ORIGINAL PAPER



Impact of pertinent parameters on foam behavior in the entrance region of porous media: mathematical modeling

Fereshteh Samimi¹ · Zahra Sakhaei^{2,3} · Masoud Riazi^{2,3}

Received: 5 January 2019 / Published online: 15 June 2020 © The Author(s) 2020

Abstract

Foam injection is a promising solution for control of mobility in oil and gas field exploration and development, including enhanced oil recovery, matrix-acidization treatments, contaminated-aquifer remediation and gas leakage prevention. This study presents a numerical investigation of foam behavior in a porous medium. Fractional flow method is applied to describe steady-state foam displacement in the entrance region. In this model, foam flow for the cases of excluding and including capillary pressure and for two types of gas, nitrogen (N_2) and carbon dioxide (CO_2) are investigated. Effects of pertinent parameters are also verified. Results indicate that the foam texture strongly governs foam flow in porous media. Required entrance region may be quite different for foam texture to accede local equilibrium, depending on the case and physical properties that are used. According to the fact that the aim of foaming of injected gas is to reduce gas mobility, results show that CO₂ is a more proper injecting gas than N_2 . There are also some ideas presented here on improvement in foam displacement process. This study will provide an insight into future laboratory research and development of full-field foam flow in a porous medium.

Keywords Foam displacement · Entrance region · Fractional flow method · Foam texture · Water saturation · Mathematical modeling

List of symbols

A	Foam parameter in the first-order kinetic foam
	model

- Capillary pressure correlation parameter С
- Water fractional flow function (excluding capillar $f_{\rm w}$ ity-driven flow)
- Foam parameter in the first-order kinetic foam $K_{\rm c}$ model. s^{-1}
- $k_{\rm rg}^0$ Gas relative permeability in the absence of foam
- Gas relative permeability in the presence of foam k_{rg}
- Water relative permeability $k_{\rm rw}$
- MRF Mobility reduction factor
- Dimensionless foam texture ($n_{\rm D} = n_{\rm f}/n_{\rm max}$) $n_{\rm D}$

Edited by Yan-Hua Sun

Masoud Riazi mriazi@shirazu.ac.ir

- 1 Department of Chemical Engineering, School of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran
- 2 Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) Research Centre, IOR/EOR Research Institute, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran
- 3 Petroleum Engineering Department, School of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

- $n_{\rm D}^{\rm LE}$ Dimensionless local-equilibrium foam texture
- Foam texture (number of lamellae per unit vol $n_{\rm f}$ ume), m^{-3}
- $n_{\rm f}^{\rm LE}$ Local-equilibrium foam texture, m⁻³
- Maximum foam texture, m⁻³ n_{max}
- $P_{\rm c}$ Gas-water capillary pressure, Pa
 - Limiting capillary pressure, Pa
- $P_{\rm c}^*$ $r_{\rm c}$ Foam coalescence function, m⁻³ s⁻¹
 - Foam generation function, $m^{-3} s^{-1}$
 - Gas saturation
- $r_{\rm g}$ $S_{\rm g}$ $S_{\rm gr}$ $S_{\rm w}$ Residual gas saturation
 - Water saturation
- Swc Connate water saturation in a water-gas system
- $S_{\rm c}^*$ Limiting water saturation
- Total superficial velocity, m⁻³ s⁻¹ и
- Gas superficial velocity, $m^{-3} s^{-1}$ u_{g}
- Water superficial velocity, m⁻³ s⁻¹ $u_{\rm w}$
- х Position. m

Superscript and subscript

- Injection point Ε
- JLocal-equilibrium state downstream of the entrance region

Greek symbols

ϕ	Porosity		
2	0	1 . 1	2 (D

- Gas mobility, $m^2 (Pa s)^{-1}$ ٨g
- Water mobility, $m^2 (Pa s)^{-1}$ $\lambda_{\rm w}$
- $\mu_{
 m g} \ \mu_{
 m g}^0$ Gas viscosity in the presence of foam, Pa s
- Gas viscosity in the absence of foam, Pa s
- Viscosities of water, Pa s $\mu_{\rm w}$

Abbreviation

B.C	Boundary condition
EOR	Enhanced oil recovery
FOK	First-order kinetic
IOR	Improved oil recovery
LE	Local equilibrium

1 Introduction

The worldwide economy, technology advancement, and population growth have led to a significant increase in energy demand. Hydrocarbon reservoirs are predicted to stay as the main energy resources for the upcoming decades. Oil reservoir initial pressure is adequate to induce just a small volume of oil flow to production wells at the end of primary recovery (Al Adasani and Bai 2011; Radler and Bell 2009). Therefore, large and considerable amount of hydrocarbon remains in reservoirs at the end of this stage. Enhanced oil recovery (EOR) methods are used as a usual practice in the oil industry to extract the residual hydrocarbon in porous media (Bedrikovetsky 2013; Farajzadeh et al. 2012; Lake 1989). In EOR, external forces and materials are used to handle physico-chemical interactions in the reservoirs for promoting favorable recovery conditions (Al Adasani and Bai 2011). Gas flooding has been applied widely as one of the most productive EOR methods in hydrocarbon reservoirs through various injection modes like water-alternating-gas (WAG) process (Sheng 2015). Currently, nitrogen (N₂), carbon dioxide (CO_2) , air and hydrocarbon gasses are injected into the reservoir to displace remaining hydrocarbon in place (Andrianov et al. 2012; Boersma and Hagoort 1994; Bruining and Marchesin 2007; Green and Willhite 1998; Orr 2007; van Batenburg et al. 2010). Gas-based EOR methods are classified into immiscible and miscible flooding (Al Adasani and Bai 2011). In the former, injected gas dissolves partly in oil while in the latter it mixes completely with oil, causing oil to swell, and a considerable reduction in the interfacial tension between oil and displacing phases. Thus, the saturation of residual oil is reduced and oil is mobilized (Andrianov et al. 2012). However, low volumetric sweep efficiency of the injected gas is major challenge associated with the most of gas-based EOR techniques, because the gas cannot touch a huge quantity of oil and, consequently, the ultimate recovery remains poor (Rossen and van Duijn 2004;

Wellington and Vinegar 1988). This occurs as the results of channeling, viscous fingering and gravity override (Chang et al. 1994; Joekar-Niasar and Hassanizadeh 2011; Koval 1963; Waggoner et al. 1992). Foam, as a mobility-control agent, may be injected to reservoirs to improve the volumetric sweep efficiency (Du et al. 2008; Farajzadeh et al. 2009; Friedmann et al. 1991; Gauglitz et al. 2002; Schramm 1994). Foam is described as a dispersion of gas throughout a continuous liquid phase (containing surfactant) where gas bubbles are segregated by thin liquid films named lamellae (Falls et al. 1988; Farajzadeh et al. 2009; Hiraski 1989). The ability of foam to improve oil recovery is due to: (1) it can control viscous fingering and gravity override by increasing viscosity of gas phase (Dehdari and Riazi 2018), (2) block the high-permeable swept zones and divert the displacing fluid into lower permeability zones (Yan et al. 2006) and (3) decrease the capillary forces by reducing interfacial tension in the attendance of a surfactant (Derikvand and Riazi 2016; Panahpoori et al. 2018).

