



From Bench to Bedside

From Bench to Bedside: Ischemia is Bad ... Right?

Benjamin K. Potter MD, FACS

Physicians and other medical personnel learn early in their training that ischemia is, well, bad. Insufficient oxygen in tissues leads to potentially fatal events such as myocardial infarctions and cerebrovascular accidents. From an orthopaedic perspective, ischemia is associated with muscle necrosis, neuropathy, and compartment syndrome—function- and extremity-threatening conditions that can lead to serious disability and even death.

Interestingly, though, blood flow restriction training represents one of the promising trends in physical therapy. Essentially, patients perform low-resistance exercises with a partially inflated tourniquet or a blood pressure cuff on the proximal extremity in a supervised setting.

While rehabilitation may not be “sexy,” supervised rehabilitation and physical therapy remain a critical component of the surgeon’s preoperative, postoperative, and nonoperative treatment armamentarium. Indeed,

most of us have seen great successes when a motivated patient partners with a knowledgeable and diligent therapist. And excellent surgical results often depend as much on events that take place before or after the procedure in the therapy suite.

But for all that physical therapists do, we remain all-too-aware that strength deficits often persist after routine procedures such as TKA or intramedullary nailing of a femur fracture [8, 14]. It is surprising, then, to note that there exists a dearth of randomized or high-quality nonrandomized trials within physical therapy, and those that are available compare supervised physical therapy to no therapy at all or a “home exercise program” [3, 10]. Anyone who has ever failed to keep a New Year’s resolution can imagine the likely compliance with the latter approach.

As a profession, we often, almost reflexively, believe that more of a good thing is better. Stiff knee after arthroplasty? More therapy! Weak quadriceps after femur fracture? More therapy! However, less supervised therapy may certainly be adequate, and potentially equivalent, for many patients [10, 11].

Early results of blood flow restriction training do suggest that less can be

A note from the Editor-in-Chief:

I am pleased to present the next installment of “From Bench to Bedside,” a quarterly column written by Benjamin K. Potter MD, FACS. Dr. Potter is a clinician-scientist in the Department of Orthopaedics at Walter Reed National Military Medical Center and in the Department of Surgery at the Uniformed Services University of Health Sciences. His column investigates important developments that are making—or are about to make—the transition from the laboratory to clinical practice, as well as technologies and approaches that have recently made that jump.

The institution of the author (BKP) has received, during the study period, funding and personnel support from the Major Extremity Trauma Research Consortium (METRC). All ICMJE Conflict of Interest Forms for authors and *Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research*® editors and board members are on file with the publication and can be viewed on request.

The opinions expressed are those of the writers, and do not reflect the opinion or policy of CORR® or The Association of Bone and Joint Surgeons®.

The author is an employee of the US Government and this work was prepared as part of his official duties. As such, there is no copyright to transfer. The views expressed in this article are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Army, Department of the Navy, Department of Defense, nor the US Government. I certify that all individuals who qualify as authors have been listed; each has participated in the conception and design of this work, the analysis of data, the writing of the document, and the approval of the submission of this version; that the document represents valid work; that if I used information derived from another source, I obtained all necessary approvals to use it and made appropriate acknowledgements in the document; and that each takes public responsibility for it. Nothing

From Bench to Bedside

more. Low-load strengthening with 20% of one repetition maximum demonstrated equivalent results to normal training with 80% load [1, 15], representing an appealing regimen in terms of potential efficacy. Additionally, higher loads may not be desirable, tolerated, or even permitted in some cases, such as following surgery for unstable or articular fractures. Further appeal comes from the relative ease of implementation—generally just routine, closed-chain exercises plus a tourniquet. Last, because all that is needed is a safe blood flow occlusion device, blood flow restriction training is cheap.

Assuming that blood flow restriction training really works, how does it work (if ischemia is bad)? Relative ischemia during blood flow restriction exercise may simulate the inadequate

energy and oxygen supply-demand circumstances and resulting physiologic responses which occur when we approach muscle failure at higher loads. Studies have demonstrated an increase in myonuclei via PAX7 activation and increased S6K1 phosphorylation following blood flow restriction training, with resulting augmented myoprotein synthesis and, ultimately, muscle hypertrophy [4, 12]. Furthermore, animal models have shown improved bone healing with the use of blood flow restriction, with one current hypothesis being that venous occlusion results in increased intraosseous pressure, effectively simulating mechanical loading and the resulting osseous response [6, 13].

