

Prevention of Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy: a Novel Application of the Polypill Concept

J. L. Browne¹ · K. Klipstein-Grobusch^{1,2} · A. Franx³ · D. E. Grobbee¹

Published online: 21 May 2016 © The Author(s) 2016. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract Nearly all of the annual 287,000 global maternal deaths are preventable. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) are among the major causes. A novel fixed-dose combination pill or *polypill* to prevent cardiovascular disease is a promising strategy for prevention of HDP. The aim of this study was to identify eligible candidates for a polypill for the prevention of HDP. A comprehensive review of systematic reviews on drug and dietary interventions to prevent HDP was conducted. Interventions were evaluated based on efficacy, dose, route of administration, and side effects. Fourteen

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *Lipid Abnormalities and Cardiovascular Prevention*

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s11886-016-0725-x) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

J. L. Browne J.L.Browne@umcutrecht.nl

> K. Klipstein-Grobusch K.Klipstein-Grobusch@umcutrecht.nl

A. Franx A.Franx-2@umcutrecht.nl

D. E. Grobbee D.E.Grobbee@umcutrecht.nl

- ¹ Julius Global Health, Julius Center for Health Sciences and Primary Care, University Medical Center Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584, CX Utrecht, The Netherlands
- ² Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
- ³ Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University Medical Center Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands

interventions were assessed. Low-dose aspirin and calcium were identified as candidates for a polypill, with risk reduction estimations for pregnancy-induced hypertension and preeclampsia ranging between 10 and 62 %, depending on patient population characteristics including a priori risk, and gestation age at start of intervention. Their effect may be augmented through the addition of vitamin D, vitamin B₁₂, and folic acid. The effect and optimal composition needs to be evaluated in future trials. Given the persistent burden of maternal and perinatal mortality associated with HDP, prevention of these disorders is key—especially in low-resource settings. The polypill approach with a combination of aspirin, calcium, vitamin D, vitamin B₁₂, and folic acid is a promising strategy to improve maternal and perinatal health outcomes.

Keywords Hypertensive disorders · Pregnancy · Polypill

Introduction

Nearly all of the annual 287,000 global maternal deaths are preventable [1]. Most of these occur in low- and middle-income countries (LMIC), and particularly in Sub-Saharan Africa and South Asia [1]. Although substantial progress has been made to reduce the maternal mortality ratio (MMR) with a 45 % decline since 1990, this still falls short of the Millennium Development Goal 5's target of a reduction by 75 % [2]. Improving maternal health thus remains a global commitment through Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 3.1 with the ambition to reduce the global MMR to less than 70 per 100,000 live births by 2030 [3].

One of the major causes of maternal mortality is hypertensive disorders of pregnancy (HDP) [1]. These include pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH), preeclampsia, eclampsia, and HELLP syndrome, and are characterized by increasing morbidity and mortality [4]. Besides improving



early diagnosis and initiation of appropriate treatment for PIH and preeclampsia [5, 6•], prevention of the disorders from occurring is an essential strategy to reduce morbidity and mortality—particularly in low-resource settings where availability of adequate care is limited. A number of interventions to prevent HDP have been previously described and include low-dose aspirin and calcium supplementation [7, 8••].

In the prevention of cardiovascular diseases, fixed-dose combination pills or *polypills* are currently explored as a novel strategy to simultaneously address various risk factors at once and facilitate optimal adherence [9–13]. Yet, the potential to combine the various strategies to prevent HDP in a single pill has not been explored. The same guiding principles as originally proposed for a polypill apply: a large preventative effect in all women at increased risk, several causal risk factors targeted at once, and reduction of these risk factors by as much as possible [11].

The objective of this review is to identify eligible candidates for a polypill for the prevention of hypertensive disorders of pregnancy through a comprehensive review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses.

Methods

A search of systematic reviews and meta-analyses was conducted in Pubmed in October 2015 for interventions to prevent HDP. The search string for systematic reviews included a search of Cochrane Library, as recommended elsewhere [14, 15]. Supplement 1 includes the search strategy. The search and article selection were performed by a single reviewer (JB).

Eligibility Criteria

All peer-reviewed systematic reviews and meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of drug or dietary supplement interventions for the prevention of HDP were eligible for inclusion.

The following inclusion criteria were applied: the objective of the review should be primary prevention of HDP. Participants could either have the intention to conceive or be pregnant without any HDP at inclusion. Women with chronic hypertension were eligible for inclusion. The intervention was compared to a placebo, no treatment, or an alternative treatment. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses were excluded if they addressed behavioral change interventions, were not published in English, or assessed secondary prevention of a hypertensive disorder (e.g., magnesium sulfate for the prevention of eclampsia).

If several meta-analyses were available for the same intervention, the Cochrane meta-analysis was used, unless other articles addressed a specific population, included good quality trials not yet included in the latest Cochrane review that affected the estimated effect size, or were individual patient data meta-analyses. When articles by the same authors were published multiple times, the most comprehensive review was considered for inclusion. For updated versions of Cochrane reviews, only the most recent was considered.

Outcome Measures

Our primary outcomes of interest were pregnancy-induced hypertension (PIH) or preeclampsia, as defined by the reviews' authors. Definitions of PIH or preeclampsia usually were an elevated blood pressure of at least 140 mmHg systolic or 90 mmHg diastolic after 20 weeks of gestation, without or with significant proteinuria, respectively. Secondary outcomes were reported side effects.

Assessment of Methodological Quality of Reviews

Articles were assessed if they adhered to the methodology published by the Cochrane Handbook of Systematic Reviews of Interventions [16]. For reviews that did not adhere to the Cochrane methodology, it was assessed whether a risk of bias evaluation was performed for primary articles.

Data Extraction

Information from included articles was extracted using a standardized form on the following items: article, year of publication, number of RCTs included, publication years of included RCTs, number of participants, number of participants in trials with HDP outcomes, daily dose of intervention, start of intervention across trials, control group, estimated effect on PIH or preeclampsia in relative risk (RR) with 95 % confidence interval (95 % CI), whether HDP risk was a primary or secondary objective of the study, the quality of the evidence as reported by the authors of the study, and whether an assessment of the risk of bias was included in original articles.

