SPECIAL ISSUE: IMPACT OF 2017 HURRICANES



Impact of Hurricane Harvey on Galveston Bay Saltmarsh Nekton Communities

Jenny W. Oakley¹ · George J. Guillen¹

Received: 21 December 2018 / Revised: 18 April 2019 / Accepted: 26 April 2019 / Published online: 10 June 2019 (© The Author(s) 2019, corrected publication August 2019

Abstract

Coastal saltmarshes are a unique habitat at the interface of tidal coastal waters and freshwater inflow providing foraging and nursery habitat for a dynamic nekton community. Saltmarshes are particularly exposed to natural disturbances such as tropical storms, which can cause shifts in water chemistry and nekton community composition. Hurricane Harvey resulted in widespread flooding and record freshwater inflow into Galveston Bay in late August 2017. This study examined the influence of the hurricane on nekton community composition of two saltmarshes in Galveston Bay, TX. Nekton were monitored from February to December 2017 using minnow seines and Breder traps. Reduced abundance and increased diversity, despite the overall reduced number of taxa of the nekton community, were documented following the hurricane. Exacerbating these differences were reduced catches of the numerically dominant daggerblade grass shrimp, *Palaemonetes pugio*, following the event. For some key dominant species, a significant reduction in length was measured between pre- and post-disturbance; therefore, it is likely that new recruits, rather than the return of displaced adults, drove the community recovery. A complete recovery to a pre-disturbance state will likely require at least a full year due to seasonal recruitment patterns of many of the saltmarsh nekton species. Short-term but large-scale natural disturbances can significantly impact saltmarsh nekton communities, but because of their dynamic nature, they are generally resilient.

Keywords Flood · Marsh · Tidal wetland · Tidal creek · Fish · Disturbance

Introduction

Saltmarshes occurring in brackish waters provide habitat for both marine and freshwater migrant functional groups (Elliott et al. 2007). These productive ecosystems support a diverse nekton community with accessibility to food and refuge from predators, and serve as nursery habitat for the immature stages of many commercially and recreationally important species (Sheaves et al. 2015; Hall et al. 2016; Allen et al. 2017). Some saltmarsh specialists such as the saltmarsh topminnow *Fundulus jenkinsi* (a candidate for endangered species act consideration) have a high affinity for this habitat, indicating that it is functionally essential throughout their life cycle (Peterson et al. 2003; Guillen et al. 2015).

Communicated by Henrique Cabral

Saltmarshes are dynamic ecosystems influenced by variable amounts of both tidal saltwater exchange and freshwater inflow. Because of their transitional position in the landscape, they are particularly exposed to extreme physical disturbances that can cause significant perturbation to the biotic community (Piazza and La Peyre 2009). Storm surges and rain-induced flooding from hurricanes are examples of climatically induced extreme pulse events that can result in intense ecosystem disturbance (Yang et al. 2008). The persistence and magnitude of impacts on saltmarsh biological communities from these types of disturbances can serve as bioindicators of ecosystem resilience. The resilience of coastal ecosystems has become a subject of increasing interest as it relates to global climate change and the expected increase in the number and severity of tropical storms (Switzer et al. 2006; Webster et al. 2005; Trenberth et al. 2018).

Hurricane Harvey caused widespread flooding throughout Southeast Texas, impacting the Galveston Bay Area. Harvey has been described as an extremely rare event, exceeding other tropical cyclone rainfall totals of record in the contiguous USA (van Oldenborgh et al. 2017). The Texas Water

Jenny W. Oakley oakley@uhcl.edu

¹ Environmental Institute of Houston, University of Houston-Clear Lake, 2700 Bay Area Blvd, Houston, TX 77058, USA

Development Board estimated that the total freshwater inflow to the Galveston Bay system caused by Hurricane Harvey (August 25–September 20, 2017) was 14.2 billion cubic meters, which was greater than the average annual freshwater inflow to the system based on the 65-year period from 1941 to 2015 (13.7 billion cubic meters) (Schoenbaechler 2018; Guthrie et al. 2012). The record influx of fresh water to the bay drowned saltmarshes and flushed out the salt water, bringing with it suspended sediment, debris, and pollutants. The effects of this hurricane on two saltmarsh nekton communities within Galveston Bay, TX, are described herein.

Methods

Galveston Bay is located in southeast Texas and is the largest estuary in the state and the seventh largest in the USA with the fourth most populous metropolitan area in the country within its watershed. The long-term average salinity for Galveston Bay is 15.1 psu but varies widely due to changes in freshwater inflow into the system (Pinckney et al. 2017). The drainage basin for the bay includes the metropolitan areas of Dallas/ Fort Worth and Houston, TX, contributing to sizeable freshwater inputs into the estuary from the Trinity (55%) and San Jacinto (26%) rivers, respectively (Guthrie et al. 2012).

