



Oscillation of Solutions of LDE's in Domains Conformally Equivalent to Unit Disc

I. Chyzykov¹ · J. Gröhn² · J. Heittokangas² · J. Rättyä²

Received: 9 June 2021 / Accepted: 1 September 2021 / Published online: 12 January 2022
© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

Oscillation of solutions of $f^{(k)} + a_{k-2}f^{(k-2)} + \dots + a_1f' + a_0f = 0$ is studied in domains conformally equivalent to the unit disc. The results are applied, for example, to Stolz angles, horodiscs, sectors, and strips. The method relies on a new conformal transformation of higher order linear differential equations. Information on the existence of zero-free solution bases is also obtained.

Keywords Bell polynomial · Frequency of zeros · Linear differential equation · Oscillation theory · Zero distribution

Mathematics Subject Classification Primary 34M10 · Secondary 30D35

1 Introduction and Results

The classical univalence criterion due to Nehari [12] states that a locally univalent meromorphic function f in the unit disc \mathbb{D} is one-to-one if its Schwarzian derivative $S_f = (f''/f')' - (1/2)(f''/f')^2$ satisfies $|S_f(z)|(1 - |z|^2)^2 \leq 2$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$.

✉ J. Gröhn
janne.grohn@uef.fi

I. Chyzykov
chyzykov@matman.uwm.edu.pl

J. Heittokangas
janne.heittokangas@uef.fi

J. Rättyä
jouni.rattya@uef.fi

¹ Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Warmia and Mazury in Olsztyn, Stoneczna 54, 10710 Olsztyn, Poland

² Department of Physics and Mathematics, University of Eastern Finland, P.O. Box 111, 80101 Joensuu, Finland

Nehari’s proof is based on the representation $a = S_{(f_1/f_2)}/2$ of the analytic coefficient of

$$f'' + af = 0 \tag{1}$$

in terms of the quotient of its two linearly independent solutions f_1 and f_2 . The proof further uses a transformation of (1) into

$$g'' + bg = 0, \quad b = (a \circ T)(T')^2 + S_T/2, \tag{2}$$

where T maps \mathbb{D} conformally onto \mathbb{D} and the functions $(f_1 \circ T)(T')^{-1/2}$ and $(f_2 \circ T)(T')^{-1/2}$ form a solution base of (2). In fact, this method is independent of the underlying regions, and can be performed between any two conformally equivalent domains. Such transformations have turned out fundamental in many applications in the theory of differential equations, and appear in [8, p. 394] whose English edition was published in 1926.

Our first objective is to transform the differential equation

$$f^{(k)} + a_{k-2}f^{(k-2)} + a_{k-3}f^{(k-3)} + \dots + a_1f' + a_0f = 0, \quad k \geq 2, \tag{3}$$

with analytic coefficients in a domain Ω_1 , to another differential equation

$$g^{(k)} + b_{k-2}g^{(k-2)} + b_{k-3}g^{(k-3)} + \dots + b_1g' + b_0g = 0, \tag{4}$$

where the coefficients are analytic in a domain Ω_2 , which is conformally equivalent to Ω_1 . This transformation is given in terms of the incomplete exponential Bell polynomials

$$B_{i,n}(z_1, \dots, z_{i-n+1}) = \sum \frac{i!}{j_1! j_2! \dots j_{i-n+1}!} \left(\frac{z_1}{1!}\right)^{j_1} \left(\frac{z_2}{2!}\right)^{j_2} \dots \left(\frac{z_{i-n+1}}{(i-n+1)!}\right)^{j_{i-n+1}},$$

where $i \geq n$ and the sum is taken over all sequences $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{i-n+1}$ of non-negative integers satisfying the equations

$$\begin{cases} i = j_1 + 2j_2 + \dots + (i-n+1)j_{i-n+1}, \\ n = j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_{i-n+1}. \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

For example, by a straight-forward computation

$$B_{i,i}(z_1) = (z_1)^i, \quad B_{i,i-1}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{i(i-1)}{2} (z_1)^{i-2} z_2$$

and

$$B_{i,i-2}(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)}{3} z_1^{i-3} z_3 + \frac{i(i-1)(i-2)(i-3)}{4} z_1^{i-4} z_2^2.$$

Theorem 1 Let T map Ω_2 conformally onto Ω_1 , and let $h = (T')^{(1-k)/2}$. Suppose that $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ is a solution base of the differential equation (3), where the coefficients a_0, \dots, a_{k-2} are analytic in Ω_1 . Then $\{(f_1 \circ T)h, \dots, (f_k \circ T)h\}$ is a solution base of (4), where the coefficients b_0, \dots, b_{k-2} are analytic in Ω_2 . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a_\ell \circ T)(T')^{k-\ell} &= \sum_{j=\ell}^{k-1} b_j \left[\sum_{i=\ell}^j \binom{j}{i} \frac{B_{i,\ell}(T', \dots, T^{(i-\ell+1)})}{(T')^\ell} \frac{h^{(j-i)}}{h} \right] \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=\ell}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} \frac{B_{i,\ell}(T', \dots, T^{(i-\ell+1)})}{(T')^\ell} \frac{h^{(k-i)}}{h} + \frac{B_{k,\ell}(T', \dots, T^{(k-\ell+1)})}{(T')^\ell}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{6}$$

for any $\ell \in \{1, \dots, k - 2\}$, and

$$(a_0 \circ T)(T')^k = \frac{h^{(k)}}{h} + b_{k-2} \frac{h^{(k-2)}}{h} + \dots + b_1 \frac{h'}{h} + b_0.
 \tag{7}$$

With appropriate modifications, the method of proof of Theorem 1 applies, for example, in the case of real differential equations.

The representation (6) for $\ell = k - 2$ simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a_{k-2} \circ T)(T')^2 &= b_{k-2} + \frac{k(k-1)}{2} \left(\frac{h''}{h}\right) + \frac{k(k-1)(k-2)}{2} \left(\frac{T''}{T'}\right) \left(\frac{h'}{h}\right) \\
 &\quad + \frac{k(k-1)(k-2)}{3} \left(\frac{T'''}{T'}\right) + \frac{k(k-1)(k-2)(k-3)}{4} \left(\frac{T''}{T'}\right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

The particular case $k = 2$ of this identity reduces to the situation in (2) and reveals the well-known connection between Bell polynomials and Schwarzian derivatives.

