



REVIEW

# The Cost of Seasonal Influenza: A Systematic Literature Review on the Humanistic and Economic Burden of Influenza in Older ( $\geq 65$ Years Old) Adults

Jakob Langer · Verna L. Welch · Mary M. Moran · Alejandro Cane ·  
Santiago M. C. Lopez · Amit Srivastava · Ashley Enstone ·  
Amy Sears · Kristen Markus · Maria Heuser · Rachel Kewley ·  
Isabelle Whittle

Received: September 28, 2023 / Accepted: December 13, 2023 / Published online: January 23, 2024  
© The Author(s) 2024

## ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Adults aged  $\geq 65$  years contribute a large proportion of influenza-related hospitalizations and deaths due to increased risk of complications, which result in high medical costs and reduced health-related quality of life (HRQoL). Although seasonal influenza vaccines are recommended for older adults, the effectiveness of current vaccines is dependent on several factors including strain matching and recipient demographic factors. This systematic literature review aimed to explore the

economic and humanistic burden of influenza in adults aged  $\geq 65$  years.

**Methods:** An electronic database search was conducted to identify studies assessing the economic and humanistic burden of influenza, including influenza symptoms that impact the HRQoL and patient-related outcomes in adults aged  $\geq 65$  years. Studies were to be published in English and conducted in Germany, France, Spain, and Italy, the UK, USA, Canada, China, Japan, Brazil, Saudi Arabia, and South Africa.

**Results:** Thirty-eight studies reported on the economic and humanistic burden of influenza in adults aged  $\geq 65$  years. Higher direct costs were reported for people at increased risk of influenza-related complications compared to those at low risk. Lower influenza-related total costs were found in those vaccinated with adjuvanted inactivated trivalent influenza vaccine (aTIV) compared to high-dose trivalent influenza vaccine (TIV-HD). Older age was associated with an increased occurrence and longer duration of certain influenza symptoms.

**Conclusion:** Despite the limited data identified, results show that influenza exerts a high humanistic and economic burden in older adults. Further research is required to confirm findings and to identify the unmet needs of current vaccines.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12325-023-02770-0>.

J. Langer  
Pfizer Patient & Health Impact, Lisbon, Portugal

V. L. Welch · M. M. Moran · A. Cane ·  
S. M. C. Lopez  
Pfizer Vaccines Medical & Scientific Affairs,  
Collegeville, PA, USA

A. Srivastava  
Pfizer Emerging Markets, Vaccines Medical &  
Scientific Affairs, Cambridge, MA, USA

A. Enstone · A. Sears · K. Markus · M. Heuser ·  
R. Kewley · I. Whittle  
Adelphi Values PROVE, Bollington SK10 5JB, UK

J. Langer (✉)  
Pfizer Portugal, Lagoas Park, Edifício 10, 2740-271  
Porto Salvo, Portugal  
e-mail: Jakob.W.Langer@gmail.com

**Keywords:** Burden of disease; Health-related quality of life; Older adults; Economic burden; Costs; Influenza; Humanistic burden

### Key Summary Points

Fewer humanistic burden studies were identified in this systematic literature review (SLR), making it apparent that further research is needed to confirm findings.

Limited focus by the National Immunization Technical Advisory Groups (NITAGs), health technology assessments (HTAs), and internationally recognized organizations, including the World Health Organization (WHO), on patient perspectives might explain the lack of humanistic evidence identified in this SLR.

Results from two studies showed that influenza-related direct costs were greater in high-risk groups, particularly those with comorbidities.

Influenza-related direct costs appeared to be unrelated to an increasing age in older adults, which may be due to a state-covered care for this age group.

The limited body of literature identified showed that the burden of influenza in older adults persists, despite available vaccination.

## INTRODUCTION

Influenza is a respiratory infection associated with a significant clinical, humanistic, and economic burden worldwide [1]. Approximately five million cases of severe illness and up to 640,000 deaths are caused by influenza each year [2, 3]. Adults aged  $\geq 65$  years are at an increased risk of severe influenza symptoms and development of serious complications as a result of chronic comorbidity and immunosenescence, a deterioration of the immune system due to aging [2]. The most common secondary infection is pneumonia, with possible

complications including edema, hyperemia, hemorrhaging, consolidation, and formation of pus in the lung [4]. Secondary infections associated with influenza A viruses can be particularly harmful [4]. As such, older adults account for a large proportion of influenza-related healthcare resource utilization (HCRU) including hospitalization, which is associated with high medical costs [2, 5]. Studies have shown that overall hospital admissions of older adults can have a major impact on their health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and cause psychological distress such as anxiety [6, 7]. In addition, the presence of an underlying medical condition further lowers the HRQoL in both outpatients and inpatients [8].

HRQoL instruments and patient reported outcome (PRO) questionnaires including the EuroQoL 5D (EQ-5D), or disease-specific questionnaires such as the inFLUenza Patient Reported Outcome (FLU-PRO<sup>®</sup>) diary and the influenza intensity and impact questionnaire<sup>™</sup> (FluiiQ<sup>™</sup>), measure limitations in daily life, impact on daily activities and emotions, symptom intensity, signs/symptoms across body systems, and emotional implications [9–11]. In order to assess combined morbidity/HRQoL and mortality outcomes, measures such as disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) and quality-adjusted life years (QALYs) can be used [12]. Influenza-specific evidence suggests that infection reduces HRQoL, which has a significant impact on healthcare systems and populations [12, 13].

As a result of their risk status, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends annual influenza vaccination in adults aged  $\geq 65$  years [14]. Over the past decades, vaccination has become the mainstay of prevention of infectious diseases such as influenza [15, 16]. Seasonal influenza vaccination helps to prevent infection-related decline in HRQoL, while relieving healthcare and social systems by reducing HCRU caused by high infection rates and severe infections [15, 16]. Influenza vaccines have evolved with advancements in scientific understanding and technology, from the first inactivated influenza vaccine to egg-derived vaccines (targeting up to four strains), through to adjuvant and recombinant vaccines

which use different dose formulations (standard and high doses) [15–17]. Despite the ongoing evolution of vaccines, influenza continues to exert a significant economic and humanistic burden worldwide [1–6].

A systematic literature review (SLR) was conducted to characterize the clinical, humanistic, and economic burden of influenza in adults  $\geq 65$  years. The findings of the clinical burden SLR have been previously reported [18]. Here, we report the humanistic, considering the impact of influenza on a patient's HRQoL, daily activities, and caregiver health and/or QoL, and economic findings, including direct and indirect costs associated with influenza.

## METHODS

### Search Strategy

A search was conducted via the OVID platform using unique search terms specific to each of the five electronic databases searched (Embase, Medline, Econlit, PsycINFO, and Evidence-Based Medicine Reviews). The search was conducted in line with the Cochrane handbook for SLRs, following the 2020 PRISMA statement [19, 20]. Identified studies were included if they met the eligibility criteria, reporting data which describes the humanistic or economic burden of influenza in the population aged  $\geq 65$  years, and were published in English, between January 1, 2012 and February 9, 2022 (Supplementary Table S1). Studies were contained to a 10-year period to capture the most relevant and timely cost data.

The search used terms specifying disease, direct/indirect costs of disease, societal costs, HCRU, impact and duration of long-term symptoms/complications, and HRQoL including measures such as FLU-PRO<sup>®</sup> and FluiiQ<sup>™</sup>. The search strategy used key terms in a combination of free-text searching (multipurpose terms) and “subject headings” (common descriptive terms assigned to publications as part of the database indexing, which negated the need for multiple synonyms for each search term and ensured the most relevant literature was identified and reviewed). Search strings

used appropriate Boolean operators so relevant primary literature was identified.

