd strategy, OPTICARE-CAPRI, is another extension of standard CR. Patients who are allocated to this strategy have a commitment to participate in the multifactorial lifestyle and cardiovascular risk factor management group sessions (rather than participation on a voluntary basis). Besides, during OPTICARE-CAPRI CR patients will participate in three group counselling sessions under the supervision of a physiotherapist to promote an active lifestyle (aiming at regular exercise of moderate intensity for 30 min at least 5 times a week). The intrinsic motivation of the patient to change behaviour will be encouraged by the motivational interviewing technique which has shown to be effective in improving activity levels in daily life [25, 26]. To provide feedback on the patient's home activity, pedometers (Yamax Digiwalker SW-200) will be provided [27]. Finally, at 4, 6 and 12 months after the start of the program the patients will again be required to participate in multifactor lifestyle and cardiovascular risk factor group sessions of 2 h each in which maintenance of healthy lifestyle behaviour (including physical activity) is discussed to increase long-term adherence. These group sessions are led by physiotherapists, social workers, dietician, nurses and physicians and are based on self-regulation. Finally, in patients randomised to OPTICARE-CAPRI CR the cholesterol and blood pressure levels will be monitored and medication will be adjusted when needed. The target level will be: LDL \leq 1.8 mmol/l and systolic blood pressure (SBP) <140 mmHg.

Data collection

Apart from the baseline clinical characteristics, the following data will be collected by the OPTICARE team in all patients at baseline (i.e. prior to CR), at the end of standard CR, and at 1 year and 1.5 year after inclusion:

- 1) The 10-year CVD mortality risk according to the SCORE risk chart, which is based on the following factors: [16]

Table 1 Treatment arms

OPTICARE-Basic	Standard CR
OPTICARE-COACH	Standard CR 5 Telephone calls after completion of standard CR for 6 months with an interval of 5 a 6 weeks
OPTICARE-CAPRI	Standard CR with obligation to participate in the multifactorial lifestyle and cardiovascular risk factor management group sessions 3 Counselling sessions during standard CR with an interval of 1 month to promote an active lifestyle 3 Multifactorial lifestyle and risk factor group sessions after completion of standard CR (at 4/6/12 months post randomisation) Titration of medication to LDL level ≤ 1.8 mmol/l and SBP ≤ 140 mmHg

- Age
 - Sex
 - Total cholesterol and HDL cholesterol measured in blood samples after fasting for a minimum of 8 h
 - Systolic blood pressure as measured by a trained nurse
 - Smoking status determined during an interview by one of the social workers of the Capri cardiac rehabilitation centre. The concentration of carbon monoxide in breath will be measured using a breath analyser (Smokerlyzer[®]).
- 2) The level of everyday physical activity:
The level of everyday physical activity is objectively measured with a validated accelerometry-based activity monitor (Actigraph GT3X, Fort Walton Beach, Florida), for 7 consecutive days in the home situation. The Actigraph is a small device worn on a belt around

the waist that measures and records movement, movement intensity and duration. The Actigraph is the most widely used (commercially available) accelerometer and different studies report acceptable to good validity [28].

- 3) A broad spectrum of characteristics and risk factors that determine cardiovascular health
- Medication
 - Blood glucose, blood lipids, and glomerular filtration rate. All blood samples are taken after a minimum of 8 h of fasting
 - Body mass index (BMI) and waist circumference
 - Physical fitness assessed by a 6-minute walk test and a 5 times sit-to-stand test
 - Working, marital, and educational status
 - Information on return-to-work, quality of life, anxiety and depression, health care consumption, illness perception, medication adherence, perceived physical activity, fatigue, self-efficacy, type D personality, social participation and movement fear. We will use validated questionnaires to obtain these data (Table 3).

