RESEARCH ARTICLE

Frontiers of Optoelectronics



Massive and parallel 10 Tbit/s physical random bit generation with chaotic microcomb

Yuqi Hu^{1,2} · Qingsong Bai² · Xi Tang³ · Wei Xiong³ · Yilu Wu¹ · Xin Zhang³ · Yanlan Xiao⁴ · Runchang Du² · Leiji Liu² · Guangqiong Xia³ · Zhengmao Wu³ · Junbo Yang⁵ · Heng Zhou⁴ · Jiagui Wu³

Received: 15 June 2023 / Accepted: 3 August 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Ultrafast physical random bit (PRB) generators and integrated schemes have proven to be valuable in a broad range of scientific and technological applications. In this study, we experimentally demonstrated a PRB scheme with a chaotic microcomb using a chip-scale integrated resonator. A microcomb contained hundreds of chaotic channels, and each comb tooth functioned as an entropy source for the PRB. First, a 12 Gbits/s PRB signal was obtained for each tooth channel with proper post-processing and passed the NIST Special Publication 800-22 statistical tests. The chaotic microcomb covered a wavelength range from 1430 to 1675 nm with a free spectral range (FSR) of 100 GHz. Consequently, the combined random bit sequence could achieve an ultra-high rate of about 4 Tbits/s (12 Gbits/s \times 294 = 3.528 Tbits/s), with 294 teeth in the experimental microcomb. Additionally, denser microcombs were experimentally realized using an integrated resonator with 33.6 GHz FSR. A total of 805 chaotic comb teeth were observed and covered the wavelength range from 1430 to 1670 nm. In each tooth channel, 12 Gbits/s random sequences was generated, which passed the NIST test. Consequently, the total rate of the PRB was approximately 10 Tbits/s (12 Gbits/s \times 805 = 9.66 Tbits/s). These results could offer potential chip solutions of Pbits/s PRB with the features of low cost and a high degree of parallelism.

Keywords Physical random bit · Chaos · Microcomb

Yuqi Hu, Qingsong Bai, Xi Tang, and Wei Xiong equally contributed to this work.

☑ Junbo Yang yangjunbo@nudt.edu.cn

- Heng Zhou zhouheng@uestc.edu.cn
- ☑ Jiagui Wu mgh@swu.edu.cn
- ¹ College of Artificial Intelligence, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China
- ² Chengdu Spaceon Electronics Corporation Ltd., Chengdu 610037, China
- ³ School of Physical Science and Technology, Southwest University, Chongqing 400715, China
- ⁴ Key Lab of Optical Fiber Sensing and Communication Networks, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 611731, China
- ⁵ Center of Material Science, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha 410073, China

1 Introduction

A faster physical random bit (PRB) is pivotal for developing large-scale tera-bit optical communication systems and Internet big-data centers. Random numbers have been applied in many fields, such as cryptography, simulation, information security, and lottery games. In recent years, the use of optical chaotic entropy sources to generate PRBs has attracted much attention owing to advantages such as large random fluctuations, high bandwidth, and easy access [1–19]. In 2008, Uchida et al. utilized a 1-bit analog-to-digital converter (ADC) and a logical XOR operation to extract PRB with a rate of up to 1.7 Gbits/s from two broadband chaotic laser beams [1]. In 2009, Reidler et al. obtained a chaotic laser output using an optical distributed feedback semiconductor faser system and performed an XOR operation and m least significant bit (m-LSB) extraction [2]. A high-speed PRB was obtained with a rate of up to 12.5 Gbits/s. In 2015, Sakuraba et al. reported the use of bandwidth-enhanced chaos to generate 1.2 Tbits/s PRBs with three-cascaded semiconductor lasers [4]. Based on silicon

integration, in 2020, a PRB generator using the mesoscopic chaos from a silicon photonic crystal microcavity was proposed [13].

In terms of generating ultrafast PRB, the previous approaches used the broadband optical chaos of semiconductor lasers [1–12], such as an optical chaos with bandwidth of up to 50 GHz [5]. A 640-Gbit/s PRB signal was achieved using ultrafast detectors and ultrafast sampling of the oscilloscope. However, these methods are very expensive. Another approach is the parallel method, where the bitrate in each channel is relatively low [7–12], and the comprehensive PRB rate is still very high with multiple parallel channels. The parallel scheme can avoid the use of expensive wideband detectors and the bottleneck of ultra-high speed ADC sampling rate. However, most previous parallel schemes were non-integrated and had a small number of channels [8–12], such as 2–3 channels. Integrative and largescale parallelism remains an open issue.

Fortunately, advanced nanophotonic technology has enabled integrated microresonators with ultrahigh Q factors and chip-scale microcombs [20–26]. Among various comb dynamic states, a chaotic comb has high nonlinearity. In a chaotic comb, each comb tooth exhibits a chaotic dynamic oscillation, which is suitable for PRB generation. In 2023, Chen et al. proposed a new type of lidar for the first time using a chaotic microcomb to overcome time and frequency congestion barriers [27].

There are various approaches to generation of combs, such as chaotic fiber lasers [32]. Here, we used a Si_3N_4 microresonator with compactness and good scalability to generate chip-scale microcombs [34]. It could simultaneously output hundreds of chaotic comb teeth through a wavelength division multiplexer and use them as entropy sources to generate massive random numbers. The randomness was verified with NIST statistical testing [28].

2 Chaotic combs based on microresonator

In the experiment, the microresonator was a specially designed Si_3N_4 microresonator with near-zero dispersion, which was conducive to generating broadband microcombs. The integrated dispersion of the microresonator is shown in Fig. 1b. The advantage of near-zero-dispersion microresonators is that the small group velocity dispersion (GVD) enables a small spectral deviation [33, 35]. Microresonators with a samllfree spectral range were preferred to ensure that there were sufficient comb teeth. Before generating microcombs, transmission scans of each microresonator integrated on a S_3N_4 chip were performed using low-power scanning laser to pump the microcavity in the wavelength range of 1500 to 1620 nm. Finally, we chose a microresonator with a size of 400 µm × 400 µm, a *Q* factor of 2.2×10^6 and a free