Data Synthesis

The results of the review were primarily descriptive to provide a comprehensive overview of drug or dietary supplement prevention strategies.

For each identified intervention, suitability for inclusion in a polypill was evaluated based on review reports on efficacy, dose, route of administration, side effects (acceptable/not acceptable/acceptable in high-risk only), and quality of evidence. Each category was color-labeled favorable, unfavorable or, ambiguous/intermediate for polypill inclusion.

Results

After screening 815 articles identified in the search, 25 systematic reviews reporting on 14 drug and dietary interventions were included (Fig. 1). Table 1 includes an overview of the characteristics of included reviews.

Medication and dietary interventions included antithrombotic therapy [7, 17–21, 36], nitric oxide (donors) [23, 24], diuretics [22], metformin [25], and progesterone [26].

Seven systematic reviews reported on antithrombotic therapy, primarily aspirin, for various populations; heterogeneous populations of pregnant women, women at risk of placental dysfunction and hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, women with unexplained recurrent miscarriages with or without inherited thrombophilia or positive anti-phospholipid antibodies, pregnant women after in vitro fertilization, and pregnant women who started with aspirin before 16 weeks of gestation [7, 17-21]. Based on an individual patient meta-analysis of more than 32,000 women, the estimated risk reduction for preeclampsia with aspirin supplementation is 10 % (RR 0.90; 95 % CI 0.84 to 0.97) [19]. The risk reduction is more than 50 % when aspirin is started before 16 weeks of gestation in women at risk of preeclampsia (9 RCTs, >11000 women, RR 0.47, 95 % CI 0.34 to 0.65) [20]. Doses of aspirin exceeding 75 mg/day may reduce the risk of preeclampsia more compared to lower doses [7], though no trial directly compared different doses. The combination of aspirin plus dipyridamole may further reduce the risk of preeclampsia (5 RCTs, 506 women, RR 0.30, 95 % CI 0.15 to 0.60) [7]. The estimated effects of aspirin or other antithrombotic interventions for specific populations of women at increased risk varied and were limited by small sample sizes.

Nitric oxide was evaluated in an unselected population of pregnant women and women with a moderate to high risk of developing preeclampsia [23, 24]. For high-risk women, a significantly reduced risk with the nitric oxide precursor L-arginine was observed (RR 0.34, 95 % CI 0.21 to 0.55). No risk reductions were observed with diuretics [22], metformin for women with polycystic ovary syndrome [25], and progesterone for women with threatened miscarriage [26].

Nutrient interventions were assessed in 11 systematic reviews and included calcium [8••, 27] vitamin B₆ [28], vitamin C [29], vitamin D [30], vitamin E [37], fatty acids [32, 33], magnesium [34], zinc [35], and garlic [38],

Calcium supplementation with 1.5-2 g was assessed in a large meta-analysis (13 RCTs, >15000 women) and showed a reduction in the risk for gestational hypertension by 35 % (RR 0.65, 95 % CI 0.53 to 0.81) and preeclampsia by 55 % (RR 0.45, 95 % CI 0.31 to 0.65). The risk reduction for preeclampsia in women with a low calcium intake was 64 % (RR 0.36, 95 % CI 0.20 to 0.65) [8••]. In the same review, lower quality trials with a high risk of bias suggested that a daily supplement of less than 1 gram may reduce the risk of HDP (PIH 5 RCTs, RR 0.53, 95 % CI 0.38 to 0.74; preeclampsia 10 RCTs, RR




Fig. 1 Flow chart of review process

	Included risk of bias assessment								
	Incl of bi asse		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Quality of evidence		Sufficient	Sufficient	Sufficient	High	Not assessed except for publication bias	Acceptable	Low
	Side effects reported (in any of the trials)		None	Inconsistent reporting of bleeding or local skin reactions with LMHW	Local skin exactions or bruising, in 0.04% 0.04% bleeding with long-term UFH, Dipercased bleeding with ansthesia or intraoperative	No difference in andrepartum herrorhage, congential pheeding hidants infants		None	
	Primary or secondary outcome		Primary	Secondary	Secondary	Primary	Primary	Primary	Secondary
	Other subgroup Primary or analyses secondary outcome		High-risk women, PIH (in 12 small RCTs) RR 0.54 (0.41- 0.70); PE RR 0.75 (0.66-0.85);	Individual studies aspirin or/and LMWH vs no treatment or aspirin-only treatment: not significant	· .	Similar results restricted to placebo- controlled, septimently, septimently, septiment restriction, or septiment restriction, or restriction, or restriction, or restriction, or restriction, or restriction, or differences for fills or 2-20, 2-35 and diseased diseased diseased restriction and diseased diseased restriction and diseased diseased diseased restriction and diseased dis	No effect of blinding, dose (< or >80 mg), use of dipyridamole, risk of PE (low v s high), or trial size on sensiti vity analysis.		1
	Estimation of effect preeclampsia		46 RCTs RR 0.83 (0.77- 0.89)	None pooled;	7 RCTs, RR 047 (0.22- 1.03)	0.97) 0.97)	<16 weeks; 9 RCTs RR 0.47 R0.43, 0.47 8.40,65), 10.40,651 RCTs RR 0.81 (0.63-1.03)		5 RCTs, RR 0.47 (0.10- 2.31)
	Control group Estimation of Estimation of effect PIH effect preeclampsia		34 RCTs, RR 0.95 (0.88- 1.03)				Cl weeks: 7 = 16 weeks: 9 = 7 RCTs RV 0.2 RCTs RV 0.47 = 10 (0.450, 38); (0.340, 65); (0.340, 65); (0.340, 65); (0.340, 65); (0.474, 65); (0.474, 88	4 RCTs, - singleton: OR 0.62 (0.22-1.70); twin: OR 1.2 (0.35-4.4)	
	Control group		Placebo/no treatment, or with another treatment	Placebo/no treatment, or with another treatment	No treatment	Placebo or no treatment	Placebo or no treatment		
	Start of intervention			1st trimester	Primarily 2nd trimester	Ist and 2nd trimester		Preconception Placebo	Not reported Aspirin-only
	Type of Start of intervention(s) intervention **		Aspirin (dipyridamole, UFH, LMWH, antithrombin III)	Aspirin (UFH, LMWH, nadoparin)	Heparin, dipyridamole, aspirin, ine. olopyrimid ine.	Aspirin Aspirin Isparin, ozagiel) ozagiel	Aspirin lst and 2nd (dipyridamole) trimester	Aspirin	Aspirin + LMWH/UFH
	Population*		Heterogeneous	Women with unexplained recurrent miscarriage with or without inherited thrombophilia	Women åt risk of placental dysfunction	Heterogeneous	Women at increased risk, with supplementatio n <≥ 16 weeks gestation	Women with IVF Aspirin pregnancies, <40 years, varying number of cycles (but <4)	Women with recurrent pregnancy loss and positive anti- phospholipid antibodies
C MOI	Number of participants in all RCTs (in HDP trials)		1986-2004 37560 (37560)	1228 (743)	1139 (761)	32217 (32217)	11348 (11348)	268 (268)	334 (222)
	Studies published between		1986-2004	1997-2012		1985-2005			1996-2009
	RCTs included intervention of interest	Antithrombic therapy, including aspirin	59	6		31 trials for which individual patient data was available was available	- 27	4 trials for which 2004-2009 individual patient data was available	Ś
הומומיני	Article	Antithrombiv	Duley, 2007 [7]	De Jong, 2013 [17]	Dodd, 2013 [13]	Aske, 2007 [19]	Bujok, 2010 [20]	Groeneveld, 2013 [36]	Mak, 2010 [21]



