Re: Unexpected Benefit of the Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography Using 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose: Report of a Case

Varun Arunagiri¹

Received: 18 April 2016 / Accepted: 2 May 2016 / Published online: 7 May 2016
© Association of Surgeons of India 2016

Sir,

I was happy to read the article “Unexpected Benefit of the Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography Using 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose: Report of a Case” published online on 6 April 2016. I was unhappy to see that it is a case report which is included in the original article. By definition, the original article means an original research article where the author does a study with some materials in some methods to arrive at a result which is new and which the author wishes to submit to the scholars who read the journals. According to *The New England Journal of Medicine*, original articles are scientific reports of the results of original clinical research. According to *British Journal of Surgery*, original articles should normally be in the format of Introduction, Methods, Results, and Discussion (IMRAD) [1]. The article “Unexpected Benefit

of the Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography Using 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose: Report of a Case” is exclusively a case report. Maybe the author might have misunderstood “A report of clinical or experimental surgical investigation” which is mentioned in the instructions to the author in *Indian Journal of Surgery* under the heading original article.

References

1. Glasman-Deal H (2009) Science research writing: a guide for non-native speakers of English. Imperial College Press. ISBN: 978-1848163102. www.worldscientific.com/worldscibooks/10.1142/p605

✉ Varun Arunagiri
surgeonvarun@yahoo.com

¹ Institute of General Surgery, Madras Medical College, Chennai, TN, India