Nekton communities inhabiting two saltmarshes located on the eastern and western shoreline of Galveston Bay were studied. These sites were chosen because of the availability of previously collected pre-storm data and on-going monitoring of the nekton community. These pre-storm data were collected as part of a state-wide study on populations of F. jenkinsi. Site 21 is located at an unnamed tidal tributary to the southern arm of Moses Lake (TCEQ Segment 2431C) at 25th Ave N. near Texas City, Galveston County, TX (29.408970, -94.951680) (Fig. 1). Site 113 is located in an unnamed tidal tributary to Lone Oak Bayou at the FM 562 Bridge Crossing in Chambers County, TX (29.611300, -94.677060) (Fig. 1). Both sites are located on relatively small tidal creeks with small contributing watersheds. While they have direct tidal access, they are both located more than 2 km upstream from the open waters of Galveston Bay.

Prior to hurricane landfall, the sites were sampled every other month from February to August 2017. Post-disturbance, sites were sampled every other week through December 2017. Ambient water quality, including water depth (m), salinity (psu), dissolved oxygen (mg/L), and temperature (C), was measured using a YSI ProDSS sonde. Secchi depth transparency (m) was also measured during each sampling event using a Secchi tube.

Nekton sampling at each site and sampling event consisted of three 10 m hauls of a $15' \times 4'$ minnow seine (1/8'') bar mesh) along the marsh edge. Three Breder traps

were also deployed overnight (through a falling tidal cycle) facing the inundated marsh (Breder Jr. 1960). Breder traps were constructed with clear plexiglass (0.08" thickness) using the same dimensions $(12" \times 6")$ as Lopez et al. (2011). Nekton were identified in the field when possible and released. When necessary, specimens were administered a lethal dose of MS-222, fixed in a 10% buffered formalin solution, and then brought back to the laboratory where they were identified to species, counted, and measured (standard length in mm, five individuals per gear type and replicate).

Fish community structure was characterized using various community metrics including total taxa abundance (N), relative abundance (%), taxa richness (S), and the Shannon-Wiener diversity index (H') (Magurran 2004). These data were plotted to facilitate visual comparisons. Basic statistical analyses (*t* test, one-way ANOVA) were conducted on lengths of selected numerically dominant species using Sigma Plot 14.0 software with a significance level of $\alpha = 0.05$. When data distributions were non-normal, Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks was used.

Multivariate statistical methods were used to compare sites and collection periods using taxa composition. Nekton assemblage data were log(x + 1) transformed. The resemblance of individual collections was compared with the Bray-Curtis similarity index using the PRIMER 7 statistical software package (Clarke and Warwick 2001). Non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) plots of assemblages were also constructed in PRIMER 7 to display assemblage similarities by sample event. Pearson correlation was used to identify the influence of individual species contributions to assemblage similarities.

Results

Hurricane Harvey made landfall on August 25, 2017, in Rockport, TX, and then slowly moved over southeast Texas where record amounts of rain fell in the Galveston Bay watershed. Water levels at the two study sites peaked on August 29, 2017 (Fig. 2). Immediately following the Hurricane flood event (September 11, 2017, 17 days after landfall), salinities were very low at sites 21 (1.16 psu) and 113 (0.47 psu) (Fig. 3). Salinity at Site 21 had recovered to levels within a standard deviation (σ) of the average salinity recorded at the site from all pre-disturbance historical data approximately 64 days after the Hurricane (Fig. 3). While no historic data (pre-2017) are available to compare Site 113, nekton community structure and dominant marsh plants indicate that the average salinity at the site prior to the hurricane was likely lower but comparable to Site 21. Both sites experienced increases in water level during the disturbance with complete inundation of saltmarsh habitat; however, there was no



Fig. 1 Map of saltmarsh nekton community study sites, Galveston Bay, Texas. Site 21 (29.408970, -94.951680) Site (29.611300, -94.677060) ArcGIS 10.3

significant physical damage observed (from scouring) to the saltmarsh plant structure after the disturbance event at either of the two study sites.

A total of 61,741 individuals representing 44 different species were captured during the study (Table 1). Total community abundance and taxa richness declined immediately following the flood disturbance (Fig. 4a). Community diversity and evenness increased immediately following the disturbance (Fig. 4b). Decreased abundance during the post-disturbance period was primarily driven by the decrease in daggerblade grass shrimp (Palaemonetes pugio) (Table 1). At site 21, the fish community differences observed immediately after the disturbance were primarily driven by the increased abundance of bay anchovy, Anchoa mitchilli. Other species that occurred with regularity at site 21 that were not captured immediately following the disturbance were pinfish, Lagodon rhomboides, and gulf pipefish, Syngnathus scovelli. Site 113 experienced a reduction in some of the historically observed species immediately following the disturbance, including atlantic croaker, *Micropogonias undulates*, naked goby, *Gobiosoma bosc*, and striped mullet, *Mugil cephalus*.