Let T be a conformal map from \mathbb{D} into \mathbb{C} . The standard functions in Nevanlinna theory for a function f meromorphic in $T(\mathbb{D})$ are defined to be the corresponding functions for $f \circ T$. In particular,

$$N\left(T(D(0, r)), 0, f\right) = N(r, 0, f \circ T), \quad 0 < r < 1,$$

where $N(r, a, g)$ is the standard integrated counting function for the a -points of g in the disc $D(0, r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$.

Our second objective is to quantify the phenomenon that local growth of any coefficient of (3) implies local oscillation for some non-trivial solutions. In the proof we apply Theorem 1 in the case when $\Omega_2 = \mathbb{D}$.

Theorem 2 Let T map \mathbb{D} conformally into \mathbb{C} , $0 < b < 1$ and $s(r) = 1 - b(1 - r)$ for $0 \leq r < 1$. Suppose that $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ is a solution base of (3), where a_0, \dots, a_{k-2} are analytic in $T(\mathbb{D})$. Then there exists a constant $K = K(b)$ such that, for any $j \in \{0, \dots, k - 2\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{T(D(0,r))} |a_j(z)|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} \frac{dm(z)}{|T'(T^{-1}(z))|} \\ & \leq K \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \int_0^{s(r)} \frac{N(T(D(0,t)), 0, f_j)}{1-t} dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \int_0^{s(r)} \frac{N(T(D(0,t)), 0, f_j + f_k)}{1-t} dt + \log^2 \frac{e}{1-r} \right) \end{aligned}$$

outside a possible exceptional set $E \subset [0, 1)$ for which $\int_E dt/(1-t) < \infty$.

By [1, Lemma C], for a sufficiently small $0 < b < 1$ the statement of Theorem 2 is valid without any exceptional set. We may also suppose

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\int_{T(D(0,r))} |a_j(z)|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} \frac{dm(z)}{|T'(T^{-1}(z))|}}{\log^2(e/(1-r))} = \infty, \tag{8}$$

for some $j \in \{0, \dots, k-2\}$, for otherwise the assertion is trivially valid. The condition (8) guarantees the existence of a solution of (3) having more zeros in $T(\mathbb{D})$ than any non-admissible analytic function in \mathbb{D} . Recall that a function f is non-admissible if $T(r, f) = O(\log(e/(1-r)))$ as $r \rightarrow 1^-$.

Corollary 3 *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3, there exists $0 < b < 1$ and $K = K(b)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\int_{T(D(0,r))} |a_j(z)|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} \frac{dm(z)}{|T'(T^{-1}(z))|}}{\log(e/(1-r))} & \leq K \left(\sum_{j=1}^k N(T(D(0, s(r))), 0, f_j) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} N(T(D(0, s(r))), 0, f_j + f_k) + \log \frac{e}{1-r} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $0 \leq r < 1$.

Connections between the oscillation of solutions and the growth of analytic coefficients have been thoroughly studied in the cases of \mathbb{D} and \mathbb{C} . However, the existing literature contains only scattered results on local oscillation of solutions in standard regions such as Stolz angles, horodiscs, sectors, and strips. We next show that, for appropriate choices of T , Theorem 2 yields new information in these particular regions.

Stolz angles. Fix $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, and let $T(z) = \zeta(1 - (1 - z\bar{\zeta})^\alpha)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $T(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $\partial T(\mathbb{D})$ takes the form of a petal which has a corner of opening $\alpha\pi$ at $T(\zeta) = \zeta$. In particular, the domain $T(\mathbb{D})$ can be seen as a Stolz angle with vertex at ζ . In this case $|T'(T^{-1}(z))| = \alpha |\zeta - z|^{1-1/\alpha}$ for all $z \in T(\mathbb{D})$.

Horodiscs. Fix $\zeta \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, and let $T(z) = \zeta + (1 - |\zeta|)z$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $T(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ and $\partial T(\mathbb{D})$ is a circle internally tangent to $\partial\mathbb{D}$ at ζ . Now $|T'(T^{-1}(z))| = 1 - |\zeta|$ for all $z \in T(\mathbb{D})$.

Sectors. Fix $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < \alpha < 2$, and let $T(z) = e^{i\varphi}((1+z)/(1-z))^\alpha$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $T(\mathbb{D})$ is a sector of opening $\alpha\pi/2$, in the direction φ , and

$$|T'(T^{-1}(z))| = \frac{\alpha}{2} |z|^{1-1/\alpha} |z^{1/\alpha} + e^{i\varphi/\alpha}|^2, \quad z \in T(\mathbb{D}).$$

Strips. Fix $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < \alpha < \infty$, and let $T(z) = \alpha e^{i\varphi} \log((1+z)/(1-z))$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $T(\mathbb{D})$ is a strip of width $\alpha\pi$, and

$$|T'(T^{-1}(z))| = \frac{\alpha}{2} |e^{z/\alpha} + e^{i\varphi}|^2 e^{-\text{Re}(z/\alpha)}, \quad z \in T(\mathbb{D}).$$

The next result combined with [2, p. 356] shows that the solutions f_1, \dots, f_k in Theorem 2 can be zero-free, while the coefficients may grow arbitrarily fast. This implies, in particular, that the second sum in the upper bound cannot be removed.

Theorem 4 *Suppose that f_1 and f_2 are linearly independent solutions of $f'' + af = 0$, where the coefficient a is analytic. For any $k \geq 2$, the functions $f_1^{k-1}, f_1^{k-2}f_2, \dots, f_1f_2^{k-2}, f_2^{k-1}$ are linearly independent solutions of (3) with analytic coefficients a_0, \dots, a_{k-2} . Moreover,*

$$a_{k-2} = \binom{k+1}{k-2} a = \frac{(k-1)k(k+1)}{6} a. \tag{9}$$

In general, if all solutions of

$$f^{(k)} + a_{k-1}f^{(k-1)} + a_{k-2}f^{(k-2)} + \dots + a_1f' + a_0f = 0$$

are meromorphic, then the coefficients a_0, \dots, a_{k-1} are uniquely determined meromorphic functions which can be represented in terms of Wronskian-type determinants of any k linearly independent solutions [11, Proposition 1.4.6]. In particular, if f_1 and f_2 are linearly independent solutions of $f'' + af = 0$, then [9, Proposition D] implies that f_1^2, f_1f_2, f_2^2 are linearly independent solutions of $f''' + 4af' + 2a'f = 0$. By a straight-forward computation, it can be verified that $f_1^3, f_1^2f_2, f_1f_2^2, f_2^3$ are linearly independent solutions of

$$f^{(4)} + 10af'' + 10a'f' + (3a'' + 9a^2)f = 0,$$

which reveals the exact coefficients in the case $k = 4$.

