



## Correction to: The Association Between Renal Tubular Dysfunction and Zinc Level in a Chinese Population Environmentally Exposed to Cadmium

Xiao Chen<sup>1,2</sup> · Zhongqiu Wang<sup>2</sup> · Guoying Zhu<sup>3</sup> · Gunnar F. Nordberg<sup>4</sup> · Xiaoqiang Ding<sup>1</sup> · Taiyi Jin<sup>5</sup>

Published online: 26 November 2020

© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2020

### Correction to: Biological Trace Element Research <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-018-1304-3>

The original version of this article unfortunately contained a mistake. The authors have found that there are several unintended mistakes in Table 3. The correct Table 3 is presented

here. The overall conclusions are not altered. The authors apologise for any inconvenience this may have caused.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Table 3** Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of renal dysfunction and UCd, BCd, UCa, Zn/Cd ratio, SZn, HZn

	n	Reference (< 3.6)	Odds ratio (95%CI)	
			Model 1	Model 2
UCd(μg/g cr)	84	Reference (< 3.6)	1	1
	82	3.6–8.7	1.32(0.56–3.16)	1.29(0.71–2.4)
	81	8.7–16.9	2.83(1.26–6.36)	2.29(1.01–5.25)
	84	≥16.9	4.29(1.93–9.56)	2.40(1.04–5.68)
BCd(μg/L)	83	Reference (< 1.85)	1	1
	83	1.85–7.34	1.46(0.51–4.14)	1.43(0.50–4.08)
	83	7.34–14.89	6.30(2.51–16.11)	5.72(2.24–14.59)
	82	≥14.89	10.42(4.14–26.21)	10.3(4.07–26.04)
UCa (g/g cr)	78	Reference (< 0.10)	1	1
	83	0.10–0.17	1.87(0.80–4.36)	2.16(0.85–5.50)
	88	0.17–0.28	2.02(0.84–4.84)	2.49(1.02–6.45)
	82	≥0.28	5.40(2.34–12.45)	5.42(2.11–13.90)
Zn/Cd	83	Reference(< 100.0)	1	1
	88	100.0–200.0	0.47(0.24–0.89)	0.40(0.19–0.84)
	81	200.0–600.0	0.16(0.07–0.36)	0.14(0.06–0.37)
	79	≥600.0	0.06(0.02–0.16)	0.06(0.02–0.18)
SZn(mg/L)	111	Reference(<1.14)	1	1
	171	1.14–1.62	0.66(0.39–1.14)	0.58(0.31–1.08)
HZn(mg/g)	49	≥1.62	0.38(0.12–1.23)	0.26(0.07–0.99)
	42	Reference(< 0.12)	1	1
	48	0.12–0.14	0.57(0.18–1.83)	0.59(0.17–2.02)
	58	≥0.14	0.12(0.03–0.49)	0.09(0.02–0.48)

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12011-018-1304-3>

✉ Xiaoqiang Ding  
ding\_xiaoqiang@zs-hospital.sh.cn

Taiyi Jin  
071114298@fudan.edu.cn

<sup>1</sup> Department of Nephrology, Shanghai Key Laboratory of Kidney and Dialysis, Zhongshan Hospital Fudan University, 180 Fenglin road, Shanghai 200032, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Radiology, Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing 210029, China

<sup>3</sup> Institute of Radiation Medicine, Fudan University, 2094 Xietu road, Shanghai 200032, China

<sup>4</sup> Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Umeå University, 901 87 Umeå, Sweden

<sup>5</sup> Department of Occupational Medicine, School of Public Health, Fudan University, 150 Dongan road, Shanghai 200032, China