Although influenza is considered a significant public health challenge, many countries have substantial differences in disease surveillance infrastructure, testing practices and reporting, healthcare services and administration. As the aim of this review was to assess the global burden of influenza, the authors carefully considered specific countries of interest to represent a variation in geographic, cultural, and economic settings. Recognizing that there are substantial differences in global influenza surveillance infrastructure, testing practices and reporting, healthcare services, and administration, we carefully selected countries in disparate regions to achieve a considered global overview including the following target countries: France, Germany, Italy, and Spain (EU4), the UK, USA, Canada, China, Japan, Brazil, Saudi Arabia, and South Africa, with appropriate representation from all WHO regions.

This review was based on previously conducted studies and did not consider any new studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

### Supplementary Searches

Database searches were supplemented by conducting a manual bibliography check of relevant SLRs and cost-effectiveness models (CEMs) that were identified in the search.

To capture a comprehensive evidence base, conference proceedings from January 1, 2020 that have not been indexed in OVID were also searched. The following conference proceedings were selected on the basis of the likelihood and relevance of topic area and subject matter: The International Society for Pharmacoeconomic and Outcomes Research (ISPOR), European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases (ECCMID), American Thoracic Society (ATS), and IDWeek (joint annual meeting of the Infectious Diseases Society of America [IDSA], Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA), the HIV Medical Association [HIVMA], the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society [PIDS], and the Society of Infectious Diseases Pharmacists [SIDP]).

## Study Eligibility Criteria

Studies were screened against the patient, intervention, comparison, outcome, time, and study design (PICOTS) criteria outlined in Table 1. To accurately report the burden in adults  $\geq 65$  years, studies were strictly limited to those reporting age ranges above the 65-year cutoff. Studies reporting exclusively on pandemic influenza data were excluded to ensure only the burden of seasonal influenza was identified. The search time spanned the outbreak and height of the COVID-19 pandemic. Data reporting influenza and COVID-19 co-infection were excluded because of the novel nature of COVID-19 and unknown effects of co-infection. The objective of this SLR was not to quantify overall vaccine effectiveness and therefore results of studies reporting on vaccine effectiveness have not been reported within this manuscript.

## Study Selection and Data Extraction

### Study Selection

Two independent reviewers conducted abstract and full-text screening based on the prespecified eligibility criteria. Any discrepancies were resolved by discussion or involvement of a third senior reviewer.

### Data Extraction

Data were extracted by one reviewer and quality checked by a second reviewer, with discrepancies resolved by discussion or involvement of a third senior reviewer. Data were extracted into a bespoke data extraction form in Microsoft Excel, which was designed to capture all relevant aspects and outcomes of the studies outlined in Table 1. For each of the included studies, the following information was collated: publication information, study characteristics, population characteristics, and outcomes of interest.

## Quality Assessments for Study Bias

All studies were subject to a risk of bias assessment to understand the strength of study

findings. The risk of study bias was assessed independently by two reviewers, in accordance with the Critical Appraisal Tools developed by JBI Systematic Reviews [21]. Reviewers selected the relevant checklist on the basis of the study type (Supplementary Tables S2–S7).

## RESULTS

### Summary of Results

A comprehensive search of electronic databases and gray literature searches identified 6025 influenza burden publications after deduplication. Through abstract and full-text screening, 5889 publications were excluded, leaving 136 publications eligible for extraction. Sixteen additional studies were identified through manual bibliography checks of relevant SLRs and CEMs (Supplementary Fig. S1). Of the 152 studies identified that reported data on the burden of influenza, 38 reported data on the humanistic and economic burden of influenza, as well as influenza symptoms. The focus of this manuscript was the economic cost burden and therefore the 11 studies reporting on HCRU have not been reported here (studies detailed in Supplementary Table S8). Influenza symptom studies were included to identify those that assess the impact of influenza on the HRQoL. Studies reporting on clinical burden have been reported elsewhere [18].

Of all included studies, four were assessed to have a high risk of bias due to uncertainty in measurement of validity and reliability [5], lack of required analyses [22], lack of generalizability [23], and inadequate participant allocation methods [24]. Full risk of bias assessments are reported in Supplementary Tables S9–S14.

### Study Characteristics

A breakdown by study type and region in which the 28 included studies were conducted is presented in Supplementary Table S15. The majority of studies identified reported on the economic burden of influenza ( $n = 18$ ) and were conducted in Europe ( $n = 13$ ), followed by the

**Table 1** PICOTS criteria

Study characteristics	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Patient population	People aged $\geq 65$ years with laboratory confirmed seasonal influenza or symptomatic ILI	Studies reporting data from people aged $< 65$ years Studies reporting data from people without influenza or symptomatic ILI Studies reporting data from people with pandemic influenza Studies reporting data from people co-infected with influenza and COVID-19
Interventions	Any/none	N/A
Comparisons	All	N/A
Outcomes	Humanistic QALYs/QALDs and HRQoL Patient satisfaction and preference Influenza-specific PROs Impact on daily living Functional decline Transition to assisted care Impact of long-term symptoms/complications Time to return to baseline Caregiver reported symptoms, QoL, and HRQoL Economic Office/outpatient/ER visits Incidence and duration of hospital/ICU stays Pharmacy costs Diagnosis/laboratory testing Short- and long-term care Progression to secondary infection Absenteeism for patients and caregivers Transition to assisted care Societal costs of strain mismatch Differences between influenza vaccinated and non-vaccinated individuals	N/A N/A N/A N/A
Time frame	Studies published from January 2012 to February 2022	N/A

**Table 1** continued

Study characteristics	Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Study design	Study types to be included are: RCTs Non-randomized interventional studies Observational studies SLRs and meta-analyses	Study types to be excluded are: Editorials Case studies Letters to journals Non-systematic literature reviews Conference minutes
Countries	France, Germany, Italy, Spain, UK, USA, Canada, China, Japan, Brazil, Saudi Arabia, South Africa	All other countries
Other	Human studies English language	Animal studies Non-English language

*ER* emergency room, *HRQoL* health-related quality of life, *ICU* intensive care unit, *ILI* influenza-like illness, *N/A* not applicable, *PRO* patient reported outcome, *QALD* quality-adjusted life day, *QALY* quality-adjusted life year, *QoL* quality of life, *RCT* randomized controlled trial, *SLR* systematic literature review, *UK* United Kingdom, *USA* United States

Americas ( $n = 12$ ). Study periods ranged from 2003 to 2020.

### Humanistic Burden

Limited data on the humanistic burden of influenza in people aged  $\geq 65$  years were identified through this SLR ( $n = 10$ ). As a result of the heterogeneity across studies in reporting humanistic burden outcomes, outcomes were categorized according to symptoms, QALYs and/or LYs lost, or PROs. Although seven studies reported on influenza symptoms, only one study focused on the impact of long-term symptoms on HRQoL in adults aged  $\geq 65$  years [25].

### Patient Reported Outcomes and Measures

Two studies were identified reporting influenza-related PROs [26, 27]. One of these studies, conducted across several countries worldwide, used the FluiiQ<sup>TM</sup> in adults aged  $\geq 65$  years [26]. The FluiiQ<sup>TM</sup> was used to compare the impact of AS03-adjuvanted inactivated trivalent influenza vaccine (AS03-TIV) or trivalent

influenza vaccine (TIV) seasonal vaccination on influenza symptoms [26]. The FluiiQ<sup>TM</sup> is scored from 0 (none) to 3 (severe) across symptom intensity and impact (including daily activities, impact on others, and impact on emotions). Results indicate that older adults who received the AS03-TIV vaccination had lower overall mean scores (1.50 [standard error [SE] 0.04] vs 1.64 [SE 0.04]), which suggests improved HRQoL [26]. Similar results were observed for the impact on daily activities rates, with lower mean rates for the AS03-TIV cohort than for the TIV cohort (1.27 [SE 0.1] vs 1.54 [SE 0.1]) [26]. In contrast, mean respiratory symptoms, impact on emotions (0.89 [SE 0.05] vs 1.02 [SE 0.06]), and impact on relationships scores (0.67 [SE 0.05] vs 0.74 [SE 0.47]) were similar with AS03-TIV vs TIV [26]. Additionally, influenza tended to be less severe in those who received AS03-TIV [26]. However, the minimal important difference (MID) (threshold set at  $> 7.0\%$  difference) was achieved only for impact on activities (mean 9.0%) [26].

