## **LETTER TO EDITOR**



## **Robotic Enucleation for Cystic Tumors of the Pancreas**

Jens Hoeppner<sup>1</sup> · Michael Thomaschewski<sup>1</sup> · Tobias Keck<sup>1</sup>

Received: 15 November 2021 / Accepted: 15 December 2021 / Published online: 7 January 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Dear Editor in Chief, Indian Journal of Surgery,

Pancreatic enucleation is a parenchyma-sparing procedure that can preserve normal pancreatic parenchyma and reduce the surgical trauma and the risk of endocrine and exocrine insufficiency caused to parenchymal loss. Especially for benign and borderline cystic tumors of the pancreas, enucleation offers a very reasonable option of treatment. Robotic platforms offer unique technical and visual features which can facilitate surgical procedures in parenchymal organs. Here we describe the technique of robotic enucleation of cystic tumors of the pancreas. The technique is displayed in a video of an enucleation with utilization of a DaVinciXI® device in a 34-mm cystic tumor of the pancreatic body.

The patient was a 42-year-old female with a clinical diagnosis of a 34-mm cystic tumor in the body of the pancreas. The relevant pancreatic cystic neoplasms for differential diagnosis in this case include serous cystadenoma (SC), mucinous cystic neoplasm (MCN), and branch duct type intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (BD-IPMN). In specific case, the MRI diagnosis was BD-IPMN. The EUS also suspected BD-IPMN with connection to the pancreatic duct system. The cytology from the cystic fluid showed aggregates of columnar cells suspicious for MCN. The low CEA in the cystic fluid lowers the likelihood of IPMN and MCN, but was not able to exclude IPMN and MCN in the light of the other findings. The indication for surgery was given as MCN was under suspicion from cystic fluid cytology and BD-IPMN > 2 cm in a young patient was under suspicion from MRI and EUS. The DaVinciXI® robotic system was used for robotic enucleation. The surgical technique of robotic enucleation is explained in the video.

The operative time was 130 min with an estimated blood loss of 20 ml. The postoperative course was uneventful. No

pancreatic fistula occurred and the drain was removed on postoperative day 4. The patient was discharged on postoperative day 5. The postoperative pathology report stated SC without malignant features.

In this case, the cystic lesion was deeply embedded in the pancreatic body parenchyma and proximity to the main duct preoperatively assumed. Our surgical strategy was a parenchyma-sparing enucleation with the most optimal intraoperative visualization possible. Therefore, the robotic platform was utilized. The stability of the camera and the high magnification allow the best identification of luminal or tumorous connections from the cystic lesion to the main duct. Also, intraoperative injury to the main duct would by identifiable thanks to the improved visualization. For these contingencies, we would have adapted our strategy and do a central segmental resection or distal pancreatic resection.

Due to the increased routine use of higher resolution imaging techniques in diagnostics, the frequency of diagnosis of small pancreatic benign and borderline tumors and cysts has also steeply increased over the last decade [1]. Diagnostic accuracy of imaging studies (CT, MRI, EUS) for differentiation between benign, pre-malignant/malignant lesions is only 53-73 [2]. Traditionally pancreaticoduodenectomy or distal pancreatectomy was typically performed for these entities. These procedures are still associated with lethal postoperative complications and postoperative pancreatic insufficiency and do have negative impact on the quality of patients' lives [3]. Up to date, an estimated proportion of 14% of resections is performed for asymptomatic benign cysts, preoperatively suspected to be potentially premalignant cysts [2]. Enucleation of a pancreatic tumor is a parenchyma-sparing procedure that can preserve normal pancreatic parenchyma and reduce the surgical trauma risk of endocrine and exocrine insufficiency caused to parenchymal loss [4].

In our experience, robotic enucleation is a promising and safe procedure. Due to the clear and highly magnifying optical field and the possibility of subtle and precise control of the surgical instruments of the robotic platform, surgeons can separate the tumor exactly from the surrounding



<sup>☑</sup> Jens Hoeppner jens.hoeppner@uksh.de

Department of Surgery, University Medical Center Schleswig-Holstein, UKSH Campus Lübeck, Ratzeburger Allee 160, 23538 Lübeck, Germany

pancreatic tissue and perform meticulous dissection easier than in conventional laparoscopy or laparotomy, which might be a benefit to protect delicate structures like the main pancreatic duct. In the light of the only moderate accuracy of all diagnostic imaging modalities for differentiation between benign, pre-malignant/malignant lesions, with the consequence of a high rate of benign cysts in the surgical collective, robotic enucleation offers a very reasonable strategy.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s12262-021-03259-2.

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

## **Declarations**

**Ethics Approval and Consent to Participate** Because this was a single report, and not a trial or observational research, there was no requirement for ethical approval.

Conflict of Interest The authors declare no competing interests.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated

otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>.

## References

- Lee KS, Sekhar A, Rofsky NM et al (2010) Prevalence of incidental pancreatic cysts in the adult population on MR imaging. Am J Gastroenterol 105(9):2079–2084. https://doi.org/10.1038/ajg.2010.122
- de Pretis N, Mukewar S, Aryal-Khanal A, Bi Y et al (2017) Pancreatic cysts: Diagnostic accuracy and risk of inappropriate resections. Pancreatology 17(2):267–272. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pan. 2017.01.002
- Nimptsch U, Krautz C, Weber GF et al (2016) Nationwide in-hospital mortality following pancreatic surgery in germany is higher than anticipated. Ann Surg 264(6):1082–1090. https://doi.org/10.1097/SLA.000000000001693
- Bartolini I, Bencini L, Bernini M et al (2019) Robotic enucleations of pancreatic benign or low-grade malignant tumors: preliminary results and comparison with robotic demolitive resections. Surg Endosc 33(9):2834–2842. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00464-018-6576-3

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