Table 2 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria
Recent acute coronary syndrome
Age over 18 years
Proficient in the Dutch language
Providing written informed consent
Exclusion criteria
Heart failure and/or impaired left ventricular function (left ventricular ejection fraction $< 40\%$)
Angina NYHA Class II–IV
Psychological or cognitive impairments which may limit cardiac rehabilitation
Congenital heart disease
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease Gold classification \geq II
Diabetes with organ damage
Locomotive disorders that will preclude participation in an exercise training program
Implantable cardio-defibrillator (ICD)
Renal failure needing follow-up by a nephrologist
Intermittent claudication impairing CR exercises

Table 3 Questionnaires

KVL H: Quality of Life Questionnaire [38]
HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale [39]
IPQ: Illness Perception Questionnaire [40]
IPAQ: Self-perceived level of daily physical activity: International Physical Activity Questionnaire [41]
FSS: Fatigue Severity Scale [42]
GSE: General Self-Efficacy [47]
DS14: Type-D personality [43]
USER P: User-Participation [44]
AVI scale: “Angst Voor Inspanning” (i.e. fear of movement: self-designed questionnaire)
Smoking behaviour, self-designed questionnaire
EQ5D [45, 46]

Study endpoints and sample size

The primary study endpoint is the *SCORE* Risk Score that is measured 1.5 years post randomisation. The *RESPONSE* trial [29] studied the effectiveness of a nurse-coordinated outpatient risk management program in cardiac patients. That strategy was associated with a 17 % reduction in *SCORE* Risk Score as compared with standard care [21]. Based on these data, and taking into account the more intensive interventions that we will perform, we expect in both the *OPTICARE-CAPRI* and in the *OPTICARE-COACH* arm at least a 20 % reduction in the *SCORE* Risk Score at 1.5 years: from 5.40 to 4.32 points with an estimated standard deviation (SD) of 4.5. With 274 patients in each treatment arm, the study has 80 % power (β -error=0.02) to detect this difference with an alpha-error of 0.05 (2-sided test). We will enrol a total 300 patients in each treatment arm, taking into account a 10 % drop-out rate.

Secondary endpoints include all-cause mortality, cardiovascular mortality, non-fatal myocardial infarction, re-hospitalisation for heart failure, re-hospitalisation for angina, admission to the emergency room, non-fatal stroke, and coronary intervention. All clinical endpoints will be monitored and verified by an independent Clinical Event Committee.

Cost effectiveness analysis

A cost-effectiveness analysis will be performed in accordance with the current Dutch guidelines (Guidelines for Pharmacoeconomic Evaluations) [30]. Costs will therefore be calculated from both the health care sector and the societal perspective (where all costs are included in the analysis regardless of who incurs them). Costs will include direct medical costs, patient costs, and productivity losses. Unit prices for the most important cost items will be determined using the micro-costing method, which is based on a detailed inventory and measurement of all resources used. The primary health outcome will be quality-adjusted life-years. Short-term costs and effectiveness will be based on observed outcomes measured in this trial. Lifetime costs and health outcomes will be calculated with a Markov model using data from this trial in combination with literature data. Future costs and life-years will be discounted at 4 % and 1.5 % respectively. Extensive (probabilistic) sensitivity analyses and value of information analysis will be performed. Cost-effectiveness will be assessed by calculating the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio, which is the difference between the mean costs of two treatment strategies divided by the difference in their mean effects (e.g. life-years) [31, 32].

Discussion

Over the past years it has been demonstrated that standard CR reduces morbidity and mortality in patients with CAD [7, 8, 14, 15]. An extended CR program consisting of supervised 30 min aerobic exercise, comprehensive lifestyle and risk factor counselling sessions may even further benefit patients in the long term, as shown in the *GOSPEL* trial [16]. However, it should be realised that in this trial multiple (11 sessions in 3 years) and thus costly interventions were done. In the *COACH* trial a limited number of telephone interventions also had beneficial effects [20]. However, in that trial only approximately half of the patients underwent CR and the beneficial effect of the *COACH* intervention in the CR subgroup is unknown. This is an important limitation of the *COACH* trial since standard CR is recommended in the Dutch guidelines [24]. In the *OPTICARE* study we will investigate in a separate study arm whether the *COACH* approach still has beneficial effects in patients who suffered from an ACS and who subsequently underwent standard CR. In addition, the effects of a more time-consuming *OPTICARE* arm, including a limited number of extra sessions to promote a healthy lifestyle with a focus on physical activity, will be studied.