In addition, an Italian study assessed the proportion of people reporting change in their daily routine during an ILI episode (e.g., staying



off work) [27]. The study showed that across the influenza seasons from 2012 to 2015, approximately 27.7% of patients aged  $\geq 65$  years experienced a change in their daily routine [27].

### **QALYs and Life Years Lost Measures**

QALYs were used to measure differences in populations based on their risk of influenza-related complications [28] and antiviral drug use [24] in two studies, one conducted across 15 European countries [24] and one in the USA [28].

Total QALYs lost were assessed in a US Veterans Affairs population aged  $\geq 65$  years with unconfirmed influenza at low or high risk of influenza-related complications [28]. The high-risk group was defined as people with chronic cardiac, pulmonary, renal, metabolic, liver, or neurological diseases; diabetes mellitus; hemoglobinopathies; and/or immunosuppressive conditions and malignancy [28]. Results showed that people at high risk of influenza-related complications had greater a QALYs loss compared to those at low risk of complications ( $N = 38.2$  [95% CI 33.8–42.6] vs  $N = 3.1$  [95% CI 2.4–3.7]) [28].

When the impact of antiviral treatment was assessed, using oseltamivir in usual care among patients with ILI aged  $\geq 65$  years, the use of oseltamivir positively impacted patients QALYs [24]. After 14- and 28-day follow-up, patients treated with oseltamivir gained a total of 0.0006 QALYs (range 0.0002–0.0010) and 0.0008 QALYs (range 0.0003–0.0014), respectively [24]. These gains in QALYs were deemed statistically significant, although a  $p$  value was not reported [24].

Additionally, a South African study assessed the life years (LY) lost in older adults aged  $\geq 65$  years at risk of influenza-associated illness due to their age [29]. Across influenza seasons 2013 to 2015, a total of 35,601 (95% CI 23,853–47,349) LY were lost in adults aged  $\geq 65$  years, due to influenza-associated disease [29].

### **Influenza Symptoms and Associated Impact on QoL**

Studies reporting on influenza-associated symptoms were also captured in this SLR. Overall, influenza and influenza-like illness (ILI) associated symptoms in people aged  $\geq 65$  years were reported in seven studies [5, 25, 30–34]. However, only one of the studies identified assessed the impact of influenza symptoms on the HRQoL in adults aged  $\geq 65$  years [25]. Influenza-related symptoms captured in this review are reported in Table 2.

An increase in age appeared to have an impact on symptom manifestation. One study reported that older age appeared to be attributed to longer duration of symptoms in people with influenza B infections [25]. An additional study reported that the occurrence of altered mental status/confusion in people aged  $\geq 65$  years with influenza increased with age [31]. In people aged 65–74 years, 75–84 years, and  $\geq 85$  years with influenza infection, 14.3%, 20.7%, and 23.0% of people presented an altered mental status/confusion, respectively [31]. A final study stated that headaches were significantly reduced in vaccinated people aged  $\geq 65$  years when compared to those unvaccinated [32]. No statistically significant decrease was observed for other symptoms assessed (adenopathy, asthenia, bronchitis/bronchiolitis, conjunctivitis, cough, dyspnea, expectoration, fever, gastrointestinal symptoms, myalgia, otitis/earache, pharyngitis, rhinitis, and shivering) [32].

### **Economic Burden**

Eighteen studies reported the economic burden of seasonal influenza in adults aged  $\geq 65$  years [22, 28, 29, 35–49]. The majority of studies ( $n = 10$ ) were conducted in the USA (Supplementary Table S16) [28, 35–40, 47–49]. Very few studies were conducted in other countries of interest, including Spain ( $n = 2$ ) [44, 45], China ( $n = 1$ ) [41], France ( $n = 1$ ) [42], Germany ( $n = 1$ ) [43], the UK ( $n = 1$ ) [22], Japan ( $n = 1$ ) [46], and South Africa ( $n = 1$ ) [29]. No studies were identified for Canada, Brazil, or Saudi Arabia.

**Table 2** Influenza symptoms contributing to overall humanistic burden

Country	Author	Key outcomes
USA	van Wormer, 2014 [34]	<p>The symptom severity scores (higher scores indicate greater perceived severity) for individuals vaccinated against influenza vs unvaccinated individuals for the following symptoms were:</p> <p>Cough 2.41 vs 2.80</p> <p>Fatigue 2.25 and 2.50</p> <p>Fever 1.70 vs 2.63</p> <p>Headache 1.58 vs 2.13</p> <p>Muscle ache 1.93 vs 2.43</p> <p>Nasal congestion 1.89 vs 2.13</p> <p>Sore throat 1.67 vs 2.57</p> <p>Wheezing 1.85 vs 1.78</p>
Europe: Belgium, England, France, Germany, Italy, Netherlands, Poland, Spain, Slovakia, Slovenia, Sweden, Wales	Bruyndonckx, 2020 [25]	<p><i>Duration of symptoms after initial consultation in influenza-positive adult patients with acute cough:</i></p> <p>Reported symptoms in patients with influenza A and B included cough, shortness of breath, wheeze, runny nose, disturbed sleep, interference with normal activities or work, chest pain, fever</p> <p>The mean duration of symptoms in people with influenza B ranged from 4 to 12 days, with the longest-lasting symptoms being cough and runny nose, and the shortest being chest pain</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interference with normal activities and work lasted for a mean value of 5 days (IQR 4–6 days)</li> </ul> <p>The mean duration of symptoms in people with influenza A ranged between 2 and 15 days, with the longest-lasting symptom being cough and the shortest being fever</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Interference with normal activities and work lasted for a mean value of 8 days (IQR 7–9 days)</li> </ul>



**Table 2** continued

Country	Author	Key outcomes
Spain	Casado, 2016 [30]	The proportions of hospitalized patients who had received the influenza vaccination presenting with certain symptoms were: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Cough 82.1%</li> <li>• Sore throat 14.1%</li> <li>• Shortness of breath 82.6%</li> <li>• Fever 75.7%</li> <li>• Headache 20.8%</li> <li>• Myalgia 26.6%</li> <li>• Malaise 70.9%</li> </ul>
	Gonzalez, 2016 [5]	The proportion of non-specific symptoms such as agitation or disorientation was 16%. The proportion of dyspnea was 84%
	Czaja, 2019 [31]	Symptoms at the time of hospital admissions included cough, fever, shortness of breath/respiratory distress, congested/runny nose, myalgia/muscle aches, nausea/vomiting, wheezing, chest pain, altered mental status/confusion, diarrhea, sore throat, headache, rash, conjunctivitis/pink eyes, and seizures  In patients aged 65–74 years, 75–84 years, and ≥ 85 years, the three most frequently reported symptoms were cough (2234–3041 cases), fever (1754–2202 cases), shortness of breath/respiratory distress (1662–2011 cases)  Altered mental status/confusion was detected in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 401 (14.3%) patients aged 65–74 years</li> <li>• 773 (20.7%) patients aged 75–84 years</li> <li>• 921 (23.0%) patients aged ≥ 85 years</li> </ul> Occurrence increased with age ( $P < 0.01$ )

**Table 2** continued

Country	Author	Key outcomes
France	Mosnier, 2017 [32]	Symptoms reported included adenopathy, asthenia, bronchitis/bronchiolitis, conjunctivitis, cough, dyspnea, expectoration, fever, gastrointestinal symptoms, headache, myalgia, otitis/earache, pharyngitis, rhinitis, and shivering  Headaches were significantly reduced in vaccinated people compared to non-vaccinated people (OR 0.69 [95% CI 0.48–0.98, $P < 0.05$ ]). The proportion of people with headache was 61.7% in vaccinated and 70.9% in non-vaccinated people. No other symptoms were reduced significantly
	Regis, 2014 [33]	Among people with community-acquired ILI, 85.2%, 73.7%, and 12.3% had cough, fever ( $> 37.88$ °C), and sore throat  Among people with hospital-acquired ILI, 88.2%, 67.6%, and 14.7% had cough, fever ( $> 37.88$ °C), and sore throat

C Celsius, CI confidence interval, ILI influenza-like illness, IQR interquartile range, OR odds ratio, USA United States

All studies reported on direct costs [22, 28, 29, 35–49]; however, only four studies presented indirect cost results [23, 28, 46, 47]. Economic study characteristics are presented in Supplementary Table S17.