spectral range of 100 GHz, as shown in Fig. 1d. The experimental setup was shown in Fig. 1d. Because the resonator could generate a wider microcomb when the pump light energy was higher, the tunable semiconductor laser used to scan around the resonant frequency of the microresonator acts as a pump laser source, whose output power was amplified to 32 dBm with a erbium-doped fiber amplifier (EDFA). Initially, the frequency of the CW pump was in the far blue-detuned regime and was further tuned toward resonance. In Fig. 1c the orange waveform represents the non-chaotic comb, whereas the blue waveform represents the chaotic comb. During the process of tuning towards resonance, the microcomb was gradually broadened and became top-flat [39]. In the experiment, we continuously pumped the microresonator to generate chaotic microcombs, and used a temperature controller to control the temperature of the chip carrier at 37.5 °C to prevent the chip displacement under high power pumping. Temperature control of the microresonator was necessary to prevent thermal effects from changing the laser cavity detuning under a high-energy pump laser. Under stable temperature conditions, the microcombs were wider, flatter, and more stable. As seen in Fig. 1e, a chaotic microcomb was generated with a repetition frequency of 100 GHz and covering 1430–1675 nm, which spanned from the O band to the L band. The soliton state was determined to be unnecessary for the physical random bits. Compared to the soliton state [36], the chaotic state exhibited highly random variation, and was thermally self-locked [37, 38]. There was no need to enter the red-detuned regime while ensuring thermal equilibrium in the Si₃N₄ resonator [37]. To visually reflect the chaotic state of the microcomb, we used an ultrahigh-resolution Brillouin optical spectral analyzer (BOSA). As shown in Fig. 1f-i, the comb teeth were observed approximately 10 nm from the pump light, and three of them were selected for detailed observation. Figure 1f shows a zoom-in view of the microcomb covering wavelengths in the range from 1535 to 1545 nm. Figure 1g shows a zoom-in view of the comb teeth with wavelengths of 1539.22, 1540.01, and 1540.81 nm, respectively. In Fig. 1g, the gray waveforms represent the spectrum of the nonchaotic output at the corresponding wavelengths, whereas the color waveforms represent the detailed spectra of the comb teeth with a wider bandwidth compared to the nonchaotic case and exhibiting a chaotic state, indicating the feasibility of the proposed scheme of a random bit generator based on a microcomb. Analogously, Fig. 1h shows the zoom-in view of the microcomb in the range from 1555 to 1565 nm with free spectral range of less than 0.8 nm. Figure 1i shows a magnified view of the comb teeth at wavelengths of 1559.48, 1560.31, and 1561.13 nm, respectively. Similarly, they exhibit a chaotic state was generated.

The ultrawideband microcomb was input into a demultiplexer (DEMUX), and each comb tooth was filtered and



Fig. 1 Generation of chaotic microcomb. **a** Experimental setup: *SL* semiconductor laser, *FPC* fiber polarization controller, *EDFA* erbium-doped optical fiber amplifier, *COL* collimating lens, *OI* isolator, *FBG* Fiber Bragg Grating, *PDs* photodetectors, *ADC* analog-to-digital converter, *OSA* optical spectral analyzer, *BOSA* Brillouin optical spectral analyzer. **b** Measured integrated dispersion *D*. **c** Comb evolution trace. Non-chaotic state (orange) and chaotic state (blue) optical spectra. **d** Image of an Si₃N₄ microresonator. **e** Optical spectra of chaotic microcomb generated by the Si₃N₄ microresonator, with a free spectral range of 100 GHz, covering 1450–1650 nm. **f** Zoom-in spectrum of the chaotic microcomb in the range of 1535–1545 nm. **g** Magnified spectrum of the chaotic microcomb tooth near 1539.21, 1540.01, and 1541.81 nm, respectively. Both chaos state (blue) and non-chaos state (gray) are presented. **h** Zoom-in spectrum of chaotic microcomb in the range of 1555–1565 nm. **i** Magnified spectrum of the chaotic microcomb in the range of the chaotic microcomb tooth near 1559.48, 1560.31, and 1561.14 nm, respectively. Both chaos state (orange) and non-chaos state (gray) are presented.

collected by the corresponding avalanche photo diodes (APDs). The analog signal was converted into a digital signal through analog-to-digital converters (ADCs) with a sampling rate of 10 G samples per second (SPS) and a bandwidth of 2.5 GHz, then transmitted to the computer for post-processing. As shown in Fig. 2a, the comb tooth at 1560.31 nm was filtered out by a filter and monitored by the BOSA and APD. The first subplot of Fig. 2a shows the optical spectrum of the chaotic tooth at 1560.31 nm. The second

and third subplots in Fig. 2a show the temporal signal of the chaotic comb tooth and a zoom-in view of the temporal signal. The temporal signal exhibited a chaotic state. The time delay (TD) signature of chaotic signals affects the generation of random bit sequence [1, 2]. Therefore, in this experiment, autocorrelation analysis was used to evaluate the TD signature of the chaotic comb signals, as shown in the fourth subplot of Fig. 2a. The time corresponding to the autocorrelation peak was 0.01 μ s, indicating the TD signature was



Fig. 2 In the columns from left to right, optical spectra, the corresponding time sequence, magnified time sequence, and autocorrelation of the time sequence are presented for the of chaotic microcomb tooth at 1560.31 nm (a), 1559.48 nm (b), 1540.01 nm (c), and 1539.21 nm (d), respectively

not obvious. This was conducive to the generation of random bit sequences. As shown in Fig. 2b–d, the chaotic teeth with wavelengths of 1559.48, 1540.01, and 1539.21 nm were filtered out simultaneously. The same analysis was performed on these teeth. The results showed that all the comb teeth exhibited a chaotic state in the optical and temporal domains, and the TD signatures were not obvious.

3 Random bit generation

A flowchart of the post-processing method for the entropy source of the chaotic comb tooth is shown in Fig. 3a. First, owing to the limitation of chaotic bandwidth, the original chaotic data acquired by the ADC needed to be sampled at a 4-point sampling interval and then subjected to kthorder discrete derivative; in the experiment, we performed a fourth-order discrete derivative on the sampled data sampled, and the selected unit buffer time was 79.2 ns. The specific equation is as follows:

$$D(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2k-2} \left\{ (-1)^i C^i_{2k-2} d(\Delta \times i + t) \right\},\tag{1}$$

where d(t) and D(t) represent the sampled chaotic data sequence and the data sequence after k-order discrete derivative differentiation, respectively. Δ represents unit buffer time. C represents combination operation. Owing to the highly symmetric distribution of the results caused by discrete derivative operations, the problem of bias, is also eliminated [29]. Taking the kth discrete derivative of the original data were also beneficial for increasing the least significant bit (LSB), thereby improving the generation rate of the PRB [29]. Each discrete derivative operation increased the original data by an additional bit. After undergoing discrete derivative, the processed data were subjected to a self-delay operation, and then the non-delayed and delayed signals were quantified with 8 + k bits, where k is the order of the derivative operation mentioned above. The nondelayed quantization data and delayed quantization data



Fig.3 a Flow chart of post-processing for the entropy source of chaotic comb tooth. **b** From top to bottom, the sub-figures show the results of original data, the 4-point sampled data and the data after discrete derivative operation respectively. The blue lines show the time series and the red dots correspond to the sampling points. **c** and **d** show the Histogram of data before and after discrete derivative operation respectively

underwent binary conversion to generate the non-delayed data bit sequence and delayed data bit sequence. Finally, we intercepted the *N*-bit LSB of these two bit sequences and performed bitwise XOR operations [30]. Figure 3b shows the results obtained in the post-processing process described above. The upper panel indicates the original data sampled by the ADC, and the middle panel shows the results of sampling the original chaotic data at a 4-point sampling interval. The lower panel indicates the results after the *k*th-order discrete derivative. Figure 3c shows the probability distribution of the original chaotic data. After *k*th-order discrete derivative operation, the distribution of the data exhibited a standard Gaussian distribution, as shown in Fig. 3d.

