

Erratum to: An evaluation of two conducted electrical weapons and two probe designs using a swine comparative cardiac safety model

Donald Murray Dawes · Jeffrey D. Ho ·
Johanna C. Moore · James R. Miner

Published online: 1 May 2013
© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2013

Erratum to: Forensic Sci Med Pathol DOI 10.1007/s12024-013-9422-x

The authors would like to make a correction to the article. Currently, the article reads: “In our estimates, the risk of VF based on this data is 0.29 %. The consensus panel estimated the risk at 0.25 %, in close agreement. Even with cardiac capture, the risk of ventricular fibrillation from our data was 0.59 %.”

The risk is improperly stated as currently written since the risk, as reported in the Results section, was an upper

limit risk. In addition, the NIJ document did not report a risk of VF, but rather risk of death during a TASER-related incident. To correctly state the risk from the study and to properly cite the NIJ, the article should read: “In our estimates, the risk of VF based on this data is no more than 0.29 %. The consensus panel estimated the risk of death in a TASER-related incident to be no more than 0.25 %, in close agreement. Even with cardiac capture, the risk of VF from our data was no more than 0.59 %.”

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s12024-013-9422-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12024-013-9422-x).

D. M. Dawes
Lompoc Valley Medical Center, 1515 East Ocean Ave, Lompoc,
CA 93436, USA

D. M. Dawes
Santa Barbara Police Department, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

J. D. Ho (✉) · J. C. Moore · J. R. Miner
Department of Emergency Medicine, Hennepin County Medical
Center, 701 Park Avenue South, Minneapolis, MN 55415, USA
e-mail: jeffrey.ho@hcmcd.org

J. D. Ho
Meeker County Sheriff's Office, Litchfield, MN, USA