### Direct Costs of Influenza

All 18 studies reported on influenza-related direct costs in adults aged  $\geq 65$  years in eight different countries from 2010 to 2020 (Table 3) [22, 28, 29, 35–49].

Results from two studies showed that influenza-related direct costs were higher in patients aged  $\geq 65$  years that were considered at high risk of severe influenza or influenza-related complications due to comorbidities compared to those at low risk [28, 41]. Moreover, studies that focused on different age groups within the “older age” category did not show a clear correlation between higher direct costs and increasing age [36, 43, 44].

Focusing on vaccination results from several studies, we note that people aged  $\geq 65$  years

who received an inactivated trivalent influenza vaccine (aTIV) had lower influenza-related total costs than those who received a high-dose trivalent influenza vaccine (TIV-HD) [37–39]. This was driven by the relative vaccine effectiveness against HCRU. An additional study reported slightly higher total influenza-related costs for those who received aTIV than for those who received TIV-HD [40]. Comparing hospitalization costs between vaccinated and unvaccinated people aged  $\geq 65$  years showed that costs for unvaccinated people were slightly higher (vaccinated €1,152,333 vs unvaccinated €1,184,808 [2015]) [45].

Only one study reported on patient out-of-pocket costs/co-payments. Results of this US-based study showed that in 2018 mean out-of-pocket costs/co-payments were the highest in people aged 65–74 years (\$1065 [SD 807]) and decreased in higher age groups (75–84 years = \$1000 [SD 790];  $\geq 85$  years = \$896 [SD 813]) [35]. In addition, mean patient out-of-pocket/co-pay costs were slightly higher for

**Table 3** Direct costs of influenza results

Country	Author	Key outcomes
Inpatient costs		
USA	Belk, 2022 [49]	Median costs of inpatient treatment: \$31,237 (IQR 40,260, $P < 0.0001$ )
	Lee, 2020 [36]	Median total cost of first hospital stay by age group: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 64–79 years = \$5789.50 (IQR 5454.50, <math>P &lt; 0.0001</math>)</li> <li>• <math>\geq 80</math> years = \$6220.30 (IQR 5556.60, <math>P &lt; 0.0001</math>)</li> </ul>
		Median total cost per day by age group: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 64–79 years = \$1776.70 (IQR 1084.2, <math>P &lt; 0.0001</math>)</li> <li>• <math>\geq 80</math> years = \$1627.60 (IQR 930.2, <math>P &lt; 0.0001</math>)</li> </ul>
	Putri, 2018 [47]	Estimated direct cost (in million) for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ill but not medically attended = \$9.81</li> <li>• Office-based outpatient visits = \$16.24</li> <li>• Emergency department = \$70.86</li> <li>• Hospitalization = \$1273.73</li> <li>• Deaths = NR</li> <li>• Total = \$1370.64</li> </ul>
	Near, 2020 [48]	Mean influenza-related hospitalization cost \$18,770
France	Lemaitre, 2022 [42]	Total cost (€ million [M]) of excess influenza-attributable hospitalizations was reported across eight influenza seasons: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 2010–11: 13.5M</li> <li>• 2011–12: 120.9M</li> <li>• 2012–13: 105.2M</li> <li>• 2013–14: 34.8M</li> <li>• 2014–15: 155.5M</li> <li>• 2015–16: 74.8M</li> <li>• 2016–17: 186.4M</li> <li>• 2017–18: 173.6M</li> </ul>

**Table 3** continued

Country	Author	Key outcomes
Germany	Goettler, 2022 [43]	<p>Mean (SD) hospitalization costs per person from 2010 to 2019 were reported by age group:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 70–79 years: €4275 (9912)</li> <li>• 80–89 years: €3489 (5952)</li> <li>• <math>\geq</math> 90 years: €2903 (2230)</li> </ul> <p>Median (IQR) hospitalization costs per person from 2010 to 2019 were reported by age group:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 70–79 years: €2408 (1578–2741)</li> <li>• 80–89 years: €2613 (1629–2771)</li> <li>• <math>\geq</math> 90 years: €2688 (1722–2858)</li> </ul>
Spain	de Miguel, 2022 [44]	<p>Median and total costs (€) for hospitalizations were reported by age group:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 65–74 years: €4373.48; €4,872,057.10</li> <li>• <math>&gt;</math> 74 years: €3951.18; €12,149,892.20</li> </ul>
	Torner, 2017 [45]	<p>Hospitalization costs (€ 2015) for unvaccinated patients per annum were €1,184,808 and €1,152,333 for vaccinated patients</p>
UK	Moss, 2020 [22]	<p>Cost per influenza admission between September 2017 and March 2018 were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• £3023.70 in those aged 65–74 years (<math>n = 7320</math>)</li> <li>• £3506.06 in those aged <math>\geq</math> 75 years (<math>n = 16,060</math>)</li> </ul> <p>Cost per influenza admission between September 2018 and March 2019 were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• £3103.75 in those aged 65–74 years (<math>n = 4975</math>)</li> <li>• £3675.91 in those aged <math>\geq</math> 75 years (<math>n = 8495</math>)</li> </ul> <p>Cost per admission LOS (12.55 days) between September 2017 and March 2018 were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• £3100 in those aged 65–74 years (<math>n = 7320</math>)</li> <li>• £3500 in those aged <math>\geq</math> 75 years (<math>n = 16,060</math>)</li> </ul>

**Table 3** continued

Country	Author	Key outcomes
Outpatient costs		
USA	Young-Xu, 2017 [28]	Mean annual estimate of influenza-attributed direct medical costs for healthcare encounters, ED visit: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Low-risk group = \$176 (thousand) (95% CI 101–251)</li> <li>• High-risk group = \$3968 (thousand) (95% CI 3314–4623)</li> </ul>
		Mean annual estimate of influenza-attributed direct medical costs for healthcare encounters, hospitalization only: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Low-risk group = \$57 (thousand) (95% CI 0–175)</li> <li>• High-risk group = \$28,909 (thousand) (95% CI 24,112–33,765)</li> </ul>
	Putri, 2018 [47]	Mean annual estimate of influenza-attributed direct medical costs for healthcare encounters, hospitalization with extended care: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Low-risk group = \$6 (thousand) (95% CI 0–20)</li> <li>• High-risk group = \$5168 (thousand) (95% CI 4167–6232)</li> </ul>
		Estimated direct/indirect/total cost (in million) for: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Ill but not medically attended = \$9.81/\$266.67/\$276.48</li> <li>• Office-based outpatient visits = \$16.24/\$15.60/\$31.85</li> <li>• Emergency department = \$70.86/\$11.42/\$82.28</li> <li>• Hospitalization = \$1273.73/\$40.45/\$1314.18</li> <li>• Deaths = NR/\$710.1/\$710.1</li> <li>• Total = \$1370.64/\$1044.24/\$2414.88</li> </ul>
Spain	de Miguel, 2022 [44]	Total costs (€) for referrals were reported by age group: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 64–74 years: €2,308,881.60</li> <li>• &gt; 74 years: €1,502,578.08</li> </ul>
		Total costs (€) for visits were reported by age group: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 64–74 years: €2,956,829.17</li> <li>• 74 years: €1,817,988.08</li> </ul>