Bond and Halbrook (Boud and Holbrook 1958) were the first ones who stated that in situ foam generation in the hydrocarbon reservoirs would increase the volumetric sweep efficiency. Foam as a mixture of gas, water and a surface active material consists of lots of gas/liquid interfaces (lamellae) that divide gas bubbles (Kam and Rossen 2003; Li et al. 2014; Schramm and Wassmuth 1994; Vikingstad et al. 2006). Plateau border is where three lamellae are jointed, at an angle of 120° (Al-Attar 2011; Al-Mossawy et al. 2011). Film thickness could be in a range of nanometers while the area is usually up to a few square meters. These films become stable in the attendance of molecules of surfactant at the interface of a gas/surfactant solution (Bergeron et al. 1993; Farajzadeh et al. 2008). Based on foam quality (the ratio of gas flow rate to the summation of gas and liquid flow rates), they can be classified into "dry foams" and "wet foams" which are referred to high quality (strong foams) and low quality (weak foams), respectively (Al-Attar 2011). Foams can also be categorized in terms of their bubble size as "coarse" and "fine" for large and small bubble sizes, sequentially (Gauglitz et al. 2002). Foam as a metastable system coarsens inherently and finally crumbles completely owing to capillary suction and liquid drainage from the lamella to the plateau border. This results in the fracture of foam films and foam destruction (Farajzadeh et al. 2008). The strength of foam relies on the magnitude of limiting capillary pressure (P_c^*) . In a favorable condition, foam would have a finer bubble size and gas would possess a lower mobility up to the rate of foam destruction which is equal to the rate of foam formation. Water comes to a lower saturation, as the gas approaches to a lower mobility. Consequently, a higher capillary pressure of gas/water will be created. As the capillary pressure reaches the limiting value, the deformation rate will be raised. The limiting capillary

pressure depends on the formulation and concentration of foam, gas velocity, oil existence and permeability of the porous medium. Foam would be unstable in water saturation range of lower than the corresponding saturation at the limiting capillary pressure (S_c^*) (Khatib et al. 1988).

Population-balance and local-equilibrium models are two main techniques for modeling foam displacement in porous media. Population-balance approach is utilized to simulate the steady state and transient flow of foam in porous media (Kovscek et al. 1995). Power of population-balance model to predict foam behavior and successively lowering of the gas mobility relies upon quantifying the foam bubble size variation (Kovscek et al. 1995; Kam and Rossen 2003). The population-balance provides effective gas viscosity and relative permeability in terms of flow rates, bubble size and differential equations for foam texture (n_f) estimation (Kam and Rossen 2003). In the population-balance model, the foam texture is developed explicitly, writing balance equation on lamella like to the mass balances for water or surfactant. Considered mechanisms for lamella formation and destruction are described in the balance on $n_{\rm f}$, explicitly. Also, the gas mobility is presented as a function of some factors including foam texture $n_{\rm f}$ and water saturation $S_{\rm w}$ (Kam et al. 2007). Above all, the population-balance model supplies a general framework to express all the pertinent physics of foam generation and transport (Kovscek et al. 1995).

A substitute for population-balance modeling with assumption of local equilibrium at all formation locations is a local-equilibrium approximation (Kovscek et al. 2010). Under more-restricted conditions (some additional assumptions in one-dimensional displacement of local-equilibrium model), the method of characteristics, or fractional flow theory, can be applied. Fractional flow methods are applied to investigating foam and other improved oil recovery processes (Shan and Rossen 2002). The governing hyperbolic partial differential equations derived by fractional flow theory are solved by a mathematical method known as the method of characteristics analytically. The assumptions consist (Dholkawala et al. 2007):

- Incompressible phases
- Newtonian mobilities
- One-dimensional displacement
- No dispersion, viscous fingering and capillary pressure gradients
- Quick achievement of local steady state

Despite the fractional flow method requires simplifying the above-mentioned hypothesizes, even when the hypothesizes are not satisfied completely, this method is still capable of describing complicated mechanisms involved in foam flow in porous media (Dholkawala et al. 2007).

There are several experimental (Kumar and Mandal 2017; Lv et al. 2017; Panahpoori et al. 2018) and theoretical studies (Du et al. 2017; Gassara et al. 2017; Shojaei et al. 2018) to investigate the foam flow behavior in porous media. As the foam texture determines the strength and mobility of foam, theoretical models have been developed to examine and modify gas mobility in the presence of foam. The prediction of foam performance was investigated using population-balance models (Du et al. 2017; Falls et al. 1988; Kovscek et al. 1995; Schramm 1994; Kovscek et al. 1997; Patzek 1986) and fractional flow models (Gassara et al. 2017; Rossen and Zhou 1995; Zhou and Rossen 1994) as the principal foam-modeling methods. Nevertheless, other numerical works have been accomplished such as using digital rock physics (DRP) technology to examine foam flow behavior in a complex pore geometry (Yang et al. 2016; Shojaei et al. 2018; Du et al. 2014). Dholkawala et al. (2007) suggested that increasing the total injection velocity would change the form of fractional flow curves, which successively would affect volumetric sweep efficiency and pressure distribution. Ashoori et al. (2010) investigated water saturation and foam texture in the entrance region (the area near the well, where gas, water and surfactant make foam) of porous media. Minssieux (1974) reported a considerable water saturation entrance region about 10 cm. Also, Myers and Radke (2000) observed an entrance region as long as 15 cm in their examinations.

Although various studies have been accomplished on the topic of foam displacement in porous media, less attention has been paid to investigation of the impact of pertinent parameters such as water fractional flow, residual gas saturation, foam constant and gas viscosity on foam behavior. In the present study, first-order kinetic (FOK) foam model (Ashoori et al. 2010, 2011) is validated and implemented to describe steady-state behavior of foam flow in the entrance region. Then, foam flow for two different cases (i.e., excluding and including capillary pressure) and for two types of gases (i.e., N_2 , CO_2) are investigated and compared for the entrance region. All pertinent parameters that affect foam texture to reach local equilibrium have been also examined in this study.