Standard length was compared pre- versus postdisturbance for the most abundant species (P. pugio) and the two most abundant fish species observed at each site (inland silverside, Menidia beryllina and A. mitchilli). No significant difference in P. pugio and M. berrylina lengths was observed between sites within each disturbance time period. Consequently, all length data for each of these species were pooled for both sites to evaluate differences in lengths between pre- and post-disturbance periods. In contrast, site 21 had significantly larger A. *mitchilli* than site 113 (p = < 0.001)so each site's data were analyzed separately to avoid an interaction effect. Specimens of P. pugio were significantly larger pre-disturbance compared with post-disturbance (p = <0.001). Similarly, M. beryllina specimen lengths were significantly larger pre versus post-disturbance (p = 0.0437). A. mitchilli lengths showed no significant difference between

Fig. 2 USGS water level data for 2017, illustrating the flood event following Hurricane Harvey's Landfall on August 25, 2017 (red dashed line), with saltmarsh nekton community sampling events plotted as red circles SigmaPlot 14.0



pre- and post-disturbance periods for either site (site 21 p = 0.204, site 113 p = 0.285).

During the study, seasonal shifts in community structure similar to historic (2014–2015) data collected from site 21 were observed (Guillen et al. 2015). However, the seasonal shift in species composition from the post-disturbance period deviated from patterns observed prior to Hurricane Harvey which depict seasonal trends along the second ordination (MDS2) from the bottom right corner of the figure to the top left (see historic data-blue triangles, Fig. 5). The community samples collected post-disturbance (see green squares, Fig. 5) were instead dispersed on the opposite MDS1 ordination, falling out on the bottom left of the figure. Similar temporal trend was observed when comparing site 21 to site 113 indicating that this event was widespread and had a similar impact to the saltmarsh nekton communities bay-wide (Fig. 5). The species with the highest absolute correlation with the MDS1 ordination, which depicts the shift in community composition from historic conditions to post-disturbance time periods, were spot *Leostomus xanthurus* (r=0.876), *M. cephalus* (r=0.800), *A. mitchilli* (r=-0.841), and *M. beryllina* (r=-0.742) and are displayed as vector overlays on Fig. 5. Historic data collected by Guillen et al. (2015) did not include invertebrate species; therefore, *P. pugio* and other invertebrate species that likely also show high correlation with the MDS1 ordination for Fig. 5 are not depicted.

Fig. 3 Salinity (psu) recorded at each sampling event (site 21 blue triangles, site 113 red circles) and the average salinity (dashed line) from all pre-disturbance and historic sampling events at site 21 with standard deviation intervals (dotted lines marked with σ) Excel 2016



| ent |
|-------|
| ev. |
| nce |
| urbɛ |
| list |
| he |
| of 1 |
| ing |
| tim |
| the |
| ates |
| dic |
| le in |
| 1 lin |
| ottec |
| d de |
| l re |
| tica |
| Ver |
| led. |
| pool |
| tes] |
| lica |
| rep |
| and |
| ear |
| ull g |
| nt, ɛ |
| eve |
| ing |
| mpl |
| r sai |
| ı pe |
| atcl |
| on c |
| lektu |
| of n |
| Jce |
| ıdaı |
| √bur |
| A |
| e 1 |
| abl |
| _ |