**Table 3** continued

Country	Author	Key outcomes
Out-of-pocket costs		
USA	Chua 2021 [35]	<p>Mean patient out-of-pocket/co-pay by age group in 2018:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 65–74 years = \$1065 (SD 807)</li> <li>• 75–84 years = \$1000 (SD 790)</li> <li>• <math>\geq</math> 85 years = \$896 (SD 813)</li> </ul> <p>Mean patient out-of-pocket/co-pay by sex (2018 USD):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Male = \$971 (SD 790)</li> <li>• Female = \$999 (SD 806)</li> </ul>
Total costs		
USA	Levin, 2021 [37]	<p>Predicted mean annualized cost per patient by vaccine type:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• aIIV3 (<math>n = 798,987</math>) = \$22.98 (95% CI 19.3–27.2)</li> <li>• HD-IIV3e (<math>n = 1,655,979</math>) = \$22.04 (95% CI 19.7–24.5)</li> </ul>
	Pelton, 2021 [38]	<p>Mean annual influenza-related total costs per person by vaccine type:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• TIV-HD (<math>n = 561,243</math>) = \$18.74 (95% CI 17.4–20.6)</li> <li>• aTIV (<math>n = 561,243</math>) = \$17.28 (95% CI 15.9–18.9)</li> </ul>
	Pelton, 2020 [39]	<p>Mean annual influenza-related total cost per person by vaccine type:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• aTIV = \$28.21 (95% CI 24.6–32.4)</li> <li>• TIV-HD = \$31.77 (95% CI 27.7–36.3)</li> </ul>
	Postma, 2020 [40]	<p>Mean annualized influenza-related costs per patient by vaccine type:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• aTIV = \$23.75</li> <li>• TIV-HD = \$21.79</li> </ul>
China	Zhou, 2013 [41]	The median overall costs (USD 2010) for patients at high risk of severe influenza were \$2340 ( $n = 23,080$ ) vs low-risk patients median cost of \$1295 ( $n = 2553$ )
Japan	Sruamsiri, 2017 [46]	Mean overall costs (USD) were reported for procedures (6546 [SD 5793]) and DPC (5526 [SD 5296])
South Africa	Tempia, 2020 [29]	<p>Mean cost per illness episode was reported as \$31 (95% CI 11–64) USD in 2013–2015 in South Africa</p> <p>Mean overall costs was reported as \$15,723,585 USD (95% CI 5,788,357–32,915,907) in patients aged <math>\geq</math> 65 years</p>

aTIV adjuvanted trivalent influenza vaccine, CI confidence interval, DPC diagnosis procedure combination, ED emergency department, IQR interquartile range, LOS length of stay, M million, NR not reported, SD standard deviation, TIV-HD high dose trivalent influenza vaccine, UK United Kingdom, USD United States dollar



women (\$999 [SD 806]) than for men (\$971 [SD 790]) [35].

### **Indirect Costs of Influenza**

The indirect economic cost burden of influenza was reported by four separate studies [23, 28, 46, 47]. A retrospective cross-sectional study conducted in Japan presented the indirect factors contributing to total healthcare cost in patients with influenza from March 2014 to April 2015 [46]. For individuals aged  $\geq 65$  years authors calculated an annual indirect cost of \$854 US dollars (USD) (95% CI \$580–1127). A structural equation modeling framework was used to assess the relationship between direct effects (total hospitalization cost) and indirect effects (length of stay). Length of stay was considered an intermediate effect and used to derive an indirect cost from total hospitalization costs [46].

A South African study conducted between 2013 and 2015 estimated the mean annual direct and indirect costs of absenteeism due to influenza-associated illness [29]. For individuals aged  $\geq 65$  years, the mean absenteeism rate for patients and caregivers (aged 20–64 years) per illness episode was 0.5 days (95% CI 0.2–1.6), resulting in a total of 601,592 (95% CI 294,085–1,016,692) days between 2013 and 2015 [29]. When compared to other risk groups within the study, individuals aged  $\geq 65$  years were the eighth largest contributor to annual influenza-associated absenteeism, between 2013 and 2015; individuals aged  $\geq 6$  months with HIV and/or tuberculosis and/or underlying medical conditions, including pregnant women, accounted for greatest absenteeism rate [29].

A USA-specific study reported losses in productivity for a US Veterans Affairs population aged  $\geq 65$  years [28]. The estimated annual cost for lost productivity due to influenza-attributed ED visits, hospitalization (only), and hospitalization with extended care was \$229,000, \$350,000, and \$105,000 over five influenza seasons, respectively [28]. The cost for lost productivity due to influenza-attributable mortality was \$14 million over the same time period (2010–2014) [28]. Additionally, the study found that in those aged  $\geq 65$  years, the majority of

productivity losses due to influenza-attributed work absenteeism were the result of hospitalizations (only), hospitalizations with extended care, and mortality (56%, 53%, and 52%, respectively) [28].

An additional US study estimated the indirect cost of influenza infection in older adults aged  $\geq 65$  years based on the total days/hours of lost (paid) work due to influenza (Supplementary Table S17) [47]. The total indirect cost for influenza infection in 2015 was reported at \$1044.24 million [47]. The biggest contributors to the total cost were death and infection classified as “illness not medically attended” with \$710.1 million and \$266.67 million, respectively [47]. Moreover, the base case analysis of this study resulted in an estimated 1,756,517 days of productivity loss (excludes from death) due to influenza [47].

## **DISCUSSION**

The aim of this SLR was to characterize the humanistic and economic burden of influenza in older adults aged  $\geq 65$  years to reveal potential unmet needs in this population. Despite the global impact of influenza, only 38 studies were captured in this review that reported the economic or humanistic burden, or the impact of influenza-associated symptoms on patients’ HRQoL in adults  $\geq 65$  years. This may suggest that the humanistic and economic burden of influenza is underrecognized or underreported and warrants further investigation. The majority of economic studies reported direct medical costs, whereas limited information was available on indirect costs. Most humanistic burden studies identified reported on influenza symptoms; however, only one assessed the direct impact of symptoms on HRQoL. In addition, one PRO study utilized an influenza-specific PRO measure (FluuiQ™) to assess the impact of vaccination on influenza symptoms and HRQoL [26]. As per the inclusion criteria, this SLR was designed to capture data on long-term influenza symptoms/complications and their impact on HRQoL. While we identified data on symptom occurrence,

severity, and duration, very minimal data were identified in relation to long-term symptoms.

As a result of heterogeneity in study design, outcomes, and population characteristics presented, it was not possible to make direct comparisons between study results. Of the 10 humanistic burden studies that were identified, one study reported that an increased occurrence and longer duration of certain influenza symptoms was associated with older age [31]. These findings may be a result of independent factors such as frailty or functional status [31]. A separate study found that influenza-related headaches were significantly reduced in vaccinated people [32]. However, a similar reduction was not seen in other symptoms [32]. This could be explained through the study design, focusing only on patients who consulted their general practitioner (GP), not considering the proportion of patients who went directly to hospital with more severe symptoms [32]. It is apparent from these results that further research is needed to confirm findings.

Assessment of vaccine efficacy and comparison of vaccinated vs non-vaccinated populations using specific humanistic burden measures like PROs and QALYs may help to direct future vaccine recommendations and support health technology assessments (HTAs). National Immunization Technical Advisory Groups (NITAGs), such as the US CDC Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), utilize randomized controlled trial (RCT) data to evaluate the benefit of vaccines to inform their seasonal influenza vaccination recommendations [50, 51]. However, limited evidence is available to suggest that humanistic data are used to inform recommendations. In addition, the WHO 2021/22 position paper stated that countries should base their decision about switching influenza vaccines on national disease and economic burden data as well as the availability of different products [52]. The assessment of humanistic burden, in terms of people-focused perspectives and elements, was not mentioned [52]. Moreover, vaccine HTAs are largely driven by efficacy, safety, and cost-effectiveness analyses. The limited focus by NITAGs, HTAs, and internationally recognized

organizations on people and patient perspectives might explain the lack of humanistic evidence identified in this SLR [53]. Nevertheless, these findings highlight the importance of economic data in the evaluation of and recommendations for influenza vaccines.

