



Laminar flow in channels with porous walls: advancing the existence, uniqueness and approximation of solutions via fixed point approaches

Saleh S. Almuthaybiri and Christopher C. Tisdell 

Abstract. The purpose of this work is to develop a more complete theory regarding solutions to the problem of laminar flow in channels with porous walls. We establish new knowledge regarding the qualitative and quantitative properties of solutions to a fourth order boundary value problem under consideration. In contrast to the previous literature, our strategy involves establishing new *a priori* bounds on solutions and draws on contractive mapping principles. This enables a deeper understanding of the problem by strategically addressing the questions of existence, uniqueness and approximation of solutions under one integrated framework, rather than applying somewhat disjointed approaches. Through this strategy, we advance current knowledge by extending the range of values of the Reynolds number under which the problem will admit a unique solution; and we furnish a sequence of functions whose limit converges to this solution, enabling an iterative approximation to any theoretical degree of accuracy.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 34B60, 76S05.

Keywords. Laminar flow, channel with porous walls, fluid dynamics, boundary value problem, contraction mapping, fixed point technique.

1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to establish a more complete theory of laminar flow in channels with porous walls that is modelled by a fourth order boundary value problem (BVP). We study the existence, uniqueness and approximation of solutions to the following nonlinear, fourth order differential equation

This research was supported by the Australian Research Council's Discovery Projects DP0450752.

$$f^{(iv)} + \mathcal{R}(f'f'' - ff''') = 0, \quad \eta \in [0, 1], \tag{1.1}$$

where $f = f(\eta)$, \mathcal{R} is a Reynolds number and (1.1) is subject to the two-point boundary conditions:

$$f(0) = 0, \quad f''(0) = 0, \quad f'(1) = 0, \quad f(1) = 1. \tag{1.2}$$

By a solution to the BVP (1.1), (1.2) we mean a function $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that f is four times differentiable, with a continuous fourth order derivative on $[0, 1]$, which we denote by $f \in C^4([0, 1])$, and our f satisfies both (1.1) and (1.2) for some value of \mathcal{R} .

Laminar flows in channels with porous walls have attracted the attention of applied mathematicians and engineers since the 1940s. This is partly due to their connection with a diverse range of physical problems that are of significant interest. For example, in aeronautics the method of transpiration cooling has gained attention:

“In this method, the surfaces to be protected against the influence of a hot fluid stream are manufactured from a porous material and a cold fluid is ejected through the wall to form a protective layer along the surface. Certain areas on the skin of high-velocity aircraft may be provided with these surfaces as protection against the influence of aerodynamic heating. Porous surfaces with suction also are used on airfoils and bodies of aircraft to delay separation or transition to turbulence; in these cases, the flow along the surface is of a boundary-layer type.” [4, pp. 1–2]

In addition, channel flows are seen in plants [6] and animals [9], where vascular systems distribute energy to where it is needed, and enable distal parts of the organism to communicate [8]. Furthermore, channels play a significant role in the transportation of liquids or gases and energy from sites of production to the consumer or industry [8], and the protection of channel walls via transpiration cooling is of primary interest in nuclear applications [4].

There are at least three significant points of distinction between our current work and the existing literature. They include: the mathematical form of the problem under consideration; the types of methods employed; and the nature of the results obtained. We discuss them below.

In much of the literature relating to laminar flow within channels with porous walls (and its variations) [3–5, 7, 8, 10–14, 17, 18, 22, 23], the majority of scholars have exclusively considered and analyzed the problem as an equivalent third order BVP

$$f''' + \mathcal{R}[(f')^2 - ff''] = K$$

which was coupled with the three point conditions

$$f(0) = f'(1) = f''(0) = 0$$

where the constant of integration K is to be determined from the remaining boundary condition $f(1) = 1$. There is a minority of authors who have analyzed the problem as equivalent third and fourth order BVPs (and, even fifth order, on occasion), however the attention on the third order problem mostly

dominates the scientific discussion therein. Thus we can see that a focus on the equivalent fourth order BVP in the extent literature has not been prevalent. This may have been due to the authors therein favouring lower order problems perhaps due to a perception that its form is more agreeable to work with and seeing its potential to open up interesting avenues. The continued focus on the third order form of the BVP seen in the literature may also be partly due to human nature and the act of conditioning—we tend to see and continue to work with the mathematical forms that we have been conditioned and accustomed to.