🖄 Springer

Yes		Yes	Yes		Ŷ		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes		Yes
Uncertain		4 good quality, 2 uncertain	Fair		Unclear		Low		ф	Low	Moderate to high		Low		Moderate quality
Nausea and vomiting pruritis and rash, weakness or dizziness		Headache	I study reported increase in dyspepsia, nausea, dizziness, headache and nations		Vomiting, diarrhea, gastrointestina I symptoms		None		Difficulty swallowing or chewing, syndrome (2 trials), reduced with abrupt with abrupt with abrupt with abrupt or postpartum postpartum postpartum teduce iron reduce iron trial), doses >(000000000000000000000000000000000000		Not as sessed		None		None
Primary		Primary	Primary		-Primary		Primary		Primary	Primary	Primary		Primary		Primary
			1 RCT, progression to PE for women with HDP: RR 0.21 (0.05-0.98)						*Low calcium 0.46 (N 20-0.65) 0.46 (12-0.65) high risk of PE: RCTs RR 0.22 (0.12-0.42)	*High quality: 1 *High quality: 1 1.38): calcium- only: RR 0.36 (23-0.57); (23-0.57); (23-0.57); (23-0.57); (23-0.57); (23-0.57); (23-0.50); (2	Risk PE with higher pre- pregnancy risk: RR 0.18 (0.07- 0.42)				
4 RCTs, RR 0.68 (0.45- 1.03)		4 RCTs, RR 0.83 (0.49- 1.41)	1 RCT, women at risk for HDP: RR 0.34 (0.21-0.55)		3 RCTs, RR 0.92 (0.28- 3.00)				13 RCTs, RR 0.45 (0.31- 0.65)	10 R CT5 R R 0.38 (0.28- 0.52)	10 R.CTS, RR 0.41 (0.24- 0.69)		2 RCTs tablet, RR 1.71 (0.85- 3.45), 1 RCT lozenges, RR 1.43 (0.64- 3.22)		16 RCTs, RR 0.92 (0.80- 1.05)
							2 RCTs, RR 1.00 (0.54- 1.88)		12 RCTs RR 0.05 (0.53- 0.81)	5 RCTs, RR 0.53 (0.38- 0.74)	6 RCTs, RR 0.55 (0.36- 0.85)				
Placebo or no treatment		Placebo/no treatment, or with another treatment	Placebo or not specified for some studies		Stopped metformin after confirmed pregnancy		Placebo or no treatment		Placebo or no treatment	Placebo or no treatment	Placebo		Placebo or no treatment, multivitamine without B6		Placebo or no treatment, multivitamins without vitamin C.
1-3 trimester		1-3 trimester	1-3 trimester		Pre- conception, or 1 st trimester		1st trimester		1-2 trimester	1-2 trimester	1-3 trimester		1-3 trimester		1-3 trimester
Chloorthiazide, 1 hydroclorothiaz ide, or unspecified thiazide diuretics		Glyceryl trinitrate (patches) or oral L-arginine	Larginine oral or supplement bars		Metformin		Dydrogesteron e, progesterone		calcium calcium	1 gr calcium (linoleic acid or antioxidants)	Calcium		Pyridoxine- HCL, pyridoxine/mul tivitamin		Vitamine C, combined with vitamin E, altopurinol, aspirin, fish oil, or iron/folic acic/vitamin B.
Heterogeneous		Moderate to high risk of developing PE	Heterogeneous		Women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS)		Women with threatened miscarriage		Hetengeneous	Heterogeneous	Women in developing countries; primiparous and multiparous, singleton and cestation		Heterogeneous		Heterogeneous
1836 (1836)		310 (310)	884 (228)		932 (673)		421 (337)		15730(15730)	2234 (2234)	11405 (11405)		1646 (1197)		24300 (21956)
	sors (L-arginine)	1999-2002	2006-2011		2004-2011		1987-2009		1990-2007	1987-2006	1989-2009		1963-1984		1979-2014
s	Nitric oxide donors or precursors (L-arginine)	7 6	٢		S	0	4		Ξ.	01	0		4		29
Churchill, 2007 [22]	Nitric oxide	Meher, 2007 [23]	Domiak- Wall, 2014 [24]	Metformin	Feng. 2015 [25]	Progesterone	Wahabi, 2011 [26]	Calcium	Hofmeyr, 2014 [8-]	Hofmeyr, 2014 [8-:]	Imdad, 2011 [27]	Vitamin B6	Salam, 2015 [28]	Vitamin C	Rumbold, 2015 [29]