The remaining part of this paper is organized as follows. Theorem 1 is proved in Sect. 2. Section 3 contains auxiliary results, which are needed in the proof of Theorem 2 in Sect. 4. Sharpness of Theorem 2 is illustrated in Sect. 5. Theorem 4 is proved in Sect. 6.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

In the following argument some details related to straight-forward calculations are omitted. Let f be a solution of (3) and let $g = (f \circ T)h$, where $h = (T')^{(1-k)/2}$. Since

$$g^{(j)} = \sum_{i=0}^j \binom{j}{i} (f \circ T)^{(i)} h^{(j-i)}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

by the general Leibniz rule, Faà di Bruno's formula gives

$$g^{(j)} = (f \circ T)h^{(j)} + \sum_{i=1}^j \binom{j}{i} \left(\sum_{n=1}^i (f^{(n)} \circ T) B_{i,n}(T', \dots, T^{(i-n+1)}) \right) h^{(j-i)}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (10)$$

We proceed to determine the coefficients b_0, \dots, b_{k-1} such that

$$g^{(k)} + b_{k-1}g^{(k-1)} + b_{k-2}g^{(k-2)} + \dots + b_1g' + b_0g = 0. \quad (11)$$

On one hand, the differential equation (11) implies

$$\begin{aligned} -g^{(k)} = & \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} b_j \left[(f \circ T)h^{(j)} + \sum_{i=1}^j \binom{j}{i} \left(\sum_{n=1}^i (f^{(n)} \circ T) B_{i,n}(T', \dots, T^{(i-n+1)}) \right) h^{(j-i)} \right] \\ & + b_0[(f \circ T)h]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by applying (10) for $g^{(k)}$ and then taking advantage of (3), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} -g^{(k)} = & -(f \circ T)h^{(k)} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} \left(\sum_{n=1}^i (f^{(n)} \circ T) B_{i,n}(T', \dots, T^{(i-n+1)}) \right) h^{(k-i)} \\ & - \left(\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} (f^{(n)} \circ T) B_{k,n}(T', \dots, T^{(k-n+1)}) \right) h - (f^{(k)} \circ T) B_{k,k}(T')h \\ = & -(f \circ T)h^{(k)} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} \left(\sum_{n=1}^i (f^{(n)} \circ T) B_{i,n}(T', \dots, T^{(i-n+1)}) \right) h^{(k-i)} \\ & - \left(\sum_{n=1}^{k-1} (f^{(n)} \circ T) B_{k,n}(T', \dots, T^{(k-n+1)}) \right) h + \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} (a_j \circ T)(f^{(j)} \circ T) B_{k,k}(T')h. \end{aligned}$$

By comparing the coefficients of $f^{(k-1)} \circ T$, we get

$$b_{k-1} \binom{k-1}{k-1} B_{k-1,k-1}(T')h = -\binom{k}{k-1} B_{k-1,k-1}(T')h' - B_{k,k-1}(T', T'')h,$$

where the right-hand side reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -k(T')^{k-1}h' - \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2}(T')^{k-3}T''h \\
 & = -k(T')^{k-1}\frac{1-k}{2}(T')^{\frac{1-k}{2}-1}T'' - \frac{k(k-1)}{2}(T')^{k-2}T''(T')^{\frac{1-k}{2}} \equiv 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $b_{k-1} \equiv 0$ and (11) reduces to (4). By comparing the coefficients of $f^{(\ell)} \circ T$ for $\ell \in \{1, \dots, k-2\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=\ell}^{k-1} b_j \left[\sum_{i=\ell}^j \binom{j}{i} B_{i,\ell}(T', \dots, T^{(i-\ell+1)})h^{(j-i)} \right] \\
 & = - \sum_{i=\ell}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} B_{i,\ell}(T', \dots, T^{(i-\ell+1)})h^{(k-i)} - B_{k,\ell}(T', \dots, T^{(k-\ell+1)})h \\
 & \quad + (a_\ell \circ T)B_{k,k}(T')h.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $B_{k,k}(T') = (T')^{k-\ell}(T')^\ell$, we deduce (6) for any $\ell \in \{1, \dots, k-2\}$. By comparing the coefficients of $f \circ T$, we get

$$b_{k-2}h^{(k-2)} + \dots + b_1h' + b_0h = -h^{(k)} + (a_0 \circ T)B_{k,k}(T')h,$$

which implies (7). Since the statement concerning solution bases is trivial, Theorem 1 is now proved.

3 Auxiliary Results

The proof of Theorem 2 depends on three auxiliary results, which are considered next.

Lemma 5 *Let j and k be integers with $k > j \geq 0$, and let f be a meromorphic function in \mathbb{D} such that $f^{(j)} \not\equiv 0$. Let $0 < b < 1$, and write $s(r) = 1 - b(1 - r)$ for $0 \leq r < 1$. Then there exists a constant $K = K(b) > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{D(0,r)} \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f^{(j)}(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} dm(z) \\
 & \leq K \left(\max_{j \leq m \leq k-1} \int_0^{s(r)} \frac{T(t, f^{(m)})}{1-t} dt + \log \frac{e}{1-r} \right), \quad 0 \leq r < 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof For $0 < r_1 < r_2 < 1$, let $A(r_1, r_2) = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : r_1 < |z| \leq r_2\}$. Let $0 < d < 1$ be a constant which will be fixed later, and define $R_\nu = R_\nu(d) = 1 - d^\nu$ for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof of [6, Theorem 2.3(b)] gives

$$\int_{|z| \leq R_1} \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f^{(j)}(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} dm(z) \leq C_1,$$

where $C_1 = C_1(d, j, k)$ is a constant. Let $R_1 < r < 1$ and take $\mu = \mu(d) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $R_\mu < r \leq R_{\mu+1}$, which is equivalent to

$$\mu \log \frac{1}{d} < \log \frac{1}{1-r} \leq (\mu + 1) \log \frac{1}{d}. \tag{12}$$

The reasoning used in the proof of [4, Theorem 5] yields

$$\int_{A(R_\nu, R_{\nu+1})} \left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right| dm(z) \leq C_2(T(R_{\nu+3}, f) + 1), \quad \nu \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{13}$$

where $C_2 = C_2(d)$ is a constant independent of ν . By (12) and (13), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A(R_1, r)} \left| \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} \right| dm(z) &\leq C_2 \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} (T(R_{j+3}, f) + 1) \\ &= C_2 \left(\frac{1}{1-d} \sum_{j=1}^{\mu} \frac{T(R_{j+3}, f)}{1-R_{j+3}} (R_{j+4} - R_{j+3}) + \mu \right) \\ &\leq C_3 \left(\int_{R_1}^{R_{\mu+4}} \frac{T(t, f)}{1-t} dt + \log \frac{1}{1-r} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $C_3 = C_3(d)$ is a constant such that $C_3 = C_2 \cdot \max\{1/(1-d), -1/\log d\}$.