2 Mathematical modeling

The following assumptions were considered in this work: absence of mobile oil in the entrance region, uniform surfactant concentration, steady-state incompressible displacement of an immiscible gas-water rectilinear flow through a porous medium. Water mass balance equation, considering the above assumptions, is governed by Rapoport-Leas equation (Barenblatt et al. 1991) as follows:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(uf_{\mathrm{w}} + \frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{w}}\lambda_{\mathrm{g}}}{\lambda_{\mathrm{w}} + \lambda_{\mathrm{g}}}\frac{\mathrm{d}P_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{d}x}\right) = 0 \tag{1}$$

Foam texture is expressed by the population-balance equation as bellow (Barenblatt et al. 1991):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{\phi}{n_{\mathrm{max}}u(1-f_{\mathrm{w}}^{J})}S_{\mathrm{g}}[r_{\mathrm{g}}-r_{\mathrm{c}}] \tag{2}$$

 $n_{\rm D}$ is the dimensionless foam texture and can be expressed as $n_{\rm D} = n_{\rm f}/n_{\rm max}$.

It should be stated that in foam processes, flow properties depend on water saturation and bubble size or foam texture (n_f) which is introduced as the number of lamellae per unit volume of gas phase. Hence, mathematical modeling of foam processes needs, in addition to the water mass balance, a numerical representation for foam texture, named population-balance equation (Eq. 2). As previously mentioned, in this model, foam texture is developed explicitly through writing balance equation on lamella. Important mechanisms for lamella formation and destruction are included in the balance on n_f . In present work, for solving Eqs. (1) and (2), first-order kinetic (FOK) foam model (Ashoori et al. 2010) was applied. This model describes foam flow behavior in porous media at steady-state condition.

2.1 Foam in the entrance region

The fractional flow method is applied as follows: the entrance region at location x = 0 is indicated by *E*. There is no bubble texture at this point. As foam is generated, *x* approaches toward the end point of the entrance region (*J*) in which the bubble texture reaches to local equilibrium (LE) with $S_w = S_w^J$ and $[r_g - r_c] = 0$. Following boundary conditions at locations of *E* and *J* are defined:

$$\begin{cases} B.C @E : x = 0 & n_{\rm p}^E = 0 \\ B.C @J : x \to +\infty & \frac{dS_{\rm w}}{dx} = 0 & \frac{dn_{\rm p}}{dx} = 0 \end{cases}$$
(3)

In the present study, the effect of various parameters on length of the entrance region (from point E to state J) will be determined and discussed in detail. By simplifying Eqs. (1) and (2) along with some required correlations listed in Table 1, following results are achieved for foam displacement in the entrance region at the presence and the absence of capillary pressure.

2.2 Case 1: absence of capillary pressure

Water mass balance excluding capillary pressure is obtained as below (Barenblatt et al. 1991):

 Table 1
 Some required correlations for foam flow in the entrance region

Correlation	Description
$u_{\rm t} = u_{\rm w} + u_{\rm g}$	Total superficial velocity
$f_{\rm w} = \frac{u_{\rm w}}{u_{\rm t}} = \frac{u_{\rm w}}{u_{\rm g} + u_{\rm w}}, f_{\rm w} = \frac{\lambda_{\rm w}}{\lambda_{\rm g} + \lambda_{\rm w}}$	Water fractional flow
$f_{\rm g} = 1 - f_{\rm w}$	Gas fractional flow
$u_{\rm g} = u_{\rm t} - u_{\rm t} f_{\rm w} = u_{\rm t} (1 - f_{\rm w})$	Gas superficial velocity
$S_{\rm g} = 1 - S_{\rm w}$	Gas saturation
$n_{\rm D} = \frac{n_{\rm f}}{n_{\rm max}}$	Dimensionless foam texture
$\lambda_{ m g} = rac{k_{ m rg}k}{\mu_{ m g}^0}$	Gas mobility

$$\frac{dS_{\rm w}}{dx} = \frac{\phi}{n_{\rm max}u(1-f_{\rm w}^J)}\frac{dS_{\rm w}}{dn_D}(1-S_{\rm w})[r_{\rm g}-r_{\rm c}]$$
(4)

with

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{d}n_{\mathrm{D}}} = -\frac{\partial f_{\mathrm{w}}}{\partial n_{\mathrm{D}}} \left(\frac{\partial f_{\mathrm{w}}}{\partial S_{\mathrm{w}}}\right)^{-1} \tag{5}$$

The foam texture is obtained based on water fractional flow:

$$n_{\rm D}(S_{\rm w}) = \frac{k_{\rm rg}^0(S_{\rm w})\mu_{\rm w}}{k_{\rm rw}(S_{\rm w})\mu_{\rm g}\left(\frac{1}{f_{\rm w}^f} - 1\right)}$$
(6)

The boundary condition is:

At
$$x = 0$$
: $S_{w} = S_{w}^{E} = 1 - S_{gr}$ (7)

2.3 Case 2: presence of capillary pressure

Water saturation including capillary pressure and foam texture is obtained using Eq. (8)-(10) (Barenblatt et al. 1991):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}S_{\mathrm{w}}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{u(f_{\mathrm{w}}^{J} - f_{\mathrm{w}})}{f_{\mathrm{w}}\lambda_{\mathrm{g}}(\mathrm{d}P_{\mathrm{c}}/\mathrm{d}S_{\mathrm{w}})} \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}n_{\mathrm{D}}}{\mathrm{d}x} = \frac{\phi}{n_{\mathrm{max}}u(1-f_{\mathrm{w}}^{J})}S_{\mathrm{g}}[r_{\mathrm{g}}-r_{\mathrm{c}}] \tag{9}$$

The boundary conditions are:

$$\begin{cases} B.C1 & @x = 0 : S_w = S_w^E = 1 - S_{gr} \\ B.C2 & @x = 0 : n_D = 0 \end{cases}$$
(10)