In Fig. 4a, the statistical deviation of the random sequence for the 4-, 5-, and 6-LSB cases are shown, as analyzed after the XOR operation, where the length of the LSB directly influenced the rate of the random bit generator. The red curve in Fig. 4a represents the standard curve of the statistical deviation, and it is calculated as follows:

$$3\sigma = \frac{1.5}{\sqrt{N}},\tag{2}$$

where *N* is the number of detected sampling points. The other curves represent the statistical deviation curves for generating bit sequences in different LSB cases. Their calculation formula can be expressed as $B = |P_1 - 0.5|$, where P_1 is the probability of 1 appearing in the bit sequence [31]. When the statistical deviation curve of a bit sequence crosses the standard curve, the bit sequence is not qualified. Figure 4b shows a histogram of the final data sequence after 6-LSB post-processing. In the histogram, the distribution of decimal numbers converted from binary numbers after 6-LSB post-processing is almost unbiased. We also performed an autocorrelation analysis of the bit sequence, by using the equation as follows:

$$\operatorname{autocor}(\Delta) = \frac{\overline{S(t+\Delta) - S(t)} * (S(t) - \overline{S(t)})}{\sqrt{\left(\overline{S(t+\Delta) - S(t)}\right)^2 * \overline{(S(t) - \overline{S(t)})}^2}},$$
(3)

where S(t) represents bit sequence, and Δ represents time shift. The $\overline{S(t)}$ represents average value of S(t). In the Fig. 4c, The results show that the autocorrelation coefficient of the bit sequence is lower than the standard 3σ curve. Figure 4d shows a 2D black and white image generated by the first 1 M points in the bit sequence under 6-LSB processing in



	4-LSB			5-LSB			6-LSB		
Statistical test	<i>P</i> -value	Proportion	Result	<i>P</i> -value	Proportion	Result	<i>P</i> -value	Proportion	Result
Frequency	0.403718	0.990	Success	0.275709	0.987	Success	0.558502	0.990	Success
Block frequency	0.082657	0.997	Success	0.472851	0.992	Success	0.792508	0.995	Success
Cumulative sums	0.739918	0.990	Success	0.869454	0.988	Success	0.228367	0.991	Success
Runs	0.499998	0.987	Success	0.545381	0.991	Success	0.926487	0.995	Success
Longest-run	0.109713	0.993	Success	0.036752	0.989	Success	0.645448	0.991	Success
Rank	0.684595	0.994	Success	0.026351	0.991	Success	0.689019	0.991	Success
FFT	0.860800	0.984	Success	0.247874	0.984	Success	0.668321	0.989	Success
Nonoverlapping-template	0.321261	0.991	Success	0.068761	0.993	Success	0.040901	0.994	Success
OverlappingTemplate	0.032000	0.990	Success	0.443451	0.987	Success	0.064015	0.991	Success
Universal	0.224821	0.990	Success	0.783443	0.990	Success	0.839507	0.989	Success
Approximate entropy	0.074396	0.987	Success	0.427082	0.984	Success	0.737915	0.990	Success
Random-excursions	0.107119	0.984	Success	0.009812	0.988	Success	0.077687	0.993	Success
RandomExcursionsVaria	0.304476	0.988	Success	0.006729	0.988	Success	0.112597	0.993	Success
Serial	0.325646	0.979	Success	0.066419	0.987	Success	0.779188	0.990	Success
LinearComplexity	0.803455	0.991	Success	0.956827	0.987	Success	0.542228	0.989	Success

(e)

Fig. 4 Analysis results of the bit sequence obtained from an entropy source at 1560 nm. **a** Variation of statistical bias with sample number *N* for the 4-LSB, 5-LSB, and 6-LSB case, and the standard 3σ curve; **b** histogram of final data sequence after 6-LSB post-processing; **c** autocorrelation coefficient (CK, blue) of first 200 kbits of the bit sequence generated under 6-LSB and the standard 3σ curve (red); **d** 2D black and white image generated by the first 1 M points in the bit sequence under 6-LSB processing in the form of 1000×1000 , where bit "1" and bit "0" are converted into white and black dots, respectively. **e** Results of the NIST tests for bit sequences after 4-LSB, 5-LSB, and 6-LSB post-processing, where a set of 1000 sequences with 1 M bit is used for evaluation

the form of 1000×1000 . Bits "1" and "0" are represented by white and black dots, respectively. It is evident that the distribution of black and white pigments in this two-dimensional

image was uniform, and there was significant randomness. NIST testing was used for the bit sequences that passed statistical bias test and autocorrelation test to evaluate the statistical randomness of the bit sequences. For the "success" of the passing NIST test, the *p*-value should be greater than 0.0001, and the proportion should be within the range of 0.99 ± 0.0094392 , using 1000 samples of 1 M bit data [28]. For tests that generated multiple *p*-values and proportions, the worst-case scenario was provided. As shown in Fig. 4e, the experimental bit sequences of 4-LSB, 5-LSB, and 6-LSB passed all 15 NIST tests, indicating that the random bits were qualified. Under the conditions of 4-point sampling, 4th order discrete derivative, and 6-LSB, the generated bit sequence had a rate of 12 G (6 bits × 2 GHz).

As shown in Fig. 5, to avoid specificity of the data from a single comb tooth, it was necessary to analyze multiple comb teeth in the experiment. We selected six comb teeth at 1561, 1560, 1559, 1539, 1539, and 1540 nm, respectively, on the left and right sides of the pump light wavelength and processed these comb teeth using the same data post-processing method depicted above to generate a random bit sequence. NIST testing was then conducted, and the results showed that all six comb teeth passed the 15 NIST tests with 6-LSB. Therefore, using 6-LSB as a condition for qualified PRB and assuming that all comb teeth can pass through the NIST test, considering that with 294 teeth of the microcomb obtained