Next we use the Hölder inequality and (14) to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A(R_1, r)} \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(z)}{f^{(j)}(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} dm(z) &= \int_{A(R_1, r)} \prod_{m=j}^{k-1} \left| \frac{f^{(m+1)}(z)}{f^{(m)}(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} dm(z) \\ &\leq \prod_{m=j}^{k-1} \left(\int_{A(R_1, r)} \left| \frac{f^{(m+1)}(z)}{f^{(m)}(z)} \right| dm(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{k-j}} \\ &\leq C_4 \prod_{m=j}^{k-1} \left(\int_{R_1}^{R_{\mu+4}} \frac{T(t, f^{(m)})}{1-t} dt + \log \frac{1}{1-r} \right)^{\frac{1}{k-j}} \\ &\leq C_4 \left(\max_{j \leq m \leq k-1} \int_{R_1}^{R_{\mu+4}} \frac{T(t, f^{(m)})}{1-t} dt + \log \frac{1}{1-r} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $C_4 = C_4(d, j, k)$ is a constant. Note that

$$R_{\mu+4} = 1 - d^4 d^\mu = 1 - d^4(1 - R_\mu) < 1 - d^4(1 - r).$$

Choose $0 < d < 1$ such that $b = d^4$. The assertion follows. □

For $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$, let

$$f(z) = \exp\left(-\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\alpha\right), \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

If $\alpha = 1$, then f is an atomic singular inner function and the Nevanlinna characteristic of f and all its derivatives are bounded. Therefore all terms in the statement of Lemma 5 are asymptotically comparable to $-\log(1-r)$ as $r \rightarrow 1^-$. Meanwhile, if $\alpha > 1$, then both sides are of growth $(1-r)^{1-\alpha}$ as $r \rightarrow 1^-$. This illustrates the sharpness of Lemma 5.

The following result allows us to represent the coefficients in terms of quotients of linearly independent solutions.

Theorem A ([10, Theorem 2.1]) *Let g_1, \dots, g_k be linearly independent solutions of (4), where b_0, \dots, b_{k-2} are analytic in \mathbb{D} . Let*

$$y_1 = \frac{g_1}{g_k}, \dots, y_{k-1} = \frac{g_{k-1}}{g_k}, \tag{15}$$

and

$$W_j = \begin{vmatrix} y'_1 & y'_2 & \cdots & y'_{k-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_1^{(j-1)} & y_2^{(j-1)} & \cdots & y_{k-1}^{(j-1)} \\ y_1^{(j+1)} & y_2^{(j+1)} & \cdots & y_{k-1}^{(j+1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_1^{(k)} & y_2^{(k)} & \cdots & y_{k-1}^{(k)} \end{vmatrix}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k. \tag{16}$$

Then

$$b_j = \sum_{i=0}^{k-j} (-1)^{2k-i} \delta_{ki} \binom{k-i}{k-i-j} \frac{W_{k-i}}{W_k} \frac{(\sqrt[k]{W_k})^{(k-i-j)}}{\sqrt[k]{W_k}}, \quad j = 0, \dots, k-2, \tag{17}$$

where $\delta_{kk} = 0$ and $\delta_{ki} = 1$ otherwise.

We also need an estimate in the spirit of Frank–Hennekemper and Petrenko.

Lemma 6 *Let g_1, \dots, g_k be linearly independent meromorphic solutions of (11) with coefficients b_0, \dots, b_{k-1} meromorphic in \mathbb{D} , and let $0 < b < 1$. Then there exists a constant $K = K(b) > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{D(0,r)} |b_j(z)|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} dm(z) \leq K \left(\max_{1 \leq l \leq k} \int_0^{s(r)} \frac{T(t, g_l)}{1-t} dt + \log^2 \frac{e}{1-r} \right), \quad 0 \leq r < 1,$$

for all $j = 0, \dots, k - 1$.

The statement in Lemma 6 for the equation $g^{(k)} + b_0g = 0$ follows immediately from Lemma 5 and the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, g^{(j)}) &\leq (j + 1)N(r, g) + m(r, g^{(j)}) \leq (j + 1)T(r, g) + m(r, g^{(j)}/g) \\ &\lesssim T(s(r), g) + \log \frac{e}{1-r}, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

The general case is a modification of [3, Lemma 11] or of [11, Lemma 7.7]. Recall that the notation $a \asymp b$ is equivalent to the conditions $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$, where the former means that there exists a positive constant C such that $a \leq Cb$ and the latter is defined analogously.

4 Proof of Theorem 2

Let $h = (T')^{(1-k)/2}$. If f is a solution of (3), then $g = (f \circ T)h$ is a solution of (4). Based on this transformation, let $\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$ be a solution base of (4) corresponding to the solution base $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ of (3). By the conformal change of variable,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T(D(0,r))} |a_j(z)|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} \frac{dm(z)}{|T'(T^{-1}(z))|} &= \int_{D(0,r)} |a_j(T(z))|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} \frac{|T'(z)|^2}{|T'(z)|} dm(z) \\ &= \int_{D(0,r)} |a_j(T(z)) T'(z)^{k-j}|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} dm(z) \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

for $j = 0, \dots, k - 2$.