Table 2 Auxiliary correlations used in modeling

Parameter	Equation	References
Local-equilibrium texture	$ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} n_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{LE}}(S_{\mathrm{w}}) = \tanh(A(S_{\mathrm{w}} - S_{\mathrm{w}}^{*})) \ S_{\mathrm{w}} > S_{\mathrm{w}}^{*} \\ n_{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{LE}}(S_{\mathrm{w}}) = 0 \ S_{\mathrm{w}} \le S_{\mathrm{w}}^{*} \end{array} \right. $	Ashoori et al. (2010)
Foam model	$[r_{g} - r_{c}] = K_{c} n_{\max} (n_{D}^{LE}(S_{w}) - n_{D})$	Ashoori et al. (2010)
Gas relative permeability in the presence of foam	$k_{\rm rg}(S_{\rm w}, n_{\rm D}) = \frac{k_{\rm rg}^0(S_{\rm w})}{MRF(S_{\rm w})}$	Ashoori et al. (2010)
Mobility reduction factor	$MRF(S_{\rm w}) = 18500n_{\rm D}(S_{\rm w}) + 1$	Ashoori et al. (2010)
Water relative permeability	$\begin{cases} k_{\rm rw} = 0.2(\frac{S_{\rm w} - S_{\rm wc}}{1 - S_{\rm wc} - S_{\rm gr}})^{4.2} \ S_{\rm w} > S_{\rm wc} \\ k_{\rm rw} = 0 \ S_{\rm w} \le S_{\rm wc} \end{cases}$	Zhou and Rossen (1994)
Gas relative permeability in the absence of foam	$\begin{cases} k_{\rm rg}^0 = 0.94(\frac{1-S_{\rm w}-S_{\rm gr}}{1-S_{\rm wc}-S_{\rm gr}})^{1.3} \ S_{\rm w} < 1-S_{\rm gr} \\ k_{\rm rg}^0 = 0 \qquad S_{\rm w} \ge 1-S_{\rm gr} \end{cases}$	Zhou and Rossen (1994)
Water fractional flow function	$f_{\rm w}(S_{\rm w}, n_{\rm D}) = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\mu_{\rm w}}{k_{\rm rw}(S_{\rm w})} \frac{k_{\rm rg}^0(S_{\rm w})}{\mu_{\rm g}MR(n_{\rm D})}}$	Ashoori et al. (2010)
Gas-water capillary pressure	$P_{\rm c} = 15000 \times \frac{0.022(1-S_{\rm w}-S_{\rm gr})^c}{S_{\rm w}-S_{\rm wc}}$, $c = 0.01$	Ashoori et al. (2010)

2.4 Auxiliary correlations

Auxiliary correlations should be added to these equations to complement the modeling. In this study, the correlations of local-equilibrium texture, foam model, foam fractional flow, capillary pressure function, gas mobility reduction as well as foam-free relative permeabilities should be considered. The equations are listed in Table 2.

3 Numerical method

Governing equations consist of a set of ordinary differential equations along with auxiliary correlations. Algebraic equations in the model incorporate aforementioned correlations listed in Table 2. These equations create a set of nonlinear algebraic equations. The equations are solved in MATLAB programming environment using Gauss–Newton approach.

4 Results and discussion

Present study verifies foam injection into a core (linear displacement) at the presence of gas and surfactant and at constant temperature. The FOK model was applied to describe steadystate behavior of foam flow in porous media. In this model, foam displacement in two different cases (i.e., excluding and including capillary pressure) and for two types of gas (i.e., N₂, CO₂) were investigated and compared for the entrance region.

4.1 Model validation

To examine the capability and accuracy of the model used in this study, the reported experimental data by Persoff et al. in 1991 (Persoff et al. 1989) and Ma et al. in 2013 (Ma et al. 2013) were modeled. In the study done by Persoff et al. in 1991, the steady-state water saturation was measured over a range of injected water fractional flow from 0.008 to 0.20 in a sandstone sample with porosity and permeability of 0.25 and 1 Darcy, respectively (Persoff et al. 1989). Ma et al. in 2013 measured foam apparent viscosity, as a representation of mobility, at a fixed superficial velocity for injected water



Fig.1 A comparison of water fractional flow curves between the model and experiments



Fig. 2 A comparison of foam apparent viscosities between the model and experiments

fractional flow from 0.01 to 0.9. Furthermore, the water saturation was measured simultaneously. In that study, foam flow experiments were performed using a sandpack with porosity and permeability of 0.36 and 158 Darcy, respectively (Ma et al. 2013). Comparison of water fractional flow curves and foam apparent viscosities (Rossen and Boeije 2015; Ma et al. 2013) between the model and experimental data are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. As cleared from these figures, the results obtained by the FOK model are in acceptable agreement with those of experiments which justify the reliability of the model for further investigation. It should be noted that in the modeling of the foam experimental data, generally some of the model parameters should be adjusted (Rossen and Boeije 2015). Hence, S_w^* , A and the coefficient of $n_{\rm D}$ in the mobility reduction factor correlation (Table 2) were tuned to 0.362, 70 and 54,330 for the data of Persoff et al. in 1991 (Persoff et al. 1989) and 0.098, 207 and 60,000 for the data of Ma et al. (2013), respectively, using pattern search algorithm (Abramson 2002; Vasant 2013).

4.2 Case 1: absence of capillary pressure

The values of the required parameters for the FOK model which were considered for this study are presented in Table 3. In the absence of capillary pressure, Eqs. (4)–(6) are applied to predict foam behavior in the entrance region. Before discussing the results, it seems to be necessary to explain foam generation mechanisms.

Foam generation is responsible for the formation of liquid films (lamellas), that segregates gas bubbles (Risal et al. 2019). In situ lamella generation is as the result of

Table 3 Parameter values for first-ord	er kinetic (FOK) n	ıodel
--	--------------------	-------

Parameter	Value
S _{wc}	0.23
$S_{ m w}^*$	0.4
$S_{ m gr}$	0.15
$\mu_{\rm w}$, Pa s	0.001
μ_{σ}^{0} (N ₂), Pa s	21.47×10^{-6}
μ_{α}^{0} (CO ₂), Pa s	62.96×10^{-6}
k, m^2	9.96×10^{-15}
ϕ	0.189
<i>u</i> , m/s	2.98×10^{-6}
$n_{\rm max},{\rm m}^{-3}$	8×10^{13}
Α	400
$K_{\rm c},{\rm s}^{-1}$	1
$f_{ m w}^J$	0.27
$n_{\rm D}^E$	0
S_{w}^{E} , excluding P_{c}	0.84
$S_{\rm w}^{E}$, including $P_{\rm c}$	0.73

different mechanisms, such as snap-off, leave-behind and lamella division. Snap-off takes place when there is a capillary pressure difference between the pore bodies and pore throats. Leave-behind mechanism happens during injection of a gas phase to a continuous liquid phase in a porous medium (Kovscek and Radke 1993; Ransohoff and Radke 1986). Lamella division as the primary mechanism of foam generation in steady gas–liquid flow (Gauglitz et al. 2002; Qichong Li 2006) increases the number of lamella or bubbles by splitting a moving lamella into two, one in each



Fig. 3 Water saturation and dimensionless foam texture in the entrance region for $K_c = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$

branch of the paths when confronts a branch in the flow path (Dicksen et al. 2002).