In contrast, herein we take the position that the fourth order BVP (1.1), (1.2) presents a natural form to work with. For example, the form enables a complete integration between the differential equation and the boundary conditions, synthesizing the data from the problem as an integral equation. This is in contrast to third order approaches where there are constants of integration in the equation and a fourth “hanging” boundary condition to consider. In addition, the mathematical theory regarding solutions to fourth order BVPs has recently been advanced in directions [1] that potentially can shine new light on (1.1), (1.2) and so we feel that this presents a timely opportunity to directly work with the form of the fourth order BVP (1.1), (1.2).

Extent mathematical methods regarding laminar flow in channels with porous walls can be broadly grouped into: perturbation techniques; asymptotic approaches; numerical and initial value methods; and fixed point techniques with differential inequalities. The above approaches have enabled a deeper understanding of (1.1), (1.2) through: a development of series solutions [3, 11, 17, 18, 23]; fostering the existence and uniqueness of solutions [7, 10, 13, 22]; and furnishing multiple solutions [5, 7, 12] for various values of \mathcal{R} . In particular, the dominant approach for the existence of solutions via fixed point theory has involved topological ideas, such as the Leray-Schauder degree theory. This has been subsequently coupled with uniqueness (or non-multiplicity) concepts involving differential inequalities and then separate approximation methods are drawn on to gain additional insight. In comparison, herein we introduce contraction mapping ideas in what appears to be a first time synthesis and application to the problem of laminar flow in channels with porous walls. There are several advantages in this synthesis. Firstly, a contractive mapping approach forms an integrated strategy towards existence, uniqueness and approximation of solutions by its very nature. Secondly, this synthesis does not depend on whether \mathcal{R} is positive or negative (unlike some previous approaches that concentrate on either suction or injection). Together, our synthesis offers a more integrated approach than previously developed strategies regarding the existence, uniqueness and numerical aspects of solutions.

Most importantly, our employment of contractive mappings enables an extension of previous results. While the case $\mathcal{R} < 0$ has been shown to possess a unique solution, the case $\mathcal{R} > 0$ is far more open, with the best range for

the existence and uniqueness set in [22] at

$$0 < \mathcal{R} < \frac{-(72\sqrt{3} + 1) + \sqrt{(72\sqrt{3} + 1)^2 + 12\sqrt{3}(72\sqrt{3} - 24)}}{48(3\sqrt{3} - 1)}$$

$$\approx 4.005014 \times 10^{-2}.$$

We extend this range herein by at least an order of magnitude.

Our results complement the recent and growing body of knowledge regarding the theory and applications of Navier-Stokes equations [15, 24], laminar flow [2, 19, 21, 27] and swirling flow [26] by establishing a firm mathematical foundation for the problem (1.1), (1.2).

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2 we briefly derive the problem (1.1), (1.2) with aims of completeness and context for our work, and to enable a comparison between the form of our equations and those that have been previously analyzed. Furthermore, we construct an integral equation that is equivalent to (1.1), (1.2) that forms the basis of our contractive mapping approach. In Sect. 3 we establish new bounds on integrals of various Green's functions associated with (1.1), (1.2). Some of the estimates therein are sharp and they prove to be useful when developing our main existence, uniqueness and approximation results in Sect. 4. Therein we establish the main results drawing on an approach involving contractive mappings and fixed point theory. We conclude with some open problems for further investigation in Sect. 5.

2. Formulation of the problem

Let us briefly derive the equations of interest, drawing on the ideas and exposition of Berman [3] and Robinson [12]. Further details may be found therein and in [11, 16–18, 23].

Consider a channel with a rectangular cross section. One side of the cross section that represents the distance between the porous walls is much smaller than the other, and this constraint enables an analysis of the problem as an instance of two-dimensional flow.

Furthermore, consider the steady, incompressible, laminar flow where the fluid is subject to either injection or suction with constant velocity \mathcal{V} through the walls. We assume that both channel walls have equal permeability.

We choose a coordinate system so that its origin is placed at the centre of the channel. Let x and y denote the co-ordinate axes that are, respectively, parallel and perpendicular to the channel walls, and let $u = u(x, y)$ and $v = v(x, y)$ denote the velocity components in the x and y directions, respectively. Let the width of the channel (ie, the distance between the walls) be $2h$ and let the channel have length L .