While this SLR was intended to capture the economic burden of influenza across several countries, most studies were conducted in the USA, with limited evidence reported in other countries. No economic burden studies were identified for Canada, Brazil, or Saudi Arabia. This was an unexpected finding considering the WHO stated in 2021 that economic evaluations, particularly in high-income countries, had increased in the past 20 years [52]. The definition of “older adults” as those aged  $\geq 65$  years used in the eligibility criteria of this SLR may be a plausible explanation for the limited number of studies identified. Although annual influenza vaccination is recommended specifically for older adults  $\geq 65$  years old by official bodies in countries like the UK or USA [54, 55], other countries might expand their recommendations including ages below 65 years, leading to a broader age classification in this research field. Results show that despite ongoing research there is a need to further investigate the global economic burden of influenza in older adults, particularly in non-US countries.

Results from two studies captured in this SLR showed that influenza-related direct costs were greater in high-risk groups, particularly in those with comorbidities [28, 41]. Nevertheless, study limitations such as selection bias due to small sample sizes should be considered when extrapolating results to the general population [28, 41]. Findings suggest that further studies are needed that include data from larger population sample sizes, including provincial as well as local hospitals in order to reduce the selection bias towards more severe cases which may attend provincial rather than local hospitals. In addition, increasing age in older adults did not appear to be associated with higher influenza-related direct costs, which was observed across several studies [36, 43, 44]. However, state-covered care for the oldest adult age group may be a confounding factor to this finding. As these results are from different countries, including

the USA, Germany, and Spain, a comparison of populations with similar healthcare systems is needed.

Two of the four indirect cost studies identified in this SLR utilized absenteeism to characterize the indirect cost of influenza in older adults [28, 29]. One of these studies reported absenteeism in patients aged  $\geq 65$  years, not including absenteeism in their caregivers [28]. As a significant proportion of individuals aged  $\geq 65$  are likely to be retired, this might have led to an overestimation of the economic burden in this age group [28]. In contrast, not including the indirect cost burden of absenteeism in caregivers might have led to an underestimation of the economic burden [28].

Results show that absenteeism data may not be reflective of the indirect economic burden in older adults with influenza. These findings highlight the importance of considering more appropriate measures to characterize the indirect economic burden in this population, such as the need for home care or premature mortality.

This SLR highlighted the paucity of information on the economic and humanistic burden of influenza in adults aged  $\geq 65$  years, underlining the importance for further research in these areas to quantify the burden of disease. Economic and humanistic data are needed to identify unmet needs of current vaccinations, to guide vaccine recommendations, and to assess the benefit of new treatments, in order to support funding of new vaccines in the older population.

Although limited data were identified in this SLR, results show that influenza is associated with a reduced HRQoL and increased economic burden in older adults. Vaccines are an effective strategy in the prevention of influenza and the associated burden of disease. Currently, egg-derived influenza vaccines are the most frequently distributed type of influenza vaccine worldwide [17]. Nevertheless, these types of vaccines come with limitations [56]. Challenges may occur during production and selection of seasonal and regional influenza vaccine strains, due to genetic changes, referred to as “egg-adapted” changes [57, 58]. These mutations impact the accuracy of the annual vaccine

strain selection, which promotes antigen mismatch and reduces vaccine effectiveness [57, 58]. In addition, manufacturing can take up to 6 months, which further challenges the selection of the most predominant circulating strain at the time, promoting antigen mismatch and contributing to an increased burden of disease for patients and healthcare systems [57, 58]. New vaccine technologies may further alleviate this burden. Messenger ribonucleic acid (mRNA) vaccines, a novel vaccine technology that was used during the COVID-19 pandemic, are the latest step in vaccine evolution [1, 56]. mRNA vaccines may provide an effective solution to some limitations of current influenza vaccines. Vaccines with mRNA technology have efficient, fast production processes, without the need for chicken egg or mammalian cells [59]. In addition, mRNA vaccine manufacturing can be held on a larger scale, is more flexible, and rapid, which enables production to start closer to the influenza season when predictions of the most predominant circulating strain are most accurate [1, 56]. This ability of mRNA vaccines to be manufactured more rapidly could allow a rapid and effective response to seasonal and regional variation in circulating influenza strains and could help to address existing issues around influenza strain mismatch. In addition, this technology may allow for the targeting of multiple strains and the identification of potential novel viral epitopes [1, 52]. As such, this latest advancement in vaccine technology may help to provide better protection against influenza infection and further reduce the economic and humanistic burden of influenza. Currently the strains are selected twice annually, once per hemisphere, 6 months in advance by the WHO to allow for sufficient manufacturing time particularly of egg-based vaccines which requires approximately 6 months. Approximately 100 days is needed to manufacture mRNA-based influenza vaccines allowing for strain selection closed to the start of the influenza season and thus may reduce the likelihood of strain mismatch by a delayed development time and therefore, as such, mRNA technology could potentially have a positive impact on the influenza vaccine effectiveness [60].

Several limitations were associated with this SLR. Besides the geographical limitation, the age restriction applied to the study population potentially resulted in the exclusion of studies in older populations. The PICOTS criteria were designed to include studies with age stratifications reported in the study title or abstract. At full-text stage, only studies reporting data that were explicitly for adults aged  $\geq 65$  years were included. This may have led to the exclusion of data for older adults with ages ranging below 65 years. However, this age group has the highest burden of disease due to influenza virus and is hence representative to evaluate the humanistic and economic burden of disease. Additionally, the search was refined at full-text screening stage by excluding studies that did not define the population age or used terms such as “older” or “elderly” to describe the population without stating the age. Further, as this review focused on the general population aged  $\geq 65$  years, more in-depth research is needed to explore health equity between different minority groups within this age group.

Studies were further excluded if they were not conducted in one of the prespecified target countries. Although the countries included were carefully considered for the appropriateness and representativeness of global burden, this may have limited the data identified by this review.

Although the search was designed to capture publications such as RCTs reporting PRO and QALY outcomes, relevant RCTs may have been excluded at the abstract screening phase if humanistic outcomes were not reported in the abstract. Humanistic outcomes are often considered as a secondary outcome and therefore may not be presented in the abstract. Nevertheless, limited evidence identified does indicate a data gap and highlights the need for improvement within this research area, to gain a better insight into people and patient perspectives.

Lastly, this SLR did not identify any studies reporting data regarding post-acute sequelae of influenza. Although, studies assessed the duration of influenza symptoms, there was no particular focus on long-term outcomes in the studies reviewed. Given the increased

occurrence and research on this particular outcome as a result of COVID-19, this area might become more important in the future and requires further investigation into the economic and humanistic burden of influenza in adults aged  $\geq 65$  years.

## CONCLUSION

This SLR found that a limited body of published literature available to characterize the humanistic and economic burden of influenza in adults aged  $\geq 65$  years. However, studies that were identified showed that the burden of influenza persists, despite vaccination. Additional research is needed to further investigate the economic and humanistic burden of influenza in older adults. Doing so could support the development of improved influenza interventions such as mRNA vaccines, which may help to further reduce the significant burden of influenza in older adults.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Richard Macey for developing the search strategy and supporting the systematic literature review process.

**Author Contribution.** Jakob Langer, Verna L. Welch, Mary M. Moran, Alejandro Cane, Santiago M.C. Lopez, Amit Srivastava, Kristen Markus, Amy Sears, Ashley Enstone, Isabelle Whittle, Maria Heuser and Rachel Kewley were involved in study conception and design, material preparation, data collection and analysis. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Isabelle Whittle, Maria Heuser, Rachel Kewley, Kristen Markus, Amy Sears and Ashley Enstone, and all authors provided comments on subsequent drafts. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Funding.** This study was funded by Pfizer Ltd. The journal’s Rapid Service and Open Access fees were also funded by Pfizer Ltd.