CASE $j = 0$. From (7), we have

$$|(a_0 \circ T) (T')^k|^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq \left| \frac{h^{(k)}}{h} \right|^{\frac{1}{k}} + \left| b_{k-2} \frac{h^{(k-2)}}{h} \right|^{\frac{1}{k}} + \dots + \left| b_1 \frac{h'}{h} \right|^{\frac{1}{k}} + |b_0|^{\frac{1}{k}}. \tag{20}$$

Since T is univalent, it belongs to the Hardy space H^p for $0 < p < 1/2$ by [5, Theorem 3.16], and hence T is of bounded Nevanlinna characteristic. Therefore all derivatives are non-admissible in the sense that

$$T(r, T^{(j)}) = O\left(\log \frac{e}{1-r}\right), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus h and all of its derivatives are non-admissible as well. Using Lemma 5, we obtain

$$\int_{D(0,r)} \left| \frac{h^{(j)}(z)}{h(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{j}} dm(z) = O\left(\log^2 \frac{e}{1-r}\right), \quad j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, making use of (20) and Hölder's inequality with conjugate indices $p = k/(k-j)$ and $q = k/j$, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{D(0,r)} |a_0(T(z)) T'(z)^k|^{\frac{1}{k}} dm(z) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \left(\int_{D(0,r)} |b_j(z)|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} dm(z) \right)^{\frac{k-j}{k}} \left(\int_{D(0,r)} \left| \frac{h^{(j)}(z)}{h(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{j}} dm(z) \right)^{\frac{j}{k}} \\ & \quad + \int_{D(0,r)} |b_0(z)|^{\frac{1}{k}} dm(z) + O\left(\log^2 \frac{e}{1-r}\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{k-2} \left(\int_{D(0,r)} |b_j(z)|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} dm(z) \right)^{\frac{k-j}{k}} O\left(\log^{\frac{2j}{k}} \frac{e}{1-r}\right) \\ & \quad + \int_{D(0,r)} |b_0(z)|^{\frac{1}{k}} dm(z) + O\left(\log^2 \frac{e}{1-r}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where the sums are empty if $k = 2$. Let y_1, \dots, y_{k-1} be defined by (15). By restating [11, Proposition 1.4.7] with the aid of some basic properties satisfied by Wronskian determinants [11, Chap. 1.4], we see that the functions $1, y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}$ are linearly independent meromorphic solutions of the differential equation

$$y^{(k)} - \frac{W_{k-1}(z)}{W_k(z)} y^{(k-1)} + \dots + (-1)^{k+1} \frac{W_1(z)}{W_k(z)} y' = 0,$$

where W_j are defined by (16). From Lemma 6 we now conclude

$$\int_{D(0,r)} \left| \frac{W_{k-i}(z)}{W_k(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{i}} dm(z) \lesssim \max_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \int_0^{s(r)} \frac{T(t, y_l)}{1-t} dt + \log^2 \frac{e}{1-r} \tag{22}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k - 1$. Moreover, Lemma 5 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{D(0,r)} \left| \frac{(\sqrt[k]{W_k})^{(k-i-j)}(z)}{\sqrt[k]{W_k}(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{k-i-j}} dm(z) & \lesssim \int_0^{s(r)} \frac{T(t, W_k)}{1-t} dt + \log^2 \frac{e}{1-r} \\ & \lesssim \max_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \int_0^{s(r)} \frac{T(t, y_l)}{1-t} dt + \log^2 \frac{e}{1-r}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where i and j are as in (17), and where (18) has been used with y_l in place of g . Writing the coefficients b_j in the form (17), we deduce

$$|b_j|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} \lesssim \left| \frac{(\sqrt[k]{W_k})^{(k-j)}}{\sqrt[k]{W_k}} \right|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-j} \left| \frac{W_{k-i}}{W_k} \right|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} \left| \frac{(\sqrt[k]{W_k})^{(k-i-j)}}{\sqrt[k]{W_k}} \right|^{\frac{1}{k-j}}.$$

Finally, we make use of (22) and (23) together with Hölder’s inequality with conjugate indices $p = (k - j)/i$ and $q = (k - j)/(k - i - j)$, $1 \leq i < k - j$, ($i = k - j$ is a removable triviality), and conclude

$$\int_{D(0,r)} |b_j(z)|^{\frac{1}{k-j}} dm(z) \lesssim \max_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \int_0^{s(r)} \frac{T(t, y_l)}{1-t} dt + \log^2 \frac{e}{1-r}, \quad j = 0, \dots, k-2.$$

Substituting this into (21) we obtain

$$\int_{D(0,r)} |a_0(T(z))T'(z)^k|^{\frac{1}{k}} dm(z) \lesssim \max_{1 \leq l \leq k-1} \int_0^{s(r)} \frac{T(t, y_l)}{1-t} dt + \log^2 \frac{e}{1-r}. \quad (24)$$

According to the second main theorem of Nevanlinna,

$$T(r, y_l) \leq N(r, 0, y_l) + N(r, \infty, y_l) + N(r, -1, y_l) + S(r, y_l), \quad r \notin E,$$

where $S(r, y_l) = O(\log^+ T(r, y_l) - \log(1 - r))$, $l \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ and the exceptional set E satisfies $\int_E dt/(1 - t) < \infty$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, y_l) &\leq 2N(r, 0, g_l) + 2N(r, 0, g_k) + 2N(r, 0, g_l + g_k) + O\left(\log \frac{e}{1-r}\right) \\ &\leq 2N\left(T(D(0, r)), 0, f_l\right) + 2N\left(T(D(0, r)), 0, f_k\right) \\ &\quad + 2N\left(T(D(0, r)), 0, f_l + f_k\right) + O\left(\log \frac{e}{1-r}\right), \quad r \notin E, \end{aligned}$$

for $l \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$. Combining this with (24), the assertion in the case $j = 0$ follows.

CASE $j = \ell$, $1 \leq \ell \leq k - 2$. From (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|(a_\ell \circ T)(T')^{k-\ell}|^{\frac{1}{k-\ell}} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=\ell}^{k-1} |b_j|^{\frac{1}{k-\ell}} \left(\sum_{i=\ell}^j \binom{j}{i} \left| \frac{B_{i,\ell}(T', \dots, T^{(i-\ell+1)})}{(T')^\ell} \right|^{\frac{1}{k-\ell}} \left| \frac{h^{(j-i)}}{h} \right|^{\frac{1}{k-\ell}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{i=\ell}^{k-1} \binom{k}{i} \left| \frac{B_{i,\ell}(T', \dots, T^{(i-\ell+1)})}{(T')^\ell} \right|^{\frac{1}{k-\ell}} \left| \frac{h^{(k-i)}}{h} \right|^{\frac{1}{k-\ell}} \\
 & + \left| \frac{B_{k,\ell}(T', \dots, T^{(k-\ell+1)})}{(T')^\ell} \right|^{\frac{1}{k-\ell}}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