 Table 1
 (Continued)

 $\underline{\textcircled{O}}$ Springer

Vitamin D												
De-Regil, 6 2012[30]	1980-2008 1023 (400)	0) Heterogeneous Vitamin D	s Vitamin D	2-3 trimester	Placebo or no treatment	,	1 RCT, RR 0.67 (0.33- 1.35)		Primary	None	Very low	Yes
Pérez-López, 13 2014 [31]	1980-2014 2299 (654)	4) Heterogeneous	 Vitamin D2 or D3 (with calcium, iron or mutlivitamin) 	Not reported	Placebo or no intervention		3 RCTs, RR 0.88 (0.51– 1.52)		Primary	None	Moderate quality	Yes
Vitamin E												
Rumbold, 21 2015 [37]	1996-2014 22 129 (20878)	08.78) Heterogeneous	 Vitamin E alone, vitamin EC (with Blopurin, fish oil). 	1-3 trimester	Placebo or no treatment.		14 RCTs, RR 0.91 (0.79- 1.06)	No difference for ~20 or >20 weeks gestation, low or adequate dietary vitamin Einaks, low or high risk of adverse adverse programcy programsy enrohment	Primary	Self-reported abdominal pain, PROM	Moderate quality	Xes S
Fatty acids supplementation	ation											
Makrides, 6 2006 [32]	1992-2003 2783	Heterogeneous	Heterogeneous DHA, EPA, (primrose oils with fish oil)	2-3 trimester	Placebo or no intervention	5 RCTs, RR 1.09 (0.90- 1.33)	4 RCTs, RR 0.86 (0.59- 1.27)	No differences in timing of supplementation, exclusively marine oils, or high risk	Primary	None	Three low risk.	
Allen, 2014 17 [33]	1992-2012 8712 (4579)		Heterogeneous DHA, EPA, GLA; Omega 3	2-3 trimester	Placebo or no intervention		6 RCTs, RR 0.92 (0.71- 1.18)	6	Primary	None	Not specified for this interventions	Yes
Magnesium												
Makrides, 10 2014 [34]	1979-2007 9090 (1042)	42) Primiparous and rous multiparous, low single and twin gestations, low risk and high risk and high risk	Magnesium oxide, magnesium citrate, magnesium, magnesium aspartate	1-3 trimester	Placebo or no treatment	3 RCTs, RR 0.39 (0.11- 1.41)	3 RCTs, RR 0.87 (0.58- 1.32)	No difference for type of magnesium	Primary	Gastro- intestinal symptoms (not significant)	Gastro- Most trials minestrial unclear to high symptoms (not risk, 2 low risk significant)	Yes
Zinc												
Ota, 2015 [35] 21	1983-2014 >17000 (2975)	975) Primiparous and Zinc multiparous, single and twin gestations, low and unreported zinc status	Zinc	1-3 trimester	1-3 trimester Placebo, 7 RCTs, RR - iron/folie acid 0.83 (0.64-1.08)	7 RCTs, RR 0.83 (0.64-1.08)	1		Secondary	None	Risk undear in Yes half study	Yes
Garlie												

59 Page 6 of 11

Yes

Uncertain

Odour

Primary

1 RCT, RR 0.78 (0.31-1.93)

1 RCT, RR 0.50 (0.25-1.00)

Placebo

2-3 trimester

Jarlic tablets

Primigravida, moderate risk

100 (100)

Meher, 2006 [38]

Garlic

eic acid

enoic acid, GLA

aenoic acid, EPA eicospe etc.

ncv. age.

UFH unfractioned heparin, LMWH low molecular weight heparin, DHA docosahexaenoie Heterogeneous: no consistent inclusion criteria based on risk, parity, singleton or twin pre-

0.38, 95 % CI 0.28 to 0.58). Assessment of benefit of supplementation specifically in developing countries showed a 59 % reduction in preeclampsia (10 RCTs, RR 0.41, 95 % CI 0.24 to 0.69) among participating women [27]. The nitric oxide precursor L-arginine was observed in one RCT with 228 participants to reduce the risk of pre-eclampsia (RR 0.34, 95 % CI 0.21 to 0.55). None of the other nutrient supplements reduced the risk of HDP.

Polypill Eligibility

In the assessment of interventions' eligibility for inclusion in a polypill (Table 2), only aspirin and calcium remained after elimination of interventions for which there was no significant risk reduction for development of PIH or preeclampsia. An exception was L-arginine, which was excluded for the amount required (3–12 g), which exceeds tablets' or capsules' capacity.

Both aspirin and calcium were considered to have a favorable route of administration and required dose.

Side effects of both interventions appear acceptable given their beneficial effect. The concern that aspirin may increase antepartum hemorrhage, postpartum hemorrhage, or bleeding in children, was refuted by the individual patient analysis by Askie et al. based on 32,000 women that did not observe higher incidences [19]. For calcium, supplementation may result in mild gastrointestinal discomforts including difficulty swallowing and chewing. One significant concern with calcium supplementation was the observed increase in HELLP syndrome incidence (0.9/1000 vs 2.5/1000) in two trials. This was hypothesized to be the result of a masking effect with blood pressure lowering without addressing the underlying pathophysiologic process of preeclampsia when supplementation started in the second trimester, and caused delay in recognition, diagnosis, and treatment [8...]. Another concern with higher calcium supplementation (>800 mg) is a possibly reduced capacity for iron absorption [8..], particularly relevant given the persistent high global prevalence of (iron-dependent) anemia in pregnant women [39].

