



Correction to: Review of Hans Van Eyghen, *The Epistemology of Spirit Beliefs*

New York: Routledge, ISBN 9781032249988, hbk, 168pp.

Marcus William Hunt¹

Published online: 3 April 2024
© Springer Nature B.V. 2024

Correction to: Sophia (2024)
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11841-024-01008-y>

This article unfortunately contained mistakes. The following revisions submitted by the book review editor were only incorporated in the PDF version but not in the online version:

1. Change the article title from
Hans Van Eyghen: *The Epistemology of Spirit Beliefs*
New York: Routledge, pp. 168. \$144 (hbk). ISBN 9781032249988
to
Review of Hans Van Eyghen, *The Epistemology of Spirit Beliefs*
New York: Routledge, ISBN 9781032249988, hbk, 168pp.
2. Change the phrase from “...but they are very rough—e.g., spirits are...” to “...but they are imprecise—e.g. spirits are...”
3. Change the phrase from “...or Eyghen that the experiences...” “...or Eyghen, for that matter, might say that the experiences...”
4. Change the sentence from “Similarly, Eyghen gives short shrift to the possibilities that the sheer variety of alternative causal explanations, the capacity of each to account for some aspects of some spirit-experiences, or the limited state of research into this topic, muddies the justificatory force of spiritexperiences (p. 61).” to “Eyghen only briefly considers other grounds for skepticism about the justificatory force of spirit-experiences, e.g. the sheer variety of alternative explanations on offer, the capacity of each alternative to account for some aspects of spirit-experiences, or the limited state of empirical research on the topic (p.61).”

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11841-024-01008-y>.

✉ Marcus William Hunt
mhunt4@tulane.edu

¹ Concordia University Chicago, River Forest, USA

5. Change the sentence from “Chapters 5–8 share a structure” to “Chapters 5–8 share the same structure”

The original article has been corrected.

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.