| Site 21 Species unne 020717 032177 032177 002417 110817 112217 122177 Fish Menitar berythan 2 0 36 38 74 39 34 110817 110217 122177 122177 Fish Menitar berythan 2 0 36 38 74 39 34 37 32 34 37 35 36 35 36 35 36 35 36 35 36 36 36 36 36 35 36 36 36 37 32 36 36 36 37 32 32 31 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 <t< th=""><th></th><th>time ind many mount to company</th><th>m hund duni, u</th><th>1 50m mm 101</th><th>manad saman</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>יישיי איוו עש</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<> | | time ind many mount to company | m hund duni, u | 1 50m mm 101 | manad saman | | | | יישיי איוו עש | | | | |
|--|-------------|--------------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|
| Final Mendar berythm 2 162 39 9 240 138 144 196 145 Precisita inflations offlatus 2 - 3 3 3 3 4 3 3 14 196 144 Precisita inflatus 2 - 3 3 4 8 3 4 11 Precisita inflatus 2 - 3 1 - 3 2 4 11 Precisita inflatus 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 3 4 11 - 3 1 1 1 1 4 11 - 2 1 - 2 1 1 - 1 1 - 1 1 1 - 1 1 - 1 1 1 1 - 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <th>Site 21</th> <th>Species name</th> <th>02/07/17</th> <th>04/21/17</th> <th>07/06/17</th> <th>09/11/17</th> <th>09/28/17</th> <th>10/10/17</th> <th>10/24/17</th> <th>11/08/17</th> <th>11/22/17</th> <th>12/12/17</th> <th>Grand total</th> | Site 21 | Species name | 02/07/17 | 04/21/17 | 07/06/17 | 09/11/17 | 09/28/17 | 10/10/17 | 10/24/17 | 11/08/17 | 11/22/17 | 12/12/17 | Grand total |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | Fish | Menidia beryllina | 2 | 162 | 59 | 6 | 240 | 136 | 138 | 144 | 196 | 145 | 1231 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Anchoa mitchilli | 12 | Э | 6 | 354 | 68 | 438 | 74 | 59 | 26 | I | 1043 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Poecilia latipinna | 7 | I | ŝ | I | 5 | 5 | 616 | 272 | 4 | 17 | 924 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Gambusia affinis | 2 | I | I | I | I | I | 342 | 224 | I | 49 | 617 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Brevoortia patronus | 14 | 296 | I | ŝ | I | I | I | 1 | I | I | 314 |
| $ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | | Elops saurus | I | 29 | 1 | I | I | I | I | I | 236 | I | 266 |
| Evaluation occurs 24 - - 1 - 105 323 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 24 1 - 105 33 14 - 17 13 23 14 - 15 13 23 14 - 15 10 33 14 - 15 10 13 11 | | Cyprinodon variegatus | I | ŝ | 1 | I | 2 | 4 | 173 | I | 1 | ŝ | 187 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Sciaenops ocellatus | 4 | Ι | I | I | 1 | I | 105 | 52 | 7 | 9 | 170 |
| $ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | | Fundulus jenkinsi | 27 | Ι | Ι | Ι | 1 | I | 1 | 7 | Ι | 109 | 145 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Gobiosoma bosc | 75 | 7 | I | I | I | I | 56 | 9 | I | 9 | 145 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Lagodon rhomboides | 43 | 34 | 10 | I | I | I | I | I | I | 40 | 127 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Adinia xenica | I | I | I | I | 10 | ę | 14 | I | I | 24 | 51 |
| Magi cephalus Magi cephalus $=$ 3 $=$ 3 $=$ | | Micropogonias undulatus | 34 | I | I | I | 1 | 2 | I | I | I | 2 | 39 |
| $ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | | Mugil cephalus | 4 | 18 | 1 | I | ŝ | I | I | I | I | I | 26 |
| Findulus pulveres1 $ 5$ 5 5 5 $ -$ | | Fundulus grandis | 1 | Ι | Ι | 1 | 33 | Ι | 10 | 3 | Ι | 33 | 21 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccc} Singuth is scorelli & 5 & 5 & 2 & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & - & -$ | | Fundulus pulvereus | 1 | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 5 | 9 | 5 | Ι | Ι | 17 |
| $ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | | Syngnathus scovelli | 5 | 5 | 2 | I | I | Ι | Ι | I | Ι | Ι | 12 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Leostomus xanthurus | 2 | 7 | Ι | I | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | I | 6 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Lucania parva | 1 | 5 | 1 | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 1 | Ι | 1 | 6 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Pogonias cromis | I | 8 | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | 8 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Ctenogobius boleosoma | Ι | I | I | 4 | 1 | I | Ι | I | Ι | Ι | 5 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Oligoplites saurus | I | I | I | 4 | I | 1 | I | I | I | I | 5 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Cynoscion arenarius | I | I | I | I | I | 4 | I | I | I | Ι | 4 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Bairdiella chysoura | I | 7 | 1 | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | 3 |
| Leponis macrochirus $ 1$ $ -$ | | Eucinostomus argenteus | Ι | Ι | I | I | 1 | I | 1 | I | I | Ι | 2 |
| $ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$ | | Lepomis macrochirus | I | 1 | I | I | 1 | I | I | I | I | I | 2 |
| $ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$ | | Lepomis spp. | I | I | I | 1 | I | I | 1 | I | I | I | 2 |
| $ \begin{array}{rcccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Paralichthys lethostigma | 1 | 1 | I | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 2 |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | Syngnathus louisianae | Ι | Ι | 2 | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 2 |
| Cyprints carpioCyprints carpio $ -$ | | Cynoscion nebulosus | Ι | I | Ι | I | Ι | 1 | Ι | Ι | Ι | I | 1 |
| Eucinostomus melanopterusFundulus chrysotus1Fundulus chrysotus1Ophichthus gomesii1Ophichthus gomesii1 | | Cyprinus carpio | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 1 | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 1 |
| Fundulus chrysotus1 $ -$ | | Eucinostomus melanopterus | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 1 | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 1 |
| Ophichthus gomesii $ 1$ $ -$ < | | Fundulus chrysotus | 1 | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 1 |
| Nvertebrate Palaemonetes pugio 4931 8007 2037 69 222 8 175 10,221 158 3244 Litopenaeus setiferus $ 30$ 8 29 30 990 129 68 2 Farfantepenaeus setiferus 214 752 7 $ 1$ 2 3 9 1 $-$ Farfantepenaeus setiferus 113 16 47 42 3 $ 3$ 9 1 $-$ Callinectes sapidus 113 16 47 42 3 $ -$ <td></td> <td>Ophichthus gomesii</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>1</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>I</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>1</td> | | Ophichthus gomesii | Ι | Ι | Ι | 1 | Ι | Ι | Ι | I | Ι | Ι | 1 |
| Litopenaeus setiferus $ 30$ 8 29 30 990 129 68 2 Farfantepenaeus actecus 214 752 7 $ 1$ 2 3 90 129 68 2 Farfantepenaeus actecus 214 752 7 $ 1$ 2 3 9 1 $-$ Callinectes sapidus 113 16 47 42 3 $ 39$ 16 3 4 Taphromysis louisianae $ -$ | nvertebrate | Palaemonetes pugio | 4931 | 8007 | 2037 | 69 | 222 | 8 | 175 | 10,221 | 158 | 3244 | 29,072 |
| Farfantepenaeus aztecus 214 752 7 $ 1$ 2 3 9 1 $-$ Callinectes sapidus 113 16 47 42 3 $ 39$ 16 3 4 Taphromysis louisianae $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ 1$ $ -$ | | Litopenaeus setiferus | I | I | 30 | 8 | 29 | 30 | 066 | 129 | 68 | 2 | 1286 |
| Callinectes sapidus 113 16 47 42 3 - 39 16 3 4 Taphromysis louisianae - - - - - - 1 - 1 Site 21 total 5489 9351 2211 496 593 640 2744 11,149 696 3655 | | Farfantepenaeus aztecus | 214 | 752 | 7 | I | 1 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 1 | I | 986 |
| Taphromysis louisiance - - - - 1 - - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 1 - 1 1 - 1 <td></td> <td>Callinectes sapidus</td> <td>113</td> <td>16</td> <td>47</td> <td>42</td> <td>ŝ</td> <td>I</td> <td>39</td> <td>16</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>283</td> | | Callinectes sapidus | 113 | 16 | 47 | 42 | ŝ | I | 39 | 16 | 3 | 4 | 283 |
| Site 21 total 5489 9351 2211 496 593 640 2744 11,149 696 3655 | | Taphromysis louisianae | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 1 | Ι | 1 |
| | | Site 21 total | 5489 | 9351 | 2211 | 496 | 593 | 640 | 2744 | 11,149 | 969 | 3655 | 37,024 |