Discussion and Conclusions

Based on a large body of evidence from randomized controlled trials, eligible candidates for a polypill to prevent hypertensive disorders of pregnancy are calcium and aspirin.

As this review only assessed potential preventative interventions evaluated in RCTs, a number of interventions for which no or too few high-quality trials exist were not included. For example, folic acid and vitamin B_{12} have been associated with a lower risk of preeclampsia in observational studies and are currently explored in clinical trials [40, 41]. Likewise, we did not include behavioral interventions in this review although effective interventions have been described and include diet and lifestyle-based metabolic risk modification [33].

As of yet, only one small trial (n=49) has been conducted to evaluate the effect of aspirin and calcium simultaneously for the prevention of superimposed preeclampsia in women with chronic hypertension between 20 and 27 weeks of gestation [42]. This trial did not take a polypill approach, but instead provided aspirin pills and calcium carbonate powder to be dissolved in water, which may explain low adherence in the intervention arm (<50 % with optimal adherence). There was a non-significant lower rate of superimposed preeclampsia (52.2 vs 73.1 %, p=0.11) in the intervention arm. One of the key advantages of the polypill approach is the improvement of adherence, especially when otherwise the pill burden would be high [11, 12].

The polypill approach limits the number of pills patients need to take to have an effective risk reduction. As the current WHO recommended dose of 1.5 to 2 g of calcium [43] requires multiple capsules or tablets, the growing evidence that risk reduction may also occur with lower amounts of calcium (as low as 500 to 600 mg) is encouraging and will enhance the feasibility of a polypill intervention [8••]. A further advantage of the polypill approach is that without an increase in the pill burden, and therefore with maintained or improved adherence, other components to support the effect of the primary intervention can be easily added.

We propose a polypill for the prevention of HDP consisting of calcium and aspirin. These combined interventions target different pathophysiologic pathways of HDP at once. Aspirin inhibits the results of preeclampsia-associated placental damage, with platelet and clotting system activation, and restores the imbalance between the vasoconstrictor thromboxane A2 and vasodilator prostacyclin [4, 7]. Calcium attenuates the effects of relatively low serum calcium levels on blood pressure: parathyroid hormone (PTH) and renin release with the consequences of increased levels of intracellular calcium, vasoconstriction of vascular smooth muscle, and increased peripheral resistance [8 $\cdot\cdot$].

The addition of three other components may enhance the polypill's effect. Calcium resorption in the gut is enhanced through the addition of vitamin D. Folic acid and vitamin B_{12} , based on the circumstantial evidence of observational data and previously hypothesized mode of action, reduce the risk of HDP further through improved placental and endothelial function by lowering plasma homocysteine levels [40, 41],

 Table 2
 Assessment of interventions' eligibility for inclusion in a polypill

			-					
Article	Population*	Most common dose, route of administration	Route of administration	Estimation of effect PIH	Estimation of effect preeclampsia	Side effects reported (in any of the trials)	Quality of evidence (as reported in sys rev)	Final assessment: inclusion in polypi (general of specific subpopulation)
Antithrombic	therapy, including aspi	rin						
Duley, 2007 [7]	Heterogeneous	75-100mg aspirin	Oral	RR 0.95 (0.88- 1.03)	RR 0.83 (0.77- 0.89)	Acceptable	Acceptable	Yes
Dodd, 2013 [18]	Women at risk of placental dysfunction	Inconsistently reported	Oral and sc	-	RR 0.47 (0.22- 1.03)	Intermediate	Acceptable	No
Askie, 2007 [19]	Heterogeneous	75-100mg aspirin		-	RR 0.90 (0.84- 0.97)	Acceptable	Acceptable	Yes
3ujold, 2010 20]	Women at increased risk, and started with supplementation ≥<br 16 weeks gestation	75-100mg aspirin	Oral	<16 weeks; RR 0.62 (0.45- 0.84); >16 weeks RR 0.63 (047-0.85)	<16 weeks; 9 RCTs RR 0.47 (0.34-0.65); >16 weeks 18 RCTs RR 0.81 (0.63-1.03)	Acceptable	Unclear	Yes
Groeneveld, 2013 [36]	Women with IVF pregnancies, <40 years, varying number of cycles (but <4)	100mg aspirin	Oral	OR 0.62 (0.22-1.	70)	Acceptable	Acceptable	No
Mak, 2010 21]	Women with recurrent pregnancy loss and positive anti-phospholipid antibodies	75-81mg aspirin with 5000-12000 LMWH or 5000-40000 UFH	Oral and sc	-	RR 0.47 (0.10- 2.31)	Acceptable	Low	No
Diuretics								
Churchill, 2007 [22]	Heterogeneous	Inconsistently reported	Oral		RR 0.68 (0.45- 1.03)	Intermediate	Unclear	No
Nitric oxide d	onors or precursors (L-	arginine)						
Meher, 2007 23]	Moderate to high risk of developing PE	Inconsistently reported	Oral	-	RR 0.83 (0.49- 1.41)	Acceptable	Moderate	No
Dorniak- Wall, 2014 [24]	Heterogeneous	3-12 grams orally	Oral	-	Women at risk for HDP: RR 0.34 (0.21-0.55)	Acceptable	Acceptable	No
Metformin								
Feng, 2015 [25]	Women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS)	0.85-2g metformin, depending on BMI	Oral		RR 0.92 (0.28- 3.00)	Acceptable	Unclear	No
Progesteron								
Wahabi, 2011 [26]	Women with threatened miscarriage	20-40 mg dydrogesterone, 25- 90 mg progesterone	Oral	RR 1.00 (0.54- 1.88)	-	Acceptable	Low	No
Calcium								
lofmeyr, 014 [8…]	Heterogeneous	1.5-2 grams calcium	Oral	RR 0.65 (0.53- 0.81)	RR 0.45 (0.31- 0.65)	Acceptable	Acceptable	Yes
Hofmeyr, 2014 [8…]	Heterogeneous	500-625 mg calcium	Oral	RR 0.53 (0.38- 0.74)	RR 0.38 (0.28- 0.52)	Acceptable	Low	Possibly
Imdad, 2011 [27]	Women in developing countries; primiparous and multiparous, singleton and multiple gestation	1.5-2 grams calcium	Oral	RR 0.55 (0.36- 0.85)	RR 0.41 (0.24- 0.69)	Acceptable	Moderate to high	Yes

Who Should Take the Polypill to Reduce Hypertensive Disorders of Pregnancy?