	1561 nm			1560 nm			1559 nm		
Statistical test	<i>P</i> -value	Proportion	Result	<i>P</i> -value	Proportion	Result	<i>P</i> -value	Proportion	Result
Frequency	0.066465	0.995	Success	0.558502	0.990	Success	0.584062	0.989	Success
Block frequency	0.528111	0.993	Success	0.792508	0.995	Success	0.872947	0.994	Success
Cumulative sums	0.164425	0.995	Success	0.228367	0.991	Success	0.154726	0.992	Success
Runs	0.310049	0.985	Success	0.926487	0.995	Success	0.644060	0.994	Success
Longest-run	0.182550	0.995	Success	0.645448	0.991	Success	0.701594	0.991	Success
Rank	0.299736	0.988	Success	0.689019	0.991	Success	0.565777	0.994	Success
FFT	0.119508	0.987	Success	0.668321	0.989	Success	0.579479	0.989	Success
Nonoverlapping-template	0.195864	0.993	Success	0.058243	0.989	Success	0.037566	0.992	Success
OverlappingTemplate	0.936823	0.987	Success	0.064015	0.991	Success	0.018469	0.991	Success
Universal	0.410055	0.988	Success	0.839507	0.989	Success	0.697029	0.989	Success
Approximate entropy	0.672470	0.994	Success	0.737915	0.990	Success	0.657933	0.991	Success
Random-excursions	0.063378	0.995	Success	0.077687	0.993	Success	0.072497	0.985	Success
RandomExcursionsVaria	0.167618	0.986	Success	0.174249	0.997	Success	0.180094	0.987	Success
Serial	0.057875	0.991	Success	0.779188	0.990	Success	0.692455	0.990	Success
LinearComplexity	0.048093	0.985	Success	0.542228	0.989	Success	0.697029	0.988	Success
	1541 nm								
		1541 nm			1540 nm			1539 nm	
Statistical test	<i>P</i> -value	1541 nm Proportion	Result	<i>P</i> -value	1540 nm Proportion	Result	<i>P</i> -value	1539 nm Proportion	Result
Statistical test Frequency	<i>P</i> -value	1541 nm Proportion 0,996	Result Success	<i>P</i> -value 0.994720	1540 nm Proportion 0,990	Result Success	<i>P</i> -value	1539 nm Proportion 0.985	Result Success
Statistical test Frequency Block frequency	<i>P</i> -value 0.039073 0.317565	1541 nm Proportion 0.996 0.991	Result Success Success	<i>P</i> -value 0.994720 0.277082	1540 nm Proportion 0.990 0.991	Result Success Success	<i>P</i> -value 0.442831 0.944274	1539 nm Proportion 0.985 0.992	Result Success Success
Statistical test Frequency Block frequency Cumulative sums	P-value 0.039073 0.317565 0.415422	1541 nm Proportion 0.996 0.991 0.992	Result Success Success Success	P-value 0.994720 0.277082 0.796268	1540 nm Proportion 0.990 0.991 0.988	ResultSuccessSuccessSuccess	P-value 0.442831 0.944274 0.123038	1539 nm Proportion 0.985 0.992 0.990	Result Success Success Success
Statistical test Frequency Block frequency Cumulative sums Runs	P-value 0.039073 0.317565 0.415422 0.842937	1541 nm Proportion 0.996 0.991 0.992 0.991	Result Success Success Success Success	P-value 0.994720 0.277082 0.796268 0.498313	1540 nm Proportion 0.990 0.991 0.988 0.988	ResultSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccess	P-value 0.442831 0.944274 0.123038 0.846338	1539 nm Proportion 0.985 0.992 0.990 0.997	Result Success Success Success Success
Statistical test Frequency Block frequency Cumulative sums Runs Longest-run	P-value 0.039073 0.317565 0.415422 0.842937 0.476911	1541 nm Proportion 0.996 0.991 0.992 0.991 0.990	Result Success Success Success Success Success	P-value 0.994720 0.277082 0.796268 0.498313 0.156373	1540 nm Proportion 0.990 0.991 0.988 0.988 0.990	Result Success Success Success Success Success	P-value 0.442831 0.944274 0.123038 0.846338 0.471146	1539 nm Proportion 0.985 0.992 0.990 0.997 0.991	Result Success Success Success Success Success
Statistical test Frequency Block frequency Cumulative sums Runs Longest-run Rank	P-value 0.039073 0.317565 0.415422 0.842937 0.476911 0.352107	1541 nm Proportion 0.996 0.991 0.992 0.991 0.990 0.994	Result Success Success Success Success Success Success	P-value 0.994720 0.277082 0.796268 0.498313 0.156373 0.150340	1540 nm Proportion 0.990 0.991 0.988 0.988 0.990 0.991	Result Success Success Success Success Success Success	P-value 0.442831 0.944274 0.123038 0.846338 0.471146 0.775337	1539 nm Proportion 0.985 0.992 0.990 0.997 0.991 0.994	Result Success Success Success Success Success Success
Statistical test Frequency Block frequency Cumulative sums Runs Longest-run Rank FFT	P-value 0.039073 0.317565 0.415422 0.842937 0.476911 0.352107 0.719747	1541 nm Proportion 0.996 0.991 0.992 0.991 0.990 0.994 0.991	ResultSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccess	P-value 0.994720 0.277082 0.796268 0.498313 0.156373 0.150340 0.075254	1540 nm Proportion 0.990 0.991 0.988 0.988 0.990 0.991 0.992	ResultSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccess	P-value 0.442831 0.944274 0.123038 0.846338 0.471146 0.775337 0.560545	1539 nm Proportion 0.985 0.992 0.990 0.997 0.991 0.994 0.990	Result Success Success Success Success Success Success Success
Statistical testFrequencyBlock frequencyCumulative sumsRunsLongest-runRankFFTNonoverlapping-template	P-value 0.039073 0.317565 0.415422 0.842937 0.476911 0.352107 0.719747 0.005017	1541 nm Proportion 0.996 0.991 0.992 0.991 0.990 0.994 0.991 0.996	ResultSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccess	P-value 0.994720 0.277082 0.796268 0.498313 0.156373 0.150340 0.075254 0.074330	1540 nm Proportion 0.990 0.991 0.988 0.988 0.990 0.991 0.992 0.992	ResultSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccess	P-value 0.442831 0.944274 0.123038 0.846338 0.471146 0.775337 0.560545 0.016950	1539 nm Proportion 0.985 0.992 0.990 0.997 0.991 0.994 0.990 0.997	Result Success Success Success Success Success Success Success Success
Statistical testFrequencyBlock frequencyCumulative sumsRunsLongest-runRankFFTNonoverlapping-templateOverlappingTemplate	P-value 0.039073 0.317565 0.415422 0.842937 0.476911 0.352107 0.719747 0.005017 0.937919	1541 nm Proportion 0.996 0.991 0.992 0.991 0.990 0.994 0.991 0.996 0.991	ResultSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccess	P-value 0.994720 0.277082 0.796268 0.498313 0.156373 0.150340 0.075254 0.074330 0.103138	1540 nm Proportion 0.990 0.991 0.988 0.988 0.990 0.991 0.992 0.992 0.993	ResultSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccess	P-value 0.442831 0.944274 0.123038 0.846338 0.471146 0.775337 0.560545 0.016950 0.552383	1539 nm Proportion 0.985 0.992 0.990 0.997 0.994 0.997 0.994 0.994	ResultSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccess
Statistical testFrequencyBlock frequencyCumulative sumsRunsLongest-runRankFFTNonoverlapping-templateOverlappingTemplateUniversal	P-value 0.039073 0.317565 0.415422 0.842937 0.476911 0.352107 0.719747 0.005017 0.937919 0.072066	1541 nm Proportion 0.996 0.991 0.992 0.991 0.990 0.994 0.991 0.996 0.991 0.995	ResultSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccess	P-value 0.994720 0.277082 0.796268 0.498313 0.156373 0.150340 0.075254 0.074330 0.103138 0.020689	1540 nm Proportion 0.990 0.991 0.988 0.988 0.990 0.991 0.992 0.992 0.993 0.992	ResultSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccess	P-value 0.442831 0.944274 0.123038 0.846338 0.471146 0.775337 0.560545 0.016950 0.552383 0.282626	1539 nm Proportion 0.985 0.992 0.990 0.997 0.991 0.994 0.997 0.997 0.994 0.994 0.994 0.994	ResultSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccess
Statistical testFrequencyBlock frequencyCumulative sumsRunsLongest-runRankFFTNonoverlapping-templateOverlappingTemplateUniversalApproximate entropy	P-value 0.039073 0.317565 0.415422 0.842937 0.476911 0.352107 0.719747 0.005017 0.937919 0.072066 0.591409	1541 nm Proportion 0.996 0.991 0.992 0.991 0.990 0.994 0.991 0.996 0.991 0.995 0.990	ResultSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccess	P-value 0.994720 0.277082 0.796268 0.498313 0.156373 0.150340 0.075254 0.074330 0.103138 0.020689 0.508172	1540 nm Proportion 0.990 0.991 0.988 0.988 0.990 0.991 0.992 0.992 0.993 0.992 0.992 0.992	ResultSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccess	P-value 0.442831 0.944274 0.123038 0.846338 0.471146 0.775337 0.560545 0.016950 0.552383 0.282626 0.572847	1539 nm Proportion 0.985 0.992 0.990 0.997 0.994 0.990 0.997 0.994 0.999 0.994 0.999 0.994 0.999 0.999	ResultSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccessSuccess
Statistical testFrequencyBlock frequencyCumulative sumsRunsLongest-runRankFFTNonoverlapping-templateOverlappingTemplateUniversalApproximate entropyRandom-excursions	P-value 0.039073 0.317565 0.415422 0.842937 0.476911 0.352107 0.719747 0.005017 0.937919 0.072066 0.591409 0.194617	1541 nm Proportion 0.996 0.991 0.992 0.991 0.994 0.994 0.994 0.995 0.995 0.990 0.980	ResultSuccess	P-value 0.994720 0.277082 0.796268 0.498313 0.156373 0.150340 0.075254 0.074330 0.103138 0.20689 0.508172 0.052515	1540 nm Proportion 0.990 0.991 0.988 0.988 0.990 0.992 0.992 0.992 0.993 0.992 0.993 0.992 0.993	ResultSuccess	P-value 0.442831 0.944274 0.123038 0.846338 0.471146 0.775337 0.560545 0.016950 0.552383 0.282626 0.572847 0.052312	1539 nm Proportion 0.985 0.992 0.990 0.997 0.994 0.990 0.994 0.989 0.990 0.990 0.994	ResultSuccess
Statistical testFrequencyBlock frequencyCumulative sumsRunsLongest-runRankFFTNonoverlapping-templateOverlappingTemplateUniversalApproximate entropyRandom-excursionsRandomExcursions/Varia	P-value 0.039073 0.317565 0.415422 0.842937 0.476911 0.352107 0.719747 0.005017 0.937919 0.072066 0.591409 0.194617 0.103676	1541 nm Proportion 0.996 0.991 0.992 0.991 0.992 0.991 0.991 0.990 0.994 0.991 0.995 0.990 0.990	ResultSuccess	P-value 0.994720 0.277082 0.796268 0.498313 0.156373 0.150340 0.075254 0.074330 0.103138 0.20689 0.508172 0.038383	1540 nm Proportion 0.990 0.991 0.988 0.988 0.990 0.991 0.992 0.992 0.993 0.992 0.993 0.992 0.993 0.992 0.993 0.993	ResultSuccess	P-value 0.442831 0.944274 0.123038 0.846338 0.471146 0.775337 0.560545 0.016950 0.552383 0.282626 0.572847 0.052312 0.110952	1539 nm Proportion 0.985 0.992 0.990 0.997 0.991 0.994 0.990 0.994 0.994 0.989 0.990 0.994 0.994 0.992	ResultSuccess
Statistical testFrequencyBlock frequencyCumulative sumsRunsLongest-runRankFFTNonoverlapping-templateOverlappingTemplateUniversalApproximate entropyRandom-excursionsRandomExcursionsVariaSerial	P-value 0.039073 0.317565 0.415422 0.842937 0.476911 0.352107 0.719747 0.005017 0.937919 0.072066 0.591409 0.194617 0.103676 0.574903	1541 nm Proportion 0.996 0.991 0.992 0.991 0.990 0.994 0.994 0.991 0.996 0.991 0.995 0.990 0.980 0.995 0.990	ResultSuccess	P-value 0.994720 0.277082 0.796268 0.498313 0.156373 0.150340 0.075254 0.074330 0.103138 0.020689 0.508172 0.052515 0.038383 0.431754	1540 nm Proportion 0.990 0.991 0.988 0.988 0.990 0.991 0.992 0.992 0.992 0.993 0.993 0.992 0.993 0.993 0.993 0.992 0.993 0.995 0.995 0.995 0.995 0.995 0.995 0.995 0.995 0.995 0.995 0.	ResultSuccess	P-value 0.442831 0.944274 0.123038 0.846338 0.471146 0.775337 0.560545 0.016950 0.552383 0.282626 0.572847 0.052312 0.110952 0.143686	1539 nm Proportion 0.985 0.992 0.990 0.997 0.994 0.990 0.997 0.994 0.999 0.999 0.994 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.999 0.994 0.994 0.994 0.992 0.993	ResultSuccess