| Site 113 | Species name | 02/08/17 | 04/19/17 | 07/05/17 | 09/11/17 | 09/28/17 | 10/10/17 | 10/24/17 | 11/08/17 | 11/22/17 | 12/12/17 | Grand total |
|--------------|---------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|
| Fish | Anchoa mitchilli | 9 | 32 | 317 | I | 101 | 57 | 190 | 557 | 320 | I | 1580 |
| | Gambusia affinis | 133 | 25 | 509 | 29 | 4 | 9 | 109 | 325 | 10 | 32 | 1182 |
| | Poecilia latipinna | 52 | 1 | 95 | 38 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 138 | 59 | 70 | 470 |
| | Lucania parva | 24 | 1 | 4 | 20 | 23 | 2 | 110 | 101 | 17 | 61 | 363 |
| | Brevoortia patronus | 339 | 5 | I | I | I | I | I | 8 | I | I | 352 |
| | Micropogonias undulatus | 181 | 14 | 2 | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 197 |
| | Gobiosoma bosc | 142 | 2 | 2 | Ι | 1 | Ι | Ι | 16 | Ι | 1 | 164 |
| | Dorosoma petenense | I | I | I | I | 8 | 43 | 3 | 32 | 2 | 22 | 110 |
| | Menidia beryllina | 20 | 1 | 1 | 27 | 19 | Ι | 12 | 13 | 7 | 9 | 106 |
| | Elops saurus | 26 | 2 | Ι | I | I | 1 | Ι | 0 | 8 | I | 37 |
| | Fundulus jenkinsi | 21 | Ι | Ι | Ι | 1 | Ι | Ι | ю | ŝ | 9 | 34 |
| | Fundulus pulvereus | Ι | Ι | 25 | 1 | Ι | Ι | 2 | Ι | 1 | 1 | 30 |
| | Cyprinodon variegatus | 1 | Ι | 4 | 4 | Ι | Ι | I | 4 | Ι | 13 | 26 |
| | Mugil cephalus | 19 | 1 | б | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 23 |
| | Lepomis spp. | Ι | Ι | 2 | Ι | Ι | 1 | 17 | 0 | 1 | Ι | 21 |
| | Fundulus grandis | 1 | Ι | 2 | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 2 | Ι | 11 | 16 |
| | Leostomus xanthurus | I | 14 | Ι | I | Ι | Ι | I | Ι | Ι | Ι | 14 |
| | Lepomis macrochirus | 1 | Ι | 1 | 8 | Ι | Ι | 1 | 2 | I | Ι | 13 |
| | Syngnathus scovelli | ŝ | 1 | б | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 1 | Ι | 8 |
| | Sciaenops ocellatus | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| | Fundulus chrysotus | 1 | Ι | I | 1 | I | I | Ι | 1 | I | Ι | ŝ |
| | Adinia xenica | I | 7 | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | 2 |
| | Citharichthys spilopterus | Ι | 2 | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | I | Ι | Ι | Ι | 2 |
| | Dorosoma cepedianum | 1 | I | I | I | I | I | I | 1 | I | I | 2 |
| | Ctenogobius boleosoma | I | I | I | I | I | Ι | I | 1 | Ι | Ι | 1 |
| | Lepisosteus oculatus | I | I | I | I | 1 | I | I | I | I | I | 1 |
| | Lepomis gulosus | 1 | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | 1 |
| | Syngnathus louisianae | Ι | Ι | 1 | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | Ι | 1 |
| Invertebrate | Palaemonetes pugio | 9973 | 424 | 271 | 31 | 67 | 40 | 76 | 4193 | 1669 | 2495 | 19,269 |
| | Farfantepenaeus aztecus | Ι | 285 | Ι | 61 | 43 | 1 | I | Ι | 18 | Ι | 408 |
| | Callinectes sapidus | 86 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 7 | б | 7 | 20 | Ι | 9 | 149 |
| | Litopenaeus setiferus | Ι | Ι | 17 | Ι | 16 | 13 | 12 | 29 | 40 | Ι | 127 |
| | Site 113 total | 11,031 | 822 | 1267 | 222 | 331 | 169 | 544 | 5446 | 2160 | 2725 | 24,717 |
| | Grand total | 16,520 | 10,173 | 3478 | 718 | 924 | 809 | 3288 | 16,595 | 2856 | 6380 | 61,741 |