Secondary prevention after an ACS has several components. Preventive medication should be started and titrated to optimal doses by the physician according to current guidelines [11]. In addition, modifiable risk factors (diabetes, hypertension, cholesterol, smoking, overweight, sedentary lifestyle) should be inventoried and appropriate action should be taken in a combined effort of the patient and physician. In most studies the pharmacological components of the program showed benefits [11, 16, 20], but strategies to promote smoking cessation and in particular physical activity and weight loss are needed. Therefore, in this study, we will focus on reaching long-term lifestyle changes, with a special focus on increasing the level of physical activity. Lifestyle inactivity is an important cardiovascular risk factor and related to several cardiac risk factors such as lipid profile, blood pressure and body composition [32]. Despite the well-known beneficial effects of CR on physical fitness, mortality and quality of life [31, 33], only little is known about the effects of CR programs on the level of daily physical activity after CR. In some studies positive effects on daily physical activity after CR have been shown [9, 34], but it has also been reported that physical activity tends to decline 6 to 12 months after completion of standard CR [9, 35]. Furthermore, results from a study in patients with chronic heart failure suggest that improved physical fitness does not automatically result in a more active lifestyle [36]. The *OPTICARE-CAPRI* arm aims to incorporate daily physical activity in one's life and thus promotes long-term adherence by maintenance programs and booster sessions at 4, 6 and 12 months post randomisation.

In this era of financial constraints it is essential to not only show beneficial effects of an intervention but also the cost-effectiveness of the intervention. This may be particularly true for comparing the less intensive COACH arm, involving just some telephone contacts, with the more extensive CAPRI arm. Therefore, a full ex-post economic evaluation of both extended CR programs and standard CR will be performed [37].

Funding None.

Conflict of interests None declared.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