Therefore, in the absence of capillary pressure, foam generation is dominantly caused by lamella division mechanisms. Water saturation and dimensionless foam texture are depicted in Fig. 3 when the foam constant is equal to one (i.e. $K_c = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$). The entrance region begins with no bubble texture and then with foam generation, the dimensionless foam texture increases to approach the local equilibrium (LE), state J. Since at the core inlet, no foam is presented, the nitrogen gas mobility remains unchanged, therefore water saturation has a higher value than that in the rest of the core. If the bubble sizes are equal, with increasing the number of foam bubbles, the gas saturation increases and consequently, the water saturation reduces. As can be seen, the foam texture is a strong function of water saturation because a small change in S_w leads to a significant augmentation of $n_{\rm D}$ (in the range of 20–40 cm length). The entrance region in this case is of order of cm (43 cm).

4.2.1 The effect of water fractional flow

In the absence of capillary pressure, using Eqs. (1) and (2) along with the required correlations presented in Table 1, the following relationship is achieved:

$$f_{\rm w}(S_{\rm w}, n_{\rm D}) = f_{\rm w}^J \tag{11}$$

The effects of injected water fractional flow (f_w^J) on foam texture, water saturation and gas relative permeability are shown in Fig. 4. On an adequately large scale, where the entrance region could be neglected, the fractional flow at the end point J of the entrance region indicates the so-called injection condition for the displacement. As can been seen from Fig. 4a, an increment of water fractional flow increases the foam texture. According to the definition of water fractional flow (Eq. 12), the gas mobility reduces when the fractional flow increases. This means that the number of foam bubbles increases. On the other hand, at a constant gas viscosity (despite the fact that gas relative permeability and gas viscosity are both responsible for dynamic behavior of foam displacement, in the present model, reduction in gas relative permeability is assumed as the only affecting mechanism for simplicity, and the impact of viscosity is not taken into account) the gas relative permeability reduces as the gas mobility decreases (see Eq. 13), consequently the gas saturation decays and as a result the water saturation increases. This behavior can be seen in Fig. 4b and c.

$$f_{\rm w} = \frac{\lambda_{\rm w}}{\lambda_{\rm g} + \lambda_{\rm w}} \tag{12}$$



Fig. 4 The effects of water fractional flow on **a** foam texture, **b** water saturation and **c** gas relative permeability in the entrance region for $K_c = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$



Fig. 5 The effect of residual gas saturation on **a** foam texture, and **b** water saturation in the entrance region for $K_c = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$



 $\ensuremath{\mbox{Fig. 6}}$ The effect of foam constant on foam texture in the entrance region

$$\lambda_{\rm g} = \frac{k_{\rm rg}k}{\mu_{\rm g}^0} \tag{13}$$

4.2.2 The effect of residual gas saturation

Figure 5 demonstrates the foam texture and water saturation as a function of residual gas saturation. The residual gas saturation was considered as a representation of the injected

Table 4 The entrance region for different foam constants

Foam constant K_c , s ⁻¹	Length of the entrance region, m
0.01	43
0.1	4.3
1	0.43

gas-water ratio to investigate the effect of this parameter on foam behavior in the entrance region. As clearly can be seen, the length of the entrance region increases as the residual gas saturation reduces. It means that gas and water have more time to direct contact, hence more foam texture with smaller size is generated.

4.2.3 The effect of foam constant in the FOK model

The influence of foam constant (which appears in Table 2 in the foam model) on foam texture is investigated as shown in Fig. 6 and Table 4. The length of the entrance region reduces as the foam constant increases. It means that at higher values of foam constant, the foam is formed faster. Foam constant does not affect the final foam texture value and only influences the length of the entrance region. For faster kinetic rates (e.g., $K_c = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$) the entrance region has an extremely short length. According to Table 4, by decreasing K_c one order of magnitude, the length of the entrance region increases about ten times. Therefore, the length of the entrance region is inversely proportional to K_c .



Fig. 7 The effect of gas types on **a** foam texture and **b** gas mobility in the entrance region for $K_c = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$

4.2.4 The effect of gas viscosity (gas type)

Figure 7 compares the foam texture and mobility of nitrogen and carbon dioxide gases. Nitrogen has a lower viscosity than carbon dioxide (its viscosity is almost onethird of that of carbon dioxide), therefore more distance will travel in order to reach equilibrium. According to Eq. (6), the foam texture is an inverse function of gas viscosity. Since the carbon dioxide viscosity is almost three times greater than the nitrogen viscosity, the final foam texture of carbon dioxide is almost one-third of nitrogen (see Fig. 7a). As demonstrated in Fig. 7b), the carbon dioxide mobility is lower than that of nitrogen. It shows that the effect of viscosity reduction for nitrogen is more than the influence of decreasing gas relative permeability caused by foam generation (see Eq. 13). Since the aim of foaming of the injected gas is reduction in gas mobility, from these figures, it can be understood that carbon dioxide is a more proper displacing gas than nitrogen. It should also be noted that although the nitrogen relative permeability is lower than that of carbon dioxide, more foam texture in the presence of nitrogen covers the main disadvantages of nitrogen (low viscosity is considered as the main disadvantage in foaming processes). Consequently, the nitrogen mobility approaches that of carbon dioxide.



Fig. 8 The effect of foam constant on a relative gas permeability and b foam texture in the entrance region

4.2.5 The effect of foam constant on gas relative permeability and foam texture

Figure 8a shows gas relative permeability as a function of foam constant and the length of the entrance region. Gas relative permeability reduces as the foam is generated in the entrance region and once it reached an equilibrium state, it would follow a constant value. Foam constant alters from 0.01 to 100. With a reduction in foam constant, the length of the entrance region increases, and more time is required for foam to be generated. Figure 8b also confirms that with a augmentation of foam constant, the equilibrium is achieved faster.

4.3 Case 2: Presence of capillary pressure

To predict foam flow behavior in the entrance area including capillary pressure, Eqs. (8) and (9) are used. In this case, in addition to lamella division, leave-behind and snap-off mechanisms as by cause of the difference in the capillary pressure, also play a role in foam generation.

