

Erratum

Erratum to: How Study Design Influences the Ranking of Medicinal Plant Importance: A Case Study from Ghana, West Africa

KATHERINE DUDNEY^{*,1}, SARAH WARREN², ERIN SILLS², AND JERRY JACKA³

¹AECOM, 1333 Broadway, Suite 800, Oakland, CA 94612, USA

²Department of Forestry and Environmental Resources, North Carolina State University, 2800 Faucette Drive, Campus Box 8008, Raleigh, NC 27695, USA

³Department of Anthropology, University of Colorado, Boulder, 1350 Pleasant St., Campus Box 233 UCB, Boulder, CO 80309-0233, USA

*Corresponding author; e-mail: katie.lists@gmail.com

Erratum to: Economic Botany DOI 10.1007/s12231-015-9322-y

The Cultural Value Index equation (p. 310) should be:

The version of this article initially published contained the following errors. Table 6 (p. 314) was incorrectly inserted as a repeat of Table 5. The correct Table 6 is presented below.

On Figure 1 (p. 309), villages are marked with circles while settlements are marked as squares.

$$CV_S = \left[\frac{NUs}{N} C \right] \times \left[\frac{Rs}{N} \right] \times \left[\frac{\sum_u FC_{us}}{N} \right]$$

TABLE 6. CORRELATION MATRIX FOR INDEX RESULTS FOR PROMPTED VS. UNPROMPTED RESPONSES.

		Unprompted					Prompted						
		Freq	#Uses	CVs	RI	IAS	LIC	Freq	#Uses	CVs	RI	IAS	LIC
Unprompted	Freq	1.00	0.96	0.99	0.99	0.93	1.00	0.47	0.44	0.50	0.49	0.33	0.46
	#Uses		1.00	0.98	0.99	0.82	0.95	0.45	0.44	0.48	0.47	0.30	0.44
	CVs			1.00	1.00	0.89	0.99	0.47	0.46	0.50	0.49	0.33	0.46
	RI				1.00	0.89	0.98	0.47	0.45	0.50	0.49	0.32	0.46
	IAS					1.00	0.95	0.36	0.31	0.37	0.37	0.24	0.35
	LIC						1.00	0.46	0.43	0.49	0.48	0.32	0.45
Prompted	Freq						1.00	0.89	0.98	0.99	0.92	1.00	
	#Uses							1.00	0.96	0.93	0.72	0.88	
	CVs								1.00	0.99	0.86	0.97	
	RI									1.00	0.88	0.99	
	IAS										1.00	0.94	
	LIC												1.00

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12231-015-9322-y>