We apply Hölder’s inequality to estimate

$$\int_{D(0,r)} |a_\ell(T(z)) T'(z)^{k-\ell}|^{\frac{1}{k-\ell}} \, dm(z),$$

and content ourselves with writing details on the integration of the final term (25) only. Since the Bell indices $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k-\ell+1}$ satisfy (5) for $i = k$ and $n = \ell$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{D(0,r)} \left| \frac{B_{k,\ell}(T', \dots, T^{(k-\ell+1)})}{(T')^\ell} \right|^{\frac{1}{k-\ell}} \, dm(z) \\
 & \leq \sum \frac{k!}{j_1! \dots j_{k-\ell+1}!} \int_{D(0,r)} \left| \frac{T''(z)}{2! T'(z)} \right|^{\frac{j_2}{k-\ell}} \dots \left| \frac{T^{(k-\ell+1)}(z)}{(k-\ell+1)! T'(z)} \right|^{\frac{j_{k-\ell+1}}{k-\ell}} \, dm(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $k - \ell = j_2 + 2j_3 + \dots + (k - \ell)j_{k-\ell+1}$. The following application of Hölder’s inequality is presented in the case that all Bell indices $j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{k-\ell+1}$ are non-zero. If there are zero indices, then the argument should be modified appropriately. Choose the Hölder exponents

$$p_1 = \frac{k - \ell}{j_2} \geq 1, p_2 = \frac{k - \ell}{2j_3} \geq 1, \dots, p_{k-\ell} = \frac{k - \ell}{(k - \ell)j_{k-\ell+1}} = \frac{1}{j_{k-\ell+1}} \geq 1,$$

which satisfy

$$\frac{1}{p_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_{k-\ell}} = \frac{j_2 + 2j_3 + \dots + (k - \ell)j_{k-\ell+1}}{k - \ell} = 1.$$

By Hölder’s inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{D(0,r)} \left| \frac{T''(z)}{T'(z)} \right|^{\frac{j_2}{k-\ell}} \dots \left| \frac{T^{(k-\ell+1)}(z)}{T'(z)} \right|^{\frac{j_{k-\ell+1}}{k-\ell}} \, dm(z) \\
 & \leq \left(\int_{D(0,r)} \left| \frac{T''(z)}{T'(z)} \right| \, dm(z) \right)^{\frac{j_2}{k-\ell}} \dots \left(\int_{D(0,r)} \left| \frac{T^{(k-\ell+1)}(z)}{T'(z)} \right|^{\frac{1}{k-\ell}} \, dm(z) \right)^{\frac{(k-\ell)j_{k-\ell+1}}{k-\ell}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that above. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

5 Sharpness Discussion

The following examples illustrate the sharpness of Theorem 2.

Example 1 For $\alpha > 1$, let

$$a(z) = \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{4z^2} - \alpha^2 z^{2\alpha-2}, \quad \Re(z) > 0.$$

Then a is analytic in the right half-plane, and $f'' + af = 0$ has linearly independent zero-free solutions

$$f_j(z) = z^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \exp\left((-1)^{j+1} z^\alpha\right), \quad j = 1, 2.$$

The function $T(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$ maps \mathbb{D} onto the right half-plane, and it is clear that its Schwarzian derivative vanishes identically. Moreover, by (2), the functions

$$\begin{aligned} g_j(z) &= f_j(T(z)) T'(z)^{-1/2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1-z)^{\frac{1+\alpha}{2}} (1+z)^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2}} \exp\left((-1)^{j+1} \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\alpha\right), \quad j = 1, 2, \end{aligned}$$

are linearly independent zero-free solutions of $g'' + bg = 0$, where

$$b(z) = a(T(z)) T'(z)^2 + S_T(z)/2 = \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{(1 - z^2)^2} - \alpha^2 \frac{(1 + z)^{2\alpha-2}}{(1 - z)^{2\alpha+2}}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

From (19),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T(D(0,r))} |a(z)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{dm(z)}{|T'(T^{-1}(z))|} &= \int_{D(0,r)} |a(T(z)) T'(z)^2|^{\frac{1}{2}} dm(z) \\ &= \int_{D(0,r)} |b(z)|^{\frac{1}{2}} dm(z) \\ &\asymp \int_{D(0,r)} \frac{dm(z)}{|1-z|^{\alpha+1}} \asymp \frac{1}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}, \quad r \rightarrow 1^-. \end{aligned}$$

Meanwhile, the zeros of $g_1 + g_2 = (g_1/g_2 + 1)g_2$ are the points $z_n \in \mathbb{D}$ at which

$$\exp\left(2 \left(\frac{1+z_n}{1-z_n}\right)^\alpha\right) = -1 = e^{\pi i},$$

or equivalently

$$\left(\frac{1+z_n}{1-z_n}\right)^\alpha = \frac{(2n+1)\pi i}{2} =: w_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, the points w_n are located on the imaginary axis. This means that the points $(1 + z_n)/(1 - z_n)$ are located on a finite number of rays on the right half-plane emanating from the origin, which in turn implies that the points z_n lie in a Stolz angle with vertex at 1. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 1 - |z_n| \asymp |1 - z_n| &= \left| 1 - \frac{w_n^{1/\alpha} - 1}{w_n^{1/\alpha} + 1} \right| \\
 &= \frac{2}{|w_n^{1/\alpha} + 1|} \asymp \frac{1}{|n|^{1/\alpha} + 1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},
 \end{aligned}$$

where the comparison constants are independent of n . It follows that the small counting function $n(r)$ for the points $\{z_n\}$ satisfies $n(r) \asymp (1 - r)^{-\alpha}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
 N\left(T(D(0, s(r)), 0, f_1 + f_2)\right) &= N(s(r), 0, g_1 + g_2) \\
 &\asymp \int_0^{s(r)} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt \asymp \frac{1}{(1 - r)^{\alpha-1}}, \quad r \rightarrow 1^-.
 \end{aligned}$$

This shows that Theorem 2 is sharp up to a multiplicative constant in this case. ◊

Example 2 Let $a_0, \dots, a_{k-2} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ be such that the characteristic equation

$$r^k + a_{k-2}r^{k-2} + \dots + a_1r + a_0 = 0$$

has k distinct roots $r_1, \dots, r_k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Then the functions $f_j(z) = e^{r_j z}, j = 1, \dots, k$, form a zero-free solution base for (3) with constant coefficients. For $\alpha \in (1, 2]$, let

$$T(z) = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Then T maps \mathbb{D} onto the sector $|\arg(z)| < \alpha\pi/2$ for $\alpha \in (1, 2)$, and onto \mathbb{C} minus the real interval $(-\infty, 0]$ for $\alpha = 2$. Now the functions $g_j = (f_j \circ T)(T')^{(1-k)/2}, j = 1, \dots, k$, form a zero-free solution base for (4) in \mathbb{D} . From (19) we find

$$\int_{T(D(0,r))} |a_j|^{1/k-j} \frac{dm(z)}{|T'(T^{-1}(z))|} \asymp \frac{1}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}, \quad r \rightarrow 1^-, \tag{26}$$

for $j \in \{0, \dots, k - 2\}$.