The polypill will be most effective for those at increased risk of developing HDP. However, the ability to accurately predict which women are at highest risk is hampered by the lack of robust prognostic models [4, 44, 45]. Still, risk factors are well described and increased risk for the development of preeclampsia established for women who are older, nulliparous, have antiphospolipid antibodies, preexisting diabetes mellitus, preexisting hypertension, multiple pregnancy, a higher BMI, and a pregnancy (family) history of hypertensive disorders [44, 46]. Given the likely very low rate of adverse events associated with the polypill components and the advantages of preventing maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality associated with HDP, the prescription of the polypill to women from moderate risk upwards, i.e., those with the aforementioned risk factors, can be justified.

The polypill approach allows the development of alternative fixed-dose compositions designed for specific

Table 2 (continued)

Article	Population*	Most common dose, route of administration	Route of administration	Estimation of effect PIH	Estimation of effect preeclampsia	Side effects reported (in any of the trials)	Quality of evidence (as reported in sys rev)	Final assessment: inclusion in polypill (general of specific subpopulation)
Vitamine B6								
Salam, 2015	Heterogeneous	20-25 mg pyridoxine	Oral	-	RR 1.71 (0.85- 3.45)	Acceptable	Low	No
Vitamin C Rumbold, 2015 [28]	Heterogeneous	100-2000mg vitamine C	Oral		RR 0.92 (0.80- 1.05)	Acceptable	Moderate	No
Vitamin D								
De-Regil, 2012 [30]	Heterogeneous	800-1200 IU vitamin D	Oral	-	RR 0.67 (0.33- 1.35)	Acceptable	Very low	No
Pérez-López, 2014 [31]	Heterogeneous	1000-3000 IU D3	Oral		RR 0.88 (0.51– 1.52)	Acceptable	Moderate	No
Vitamin E								
Rumbold, 2015 [29]	Heterogeneous	100-800 IU vitamin E	Oral		RR 0.91 (0.79- 1.06)	Ambigeous	Moderate	No
Fatty acids su	pplementation							
Makrides, 2006 [32]	Heterogeneous	1-3 grams DHA/EPA	Oral	RR 1.09 (0.90- 1.33)	RR 0.86 (0.59- 1.27)	Acceptable	Varying	No
Allen, 2014 [33]	Heterogeneous	1-3 grams DHA/EPA	Oral	-	RR 0.92 (0.71- 1.18)	Acceptable	Unclear	No
Magnesium								
Makrides, 2014 [34]	Primiparous and multiparous, single and twin gestations, low risk and high risk pregnancies	300-1000 mg magnesium	Oral	RR 0.39 (0.11- 1.41)	RR 0.87 (0.58- 1.32)	Acceptable	Moderate	No
Zinc	1.5							
Ota, 2015 [35]	Primiparous and multiparous, single and twin gestations, low and unreported zinc status	5-44 zinc	Oral	RR 0.8	3 (0.64-1.08)	Acceptable	Moderate	No
Garlic	Zine status							
Meher, 2006 [38]	Primigravida, moderate risk (postive roll-over test)	800 mg garlic	Oral	RR 0.50 (0.25- 1.00)	RR 0.78 (0.31- 1.93)	Acceptable	Unclear	No

subgroups. For example, for women with preexisting hypertension or pregnancy-induced hypertension who require oral antihypertensive treatment with the betablocker labetalol, alpha-agonist methyldopa, or calcium channel blocker nifedipine [47–49], these drugs could be combined with aspirin or calcium in a polypill to reduce the risk of progression into severe HDP. Similarly, women with gestational diabetes without access to or contraindication for insulin may benefit from the addition of metformin [50, 51]. However, although metformin is a promising alternative to insulin, more randomized evidence about its effectiveness is required.

Importantly, the exact health impact and optimal composition of a polypill in pregnancy to prevent HDP for women at increased risk or specific subpopulations needs to be established in properly conducted randomized controlled trials. Ideally with multiple arms to explore various composition modalities, at various gestational ages, and in different populations including countries with limited resources. Subsequently, implementation studies will need to assess the costeffectiveness and optimal integration in existing health systems.

Given the persistent burden of maternal and perinatal mortality globally associated with hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, prevention of these disorders from occurring is key—especially in low-resource settings. In addition, collateral wins are to be expected as calcium supplementation and low-dose aspirin have been associated with reductions in prematurity, intrauterine growth retardation, small for gestational age babies, stillbirth, and neonatal mortality [7, 8••, 19].

A polypill approach with a combination of aspirin, calcium, vitamin D, vitamin B_{12} , and folic acid is a promising, safe, and effective strategy to promote improved maternal and perinatal health outcomes.