Early clinical results have been promising [1, 7], and although high-quality studies are currently lacking, prospective, randomized trials are underway [2]. What about safety? More evidence from large studies is needed, but results from numerous studies to date have been reassuring [9].

Even NASA has gotten into the game, researching the ability of blood flow restriction to reduce muscle and bone mineral density loss associated with the prolonged weightlessness inherent to space travel [5]. Thus, while counterintuitive (remember, ischemia is bad), with ease of execution, low expense, sound translational research foundation, and evolving

body of supporting evidence, practicing orthopaedic surgeons need to become familiar with blood flow restriction training. Here's to hoping that less really can be more.

References

1. Clark BC, Manini TM, Hoffman RL, Williams PS, Guiler MK, Knutson MJ, McGlynn ML, Kushnick MR. Relative safety of 4 weeks of blood flow-restricted resistance exercise in young, healthy adults. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*. 2011;21:653–662.
2. Clinicaltrials.gov. Blood flow restriction training. Available at: <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/results?term=blood+flow+restriction+studies&Search=Search>. Accessed January 18, 2017.
3. Deyle GD, Henderson NE, Matekel RL, Ryder MG, Garber MB, Allison SC. Effectiveness of manual physical therapy and exercise in osteoarthritis of the knee: A randomized, controlled trial. *Ann Int Med*. 2000;132:173–181.
4. Fujita S, Abe T, Drummond MJ, Cadenas JG, Dreyer HC, Sato Y, Volpi E, Rasmussen BB. Blood flow restriction during low-intensity resistance exercise increases S6K1 phosphorylation and muscle protein synthesis. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 2007;103:903–910.
5. Hackney KJ, Everett M, Scott JM, Ploutz-Snyder L. Blood flow-restricted exercise in space. *Extrem Physiol Med*. 2012;1:12.
6. Hewitt JD, Harrelson JM, Dailiana Z, Guilak F, Fink C. The effect of

in the presentation implies any Federal/DOA/DON/DOD endorsement. The author received no financial support for this editorial.

B. K. Potter MD, FACS
Orthopaedic Surgery, Uniformed
Services University –Walter Reed
Department of Surgery, Bethesda, MD,
USA

B. K. Potter MD, FACS (✉)
Walter Reed National Military Medical
Center, 8901 Wisconsin Ave, America
Building (19), 2nd Floor – Ortho,
Bethesda, MD 20889, USA
e-mail: Benjamin.k.potter.mil@mail.mil

From Bench to Bedside

- intermittent pneumatic compression on fracture healing. *J Orthop Trauma*. 2005;19:371–376.
7. Hylden C, Burns T, Stinner D, Owens J. Blood flow restriction rehabilitation for extremity weakness: A case series. *J Spec Op Med*. 2015;15:50–56.
 8. Kapp W, Lindsey RW, Noble PC, Rudersdorf T, Henry P. Long-term residual musculoskeletal deficits after femoral shaft fractures treated with intramedullary nailing. *J Trauma*. 2000;49:446–449.
 9. Loenneke JP, Wilson JM, Wilson GJ, Pujol TJ, Bembien MG. Potential safety issues with blood flow restriction training. *Scan J Med Sci Sports*. 2011;21:510–518.
 10. Minns Lowe CJ, Barker KL, Dewey M, Sackley CM. Effectiveness of physiotherapy exercise after knee arthroplasty for osteoarthritis: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMJ*. 2007;335:812–815.
 11. Naylor JM, Crosbie J, Ko V. Is there a role for rehabilitation streaming following total knee arthroplasty? Preliminary insights from a randomized controlled trial. *J Rehabil Med*. 2015;47:235–241.
 12. Nielsen JL, Aagaard P, Bech RD, Nygaard T, Hvid LG, Wernbom M, Suetta C, Frandsen U. Proliferation of myogenic stem cells in human skeletal muscle in response to low-load resistance training with blood flow restriction. *J Physiol*. 2012;590:4351–4361.
 13. Park SH, Silva M. Effect of intermittent pneumatic soft-tissue compression on fracture-healing in an animal model. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2003;85-A:1446–1453.
 14. Stevens-Lapsley JE, Balter JE, Kohrt WM, Eckhoff DG. Quadriceps and hamstrings muscle dysfunction after total knee arthroplasty. *Clin Orthop Rel Res*. 2010;468:2460–2468.
 15. Takarada Y, Takazawa H, Sato Y, Takebayashi S, Tanaka Y, Ishii N. Effects of resistance exercise combined with moderate vascular occlusion on muscular function in humans. *J Appl Physiol (1985)*. 2000;88:2097–2106.