Fig. 4 (a) Abundance and (b) Shannon Weiner diversity of seine catch by sampling event at sites 21 (black bars) and 113 (gray bars). Red dashed line is landfall of Hurricane Harvey SigmaPlot 14.0

Discussion

Overall, total saltmarsh nekton abundance observed during sampling events immediately following Hurricane Harvey disturbance was lower than before, while community diversity increased following the disturbance. Much of these differences can be attributed to a reduced catch in the numerically dominant *P. pugio* following the event, leading to higher diversity and evenness, despite a reduced number of taxa (Magurran 2004). The most numerically dominant species (*P. pugio*) and the most abundant fish species (*M. berrylina*) at site 21 experienced a reduction in size following the disturbance. This indicates that re-population of the saltmarshes following the disturbance was more influenced by new recruits (juveniles) than the return of displaced adults (Reese et al. 2008).

Due to the geographic scale and duration of the event, it is highly unlikely that any saline water refugia for marine species remained near the study areas, while freshwater species were most likely displaced downstream into estuarine saltmarsh habitats. The occurrence of primarily freshwater and oligohaline species such as common carp, *Cyprinus carpio*, spotted gar, *Lepisosteus oculatus*, darter goby, *Ctenogobius boleosoma*, and threadfin shad, *Dorosoma petenense*, captured postdisturbance indicates a long-distance displacement of species from upstream or adjacent drainages into atypical habitats. Furthermore, when the marsh was inundated with deeper water, the efficiency of sampling with seines was reduced. Many habitat-associated species could retreat to the inundated marsh, which could have shifted the bias of the gear to open-water species such as *A. mitchelli* and *M. beryllina*.



Fig. 5 nMDS plots of nekton communities by site. Events labeled by month-day sampled, historic data "H" (Guillen et al. 2015) as blue triangle, 2017 data prior to flood "Pre" (red upside-down triangles) and post-

flood data "Post" (green squares). Ordinations on site 21 for 4 species with highest correlation to MDS1. Primer 7

While this study illustrated a dramatic reduction in *P. pugio* abundance immediately following an extreme freshwater flooding event, Piazza and La Peyre (2009) observed a dramatic increase in eastern grass shrimp Palaemonetes paludosus abundance at a tidal marsh community immediately following Hurricane Katrina, which had caused a significant tidal storm surge in their study area. Disturbance events caused by hurricanes, including tidal surge and wind damage, and overland flooding caused by excessive rain have been shown to impact saltmarsh nekton communities inversely, but in both cases, fluctuations in Palaemonetes spp. have been primary numeric drivers of these community changes (Piazza and La Peyre 2009). Although P. pugio are present in tidal saltmarshes in Galveston Bay year-round, peak abundance usually occurs in late-summer, when juvenile white shrimp Litopenaeus setiferus abundance is low due to seasonal spawning cycles (Kneib and Knowlton 1995). The timing of the disturbance event may have caused an exaggerated impact to the *P. pugio* population, resulting in a reduced optimal growth and spawning potential.