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of Interest Joyce Browne, Diederick Grobbee, Arie Franx, and Kerstin Klipstein-Grobusch declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Human and Animal Rights and Informed Consent This article does not contain any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

References

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- •• Of major importance
- Say L, Chou D, Gemmill A, Tunçalp Ö, Moller A-B, Daniels J, et al. Global causes of maternal death: a WHO systematic analysis. Lancet Glob Heal. 2014;2:e323–33. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(14) 70227-X.
- 2. UN General Assembly (55th session). United Nations Millennium Declaration, Resolution Adopted by the General Assembly [Internet]. A/RES/55/2. New York: UN General Assembly; 2000. Available: http://www.refworld.org/docid/3b00f4ea3.html
- 3. UN General Assembly (70th session). Transforming our world: the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, Resolution adopted by the General Assembly. A/70/L.1. New York: UN General Assembly; 2015.
- Mol BWJ, Roberts CT, Thangaratinam S, Magee LA, de Groot CJM, Hofmeyr GJ. Pre-eclampsia. Lancet (London, England). 2015; doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(15)00070-7
- Danso KA, Opare-Addo HS. Challenges associated with hypertensive disease during pregnancy in low-income countries. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2010;110:78–81. doi:10.1016/j.ijgo.2010.01.026.
- 6.• Bhutta ZA, Das JK, Bahl R, Lawn JE, Salam RA, Paul VK, et al. Can available interventions end preventable deaths in mothers, newborn babies, and stillbirths, and at what cost? Lancet. 2014;384:347-70. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60792-3. This study provides an overview of available interventions to reduce maternal and perinatal morbidity and mortality globally.
- Duley L, Henderson-Smart DJ, Meher S, King JF. Antiplatelet agents for preventing pre-eclampsia and its complications. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004659.pub2.
- 8.•• Hofmeyr GJ, Lawrie TA, Atallah AN, Duley L, Torloni MR. Calcium supplementation during pregnancy for preventing hypertensive disorders and related problems. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;6, CD001059. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001059. pub4. This study provides a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis of trials conducted with calcium to prevent hypertensive disorders of pregnancy, including trials with a lower dose of calcium (500-600mg).
- Yusuf S. Two decades of progress in preventing vascular disease. Lancet (London, England). 2002;360:2–3. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(02)09358-3.
- Webster R, Rodgers A. Polypill: progress and challenges to global use-update on the trials and policy implementation. Curr Cardiol Rep. 2015;17:121. doi:10.1007/s11886-015-0673-x.

- Wald NJ, Law MR. A strategy to reduce cardiovascular disease by more than 80%. BMJ. 2003;326:1419. doi:10.1136/bmj.326.7404. 1419.
- Lafeber M, Grobbee DE, Schrover IM, Thom S, Webster R, Rodgers A, et al. Comparison of a morning polypill, evening polypill and individual pills on LDL-cholesterol, ambulatory blood pressure and adherence in high-risk patients; a randomized crossover trial. Int J Cardiol. 2015;181:193–9. doi:10.1016/j.ijcard.2014.11.176.
- Wiley B, Fuster V. The concept of the polypill in the prevention of cardiovascular disease. Ann Glob Heal. 80: 24–34. doi:10.1016/ j.aogh.2013.12.008
- Smith V, Devane D, Begley CM, Clarke M. Methodology in conducting a systematic review of systematic reviews of healthcare interventions. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2011;11:15. doi:10.1186/ 1471-2288-11-15.
- Montori VM, Wilczynski NL, Morgan D, Haynes RB. Optimal search strategies for retrieving systematic reviews from Medline: analytical survey. BMJ. 2005;330:68. doi:10.1136/bmj.38336. 804167.47.
- Higgins J, Green S, editors. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Version 5. The Cochrane Collaboration. 2011.
- de Jong PG, Kaandorp S, Di Nisio M, Goddijn M, Middeldorp S. Aspirin and/or heparin for women with unexplained recurrent miscarriage with or without inherited thrombophilia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014/07/06 ed. 2014;7: Cd004734. doi:10. 1002/14651858.CD004734.pub4.
- Dodd JM, McLeod A, Windrim RC, Kingdom J. Antithrombotic therapy for improving maternal or infant health outcomes in women considered at risk of placental dysfunction. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013/07/26 ed. 2013;7: Cd006780. doi:10.1002/14651858. CD006780.pub3
- Askie LM, Duley L, Henderson-Smart DJ, Stewart LA. Antiplatelet agents for prevention of pre-eclampsia: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. Lancet. 2007;369:1791–8. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60712-0.
- Bujold E, Roberge S, Lacasse Y, Bureau M, Audibert F, Marcoux S, et al. Prevention of preeclampsia and intrauterine growth restriction with aspirin started in early pregnancy: a meta-analysis. Obstet Gynecol. 2010;116:402–14. doi:10.1097/AOG.0b013e3181e9322a.
- 21. Mak A, Cheung MW, Cheak AA, Ho RC. Combination of heparin and aspirin is superior to aspirin alone in enhancing live births in patients with recurrent pregnancy loss and positive antiphospholipid antibodies: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and meta-regression. Rheumatol. 2009/12/08 ed. 2010;49: 281–288. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/kep373
- Churchill D, Beevers GD, Meher S, Rhodes C. Diuretics for preventing pre-eclampsia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007/01/ 27 ed. 2007; Cd004451. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004451.pub2
- Meher S, Duley L. Nitric oxide for preventing pre-eclampsia and its complications. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2007/04/20 ed. 2007; Cd006490. doi:10.1002/14651858.cd006490
- Dorniak-Wall T, Grivell RM, Dekker GA, Hague W, Dodd JM. The role of L-arginine in the prevention and treatment of pre-eclampsia: a systematic review of randomised trials. J Hum Hypertens. 2013/ 11/01 ed. 2014;28: 230–235. doi:10.1038/jhh.2013.100
- Feng L, Lin X-F, Wan Z-H, Hu D, Du Y-K. Efficacy of metformin on pregnancy complications in women with polycystic ovary syndrome: a meta-analysis. Gynecol Endocrinol. 2015;3590:1–7. doi: 10.3109/09513590.2015.1041906.
- Wahabi HA, Abed Althagafi NF, Elawad M, Al Zeidan RA. Progestogen for treating threatened miscarriage. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2011; CD005943. doi:10.1002/14651858. CD005943.pub3.
- 27. Imdad A, Jabeen A, Bhutta ZA. Role of calcium supplementation during pregnancy in reducing risk of developing gestational

hypertensive disorders: a meta-analysis of studies from developing countries. BMC Public Health. 2011/04/29 ed. 2011;11 Suppl 3: S18. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-11-s3-s18.