**Data Availability.** All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information. Declarations.

### Declarations

**Conflict of Interest.** Authors Jakob Langer, Verna L. Welch, Mary M. Moran, Alejandro Cane, Santiago M.C. Lopez and Amit Srivastava are current or former employees of Pfizer Inc and may hold stock or stock options. Ashley Enstone, Amy Sears, Kristen Markus, Maria Heuser, Rachel Kewley, and Isabelle Whittle are employees of Adelphi Values PROVE. Adelphi Values PROVE received funding from Pfizer for the conduct of the review and for manuscript development.

**Ethical Approval.** This article is based on previously conducted studies and does not contain any new studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

**Open Access.** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

## REFERENCES

- Moore KA, Ostrowsky JT, Kraigsley AM, et al. A research and development (R&D) roadmap for influenza vaccines: Looking toward the future. *Vaccine*. 2021;39(45):6573–84.
- Krammer F, Smith GJD, Fouchier RAM, et al. Influenza. *Nat Rev Dis Prim*. 2018;4(1):3.
- Iuliano AD, Roguski KM, Chang HH, et al. Estimates of global seasonal influenza-associated respiratory mortality: a modelling study. *Lancet*. 2018;391(10127):1285–300.
- Hatzifoti C, Heath AW. Influenza in the elderly: microbiology and aging. *Lancet*. 2009;2009:113–30. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-59745-327-1\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-59745-327-1_6).
- Gonzalez NF, Otero CR, Sastre AA, Cambra MA, Torres JP, Veloz BAC. Evaluation of influenza virus A in elderly hospitalized. *Eur Geriatr Med*. 2016;2016:S172–3.
- Meira D, Lavoura P, Ferreira D, et al. Impact of hospitalization in the functionality and quality of life of adults and elderlies. *Eur Respir J*. 2015;46(59):PA3547.
- Vlake JH, Wesselius S, van Genderen ME, van Bommel J, Boxma-de Klerk B, Wils EJ. Psychological distress and health-related quality of life in patients after hospitalization during the COVID-19 pandemic: a single-center, observational study. *PLoS ONE*. 2021;16(8):e0255774.
- Yang J, Jit M, Zheng Y, et al. The impact of influenza on the health related quality of life in China: an EQ-5D survey. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2017;17(1):686.
- Measured solutions for health. FluiiQ™ influenza intensity & impact questionnaire. 2022. Available from: <https://www.fluiiq.com/about-flu-iiq>. Accessed 7 Sept 2023.
- Powers JH, Bacci ED, Leidy NK, et al. Performance of the inFLUenza Patient-Reported Outcome (FLU-PRO) diary in patients with influenza-like illness (ILI). *PLoS ONE*. 2018;13(3):e0194180.
- Dang-Tan T, Ismaila A, Zhang S, Zarotsky V, Bernauer M. Clinical, humanistic, and economic burden of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) in Canada: a systematic review. *BMC Res Notes*. 2015;8(1):464.
- Hollmann M, Garin O, Galante M, Ferrer M, Dominguez A, Alonso J. Impact of influenza on health-related quality of life among confirmed (H1N1)2009 patients. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8(3):e60477.
- van Hoek AJ, Underwood A, Jit M, Miller E, Edmunds WJ. The impact of pandemic influenza H1N1 on health-related quality of life: a prospective population-based study. *PLoS ONE*. 2011;6(3):e17030.



14. World Health Organization. Recommended composition of influenza virus vaccines for use in the 2022–2023 northern hemisphere influenza season. February 2022. p 1–11.
15. Saleh A, Qamar S, Tekin A, Singh R, Kashyap R. Vaccine development throughout history. *Cureus*. 2021;13(7):e16635.
16. Wagner A, Weinberger B. Vaccines to prevent infectious diseases in the older population: immunological challenges and future perspectives. *Front Immunol*. 2020;11:717.
17. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. How influenza (Flu) vaccines are made. 2021. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/flu/prevent/how-fluvaccine-made.htm>. Accessed 7 Sept 2023.
18. Langer J, Welch VL, Moran MM, et al. High clinical burden of influenza disease in adults aged  $\geq 65$  years: can we do better? A systematic literature review. *Adv Ther*. 2023;2023:1–27.
19. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *PLoS Med*. 2021;18(3):e1003583.
20. Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, et al. *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* version 6.3 (updated February 2022): Cochrane. 2022. Available from: <https://training.cochrane.org/handbook>. Accessed 16 Jan 2023.
21. JBI. Critical appraisal tool: The University of Adelaide. 2022. Available from: <https://jbi.global/critical-appraisal-tools>. Accessed 16 Jan 2023.
22. Moss JWE, Davidson C, Mattock R, Gibbons I, Mealing S, Carroll S. Quantifying the direct secondary health care cost of seasonal influenza in England. *BMC Public Health*. 2020;20(1):1464.
23. Tempia SW, Moyes S, McMorrow J, et al. Influenza disease burden among potential target risk groups for immunization in South Africa, 2013–2015. *Vaccine*. 2020;38(27):4288–97.
24. Bruyndonckx RB, Velden J, Li AW, et al. Impact of adding oseltamivir to usual care on quality-adjusted life-years during influenza-like illness. *Value Health*. 2022;25(2):178–84.
25. Bruyndonckx RC, Butler S, Verheij C, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus and influenza virus infection in adult primary care patients: Association of age with prevalence, diagnostic features and illness course. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2020;95:384–90.
26. Essen GAB, Devaster J, Durand JM, et al. Influenza symptoms and their impact on elderly adults: randomised trial of AS03-adjuvanted or non-adjuvanted inactivated trivalent seasonal influenza vaccines. *Influenza Respir Viruses*. 2014;8(4):452–62.
27. Perrotta D, Bella A, Rizzo C, Paolotti D. Participatory online surveillance as a supplementary tool to sentinel doctors for influenza-like illness surveillance in Italy. *PLoS ONE*. 2017;12(1):e0169801.
28. Young-Xu YVA, Russo R, Lee E, Chit JKH. The annual burden of seasonal influenza in the us veterans affairs population. *PLoS ONE*. 2017;12(1):e0169344.
29. Tempia S, Moyes J, Cohen AL, et al. Influenza economic burden among potential target risk groups for immunization in South Africa, 2013–2015. *Vaccine*. 2020;38(45):7007–14.
30. Casado I, Dominguez A, Toledo D, et al. Effect of influenza vaccination on the prognosis of hospitalized influenza patients. *Expert Rev Vaccines*. 2016;15(3):425–32.
31. Czaja CA, Miller L, Alden N, et al. Age-related differences in hospitalization rates, clinical presentation, and outcomes among older adults hospitalized with influenza-U.S. Influenza Hospitalization Surveillance Network (FluSurv-NET). *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2019;6(7):1.
32. Mosnier AD, Caini I, Berche S, et al. Does seasonal vaccination affect the clinical presentation of influenza among the elderly? A cross-sectional analysis in the outpatient setting in France, 2003–2014. *Vaccine*. 2017;35(16):2076–83.
33. Regis C, Voirin N, Escuret V, et al. Five years of hospital based surveillance of influenza-like illness and influenza in a short-stay geriatric unit. *BMC Res Notes*. 2014;7:99.
34. Van-Wormer JJS, Meece ME, Belongia JK. A cross-sectional analysis of symptom severity in adults with influenza and other acute respiratory illness in the outpatient setting. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14(1):12.
35. Chua KPC, Rena M. Out-of-pocket spending for influenza hospitalizations in Medicare Advantage. *Am J Prevent Med*. 2021;60(4):537–41.
36. Lee CC, Liu Y, Lu KT, et al. Comparison of influenza hospitalization outcomes among adults, older adults, and octogenarians: a US national population-based study. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2021;27(3):435–42.
37. Levin MJ, Divino V, Shah D, et al. Comparing the clinical and economic outcomes associated with adjuvanted versus high-dose trivalent influenza