- Berghofer A, Pischon T, Reinhold T, et al. Obesity prevalence from a European perspective: a systematic review. *BMC Publ Health*. 2008;8:200.
- Kearney PM, Whelton M, Reynolds K, et al. Worldwide prevalence of hypertension: a systematic review. *J Hypertens*. 2004;22(1):11–9.
- Federation. ID. International Diabetes Federation. *Diabetes Atlas*. 2006; 3rd Edition Brussels.
- Organization WH. *Prevention of cardiovascular disease: guidelines for assessment and management of total cardiovascular risk*. W Press. 2007.
- Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, et al. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. *Lancet*. 2004;364(9438):937–52.
- Iestra JA, Kromhout D, van der Schouw YT, et al. Effect size estimates of lifestyle and dietary changes on all-cause mortality in coronary artery disease patients: a systematic review. *Circulation*. 2005;112(6):924–34.
- O'Connor GT, Buring JE, Yusuf S, et al. An overview of randomized trials of rehabilitation with exercise after myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 1989;80(2):234–44.
- Jolliffe JA, Rees K, Taylor RS, et al. Exercise-based rehabilitation for coronary heart disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2000;4, CD001800.
- Gupta R, Sanderson BK, Bittner V. Outcomes at one-year follow-up of women and men with coronary artery disease discharged from cardiac rehabilitation: What benefits are maintained? *J Cardiopulm Rehabil Prev*. 2007;27(1):11–8.
- van der Vlugt MJ, Boersma H, Leenders CM, et al. Prospective study of early discharge after acute myocardial infarction (SHORT). *Eur Heart J*. 2000;21(12):992–9.
- EUROASPIRE I and II Group. European Action on Secondary Prevention by Intervention to Reduce Events. Clinical reality of coronary prevention guidelines: a comparison of EUROASPIRE I and II in nine countries. *Lancet*. 2001;357(9261):995–1001.
- Saczynski JS, Lessard D, Spencer FA, et al. Declining length of stay for patients hospitalized with AMI: impact on mortality and readmissions. *Am J Med*. 2010;123(11):1007–15.
- Galaszek M, Eysmontt Z, Choromanska-Matera B, et al. Results of the residential cardiac rehabilitation in patients after myocardial infarction treated with percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). *Pol Arch Med Wewn*. 2006;116(1):627–39.
- Piesterziewicz K, Navarro-Kuczborska N, Bolinska H, et al. The impact of comprehensive cardiac rehabilitation in patients up to 55 years old after acute myocardial infarction treated with primary coronary intervention. *Pol Arch Med Wewn*. 2004;111(3):309–17.
- Goel K, Lennon RJ, Tilbury RT, et al. Impact of cardiac rehabilitation on mortality and cardiovascular events after percutaneous coronary intervention in the community. *Circulation*. 2011;123(21):2344–52.
- Giannuzzi P, Temporelli PL, Marchioli R, et al. Global secondary prevention strategies to limit event recurrence after myocardial infarction: results of the GOSPEL study, a multicenter, randomized controlled trial from the Italian Cardiac Rehabilitation Network. *Arch Intern Med*. 2008;168(20):2194–204.
- Pluss CE, Billing E, Held C, et al. Long-term effects of an expanded cardiac rehabilitation programme after myocardial infarction or coronary artery bypass surgery: A five-year follow-up of a randomized controlled study. *Clin Rehabil*. 2011;25(1):79–87.
- Lear SA, Spinelli JJ, Linden W, et al. The Extensive Lifestyle Management Intervention (ELMI) after cardiac rehabilitation: a 4-year randomized controlled trial. *Am Heart J*. 2006;152(2):333–9.
- Bock BC, Carmona-Barros RE, Esler JL, et al. Program participation and physical activity maintenance after cardiac rehabilitation. *Behav Modif*. 2003;27(1):37–53.
- Vale MJ, Jelinek MV, Best JD, et al. Coaching patients On Achieving Cardiovascular Health (COACH): a multicenter randomized trial in patients with coronary heart disease. *Arch Intern Med*. 2003;163(22):2775–83.
- Conroy RM, Pyorala K, Fitzgerald AP, et al. Estimation of ten-year risk of fatal cardiovascular disease in Europe: the SCORE project. *Eur Heart J*. 2003;24(11):987–1003.
- Hansson L, Hedner T, Dahlof B. Prospective randomized open blinded end-point (PROBE) study. A novel design for intervention trials. *Prospective Randomized Open Blinded End-Point*. *Blood Press*. 1992;1(2):113–9.
- van Engen-Verheul MM, Kemps HM, de Keizer NF, et al. Revision of the Dutch clinical algorithm for assessing patient needs in cardiac rehabilitation based on identified implementation problems. *Eur J Prev Cardiol*. 2012;19(3):504–14.
- Kemps HM, van Engen-Verheul MM, Kraaijenhagen RA, et al. Improving guideline adherence for cardiac rehabilitation in the Netherlands. *Neth Heart J*. 2011;19(6):285–9.
- Bennett JA, Lyons KS, Winters-Stone K, et al. Motivational interviewing to increase physical activity in long-term cancer survivors: a randomized controlled trial. *Nurs Res*. 2007;56(1):18–27.
- Reid RD, Morrin LI, Higginson LA, et al. Motivational counselling for physical activity in patients with coronary artery disease not participating in cardiac rehabilitation. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil*. 2011.
- Schneider PL, Crouter SE, Lukajic O, et al. Accuracy and reliability of 10 pedometers for measuring steps over a 400-m walk. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2003;35(10):1779–84.
- Plasqui G, Westerterp KR. Physical activity assessment with accelerometers: an evaluation against doubly labeled water. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2007;15(10):2371–9.
- Peters R. Nurses can significantly reduce the risk of recurrent complications in heart patients: results from the RESPONSE trial. *European Society of Cardiology Conference Stockholm 2010*. 2010.
- Vegter S, Boersma C, Rozenbaum M, et al. Pharmacoeconomic evaluations of pharmacogenetic and genomic screening programmes: a systematic review on content and adherence to guidelines. *PharmacoEconomics*. 2008;26(7):569–87.
- Yu CM, Lau CP, Chau J, et al. A short course of cardiac rehabilitation program is highly cost effective in improving long-term