Let f be a non-trivial linear combination of at least two exponential terms f_j . Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $f = C_1f_1 + \dots + C_mf_m$, where $2 \leq m \leq k$ and $C_1, \dots, C_m \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Let

$$g = C_1g_1 + \dots + C_mg_m = ((C_1(f_1 \circ T) + \dots + C_m(f_m \circ T))(T')^{(1-k)/2}$$

denote the corresponding solution of (4).

Let $W = \{\bar{r}_1, \dots, \bar{r}_m\}$, and let $\text{co}(W)$ denote the convex hull of W . Then $\text{co}(W)$ is either a line segment or a closed convex polygon in \mathbb{C} . Let $\Theta \subset (-\pi, \pi]$ denote the set of angles that the outer normals of $\text{co}(W)$ form with the positive real axis. If $\text{co}(W)$ has s vertex points, then it has s outer normals, and Θ has s elements, say

$$\Theta = \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_s\}, \quad -\pi < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < \dots < \theta_s \leq \pi.$$

For example, if $r_1, \dots, r_m \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\Theta = \{\pm\pi/2\}$. In general $2 \leq s \leq m$, and if $s = m$, then each point \bar{r}_j is a vertex point of $\text{co}(W)$. Set $\theta_{s+1} = \theta_1 + 2\pi$. Since clearly $\theta_{j+1} - \theta_j \leq \pi$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$, and since $\sum_{j=1}^s (\theta_{j+1} - \theta_j) = 2\pi$, it follows that at least one of the rays $\arg(z) = \theta_j$ lies entirely in $T(\mathbb{D})$. We also point out that, for a suitable set of roots r_1, \dots, r_m , all of the rays $\arg(z) = \theta_j$ lie in $T(\mathbb{D})$.

Based on the work of Pólya and Schwengeler in the 1920s, we state some facts about the zero distribution of the exponential sum f . The exact references as well as the proofs can be found in [7]. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, the zeros of f are in the union of ε -sectors $W_j = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\arg(z) - \theta_j| < \varepsilon\}$, with finitely many possible exceptions. In fact, the zeros of f are in logarithmic strips around the rays $\arg(z) = \theta_j$. Each sector W_j is zero-rich in the sense that the number of zeros in $W_j \cap D(0, r)$ is asymptotically comparable to r . In particular, the exponent of convergence for the zeros of f in each sector W_j is equal to one, same as the order of f .

Let $\arg(z) = \theta_j$ be one of the rays that lies in $T(\mathbb{D})$. Taking $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, the sector W_j lies in $T(\mathbb{D})$ as well. The pre-image of W_j is a circular wedge in \mathbb{D} having vertices of opening ε/α at the points $z = \pm 1$. Thus all zeros of g are in such wedges, except possibly finitely many. The zeros of g can accumulate to 1 and nowhere else. Since g has Nevanlinna order $\alpha - 1$ and finite type, it follows that

$$N(r, 0, g) \leq T(r, 1/g) = T(r, g) + O(1) = O((1-r)^{1-\alpha}), \quad r \rightarrow 1^-.$$

Combining this with (26) shows that in this case Theorem 2 is sharp up to a multiplicative constant. In addition, since the functions f_1, \dots, f_k are zero-free, the second sum in Theorem 2 involving the linear combinations $f_j + f_k$ is necessary. \diamond

6 Proof of Theorem 4

The proof relies on elementary properties of Wronskian determinants, which can be found, for example, in [11, Chap. 1.4]. We first show that $W(f_1^{k-1}, f_1^{k-2}f_2, \dots, f_1f_2^{k-2}, f_2^{k-1})$ is a non-zero complex constant, in which case $\{f_1^{k-1}, f_1^{k-2}f_2, \dots, f_1f_2^{k-2}, f_2^{k-1}\}$ forms a solution base of (3) with analytic coefficients by [11, Propositions 1.4.6 and 1.4.8]. In fact, we prove that

$$W(f_1^{k-1}, f_1^{k-2}f_2, \dots, f_1f_2^{k-2}, f_2^{k-1}) = c_k W(f_1, f_2)^{sk}, \quad (27)$$

where $W(f_1, f_2) \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and

$$c_k = \prod_{j=2}^{k-1} j^{k-j} = 2^{k-2} 3^{k-3} \dots (k-1), \quad s_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j = \frac{k(k-1)}{2}.$$

We proceed by induction. The identity (27) is clearly true for $k = 2$ as both sides reduce to $W(f_1, f_2)$. Suppose that (27) is valid for some $k \geq 2$. It is well known that $w = f_1/f_2$ is a locally univalent meromorphic function such that $w' = -W(f_1, f_2)/f_2^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &W(f_1^k, f_1^{k-1} f_2, \dots, f_1 f_2^{k-1}, f_2^k) \\ &= (f_2^k)^{k+1} W(w^k, w^{k-1}, \dots, w, 1) \\ &= (f_2^k)^{k+1} (-1)^k W((w^k)', (w^{k-1})', \dots, w') \\ &= (f_2^k)^{k+1} (-1)^k W(kw^{k-1}w', (k-1)w^{k-2}w', \dots, w'), \end{aligned}$$

and the substitution back gives

$$\begin{aligned} &W(f_1^k, f_1^{k-1} f_2, \dots, f_1 f_2^{k-1}, f_2^k) \\ &= (f_2^{k+1})^k W\left(k \frac{f_1^{k-1}}{f_2^{k-1}} \cdot \frac{W(f_1, f_2)}{f_2}, (k-1) \frac{f_1^{k-2}}{f_2^{k-2}} \cdot \frac{W(f_1, f_2)}{f_2}, \dots, \frac{W(f_1, f_2)}{f_2}\right) \\ &= W(f_1, f_2)^k W(kf_1^{k-1}, (k-1)f_1^{k-2} f_2, \dots, f_2^{k-1}) \\ &= k! W(f_1, f_2)^k W(f_1^{k-1}, f_1^{k-2} f_2, \dots, f_2^{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The induction hypothesis (27) gives

$$\begin{aligned} W(f_1^k, f_1^{k-1} f_2, \dots, f_1 f_2^{k-1}, f_2^k) &= k! W(f_1, f_2)^k c_k W(f_1, f_2)^{s_k} \\ &= c_{k+1} W(f_1, f_2)^{s_{k+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (27) holds for all $k \geq 2$.

