



## Molecular profiling – ready for clinical routine

Armin Gerger

© Springer-Verlag GmbH Austria, part of Springer Nature 2020

In this special issue of the *Magazine of European Medical Oncology (MEMO)* multidisciplinary experts summarize and discuss recent developments and future perspectives of comprehensive molecular profiling spanning from specific cancer entities to agnostic approaches [1–3].

The development of next-generation molecular profiling methods has enhanced our ability to interrogate multiple cancer-associated genomic changes within an individual patient's tumor. As a result of such advancements, an increasing number of molecular aberrations have now been rendered actionable targets and raise hope of obtaining meaningful and applicable information for treatment individualization. Cancer therapy, comprised previously by an arsenal of cytotoxic agents, is now being transformed by genome-targeted drugs, which has led to improved outcomes for several malignancies [4]. As of date, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved over 80 targeted agents for solid and hematologic malignancies, driving the possibility of individualized cancer treatment, i.e., precision oncology.

Precision oncology clinical trials have typically been based on molecular profiling (MP) of tissue biopsy-derived DNA. In a pioneering pilot study investigating the efficacy of MP-based treatment in a cohort of refractory metastatic cancer patients, von Hoff et al. reported a clinical progression-free survival (PFS) benefit in 27% of patients [5]. These promising findings have encouraged the enrollment of patients onto trials matching cancer treatment to genetic profiles obtained from biopsy material. Tumor tissue genotyping alone may not be sufficient to capture the

complexity and heterogeneity of tumors and recently, circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA), which contains circulating-tumor DNA (ctDNA) in patients with cancer, has been shown to provide an accurate snapshot of a patient's tumor, enabling the detection of tumor subclones from metastatic lesions [6]. Although studies have begun to prospectively use ctDNA to funnel patients into phase I clinical trials, such trials have only recently been initiated and only preliminary outcomes have been reported yet.

However, a number of significant challenges are to be considered with regards to next-generation molecular profiling. These challenges are complex and manifold and include clinical evidence for molecular profiling, interdisciplinary cooperation, reporting of testing results, access to adequate therapy and financing. Overcoming these challenges on a local, national and international level will determine the success or failure of next-generation molecular profiling [7].

I hope this issue of *MEMO* offers you valuable information for routine clinical practice for the purpose of “lifelong learning” and also stimulates fruitful discussions on clinical and experimental precision oncology.

With best regards,  
 Armin Gerger

**Conflict of interest** A. Gerger declares that he has no competing interests.

### References

1. Puhr HC, Ilhan-Mutlu A. Molecular profiling in gastroesophageal cancer—clinical routine and future perspective. *memo*. 2019;12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12254-019-00534-7>.

Assoc. Prof. A. Gerger, MD, MBA (✉)  
 Department of Oncology, Medical University Graz,  
 Auenbruggerplatz 15, 8036 Graz, Austria  
[armin.gerger@medunigraz.at](mailto:armin.gerger@medunigraz.at)

2. Tendl KA, Bago-Horvath Z. Molecular profiling in breast cancer—ready for clinical routine?. *memo*. 2020;13(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12254-020-00578-0>.
3. Prager G, Gerger A, Höfler G, Webersinke G, Rumpold H, Winder T, Bartsch R, Preusser M, Eisterer W. Position statement of the Austrian Society for Hematology and Medical Oncology on the use of molecular diagnostics in solid tumors. *memo* 2020;13(4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12254-020-00662-5>.
4. Kato S, Subbiah V, Kurzrock R. Counterpoint: successes in the pursuit of precision medicine: biomarkers take credit. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw*. 2017;15(7):863–6.
5. Von Hoff DD, Stephenson JJ Jr, Rosen P, et al. Pilot study using molecular profiling of patients' tumors to find potential targets and select treatments for their refractory cancers. *J Clin Oncol*. 2010;28(33):4877–83.
6. Heitzer E, Haque IS, Roberts CES, Speicher MR. Current and future perspectives of liquid biopsies in genomics-driven oncology. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2019;20(2):71–88.
7. Westphalen CB, Bokemeyer C, Büttner R, et al. Conceptual framework for precision cancer medicine in Germany: consensus statement of the Deutsche Krebshilfe working group 'Molecular Diagnostics and Therapy'. *Eur J Cancer*. 2020;135:1–7.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



► For latest news from international oncology congresses see: <http://www.springermedizin.at/memo-inoncology>