- quality of life in patients with recent myocardial infarction or percutaneous coronary intervention. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2004;85(12):1915–22.
32. Warburton DE, Nicol CW, Bredin SS. Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. *CMAJ.* 2006;174(6):801–9.
 33. Taylor RS, Brown A, Ebrahim S, et al. Exercise-based rehabilitation for patients with coronary heart disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Am J Med.* 2004;116(10):682–92.
 34. Ades PA. Cardiac rehabilitation and secondary prevention of coronary heart disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;345(12):892–902.
 35. Moore SM, Ruland CM, Pashkow FJ, et al. Women's patterns of exercise following cardiac rehabilitation. *Nurs Res.* 1998;47(6):318–24.
 36. van den Berg-Emons RJ, Bussmann JB, Balk AH, et al. Factors associated with the level of movement-related everyday activity and quality of life in people with chronic heart failure. *Phys Ther.* 2005;85(12):1340–8.
 37. Cohen DJ, Reynolds MR. Interpreting the results of cost-effectiveness studies. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008;52(25):2119–26.
 38. De Gucht V, Van Elderen T, van der Kamp L, et al. Quality of life after myocardial infarction: translation and validation of the MacNew Questionnaire for a Dutch population. *Qual Life Res.* 2004;13(8):1483–8.
 39. Spinhoven P, Ormel J, Sloekers PP, et al. A validation study of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) in different groups of Dutch subjects. *Psychol Med.* 1997;27(2):363–70.
 40. de Raaij EJ, Schroder C, Maissan FJ, et al. Cross-cultural adaptation and measurement properties of the Brief Illness Perception Questionnaire-Dutch Language Version. *Man Ther.* 2012;17(4):330–5.
 41. Hallal PC, Victora CG. Reliability and validity of the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ). *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2004;36(3):556.
 42. Krupp LB, LaRocca NG, Muir-Nash J, et al. The fatigue severity scale. Application to patients with multiple sclerosis and systemic lupus erythematosus. *Arch Neurol.* 1989;46(10):1121–3.
 43. Denollet J, Vaes J, Brutsaert DL. Inadequate response to treatment in coronary heart disease : adverse effects of type D personality and younger age on 5-year prognosis and quality of life. *Circulation.* 2000;102(6):630–5.
 44. van der Zee CH, Priesterbach AR, van der Dussen L, et al. Reproducibility of three self-report participation measures: The ICF Measure of Participation and Activities Screener, the Participation Scale, and the Utrecht Scale for Evaluation of Rehabilitation-Participation. *J Rehabil Med.* 2010;42(8):752–7.
 45. Brooks R. EuroQol: the current state of play. *Health Policy.* 1996;37(1):53–72.
 46. Janssen V, De Gucht V, Exel HV, et al. Beyond resolutions? A randomized controlled trial of a self-regulation lifestyle programme for post-cardiac rehabilitation patients. *Eur J Prev Cardiol.* 2012
 47. Scholz U, Gutierrez-Dona B, Sud S; Schwarzer R. Is General Self-Efficacy a Universal Construct? *Eur J Psychol Assess [PsycARTICLES]; Sep 2002; 18, 3; PsycARTICLES, pg. 242.*