Let h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} be functions such that each is either f_1 or f_2 . The products $h_1 \dots h_{k-1}$ give a complete description for functions in the solution base obtained above, and hence

$$(h_1 \dots h_{k-1})^{(k)} + a_{k-2}(h_1 \dots h_{k-1})^{(k-2)} + \dots + a_1(h_1 \dots h_{k-1})' + a_0 h_1 \dots h_{k-1} = 0, \tag{28}$$

for any choices of h_1, \dots, h_{k-1} . Recall that the coefficients a_0, \dots, a_{k-2} are uniquely determined by the solution base. We compare the representation

$$(h_1 \dots h_{k-1})^{(k)} = \sum \frac{k!}{s_1! \dots s_{k-1}!} h_1^{(s_1)} \dots h_{k-1}^{(s_{k-1})}, \tag{29}$$

obtained by the general Leibniz rule, to the other terms in (28). The sum in (29) extends over all non-negative integers s_1, \dots, s_{k-1} for which $s_1 + \dots + s_{k-1} = k$. Similarly,

$$(h_1 \dots h_{k-1})^{(k-2)} = \sum \frac{(k-2)!}{j_1! \dots j_{k-1}!} h_1^{(j_1)} \dots h_{j_{k-1}}^{(j_{k-1})}, \tag{30}$$

where the sum is taken over all non-negative integers j_1, \dots, j_{k-1} for which $j_1 + \dots + j_{k-1} = k-2$. The sum (30) contains terms which are exceptional in relation to the other terms. For example, consider the term corresponding to indices $j_1 = \dots = j_{k-2} = 1$ and $j_{k-1} = 0$. Since $j_1 + \dots + j_{k-1} = k-2$, the analogous representations for $(h_1 \dots h_{k-1})^{(n)}$, $0 \leq n \leq k-3$, do not have terms of the type $h'_1 h'_2 \dots h'_{k-2} h_{k-1}$. This means that all other terms of this type are obtained from (29) by using the fact

$$h_i^{(n)} = (h''_i)^{(n-2)} = -(ah_i)^{(n-2)} = -\left(a^{(n-2)} h_i + \dots + ah_i^{(n-2)}\right),$$

$$i = 1, \dots, k-1, \quad n \geq 2.$$

There are $k-1$ possible sets of indices in (29) which are transformed to $(1, \dots, 1, 0)$ in this way, and they are

$$(3, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 1, 0), (1, 3, 1, \dots, 1, 1, 0), \dots,$$

$$(1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 3, 0), (1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 1, 2).$$

By a careful comparison of (29) and (30), and then taking (28) into account, we see that the coefficient of $h'_1 h'_2 \dots h'_{k-2} h_{k-1}$ must satisfy

$$-a \left((k-2) \frac{k!}{3! 1! 1! \dots 0!} + \frac{k!}{1! \dots 1! 2!} \right) + a_{k-2} \frac{(k-2)!}{1! \dots 1! 0!} = 0.$$

Solving this identity for a_{k-2} gives (9) and completes the proof.

We point out that the Theorem 4 admits the following meromorphic counterpart: suppose that f_1 and f_2 are linearly independent meromorphic solutions of $f'' + af = 0$, where the coefficient a is meromorphic. For any $k \geq 2$, the functions $f_1^{k-1}, f_1^{k-2} f_2, \dots, f_1 f_2^{k-2}, f_2^{k-1}$ are linearly independent meromorphic solutions of (3) with meromorphic coefficients a_0, \dots, a_{k-2} whose poles are among the poles of f_1 and f_2 , ignoring multiplicities. The identity (9) extends also to the meromorphic case.

Acknowledgements The second author is supported in part by the Academy of Finland Project #286877. The fourth author is supported in part by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad, Spain, Projects MTM2014-52865-P and MTM2015-69323-REDT, and La Junta de Andalucía, Project FQM210.

Funding Open access funding provided by University of Eastern Finland (UEF) including Kuopio University Hospital.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence,

and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

1. Bank, S.: A general theorem concerning the growth of solutions of first-order algebraic differential equations. *Compos. Math.* **25**, 61–70 (1972)
2. Bank, S., Laine, I.: On the oscillation theory of $f'' + Af = 0$ where A is entire. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **273**(1), 351–363 (1982)
3. Chyzhykov, I., Gröhn, J., Heittokangas, J., Rättyä, J.: Description of growth and oscillation of solutions of complex LDE's, submitted preprint. [arXiv:1905.07934](https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.07934)
4. Chyzhykov, I., Heittokangas, J., Rättyä, J.: Finiteness of φ -order of solutions of linear differential equations in the unit disc. *J. Anal. Math.* **109**(1), 163–198 (2009)
5. Duren, P.: *Theory of H^p Spaces*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 38. Academic, New York (1970)
6. Heittokangas, J., Rättyä, J.: Zero distribution of solutions of complex linear differential equations determines growth of coefficients. *Math. Nachr.* **284**(4), 412–420 (2011)
7. Heittokangas, J.J., Wen, Z.-T.: Generalization of Pólya's zero distribution theory for exponential polynomials, and sharp results for asymptotic growth. *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **21**(2), 245–270 (2021)
8. Ince, E.L.: *Ordinary Differential Equations*. Dover Publications, Inc., New York (1944)
9. Ishizaki, K.: On the complex oscillation of linear differential equations of third order. *Complex Var. Theory Appl.* **24**(3–4), 289–300 (1994)
10. Kim, W.J.: The Schwarzian derivative and multivalence. *Pac. J. Math.* **31**, 717–724 (1969)
11. Laine, I.: *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin (1993)
12. Nehari, Z.: The Schwarzian derivative and Schlicht functions. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* **55**, 545–551 (1949)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.