Fig. 5 Results of the NIST Tests for bit sequences generated by the entropy source of chaotic comb tooth at 1539, 1540, 1541, 1561, 1560, and 1559 nm, respectively, after 6-LSB post-processing. A set of 1000 sequences with 1 M bits is used for evaluation

in the experiment ranging from 1430 to 1675 nm, the rate of PRB could reach 3.528 Tbit/s (12 Gbits/s $\times 294 = 3.528$ Tbits/s).

Figure 6a shows the spectrum of a wider and denser microcomb generated by another microresonator, whose micrograph is shown in the inset. Compared to the previous microresonator, this microresonator could generate nearly three times the number of comb teeth, with a Q factor of 2.8×10^6 , and a free spectral range of only 33.6 GHz. In the experiment, a 1550.799 nm pump light source with

an optical power of 31 dBm was used to pump the microresonant cavity. The microcomb could range from 1430 to 1670 nm with smaller free spectral range and more chaotic comb teeth. In Fig. 6b, we used a high-precision spectrometer BOSA to observe and collect microcombs in the wavelength range of 1545–1555 nm, and it can be seen that the wavelength spacing between the comb teeth is similar, and it is approximately 0.25 nm. Meanwhile, as shown in Fig. 6c–f, we selected four comb teeth at 1548.53, 1550.14, 1551.23, and 1552.85 nm respectively for detailed observation. Using



Fig. 6 Generation of chaotic microcomb by using a microresonator with Q factor of 2.8×10^6 . **a** Optical spectra of chaotic microcomb generated by microresonator with free spectral range of 33.6 GHz covering the wavelength range of 1450–1670 nm. The inset shows an micrograph of the microresonator. **b** Close-up spectrum of the chaotic microcomb in the wavelength range of 1545–1555 nm. **c** Zoom-in spectrum of the chaotic microcomb in the range of 1548.1–1548.53 nm. **d** Zoom-in spectrum of the chaotic microcomb in the range of 1550.13–1559.15 nm. **e** Zoom-in spectrum of the chaotic microcomb in the range of 1551.21–1551.23 nm. **f** Zoom-in spectrum of the chaotic microcomb in the range of 1552.84–1552.85 nm. **g** Time sequence of the chaotic microcomb at 1550.13 nm and autocorrelation of the time sequence. **h** Time sequence of chaotic microcomb at 1552.84 nm and autocorrelation of the time sequence

a BOSA, one can see that the original narrow laser spectrum widens from a non-chaotic state to a chaotic state, after passing through the microresonator, indicating the feasibility of generating effective random numbers.

A comb tooth at wavelength of 1552.84 nm was filtered out. Subsequently, its time series were collected to generate a random bit sequence using the above-mentioned postprocessing scheme. As shown in Fig. 7a, the statistical bias of random sequences in different LSB cases was analyzed. Red represents the standard curve of statistical deviation, whereas the other curves represent the statistical deviation curves for generating bit sequences in different LSB cases. None of the curves for different LSB cases crossed the standard curve. Figure 7b shows the autocorrelation coefficient of the bit sequence for the 6-LSB case. The results show that the autocorrelation coefficient of the bit sequence did not exhibit delay characteristics is lower than the standard 3σ curve. Figure 7c shows histogram of the final data sequence after 6-LSB post-processing. In the histogram, the distribution of decimal numbers converted from binary numbers after 6-LSB post-processing is almost unbiased. Figure 7d shows a two-dimensional graph generated by the first 1 M points in the bit sequence after 6-LSB post-processing in the form of 1000 × 1000.