Let $p = p(x, y)$ denote the pressure that we assume is a sufficiently smooth function. Let ρ denote the density of the fluid and let ν denote the constant kinematic viscosity of the fluid. Under the assumed conditions and

choice of axes, we introduce the dimensionless variable

$$\eta = \frac{y}{h}$$

and then the Navier–Stokes equations can be expressed as

$$u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{v}{h} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \nu \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{h^2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \eta^2} \right) \tag{2.1}$$

$$u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{v}{h} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \eta} = -\frac{1}{h\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta} + \nu \left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{h^2} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial \eta^2} \right). \tag{2.2}$$

The continuity equation takes the form

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \eta} = 0$$

and the associated boundary conditions are

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, \pm 1) &= 0, \quad v(x, 0) = 0 \\ v(x, \pm 1) &= \pm \mathcal{V}, \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta}(x, 0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For a two-dimensional incompressible flow, a stream function ψ exists such that

$$u(x, \eta) = \frac{1}{h} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \eta} \tag{2.3}$$

$$v(x, \eta) = -\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \tag{2.4}$$

with the continuity equation being satisfied.

Due to a symmetrical flow about the plane lying midway between the channel walls, we will analyze the solution over half of the channel, i.e., from the midplane to one wall.

For constant wall velocity \mathcal{V} , Berman [3] cleverly observed that the equations of motion and the boundary conditions could be satisfied under an assumption that the velocity component v is independent of x and he skillfully introduced a stream function, ψ , of the form

$$\psi(x, \eta) := [h\bar{u}(0) - \mathcal{V}x]f(\eta)$$

where f is a suitably smooth function of the distance parameter η and f is to be determined later. In addition, $\bar{u}(0)$ is an arbitrary velocity at $x = 0$ that will be managed away in due course.

From (2.3) and (2.4) we can derive the velocity components

$$u(x, \eta) = \left[\bar{u}(0) - \mathcal{V} \frac{x}{h} \right] f'(\eta) \tag{2.5}$$

$$v(x, \eta) = v(\eta) = \mathcal{V}f(\eta). \tag{2.6}$$

For constant wall velocity \mathcal{V} , the y component of velocity v becomes a function of η only. If (2.5) and (2.6) are substituted into the equations of motion then we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} = \left[\bar{u}(0) - \mathcal{V} \frac{x}{h} \right] \left[-\frac{\mathcal{V}}{h} [(f')^2 - ff''] - \frac{\nu}{h^2} f''' \right] \tag{2.7}$$

$$-\frac{1}{h\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \eta} = \frac{v}{h} \frac{dv}{d\eta} - \frac{\nu}{h^2} \frac{d^2 v}{d\eta^2}. \tag{2.8}$$

The right-hand side of (2.8) is seen to be a function of η only and so differentiation with respect to x yields

$$\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x \partial \eta} = 0.$$

If we now differentiate (2.7) with respect to η then we obtain

$$\left[\bar{u}(0) - \mathcal{V} \frac{x}{h} \right] \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[\frac{\mathcal{V}}{h} [(f')^2 - f f''] + \frac{\nu}{h^2} f''' \right] = \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \eta \partial x}$$

and employing the symmetry of mixed partial derivatives of p we thus obtain

$$\left[\bar{u}(0) - \mathcal{V} \frac{x}{h} \right] \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[\frac{\mathcal{V}}{h} [(f')^2 - f f''] + \frac{\nu}{h^2} f''' \right] = 0.$$

If the above equation is to hold for all x then we must have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{d\eta} \left[\frac{\mathcal{V}}{h} [(f')^2 - f f''] + \frac{\nu}{h^2} f''' \right] \\ &= f^{(iv)} + \mathcal{R} [f' f'' - f f'''] \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{R} := \frac{\mathcal{V}h}{\nu}$$

is a Reynolds number and we have thus derived (1.1).

The boundary conditions on the function f and its derivatives are obtained from (2.5) and (2.6) to produce (1.2). Note that we have $\mathcal{R} > 0$ for suction at both walls and $\mathcal{R} < 0$ for injection at both walls.

Let us establish an equivalency between the BVP (1.1), (1.2) and an integral equation. The integral equation will be critical in Sect. 3 to develop our main results.

Theorem 2.1. *The BVP (1.1), (1.2) is equivalent to the integral equation*

$$f(\eta) = \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) \mathcal{R} (f'(s) f''(s) - f(s) f'''(s)) ds + \phi(\eta), \quad \eta \in [0, 1]. \tag{2.9}$$