- vaccine among adults aged  $\geq 65$  years in the US during the 2019–20 influenza season—a retrospective cohort analysis. *Vaccine*. 2021;9(10):8.
38. Pelton SI, Divino V, Postma MJ, et al. A retrospective cohort study assessing relative effectiveness of adjuvanted versus high-dose trivalent influenza vaccines among older adults in the United States during the 2018–19 influenza season. *Vaccine*. 2021;39(17):2396–407.
  39. Pelton SID, Shah V, Mould-Quevedo D, Dekoven J, Krishnarajah M, Postma G. Evaluating the relative vaccine effectiveness of adjuvanted trivalent influenza vaccine compared to high-dose trivalent and other egg-based influenza vaccines among older adults in the US during the 2017–2018 influenza season. *Vaccines*. 2020;8(3):1–17.
  40. Postma MP, Divino SI, Mould-Quevedo V, Shah JF, DeKoven D, Krishnarajah M. Impact of enhanced influenza vaccines on direct healthcare costs for the U.S. elderly: a comprehensive real-world evaluation of adjuvanted trivalent influenza vaccine compared to trivalent high-dose influenza vaccine for the 2018–19 influenza season. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2020;7(1):S38–9.
  41. Zhou LS, Huang S, Hu T, et al. Direct medical cost of influenza-related hospitalizations among severe acute respiratory infections cases in three provinces in China. *PLoS ONE*. 2013;8(5):6378.
  42. Lemaitre M, Fouad F, Carrat F, et al. Estimating the burden of influenza-related and associated hospitalizations and deaths in France: an eight-season data study, 2010–2018. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses*. 2022;10:10.
  43. Goettler DN, Liese P, Streng JG. Epidemiology and direct healthcare costs of Influenza-associated hospitalizations—nationwide inpatient data (Germany 2010-2019). *BMC Public Health*. 2022;22(1):108.
  44. Gil-de-Miguel A, Eiros-Bouza JM, Martinez-Alcorta LI, et al. Direct medical costs of four vaccine-preventable infectious diseases in older adults in Spain. *PharmacoEcon Open*. 2022;6:509–18.
  45. Torner N, Navas E, Soldevila N, et al. Costs associated with influenza-related hospitalization in the elderly. *Hum Vaccin Immunotherapeut*. 2017;13:412–6.
  46. Sruamsiri R, Ferchichi S, Jamotte A, Toumi M, Kubo H, Mahlich J. Impact of patient characteristics and treatment procedures on hospitalization cost and length of stay in Japanese patients with influenza: a structural equation modelling approach. *Influenza Other Respir Viruses*. 2017;11(6):543–55.
  47. Putri W, Muscatello DJ, Stockwell MS, Newall AT. Economic burden of seasonal influenza in the United States. *Vaccine*. 2018;36(27):3960–6.
  48. Near AT, Young-Xu J, Hong Y, Reyes D. Pin24 incidence and costs of influenza-related hospitalizations by comorbidity in the United States. *Value Health*. 2020;23(1):S172–3.
  49. Belk K, Clark L, Mallow P, Banuelos R, Martin C. POSC190 hospital utilization for elderly patients diagnosed with respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) versus influenza in the US. *Value Health*. 2022;25(1):S138.
  50. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Prevention and control of seasonal influenza with vaccines: recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices, United States, 2021–22 Influenza Season. 2021. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/volumes/70/rr/rr7005a1.htm>. Accessed 15 Sept 2023.
  51. Schmader KE, Liu CK, Harrington T, et al. Safety, reactogenicity, and health-related quality of life after trivalent adjuvanted vs trivalent high-dose inactivated influenza vaccines in older adults: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2021;4(1):e2031266.
  52. World Health Organization. Vaccines against influenza: WHO position paper—May 2022. 2022. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/who-wer9719>. Accessed 15 Sept 2023.
  53. Laigle V, Postma MJ, Pavlovic M, et al. Vaccine market access pathways in the EU27 and the United Kingdom—analysis and recommendations for improvements. *Vaccine*. 2021;39(39):5706–18.
  54. CDC. 2023–2024 CDC Flu Vaccination Recommendations Adopted. 2023. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/flu/spotlights/2022-2023/flu-vaccination-recommendations-adopted.htm>. Accessed 15 Sept 2023.
  55. Agency UHS. The flu vaccination: who should have it and why (winter 2023 to 2024). 2023. Available from: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/flu-vaccination-who-should-have-it-this-winter-and-why/the-flu-vaccination-who-should-have-it-and-why-winter-2023-to-2024>. Accessed 15 Sept 2023.
  56. Pilkington EH, Suys EJA, Trevaskis NL, et al. From influenza to COVID-19: lipid nanoparticle mRNA vaccines at the frontiers of infectious diseases. *Acta Biomater*. 2021;131:16–40.
  57. World Health Organization. Influenza Laboratory Surveillance Information by the Global Influenza Surveillance and Response System (GISRS). 2022.

- Available from: <https://apps.who.int/flumart/Default?ReportNo=6>. Accessed 18 Sept 2023.
58. Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Antigenic Characterization. 2021. Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/flu/about/professionals/antigenic.htm>. Accessed 18 Sept 2023.
  59. Blackburn L. RNA vaccines: an introduction. PHG Foundation. 2018. Available from: <https://www.phgfoundation.org/briefing/rna-vaccines>. Accessed 18 Sept 2023.
  60. Loconsole D, De Robertis AL, Morea A, et al. High public-health impact in an influenza-B-mismatch season in Southern Italy, 2017–2018. *Biomed Res Int*. 2019;2019:4643260.
  61. Arriola CG, Anderson S, Ryan EJ, et al. Influenza vaccination modifies disease severity among community-dwelling adults hospitalized with influenza. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017;65(8):1289–97.
  62. Chaves SS, Perez A, Miller L, et al. Impact of prompt influenza antiviral treatment on extended care needs after influenza hospitalization among community-dwelling older adults. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2015;61(12):1807–14.
  63. Jules A, Grijalva CG, Zhu Y, et al. Age-specific influenza-related emergency department visits and hospitalizations in 2010–2011 compared with the pandemic year 2009–2010. *Infect Dis Clin Pract*. 2014;22(5):271–8.
  64. Casado I, Dominguez A, Toledo D, et al. Repeated influenza vaccination for preventing severe and fatal influenza infection in older adults: a multi-centre case-control study. *CMAJ*. 2018;190(1):E3–12.
  65. Kestler Hernandez M, Conti J, Burillo A, Catalán P, Muñoz P, Bouza E. Respiratory syncytial virus, an underestimated disease in the elderly population. In: 30th European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases 2020. Virtual; 18–21 April 2020. p. 1380.
  66. Ramos JM, Garcia-Navarro MM, de la Aleja MPG, et al. Seasonal influenza in octogenarians and nonagenarians admitted to a general hospital: epidemiology, clinical presentation and prognostic factors. *Rev Espan Quimioter*. 2016;29(6):296–301.
  67. Suarez-Varela MML, Fernandez-Fabrellas A, Sanz E, et al. Asthma and influenza vaccination in elderly hospitalized patients: matched case-control study in Spain. *J Asthma*. 2018;55(4):391–401.
  68. Pivette MN, Lauzun N, Hubert V. Characteristics of hospitalizations with an influenza diagnosis, France, 2012–2013 to 2016–2017 influenza seasons. *Influenza Respir Viruses*. 2020;14(3):340–8.
  69. Fleming DMT, Haguinet RJ, Schuck-Paim F, et al. Influenza-attributable burden in United Kingdom primary care. *Epidemiol Infect*. 2016;144(3):537–47.
  70. Li J, Wang C, Ruan L, et al. Development of influenza-associated disease burden pyramid in Shanghai, China, 2010–2017: a Bayesian modelling study. *BMJ Open*. 2021;11(9):e047526.