We also conducted NIST testing on bit sequences after 4-LSB, 5-LSB, and 6-LSB post-processing. Figure 7e shows that the bit sequence in the 4-LSB, 5-LSB, and 6-LSB cases passed all the 15 NIST statistical tests. We filtered out the comb tooth at wavelength of 1550.18 nm for further analysis. The bit sequence for the 6-LSB case passed all 15 NIST statistical test. Therefore, using 6-LSB as a condition for qualified PRB and assuming that all comb teeth can pass through the NIST test, with 805 teeth in microcomb covering the wavelength range of 1430-1670 nm, the rate of PRB could reach 9.66 Tbits/s (12 Gbits/s $\times 805 = 9.66$ Tbits/s).

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, we experimentally developed a massive PRB generator based on a chaotic microcomb using a microresonator. In the experiment, the chaotic microcomb with a free spectral range of 100 GHz, covering 1430-1675 nm, was first generated using a Si_3N_4 microresonator. Several chaotic comb teeth were filtered out as entropy sources to generate a PRB sequence, and the temporal signals of the chaotic comb teeth were converted into digital signals with ADCs. Next, XOR operation and N-LSB extraction methods were used to generate qualified and ultrafast random bit sequences, which were then tested with the NIST SP 800-22 test. The results showed that they all successfully passed the standard test. Assuming all 294 comb teeth of the chaotic comb successfully passed the standard test, the generation rate of the PRB generator reached approximately 4 Tbit/s. Moreover, another Si₃N₄ microresonator was used to generate a microcomb with a free spectral range of 33.6 GHz and more chaotic comb teeth, covering a range of wavelengths from 1430 to 1670 nm. With the same processing method, the PRB sequence generated from the microcomb also successfully passed the NIST standard test, resulting about 10 Tbit/s generation rate. Our experimental results offer a possible integration solution for ultra-fast PRB generation with high degree of parallelism and low cost. It can be useful in next generation ultra-speed communication systems and big-data processing centers.



04-4-4144	1	552 nm 4-LSB		1552 nm 5-LSB			
Statistical test	P-value	Proportion	Result	<i>P</i> -value	Proportion	Result	
Frequency	0.017051	0.987	Success	0.989983	0.984	Success	
Block frequency	0.153763	0.990	Success	0.292837	0.996	Success	
Cumulative sums	0.325646	0.987	Success	0.919967	0.988	Success	
Runs	0.295803	0.993	Success	0.625552	0.992	Success	
Longest-run	0.534146	0.990	Success	0.883171	0.983	Success	
Rank	0.961986	0.989	Success	0.931952	0.986	Success	
FFT	0.210107	0.993	Success	0.602458	0.981	Success	
Nonoverlapping-template	0.017220	0.987	Success	0.011162	0.989	Success	
OverlappingTemplate	0.959591	0.994	Success	0.750985	0.988	Success	
Universal	0.416448	0.991	Success	0.231422	0.983	Success	
Approximate entropy	0.950521	0.990	Success	0.828458	0.987	Success	
Random-excursions	0.017071	0.988	Success	0.088993	0.991	Success	
RandomExcursionsVaria	0.030854	0.986	Success	0.023431	0.995	Success	
Serial	0.357469	0.981	Success	0.588652	0.992	Success	
LinearComplexity	0.494392	0.989	Success	0.220931	0.990	Success	
		-			·		

Q4-4*-4*1 44	1	552 nm 6-LSB		1550 nm 6-LSB			
Statistical test	<i>P</i> -value	Proportion	Result	<i>P</i> -value	Proportion	Result	
Frequency	0.514124	0.989	Success	0.946308	992/1000	Success	
Block frequency	0.695200	0.991	Success	0.733899	986/1000	Success	
Cumulative sums	0.291091	0.991	Success	0.220159	991/1000	Success	
Runs	0.120207	0.994	Success	0.361938	992/1000	Success	
Longest-run	0.217857	0.984	Success	0.317565	991/1000	Success	
Rank	0.244236	0.989	Success	0.630872	988/1000	Success	
FFT	0.298282	0.991	Success	0.419021	988/1000	Success	
Nonoverlapping-template	0.001173	0.993	Success	0.000375	989/1000	Success	
OverlappingTemplate	0.516113	0.989	Success	0.162606	984/1000	Success	
Universal	0.102526	0.989	Success	0.711601	989/1000	Success	
Approximate entropy	0.291091	0.991	Success	0.699313	989/1000	Success	
Random-excursions	0.067547	0.987	Success	0.145069	636/642	Success	
RandomExcursionsVaria	0.078277	0.991	Success	0.001575	636/642	Success	
Serial	0.486588	0.989	Success	0.244236	991/1000	Success	
LinearComplexity	0.512137	0.993	Success	0.274341	989/1000	Success	

∢Fig. 7 Analysis of the bit sequence generated by an entropy source at 1560 nm. **a** Variation of statistical bias with sample number *N* for 4-LSB, 5-LSB, and 6-LSB cases, and the standard 3σ curve. **b** Autocorrelation coefficient (CK) of the first 200 kbits of the bit sequence generated after 6-LSB post-processing and the standard 3σ curve. **c** Histogram of the final data sequence of after 6-LSB post-processing. **d** The 2D graph generated by the first 1 M points of the bit sequence after 6-LSB post-processing in the form of 1000×1000, where bit "1" and bit "0" are represented by white and black dots, respectively. **e** Results of the NIST tests for the bit sequences after 4-LSB, 5-LSB, and 6-LSB post-processing, using the chaotic comb teeth at wavelengths of 1550.13 and 1552.84 nm respectively. A set of 1000 sequences of 1 M bits is used for evaluation

Acknowledgements This work was funded by the Innovation Research 2035 Pilot Plan of South-west University (SWUXDPY22012), Chongqing Science Funds for Distinguished Young Scientists (cstc2021jcyjjqX0027), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 12272407, 60907003, 61805278, 62275269, and 62275271), National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2022YFF0706005), Innovation Support Program for Overseas Students in Chongqing (cx2021008), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2018M633704), Foundation of NUDT (JC13-02-13, ZK17-03-01), Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (13JJ3001), and the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-12-0142).

Author contributions All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Data availability Data underlying the results presented in this paper are not publicly available at this time but may be obtained from the authors upon reasonable request.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Uchida, A., Amano, K., Inoue, M., Hirano, K., Naito, S., Someya, H., Oowada, I., Kurashige, T., Shiki, M., Yoshimori, S., Yoshimura, K., Davis, P.: Fast physical random bit generation with chaotic semiconductor lasers. Nat. Photonics 2(12), 728–732 (2008)
- Reidler, I., Aviad, Y., Rosenbluh, M., Kanter, I.: Ultrahigh-speed random number generation based on a chaotic semiconductor laser. Phys. Rev. Lett. 103(2), 024102 (2009)