Natural seasonal cycles in species composition make it difficult to discern cause and effect of disturbance to saltmarsh nekton community structure (Akin et al. 2003). Temporal variation in assemblage structure is often driven by large-scale migrations and seasonal spawning patterns of many species that use the estuary as nursery habitat (Hall et al. 2016). It is possible that this extensive flood event caused high outflow velocities at tidal passes, and very low, prolonged salinities in Galveston Bay that could have disrupted larval recruitment to the Bay. Continued monitoring of estuary nekton communities is recommended to identify any long-term impacts to the 2017 year-cohorts that were associated with this flood disturbance. Longer-term surveillance may be especially important for some commercially and recreationally important species known to recruit in late-summer/early-fall such as red drum, Sciaenops ocellatus, blue crab, Callinectes sapidus, and shrimp, Peneaid sp. (Holt et al. 1983; Pile et al. 1996; Reese et al. 2008).

Post-disturbance sampling concluded before the nekton community structure showed signs of complete recovery and return to seasonal composition comparable to historic data. However, estuarine environments have been shown to be highly resilient to short-term, natural disturbance events (Paperno et al. 2006; Waide 1991). In similar systems that have experienced significant habitat damage following a disturbance event, the recovery period extended up to 18 months (Piazza and La Peyre 2009). Because neither of the study sites sustained significant damage to structural habitat from Hurricane Harvey, it is likely that the nekton community structure continued to recover as background levels of water quality and salinity returned and uninterrupted seasonal recruitment of immature organisms continued. A complete recovery to a pre-disturbance state will likely require at least a full year due to seasonal recruitment patterns of many of the saltmarsh nekton species. Short-term but large-scale natural disturbances can significantly impact saltmarsh nekton communities, but because of their dynamic nature, they are generally resilient.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editor for their time and comments that greatly improved this manuscript. We would also like to acknowledge Mandi Gordon and Cory Scanes and the rest of the students and staff at the Environmental Institute of Houston who helped conduct the fieldwork and data management for this project.

Funding Information This research was funded by the Texas Parks and Wildlife Department (Contract # 486585).

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

- Akin, S., K.O. Winemiller, and F.P. Gelwick. 2003. Seasonal and spatial variations in fish and macrocrustacean assemblage structure in Mad Island marsh estuary, Texas. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 57 (1): 269–282. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-7714(02)00354-2.
- Allen, Dennis M., Virginia Ogburn-Matthews, and Paul D. Kenny. 2017. Nekton use of flooded salt marsh and an assessment of Intertidal Creek pools as low-tide refuges. *Estuaries and Coasts* 40 (5): 1450– 1463. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-017-0231-4.
- Breder Jr., C.M. 1960. A design for a fry trap. Zoologica 45: 155-160.
- Clarke, K.R. and R.M. Warwick 2001. Change in marine communities: An approach to statistical analysis and interpretation. Edition 2. PRIMER-E Ltd, Plymouth Marine Laboratory, UK. pp 176.
- Elliott, M., A.K. Whitfield, I.C. Potter, S.J.M. Blaber, D.P. Cyrus, F.G. Nordlie, and T.D. Harrison. 2007. The guild approach to categorizing estuarine fish assemblages: A global review. *Fish and Fisheries* 8 (3): 241–268.
- Guillen, George J., Jenny W. Oakley, Josi Robertson, and Stephen Curtis. 2015. Distribution, abundance, and habitat use of the saltmarsh topminnow (Fundulus jenkinsi). Houston, TX: Environmental Institute of Houston, University of Houston-Clear Lake.
- Guthrie, Carla G., J. Matsumoto, and R.S. Solis. 2012. Analysis of the influence of water plan strategies on inflows and salinity in Galveston Bay. Final report to the United States Army Corps of Engineers, Contract 100010015. Texas Water Development Board, Austin, Texas. pp 71.
- Hall, Quentin A., Megan M. Reese Robillard, Jason A. Williams, Matthew J. Ajemian, and Gregory W. Stunz. 2016. Reopening of a remote tidal inlet increases recruitment of estuarine-dependent nekton. *Estuaries and Coasts* 39 (6): 1769–1784. https://doi.org/10. 1007/s12237-016-0111-3.
- Holt, Scott A., Christopher L. Kitting, and Connie R. Arnold. 1983. Distribution of young red drums among Different Sea-grass meadows. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 112 (2B): 267–271. https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659.