In Fig. 9a, the capillary pressure as a function of water saturation is illustrated. Foam stability in porous media strongly relies on capillary pressure. In the favorable condition of foam generation, the foam would have less mobility with finer texture until the foam destruction rate becomes equal to the foam generation rate. Consequently, lower water saturation will be attained. As the water saturation is reduced, the capillary pressure and consequently the gas fractional flow (f_g) increase. As the capillary pressure reaches around the limiting capillary pressure (P_c^*), the coalescence rate will be raised and in the case of exceeding

the limiting value, unstable foam appears. Below the corresponding liquid saturation (S_w^*) corresponds to P_c^* , foam is unstable. At the conditions of high stability of lamella during a foam displacement, the magnitude of capillary pressure cannot exceed a limiting value, P_c^* , then the water saturation must be greater than or equal to the corresponding liquid saturation, S_w^* owing to the capillary pressure is a monotonic function of saturation.

Figure 9b shows the gas fractional flow as a function of water saturation and foam texture. By increasing the gas fractional flow, the water saturation declines until to



Fig. 10 Water saturation and dimensionless foam texture in the entrance region for $K_c = 1 \text{ s}^{-1}$



Fig. 9 Sketches of a capillary pressure and b fractional flow curve versus water saturation



Fig. 11 A comparison of foam texture between including and excluding capillary pressure for $K_c = 100 \text{ s}^{-1}$

approach the limiting value S_w^* (at P_c^*). In the limiting capillary pressure, foam bubble sizes are changed as needed to prevent the capillary pressure rising above the limiting value. In this region, the water saturation is constant and equal to S_w^* . The capillary pressure stays at its limiting value while the foam texture becomes coarser, and a strong foam converts slightly to a weak foam, with more increment in gas fractional flow. Therefore, in porous media, the coalescence of all lamellas do not take place at once.

Figure 10 shows the water saturation and the dimensionless foam texture in the case of considering capillary pressure. As illustrated, the length of the entrance region is of the order of mm (about 20 mm). Figure 11 compares the foam textures for two different cases including and excluding capillary pressure for $K_c = 100 \text{ s}^{-1}$. As discussed already, at the presence of $P_{\rm c}$, snap-off and leave-behind mechanisms are also responsible in the foam generation, therefore foam is formed faster in a smaller length of the entrance region compared to the case of absence of capillary pressure in which only the lamella division mechanism causes foam generation. The length of the entrance region for the case of including capillary pressure is almost one order of magnitude less than the case of excluding capillary pressure. It should be stated that when leave-behind, snap-off, and lamellae division mechanisms are responsible for foam generation simultaneously (at the presence of P_c), they could affect each other according to the system conditions and specifications such as pore throat geometry, aspect ratio distribution, pore-network topology, flow rate, wettability of rock surface, etc. (Shojaei et al. 2018). Generally, one of these mechanisms controls the foam formation rate. Nevertheless, a pore level investigation is required to understand which one is dominant. Model presented in this study is not able to determine the dominant mechanism due to its macro-scale feature. However, the existence of three mechanisms and their effects on foam formation rate can be observed from the outcomes presented in this section.

Figure 12a shows that although in the entrance region, water fractional flow for carbon dioxide is higher than that for nitrogen, it finally reaches a lower value. Water fractional



Fig. 12 A comparison of water fractional flow between nitrogen and carbon dioxide for $K_c = 1$ s⁻¹ as a function of **a** length and **b** water saturation

flow depends on the number of foam bubbles. Figure 12b also compares water fractional flow in terms of water saturation for nitrogen and carbon dioxide gases.

5 Conclusions

This study presents the influence of pertinent parameters on foam behavior in the entrance region of porous media. Fractional flow method was implemented for analyzing foam behavior in the entrance area. In this model, the ability of nitrogen and carbon dioxide gases in reduction in gas mobility were also investigated and compared. The results show that the required length of the entrance region for foam texture to approach local equilibrium is a strong function of water fractional flow, residual gas saturation, foam constant and gas viscosity as the pertinent properties in foaming the injected gases in coreflooding experiments and also scale-up process for field applications. The key findings of this study are summarized as below:

- The length of the entrance region increases as the residual gas saturation reduces and more foam texture is generated.
- The length of the entrance region reduces as the foam constant increases; consequently, the foam is formed faster.
- Foam constant does not affect the final foam texture value. However, it influences the length of the entrance region.
- Carbon dioxide is a more proper gas than nitrogen due to more reduction in its gas mobility. However, more foam texture in the presence of nitrogen nearly could cover the main disadvantages of nitrogen (low viscosity).
- In the presence of capillary pressure, foam is formed faster in a smaller length of the entrance region, because in addition to lamella division, leave-behind and snap-off mechanisms are also responsible for foam generation.
- Capillary pressure has a significant role in the stability of foam in porous medium. Hence, neglecting this important factor, as in many simulation and modeling studies, could eventuate the inaccurate foam behavior in the entrance area. Nevertheless, several variables such as surfactant type and concentration, gas velocity and permeability of formation impress on limiting capillary pressure (P_c^*) , which should be screened and examined in different cases in future works.

The present study proves that foam can be a successful technology for improving oil recovery, when there is an exhaustively understanding of all the possible factors that could influence on foam behavior in porous media. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- Abramson MA. Pattern search algorithms for mixed variable general constrained optimization problems. Rice University. 2002.
- Al Adasani A, Bai B. Analysis of eor projects and updated screening criteria. J Petrol Sci Eng. 2011;79(1–2):10–24. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.petrol.2011.07.005.
- Al-Attar H. Evaluation of oil foam as a displacing phase to improve oil recovery: a laboratory study. J Petrol Sci Eng. 2011;79(3–4):101– 12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2011.08.013.
- Al-Mossawy MI, Demiral B, Iskandar BS, Ridzuan PD, Pinang J. Foam dynamics in porous media and its applications in enhanced oil recovery: Review. 2011.
- Andrianov A, Farajzadeh R, Mahmoodi Nick M, Talanana M, Zitha PL. Immiscible foam for enhancing oil recovery: bulk and porous media experiments. Ind Eng Chem Res. 2012;51(5):2214–26. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie201872v.
- Ashoori E, Marchesin D, Rossen W. Dynamic foam behavior in the entrance region of a porous medium. In: ECMOR XII-12th European Conference on the Mathematics of Oil Recovery; 2010. https://doi.org/10.3997/2214-4609.20145024.
- Ashoori E, Marchesin D, Rossen W. Roles of transient and local equilibrium foam behavior in porous media–traveling wave. Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem Eng Aspects. 2011;377(1– 3):228–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2010.12.042.
- Barenblatt G, Entov V, Ryzhik V. Theory of fluids flows through natural rocks. Netherlands: Springer; 1991.
- Bedrikovetsky P. Mathematical theory of oil and gas recovery: With applications to ex-ussr oil and gas fields. Netherlands: Springer; 2013.
- Bergeron V, Fagan M, Radke C. Generalized entering coefficients: a criterion for foam stability against oil in porous media. Langmuir. 1993;9(7):1704–13. https://doi.org/10.1021/la00031a017.
- Boersma D, Hagoort J. Displacement characteristics of nitrogen flooding vs methane flooding in volatile oil reservoirs. SPE Res Eng. 1994;9(04):261–5. https://doi.org/10.2118/20187-PA.
- Boud DC, Holbrook OC. Gas drive oil recovery process. 1958.
- Bruining J, Marchesin D. Maximal oil recovery by simultaneous condensation of alkane and steam. Phys Rev E. 2007;75(3):036312. https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.036312.
- Chang Y-B, Lim M, Pope G, Sepehrnoori K. CO₂ flow patterns under multiphase flow: heterogeneous field-scale conditions. SPE Res Eng. 1994;9(03):208–16. https://doi.org/10.2118/22654-PA.
- Dehdari B, Riazi M. Does the use of pam polymer in nanoparticlesurfactant stabilized N₂ foam enhanced the stability in eor? In: Saint Petersburg International Geological and Geophysical Conference & Exhibition, Saint Petersburg, Russia; 2018. https:// doi.org/10.3997/2214-4609.201800320.
- Derikvand Z, Riazi M. Experimental investigation of a novel foam formulation to improve foam quality. J Mol Liq. 2016;224:1311-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molliq.2016.10.119.

- Dholkawala ZF, Sarma H, Kam S. Application of fractional flow theory to foams in porous media. J Petrol Sci Eng. 2007;57(1–2):152–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2005.10.012.
- Dicksen T, Hirasaki GJ, Miller CA. Conditions for foam generation in homogeneous porous media. In: SPE/DOE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium, Oklahoma; 2002. https://doi. org/10.2118/75176-MS.
- Du D, Ma X, Zhang F, Li Y. Rheology characteristics for foam lamellae flow in a straight tube. CIESC J. 2014;65(S1):194–8.
- Du D, Zhang N, Li Y, Sun R, Wang C. Parametric studies on foam displacement behavior in a layered heterogeneous porous media based on the stochastic population balance model. Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering. 2017;48:1–12. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jngse.2017.08.035.
- Du DX, Beni AN, Farajzadeh R, Zitha PL. Effect of water solubility on carbon dioxide foam flow in porous media: an X-ray computed tomography study. Ind Eng Chem Res. 2008;47(16):6298– 306. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie701688j.
- Falls A, Hirasaki G, Patzek T, Gauglitz D, Miller D, Ratulowski T. Development of a mechanistic foam simulator: the population balance and generation by snap-off. SPE Res Eng. 1988;3(03):884–92. https://doi.org/10.2118/14961-PA.
- Farajzadeh R, Andrianov A, Bruining H, Zitha PL. Comparative study of CO₂ and N₂ foams in porous media at low and high pressure—temperatures. Ind Eng Chem Res. 2009;48(9):4542– 52. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie801760u.
- Farajzadeh R, Andrianov A, Krastev R, Hirasaki G, Rossen WR. Foam-oil interaction in porous media: implications for foam assisted enhanced oil recovery. Adv Coll Interface Sci. 2012;183:1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2012.07.002.
- Farajzadeh R, Krastev R, Zitha P. Foam films stabilized with alpha olefin sulfonate (AOS). Colloids Surf A. 2008;324(1–3):35–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2008.03.024.
- Friedmann F, Chen W, Gauglitz P. Experimental and simulation study of high-temperature foam displacement in porous media. SPE Res Eng. 1991;6(01):37–45. https://doi.org/10.2118/17357-PA.
- Gassara O, Douarche F, Braconnier B, Bourbiaux B. Calibrating and interpreting implicit-texture models of foam flow through porous media of different permeabilities. J Petrol Sci Eng. 2017;159:588– 602. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petrol.2017.09.069.
- Gauglitz PA, Friedmann F, Kam SI, Rossen WR. Foam generation in homogeneous porous media. Chem Eng Sci. 2002;57(19):4037– 52. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2509(02)00340-8.
- Green D, Willhite G. Enhanced oil recovery. USA SPE Textbook Series. 1998.
- Hiraski GJ. The steam-foam process. J Petrol Technol. 1989;41(05):449-56. https://doi.org/10.2118/19505-PA.
- Joekar-Niasar V, Hassanizadeh SM. Effect of fluids properties on nonequilibrium capillarity effects: dynamic pore-network modeling. Int J Multiph Flow. 2011;37(2):198–214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ijmultiphaseflow.2010.09.007.
- Kam SI, Nguyen QP, Li Q, Rossen WR. Dynamic simulations with an improved model for foam generation. SPE J. 2007;12(01):35–48. https://doi.org/10.2118/90938-PA.
- Kam SI, Rossen WR. A model for foam generation in homogeneous media. SPE J. 2003;8(04):417–25. https://doi.org/10.2118/87334 -PA.
- Khatib ZI, Hirasaki GJ, Falls AH. Effects of capillary pressure on coalescence and phase mobilities in foams flowing through porous media. SPE Res Eng. 1988;3(03):919–26. https://doi. org/10.2118/15442-PA.
- Koval EJ. A method for predicting the performance of unstable miscible displacement in heterogeneous media. SPE J. 1963;3(02):145– 54. https://doi.org/10.2118/450-PA.