- Hirano, K., Yamazaki, T., Morikatsu, S., Okumura, H., Aida, H., Uchida, A., Yoshimori, S., Yoshimura, K., Harayama, T., Davis, P.: Fast random bit generation with bandwidth-enhanced chaos in semiconductor lasers. Opt. Express 18(6), 5512–5524 (2010)
- Sakuraba, R., Iwakawa, K., Kanno, K., Uchida, A.: Tb/s physical random bit generation with bandwidth-enhanced chaos in threecascaded semiconductor lasers. Opt. Express 23(2), 1470–1490 (2015)
- Zhang, L., Pan, B., Chen, G., Guo, L., Lu, D., Zhao, L., Wang, W.: 640-Gbit/s fast physical random number generation using a broadband chaotic semiconductor laser. Sci. Rep. 7(1), 1–8 (2017)
- Wang, L., Zhao, T., Wang, D., Wu, D., Zhou, L., Wu, J., Liu, X., Wang, Y., Wang, A.: Real-time 14-Gbps physical random bit generator based on time-interleaved sampling of broadband white chaos. IEEE Photonics J. 9(2), 1–13 (2017)
- Li, X.Z., Chan, S.C.: Heterodyne random bit generation using an optically injected semiconductor laser in chaos. IEEE J. Quantum Electron. 49(10), 829–838 (2013)
- Wu, J.G., Tang, X., Wu, Z.M., Xia, G.Q., Feng, G.Y.: Parallel generation of 10 Gbits/s physical random number streams using chaotic semiconductor lasers. Laser Phys. 22(10), 1476–1480 (2012)
- Tang, X., Wu, Z.M., Wu, J.G., Deng, T., Chen, J.J., Fan, L., Zhong, Z., Xia, G.Q.: Tbits/s physical random bit generation based on mutually coupled semiconductor laser chaotic entropy source. Opt. Express 23(26), 33130–33141 (2015)
- Tang, X., Wu, Z.M., Wu, J.G., Deng, T., Fan, L., Zhong, Z.Q., Chen, J., Xia, G.Q.: Generation of multi-channel high-speed physical random numbers originated from two chaotic signals of mutually coupled semiconductor lasers. Laser Phys. Lett. 12(1), 015003 (2015)
- Ran, C., Tang, X., Wu, Z.M., Xia, G.Q.: Dual-channel physical random bits generation by a master-slave vertical-cavity surfaceemitting lasers chaotic system. Laser Phys. 28(12), 126202 (2018)
- Tang, X., Xia, G.Q., Jayaprasath, E., Deng, T., Lin, X.D., Fan, L., Gao, Z., Wu, Z.M.: Multi-channel physical random bits generation using a vertical-cavity surface-emitting laser under chaotic optical injection. IEEE Access 6, 3565–3572 (2018)
- Shi, B., Luo, C., Flores, J.G.F., Lo, G., Kwong, D.L., Wu, J., Wong, C.W.: Gbps physical random bit generation based on the mesoscopic chaos of a silicon photonics crystal microcavity. Opt. Express 28(24), 36685–36695 (2020)
- Virte, M., Mercier, E., Thienpont, H., Panajotov, K., Sciamanna, M.: Physical random bit generation from chaotic solitary laser diode. Opt. Express 22(14), 17271–17280 (2014)
- Tang, X., Xia, G.Q., Ran, C., Deng, T., Lin, X.D., Fan, L., Gao, Z., Lin, G.R., Wu, Z.M.: Fast physical random bit generation based on a broadband chaotic entropy source originated from a filtered feedback WRC-FPLD. IEEE Photonics J. 11(2), 1–10 (2019)
- Wu, J.G., Wu, Z.M., Deng, T., Tang, X., Fan, L., Xie, Y.Y., Xia, G.Q.: 0.5 Gbits/s message bidirectional encryption and decryption based on two synchronized chaotic semiconductor lasers. In: Semiconductor Lasers and Applications V, vol. 8552, pp. 120–126. SPIE (2012)
- Tang, X., Wu, J.G., Xia, G.Q., Wu, Z.M.: 17.5 Gbit/s random bit generation using chaotic output signal of mutually coupled semiconductor lasers. Wuli Xuebao 60(11), 110509 (2011)
- Luo, C., Flores, J.G., Shi, B., Yu, M., Lo, G., Kwong, D.L., Wu, J., Wong, C.W.: Gb/s physical random bits through mesoscopic chaos in integrated silicon optomechanical cavities. In: CLEO: QELS_Fundamental Science, vol. 5, pp. FTu4C. Optica Publishing Group (2019)
- Zhao, A., Jiang, N., Wang, Y., Liu, S., Xue, C., Qiu, K.: Fast physical random bit generation using broadband chaos generated by self-phase-modulated external-cavity semiconductor

laser cascaded with microsphere resonator. In: CLEO: Science and Innovations, vol. 73, pp. JTu2A. Optical Society of America (2019)

- Brasch, V., Geiselmann, M., Herr, T., Lihachev, G., Pfeiffer, M.H., Gorodetsky, M.L., Kippenberg, T.J.: Photonic chip based optical frequency comb using soliton induced Cherenkov radiation. In: 11th Conference on Lasers and Electro-Optics Pacific Rim (CLEO-PR) (2015)
- Johnson, A.R., Mayer, A.S., Klenner, A., Luke, K., Lamb, E.S., Lamont, M.R., Joshi, C., Okawachi, F., Wise, W., Lipson, M., Keller, U., Gaeta, A.L.: Octave-spanning coherent supercontinuum generation in a silicon nitride waveguide. Opt. Lett. 40(21), 5117–5120 (2015)
- Dudley, J.M., Genty, G., Coen, S.: Supercontinuum generation in photonic crystal fiber. Rev. Mod. Phys. 78(4), 1135–1184 (2006)
- Peccianti, M., Pasquazi, A., Park, Y., Little, B.E., Chu, S.T., Moss, D.J., Morandotti, R.: Demonstration of a stable ultrafast laser based on a nonlinear microcavity. Nat. Commun. 3(1), 765 (2012)
- Kippenberg, T.J., Gaeta, A.L., Lipson, M., Gorodetsky, M.L.: Dissipative Kerr solitons in optical microresonators. Science 361(6402), eaan8083 (2018)
- Joshi, C., Jang, J.K., Luke, K., Ji, X., Miller, S.A., Klenner, A., Okawachi, Y., Lipson, M., Gaeta, A.L.: Thermally controlled comb generation and soliton modelocking in microresonators. Opt. Lett. 41(11), 2565–2568 (2016)
- Obrzud, E., Lecomte, S., Herr, T.: Temporal solitons in microresonators driven by optical pulses. Nat. Photonics 11(9), 600–607 (2017)
- Chen, R., Shu, H., Shen, B., Chang, L., Xie, W., Liao, W., Tao, Z., Bowers, J., Wang, X.: Breaking the temporal and frequency congestion of LiDAR by parallel chaos. Nat. Photonics 17(4), 306–314 (2023)
- Rukhin, A., Soto, J., Nechvatal, J., Smid, M., Barker, E.: A Statistical Test Suite for Random and Pseudorandom Number Generators for Cryptographic Applications, Revision 1a. NIST Special Publication, pp. 800–822. US Department of Commerce, Technology Administration, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Washington, D.C (2010)
- Kanter, I., Aviad, Y., Reidler, I., Cohen, E., Rosenbluh, M.: An optical ultrafast random bit generator. Nat. Photonics 4(1), 58–61 (2010)
- Li, X.Z., Chan, S.C.: Random bit generation using an optically injected semiconductor laser in chaos with oversampling. Opt. Lett. 37(11), 2163–2165 (2012)
- Butler, T., Durkan, C., Goulding, D., Slepneva, S., Kelleher, B., Hegarty, S.P., Huyet, G.: Optical ultrafast random number generation at 1 Tb/s using a turbulent semiconductor ring cavity laser. Opt. Lett. 41(2), 388–391 (2016)
- Kou, J.Q., Shen, C.C., Shao, H., Che, J., Hou, X., Chu, C.S., Tian, K.K., Zhang, Y.H., Zhang, Z.H., Kuo, H.C.: Impact of the surface recombination on InGaN/GaN-based blue micro-light emitting diodes. Opt. Express 27(12), A643–A653 (2019)
- Xiao, Z.Y., Li, T., Cai, M., Zhang, H., Huang, Y., Li, C., Yao, B., Wu, K., Chen, J.: Near-zero-dispersion soliton and broadband modulational instability Kerr microcombs in anomalous dispersion. Light Sci. Appl. 12(1), 33 (2023)
- Chang, L., Liu, S., Bowers, J.E.: Integrated optical frequency comb technologies. Nat. Photonics 16(2), 95–108 (2022)
- Zhang, H., Tan, T., Chen, H.J., Yu, Y., Wang, W., Chang, B., Liang, Y., Guo, Y., Zhou, H., Xia, H., Gong, Q., Wong, C., Rao, Y., Xiao, Y.F., Yao, B.: Soliton microcombs multiplexing using intracavity-stimulated Brillouin lasers. Phys. Rev. Lett. 130(15), 153802 (2023)
- Tan, T., Yuan, Z., Zhang, H., Yan, G., Zhou, S., An, N., Peng, B., Soavi, G., Rao, Y., Yao, B.: Multispecies and individual gas