- Salam RA, Zuberi NF, Bhutta ZA. Pyridoxine (vitamin B6) supplementation during pregnancy or labour for maternal and neonatal outcomes. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015/06/04 ed. 2015;6: Cd000179. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD000179.pub3.
- Rumbold A, Ota E, Nagata C, Shahrook S, Crowther CA. Vitamin C supplementation in pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015/09/30 ed. 2015;9: Cd004072. doi:10.1002/14651858. CD004072.pub3.
- De-Regil LM, Palacios C, Ansary A, Kulier R, Pena-Rosas JP. Vitamin D supplementation for women during pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2012/02/18 ed. 2012;2: Cd008873. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD008873.pub2.
- Pérez-López FR, Pasupuleti V, Mezones-Holguin E, Benites-Zapata VA, Thota P, Deshpande A, et al. Effect of vitamin D supplementation during pregnancy on maternal and neonatal outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Fertil Steril. 2015;103:1278–1288.e4. doi:10.1016/j. fertnstert.2015.02.0
- Makrides M, Duley L, Olsen SF. Marine oil, and other prostaglandin precursor, supplementation for pregnancy uncomplicated by pre-eclampsia or intrauterine growth restriction. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006/07/21 ed. 2006; Cd003402. doi:10.1002/ 14651858.CD003402.pub2
- Allen R, Rogozinska E, Sivarajasingam P, Khan KS, Thangaratinam S. Effect of diet- and lifestyle-based metabolic risk-modifying interventions on preeclampsia: a meta-analysis. Acta Obs Gynecol Scand. 2014/08/21 ed. 2014;93: 973–985. doi:10.1111/aogs.12467
- Makrides M, Crosby DD, Bain E, Crowther CA. Magnesium supplementation in pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014/04/ 04 ed. 2014;4: Cd000937. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD000937.pub2
- Ota E, Mori R, Middleton P, Tobe-Gai R, Mahomed K, Miyazaki C, et al. Zinc supplementation for improving pregnancy and infant outcome. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015/05/01 ed. 2015;2: Cd000230. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD000230.pub5.
- 36. Groeneveld E, Lambers MJ, Lambalk CB, Broeze KA, Haapsamo M, de Sutter P, et al. Preconceptional low-dose aspirin for the prevention of hypertensive pregnancy complications and preterm delivery after IVF: a meta-analysis with individual patient data. Hum Reprod. 2013/ 03/27 ed. 2013;28: 1480–1488. doi:10.1093/humrep/det022
- Rumbold A, Ota E, Hori H, Miyazaki C, Crowther CA. Vitamin E supplementation in pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2015/09/ 08 ed. 2015;9: Cd004069. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004069.pub3.
- Meher S, Duley L. Garlic for preventing pre-eclampsia and its complications. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2006/07/21 ed. 2006; Cd006065. doi:10.1002/14651858.cd006065.
- Stevens GA, Finucane MM, De-Regil LM, Paciorek CJ, Flaxman SR, Branca F, et al. Global, regional, and national trends in haemoglobin concentration and prevalence of total and severe anaemia in children

and pregnant and non-pregnant women for 1995–2011: a systematic analysis of population-representative data. Lancet Glob Heal. 2013;1: e16–25. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(13)70001-9.

- Lassi ZS, Salam RA, Haider BA, Bhutta ZA. Folic acid supplementation during pregnancy for maternal health and pregnancy outcomes. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;3:CD006896. doi:10. 1002/14651858.CD006896.pub2.
- 41. Bortolus R, Blom F, Filippini F, van Poppel MN, Leoncini E, de Smit DJ, et al. Prevention of congenital malformations and other adverse pregnancy outcomes with 4.0 mg of folic acid: community-based randomized clinical trial in Italy and the Netherlands. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2014;14:166. doi:10.1186/1471-2393-14-166.
- 42. Souza E V, Torloni MR, Atallah AN, Santos GMS dos, Kulay L, Sass N. Aspirin plus calcium supplementation to prevent superimposed preeclampsia: a randomized trial. Braz J Med Biol Res. 2014;47: 419–25. Available: http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid= 4075311&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract
- WHO recommendations for prevention and treatment of preeclampsia and eclampsia [Internet]. World Health Organization; 2011. Available: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK140561/
- Duckitt K, Harrington D. Risk factors for pre-eclampsia at antenatal booking: systematic review of controlled studies. BMJ. 2005;330: 565. doi:10.1136/bmj.38380.674340.E0.
- 45. Kleinrouweler CE, Cheong-See FM, Collins GS, Kwee A, Thangaratinam S, Khan KS, et al. Prognostic models in obstetrics: available, but far from applicable. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2015. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2015.06.013.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Hypertension in pregnancy: diagnosis and management | 1-recommendations [Internet]. NICE; 2015. Available: http://www.nice.org.uk/ guidance/cg107.
- 47. Firoz T, Magee LA, MacDonell K, Payne BA, Gordon R, Vidler M, et al. Oral antihypertensive therapy for severe hypertension in pregnancy and postpartum: a systematic review. BJOG. 2014;121:1210–8. doi:10.1111/1471-0528. 12737. discussion 1220.
- Abalos E, Duley L, Steyn DW. Antihypertensive drug therapy for mild to moderate hypertension during pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2014;2:CD002252. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD002252.pub3.
- Duley L, Meher S, Jones L. Drugs for treatment of very high blood pressure during pregnancy. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2013;7: CD001449. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD001449.pub3.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Diabetes in pregnancy: management from preconception to the postnatal period [Internet]. NICE; 2015. Available: http://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng3
- 51. Balsells M, García-Patterson A, Solà I, Roqué M, Gich I, Corcoy R. Glibenclamide, metformin, and insulin for the treatment of gestational diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ. 2015;350: h102. Available: http://www. pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=4301599&tool= pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract.