

Erratum to: Health Message Framing Effects on Attitudes, Intentions, and Behaviors: A Meta-analytic Review

Kristel M. Gallagher, Ph.D. · John A. Updegraff, Ph.D.

Published online: 29 January 2013
© The Society of Behavioral Medicine 2012

Erratum to: ann. behav. med.
DOI 10.1007/s12160-011-9308-7

In “Health Message Framing Effects on Attitudes, Intentions, and Behaviors: A Meta-Analytic Review,” (Volume 43, Number 1, February 2012, pp. 101–116, doi:[10.1007/s12160-011-9308-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12160-011-9308-7)) the effect size for Rothman et al. (1993 [70]) study 2 should be reported as $r=.06$ (95 % $CI=-.15, .23$) in Table 1. The summary effect size for the 2 studies of skin cancer prevention behavior should be reported as marginally significant, $r=.15$ ($p=.054$; 95 % $CI=-.00, .289$) in Table 3 and on p. 109. The meta-analysis’ main finding of an overall effect size for framing on prevention behavior remains significant, and should be reported as $r=.075$ ($p=.003$; 95 % $CI=.03, .13$) in Table 2 and on pp. 101, 109, and 111; the fail-safe N for this finding is 166 (p. 110). All other findings remain unchanged.

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12160-011-9308-7>.

K. M. Gallagher (✉) · J. A. Updegraff
Department of Psychology, Kent State University,
Kent, OH 44242-0001, USA
e-mail: kgalla3@kent.edu