molecule detection using Stokes solitons in a graphene over-modal microresonator. Nat. Commun. **12**(1), 6716 (2021)

- Guo, H., Karpov, M., Lucas, E., Kordts, A., Pfeiffer, M.H.P., Brasch, V., Lihachev, G., Lobanov, V.E., Gorodetsky, M.L., Kippenberg, T.J.: Universal dynamics and deterministic switching of dissipative Kerr solitons in optical microresonators. Nat. Phys. 13(1), 94–102 (2017)
- Herr, T., Brasch, V., Jost, J.D., Mirgorodskiy, I., Lihachev, G., Gorodetsky, M.L., Kippenberg, T.J.: Mode spectrum and temporal soliton formation in optical microresonators. Phys. Rev. Lett. 113(12), 123901 (2014)
- 39. Qin, C., Du, J., Tan, T., Chang, B., Jia, K., Liang, Y., Wang, W., Guo, Y., Xia, H., Zhu, S., Rao, Y., Xie, Z., Yao, B.: Co-generation of orthogonal soliton pair in a monolithic fiber resonator with mechanical tunability. Laser Photonics Rev. 17(4), 2200662 (2023)



Yuqi Hu received his bachelor's degree from the Department of Electronic information, Changchun University, China, in 2017. He is currently a second-year graduate student at the Department of Artificial Intelligence of Southwest University, China. His current research interest is micro-comb technology and applications, and chaos lidar system.



neer at the Technology Research Developing Center of Chengdu Spaceon Electronics Corporation Ltd., China. He obtained his Ph.D. degree from University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, in 2019. Supported by China Scholarship Council, he has a research stay at the University of California, Los Angeles, USA, developing nonlinear optics and ultrafast optics (2017–2019). From July 2019 to July 2020, he worded at Huawei Technologies Co Ltd. He is now

Qingsong Bai is an senior engi-

focusing on physics and engineering of microcombs and optical clocks.



XiTang received his M.Sc. degree in Optics from Southwest University, China in 2009, and received his Ph.D. degree in Applied Mathematics from Southwest University in 2020. He has co-authored over 40 publications. His research interests include random number generation based on chaotic semiconductor lasers.



WeiXiong received his bachelor's degree in Communication Engineering from Chengdu University of Technology, China. He is currently a third-year graduate student at School of Physical Science and Technology of Southwest University, China. His current research interest is lidar and applications based on nanophotonics.



Runchang Du is an senior engineer at the Technology Research Developing Center of Chengdu Spaceon Electronics Corporation Ltd., China. He obtained his Ph.D. degree from Innovation Academy for Precision Measurement Science and Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China in 2010. He is now focusing on chip-scale atomic clocks and satellite-based atomic clocks



Yilu Wu received his bachelor's degree in Electronic Information Science and Technology from Chongqing University of Technology, China. He is currently a third-year graduate student at the College of Artificial Intelligence, Southwest University, China. His current research interest is non-linear dynamics of semiconductor lasers.



Leiji Liu is a professor level senior engineer at the Chengdu Spaceon Electronics Corporation Ltd., China. He obtained his Master degree from University of Electronic Science and Technology of China in 2008. He is now focusing on microwave technology and atomic clocks.



Xin Zhang received his bachelor's degree from Heilongjiang University of Science and Technology, China. He is currently a third-year graduate student at the Department of School of Physical Science and Technology, Southwest University, China. His current research interest is ultra narrow line width laser based on Si_3N_4 micro-ring.





Yanlan Xiao studying for Ph.D degree at University of Electronic Science and Technology of China. Her research interests include nonlinear optics, integrated multi-wavelength light source and optical communication system.



Guangqiong Xia received her B.Sc., M.Sc., and Ph.D. degrees in Optics from Sichuan University, China in 1992, 1995, and 2002, respectively. She is currently a Professor with the School of Physical Science and Technology, Southwest University, China. She has authored or coauthored more than 200 publications. Her current research interest includes the nonlinear dynamics of semiconductor lasers and their applications.

Zhengmao Wu received his B.Sc., M.Sc., and Ph.D. degrees in Optics from Sichuan University, China in 1992, 1995, and 2003, respectively. He is currently a Professor with the School of Physical Science and Technology, Southwest University, China. He has authored or coauthored more than 200 journal articles and conference papers. His current research interests include nonlinear dynamics of semiconductor lasers and their applications, and microwave photonics.



Junbo Yang received undergraduate training in Optics Engineering from Sichuan Normal University, China, and got a Ph.D. degree from Sichuan University, China in 2008, now works as a Professor at Center of Material Science in National University of Defense Technology (NUDT), China. From 2010 to 2012, he worked as postdoctoral researcher in State Key Laboratory on Advanced Optical Communication Systems and Networks, Peking University, China. From 2013 to 2014, he worked

as visiting scholar in Optical Nanostructures Laboratory, Columbia University, New York, USA. From 2015 to 2016, he worked as cooperative researcher in Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, Northeastern University, Boston, USA. In June 2008, he joined NUDT. At present, he is mainly engaged in the research of micro-nano optoelectrical devices and their application, including grating coupler, filter, absorber, metalens, graphene metasurface technology, etc. He has published more than 140 SCI academic papers in *Carbon, Nanophotonics, ACS Nano, Optics Letters, Optics Express* and other journals. He was selected as New Century Excellent Talents Program of Ministry of Education 2012. He is responsible for national key research plan, national natural science foundation of China, national defense science and technology innovation application and other projects.



Heng Zhou is a Professor with the School of Information and Communication Engineering, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China. His researches focus on nonlinear optics, ultrafast optics, and optical communications, with 50+ publications on Nat. Comms., Light: Sci. & App., Phys. Rev. Lett., etc. His innovations, including technique for Kerr soliton microcombs generation circumventing cavity thermal effect, and coherence-cloning frequency combs over long fiber

link for coherent transmission, are now widely used both in academic research and industry applications.



JiaguiWu is a Professor with the School of Physical Science and Technology, Southwest University, China, and a visiting scholar in the University of California, Los Angeles, USA. He has authored or co-authored over 100 publications including about 70 journal papers. His research interests include photonics chaos, micro-nanophotonic, micro-comb Technologies and Applications.