



Correction to: Effect of surrogate design on the measured stiffness of snowboarding wrist protectors

Caroline Adams¹ · David James¹ · Terry Senior¹ · Tom Allen² · Nick Hamilton¹

Published online: 30 May 2018
© International Sports Engineering Association 2018

Correction to: Sports Engineering
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12283-018-0266-1>

In the original article, Table 4 and text referencing these three percentages in the discussion and results is published incorrectly (5 instances). The correct table and text is given below.

In the Subheading: Results, 2nd paragraph, 5th line variation of 24 and 23%, should be variation of 23 and 20%. In the 7th line variation of 31%. should be variation of 30%.

In the Subheading: Discussion, 2nd paragraph, 3rd line and 4th line variation the geometric (23%) and EN14120 (24%) should be variation the geometric (20%) and EN 14120 (23%).

Table 4 Coefficient of variation (CV) for each protector on each surrogate at the 4 angles of interest

Protector	Angle	Coefficient of variation (%)		
		EN 14120	Geometric	Scanned
Roller sports	35	84	–	60
	55	45	26	34
	80	18	30	28
	90	12	42	27
Short snowboarding	35	25	24	47
	55	16	16	38
	80	14	10	29
	90	13	9	23
Long snowboarding	35	23	30	24
	55	8	12	15
	80	6	8	5
	90	6	15	–
Mean CV for each arm based on all cases (%)		23	20	30

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12283-018-0266-1>.

✉ Caroline Adams
caroline.adams@shu.ac.uk

¹ Centre for Sports Engineering Research, Sheffield Hallam University, Sheffield, UK

² School of Engineering, Manchester Metropolitan University, Manchester, UK