- Kneib, R.T., and M. Kathym Knowlton. 1995. Stage-structured interactions between seasonal and permanent residents of an estuarine nekton community. *Oecologia* 103 (4): 425–434. https://doi.org/10. 1007/bf00328680.
- Lopez, John D., Mark S. Peterson, Jake Walker, Gretchen L. Grammer, and Mark S. Woodrey. 2011. Distribution, abundance, and habitat characterization of the saltmarsh topminnow, Fundulus jenkinsi (Everman 1892). *Estuaries and Coasts* 34 (1): 148–158. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s12237-010-9266-5.
- Magurran, A.E. 2004. Measuring biological diversity. Chapter 2. The Commonness, and rarity, of species. Blackwell Publishing, Malden MA. p18–71.
- Paperno, R., D.M. Tremain, D.H. Adams, A.P. Sebastian, J.T. Sauer, and J. Dutka-Gianelli. 2006. The disruption and recovery of fish communities in the Indian River lagoon, Florida, following two hurricanes in 2004. *Estuaries and Coasts* 29 (6): 1004–1010. https://doi. org/10.1007/bf02798662.
- Peterson, Mark S., Gregory L. Fulling, and Christa M. Woodley. 2003. Status and habitat characteristics of the saltmarsh topminnow, *Fundulus jenkinsi* (Evermann) in eastern Mississippi and western Alabama coastal bayous. *Gulf and Caribbean Research* 15 (1): 51–59.
- Piazza, Bryan P., and Megan K. La Peyre. 2009. The effect of hurricane Katrina on nekton communities in the tidal freshwater marshes of Breton sound, Louisiana, USA. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 83 (1): 97–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2009.03.016.
- Pile, Adele J., Romuald N. Lipcius, Jacques van Montfrans, and Robert J. Orth. 1996. Density-dependent settler-recruit-juvenile relationships in blue crabs. *Ecological Monographs* 66 (3): 277–300. https://doi. org/10.2307/2963519.
- Pinckney, J.L., A.S. Quigg, and D.L. Roelke. 2017. Interannual and seasonal patterns of estuarine phytoplankton diversity in Galveston Bay, Texas, USA. *Estuaries and Coasts* 40: 310–316. https://doi. org/10.1007/s12237-016-0135-8.
- Reese, Megan M., Gregory W. Stunz, and Amanda M. Bushon. 2008. Recruitment of estuarine-dependent nekton through a new tidal inlet: The opening of Packery Channel in Corpus Christi, TX, USA.

Estuaries and Coasts 31 (6): 1143–1157. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-008-9096-x.

- Schoenbaechler, Caimee A. 2018. Total surface discharge to Galveston Bay from hurricane Harvey, Texas Water Development Board. Personal communication with Jenny Oakley re: Updated discharge values for hurricane Harvey related surface water input to Galveston Bay using updated data from coastal hydrology model described at: http://www. twdb.texas.gov/surfacewater/bays/coastal_hydrology/index.asp. Accessed 19 Sept 2018.
- Sheaves, Marcus, Ronald Baker, Ivan Nagelkerken, and Rod M. Connolly. 2015. True value of estuarine and coastal nurseries for fish: Incorporating complexity and dynamics. *Estuaries and Coasts* 38 (2): 401–414. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-014-9846-x.
- Switzer, T.S., B.L. Winner, N.M. Dunham, J.A. Whittington, and M. Thomas. 2006. Influence of sequential hurricanes on nekton communities in a Southeast Florida estuary: Short-term effects in the context of historical variations in freshwater inflow. *Estuaries and Coasts* 29 (6): 1011–1018. https://doi.org/10. 1007/bf02798663.
- Trenberth, Kevin E., Lijing Cheng, Peter Jacobs, Yongxin Zhang, and John Fasullo. 2018. Hurricane Harvey links to ocean heat content and climate change adaptation. *Earth's Future* 6 (5): 730–744. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018EF000825.
- van Oldenborgh, Geert Jan, Karin van der Wiel, Antonia Sebastian, Roop Singh, Julie Arrighi, Friederike Otto, Karsten Haustein, Sihan Li, Gabriel Vecchi, and Heidi Cullen. 2017. Attribution of extreme rainfall from hurricane Harvey, august 2017. *Environmental Research Letters* 12 (12): 124009.
- Waide, Robert B. 1991. Summary of the response of animal populations to hurricanes in the Caribbean. *Biotropica* 23 (4): 508–512. https:// doi.org/10.2307/2388273.
- Webster, P.J., G.J. Holland, J.A. Curry, and H.R. Chang. 2005. Changes in tropical cyclone number, duration, and intensity in a warming environment. *Science* 309 (5742): 1844–1846.
- Yang, Louie H., Justin L. Bastow, Kenneth O. Spence, and Amber N. Wright. 2008. What can we learn from resource pulses. *Ecology* 89 (3): 621–634. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0175.1.