- Kovscek AR, Radke CJ. Fundamentals of foam transport in porous media. Foams: fundamentals and applications in the petroleum Industry (pp. 115–163). American Chemical Society. 1993. https ://doi.org/10.1021/ba-1994-0242.ch003.
- Kovscek A, Patzek T, Radke C. A mechanistic population balance model for transient and steady-state foam flow in boise sandstone. Chem Eng Sci. 1995;50(23):3783–99. https://doi. org/10.1016/0009-2509(95)00199-F.
- Kovscek AR, Tadeusz WP, Radke CJ. Mechanistic foam flow simulation in heterogeneous and multidimensional porous media. SPE J. 1997;2(04):511–26. https://doi.org/10.2118/39102-PA.
- Kovscek AR, Chen Q, Gerritsen M. Modeling foam displacement with the local-equilibrium approximation: theory and experimental verification. SPE J. 2010;15(01):171–83. https://doi. org/10.2118/116735-PA.
- Kumar S, Mandal A. Investigation on stabilization of CO₂ foam by ionic and nonionic surfactants in presence of different additives for application in enhanced oil recovery. Appl Surf Sci. 2017;420:9–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2017.05.126.
- Lake LW. Enhanced oil recovery. United States: Prentice Hall; 1989.
- Li J, Pan R, Guo B, Shan J. Thermal stability of brine foams for shale gas drilling. J Nat Gas Sci Eng. 2014;17:131–5. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jngse.2014.01.009.
- Li Q. Foam generation and propagation in homogeneous and heterogeneous porous media. The University of Texas at Austin. 2006.
- Lv Q, Li Z, Li B, Zhang C, Shi D, Zheng C, et al. Experimental study on the dynamic filtration control performance of N₂/liquid CO₂ foam in porous media. Fuel. 2017;202:435–45. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.fuel.2017.04.034.
- Ma K, Lopez-Salinas JL, Puerto MC, Miller CA, Biswal SL, Hirasaki GJ. Estimation of parameters for the simulation of foam flow through porous media. Part 1: the dry-out effect. Energy Fuels. 2013;27(5):2363–75. https://doi.org/10.1021/ef302036s.
- Minssieux L. Oil displacement by foams in relation to their physical properties in porous media. J Petrol Technol. 1974;26(01):100–8. https://doi.org/10.2118/3991-PA.
- Myers TJ, Radke CJ. Transient foam displacement in the presence of residual oil: experiment and simulation using a populationbalance model. Ind Eng Chem Res. 2000;39(8):2725–41. https:// doi.org/10.1021/ie990909u.
- Orr FM. Theory of gas injection processes. Tie-Line Publications. 2007.
- Panahpoori D, Dehdari B, Riazi M, Parsaei R. Visualization experiments on the impact of surfactant and nanoparticle on EOR potential of foam injection. In: Saint Petersburg international geological and geophysical conference & exhibition, Saint Petersburg, Russia; 2018. https://doi.org/10.3997/2214-4609.201800316.
- Patzek T. Modeling of foam flow in porous media by the population balance method. Surfactant-based mobility control. In: ACS Symposium Series; 1986.
- Persoff P, Radke CJ, Pruess K. A laboratory investigation of foam flow in sandstone at elevated pressure In: SPE California Regional Meeting, California; 1989. https://doi.org/10.2118/18781-MS.
- Radler M, Bell L. Weak energy demand to persist through 2009. Oil Gas J. 2009;107(27):20–8.
- Ransohoff TC, Radke CJ. Mechanisms of foam generation in glassbead packs. In: Society of Petroleum Engineers annual technical conference and exhibition, United States; 1986.
- Risal AR, Manan MA, Yekeen N, Azli NB, Samin AM, Tan XK. Experimental investigation of enhancement of carbon dioxide foam stability, pore plugging, and oil recovery in the presence of silica nanoparticles. Petrol Sci. 2019;16:344–56. https://doi. org/10.1007/s12182-018-0280-8.
- Rossen WR, van Duijn CJ. Gravity segregation in steady-state horizontal flow in homogeneous reservoirs. J Petrol Sci

Eng. 2004;43(1–2):99–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.petro 1.2004.01.004.

- Rossen W, Boeije CS. Fitting foam-simulation-model parameters to data: II Surfactant-alternating-gas foam applications. SPE Res Eval Eng. 2015;18(02):273–83. https://doi.org/10.2118/16528 2-PA.
- Rossen WR, Zhou ZH. Modeling foam mobility at the limiting capillary pressure. SPE Adv Technol Ser. 1995;3(1):146–53. https:// doi.org/10.2118/22627-PA.
- Schramm LL. Foams: fundamentals and applications in the petroleum industry. Am Chem Soc. 1994. https://doi.org/10.1021/ ba-1994-0242.
- Schramm LL, Wassmuth F. Foams: Basic principles. Foams: fundamentals and applications in the petroleum industry (pp. 3–45). American Chemical Society. 1994. https://doi.org/10.1021/ ba-1994-0242.ch001.
- Shan D, Rossen WR. Optimal injection strategies for foam IOR. In: SPE/DOE Improved Oil Recovery Symposium, 13–17 April, Tulsa, Oklahoma; 2002. https://doi.org/10.2118/75180-MS.
- Sheng JJ. Enhanced oil recovery in shale reservoirs by gas injection. J Nat Gas Sci Eng. 2015;22:252–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jngse .2014.12.002.
- Shojaei MJ, Osei-Bonsu K, Grassia P, Shokri N. Foam flow investigation in 3D-printed porous media: fingering and gravitational effects. Ind Eng Chem Res. 2018;57(21):7275–81. https://doi. org/10.1021/acs.iecr.8b00136.
- van Batenburg DW, de Zwart AH, Doush M. Water alternating high pressure air injection. In: SPE improved oil recovery symposium, Oklahoma; 2010. https://doi.org/10.2118/129882-MS.

- Vasant P. Hybrid optimization techniques of pattern search and genetic algorithm: a case study in production system. Int J Hybrid Intell Syst. 2013;10(4):179–90. https://doi.org/10.3233/HIS-130175.
- Vikingstad AK, Aarra MG, Skauge A. Effect of surfactant structure on foam-oil interactions: comparing fluorinated surfactant and alpha olefin sulfonate in static foam tests. Colloids Surf A. 2006;279(1– 3):105–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2005.12.047.
- Waggoner JR, Castillo JL, Lake LW. Simulation of eor processes in stochastically generated permeable media. SPE Format Evaluat. 1992;7(02):173–80. https://doi.org/10.2118/21237-PA.
- Wellington SL, Vinegar HJ. Surfactant-induced mobility control for carbon dioxide studied with computerized tomography. Surfactant-based mobility control (pp. 344–358). American Chemical Society. 1988. https://doi.org/10.1021/bk-1988-0373.ch017.
- Yan W, Miller CA, Hirasaki GJ. Foam sweep in fractures for enhanced oil recovery. Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem Eng Aspects. 2006;282–283:348–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsu rfa.2006.02.067.
- Yang Y, Liu P, Zhang W, Liu Z, Sun H, Zhang L, et al. Effect of the pore size distribution on the displacement efficiency of multiphase flow in porous media. Open Phys. 2016;14(1):610–6. https://doi. org/10.1515/phys-2016-0069.
- Zhou ZH, Rossen WR. Applying fractional-flow theory to foams for diversion in matrix acidization. SPE Prod Facil. 1994;9(01):29– 35. https://doi.org/10.2118/24660-PA.