Above: $G(\eta, s)$ is a Green's function given explicitly by

$$G(\eta, s) := \frac{1}{12} \begin{cases} s(1-\eta)^2 [(s^2 - 3)\eta + 2s^2], & \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq \eta \leq 1, \\ \eta(1-s)^2 [(\eta^2 - 3)s + 2\eta^2], & \text{for } 0 \leq \eta \leq s \leq 1; \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

and ϕ is given by

$$\phi(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} (3\eta - \eta^3). \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. It is sufficient to construct f from the form

$$f(\eta) = \phi_1(\eta) + \phi(\eta)$$

where ϕ is the solution to

$$\phi^{(iv)} = 0; \quad \phi(0) = 0, \quad \phi''(0) = 0, \quad \phi(1) = 1, \quad \phi'(1) = 0;$$

and ϕ_1 is the solution to

$$\phi_1^{(iv)} + \mathcal{R}(\phi_1' \phi_1'' - \phi_1 \phi_1''') = 0; \quad \phi_1(0) = 0, \quad \phi_1''(0) = 0, \quad \phi_1(1) = 0, \quad \phi_1'(1) = 0.$$

Direct integration and determination of the associated constants shows that

$$\phi(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}(3\eta - \eta^3).$$

Integrate both sides of the differential equation for ϕ_1 from $s = 0$ to $s = \eta$ four times to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(\eta) = & -\frac{1}{6} \int_0^\eta (\eta - s)^3 \mathcal{R}(\phi_1'(s)\phi_1''(s) - \phi_1(s)\phi_1'''(s)) \, ds \\ & + A\eta^3 + B\eta^2 + C\eta + D \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

and we determine the constants of integration A, B, C, D from the homogeneous boundary conditions for ϕ_1 . Our left-hand conditions $\phi_1(0) = 0$ and $\phi_1''(0) = 0$ ensure $D = 0$ and $B = 0$, respectively. In addition, employing the right-hand conditions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(1) = 0 = & -\frac{1}{6} \int_0^1 (1 - s)^3 \mathcal{R}(\phi_1'(s)\phi_1''(s) - \phi_1(s)\phi_1'''(s)) \, ds + A + C \\ \phi_1'(1) = 0 = & -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (1 - s)^2 \mathcal{R}(\phi_1'(s)\phi_1''(s) - \phi_1(s)\phi_1'''(s)) \, ds + 3A + C. \end{aligned}$$

Solving the above system of equations for A and C we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A = & \frac{1}{12} \left[\int_0^1 [3(1 - s)^2 - (1 - s)^3] \mathcal{R}(\phi_1'(s)\phi_1''(s) - \phi_1(s)\phi_1'''(s)) \, ds \right] \\ = & \frac{1}{12} \left[\int_0^\eta (1 - s)^2(s + 2) \mathcal{R}(\phi_1'(s)\phi_1''(s) - \phi_1(s)\phi_1'''(s)) \, ds \right. \\ & \left. + \int_\eta^1 (1 - s)^2(s + 2) \mathcal{R}(\phi_1'(s)\phi_1''(s) - \phi_1(s)\phi_1'''(s)) \, ds \right] \\ C = & \frac{1}{6} \int_0^1 (1 - s)^3 \mathcal{R}(\phi_1'(s)\phi_1''(s) - \phi_1(s)\phi_1'''(s)) \, ds - A \\ = & \frac{1}{12} \left[\int_0^\eta (1 - s)^2(-3s) \mathcal{R}(\phi_1'(s)\phi_1''(s) - \phi_1(s)\phi_1'''(s)) \, ds \right. \\ & \left. + \int_\eta^1 (1 - s)^2(-3s) \mathcal{R}(\phi_1'(s)\phi_1''(s) - \phi_1(s)\phi_1'''(s)) \, ds \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting these expressions into (2.12) and applying some algebraic manipulation finally leads us to the form (2.9).

Direct differentiation of our f with the aforementioned values of A and C lead us to the differential equation (1.1). Substitution of appropriate values of η into (2.9) and its derivatives reveals that the boundary conditions (1.2) also hold. \square

3. Bounds on the Green’s functions

Let us now establish some new bounds involving the integral of the Green’s function in (2.10) and its derivatives. The results will be applied in Sect. 4 to form our main existence, uniqueness and approximation results. In addition, the bounds are of independent mathematical interest as they have the potential to be helpful outside the scope of the present article, for example, in topological approaches to BVPs.

Our first result establishes the non-positivity of G and a new, sharp bound on the integral of $|G|$.

Theorem 3.1. *The Green’s function G in (2.10) satisfies $G \leq 0$ on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ and*

$$\int_0^1 |G(\eta, s)| \, ds \leq \frac{39 + 55\sqrt{33}}{65536} < \frac{3}{500} =: \beta_0, \text{ for all } \eta \in [0, 1]. \quad (3.1)$$

Our estimate is sharp in the sense it is the best result possible.

Proof. For $0 \leq s \leq \eta \leq 1$ we have

$$(s^2 - 3)\eta + 2s^2 = s^2(\eta + 2) - 3\eta \leq \eta^2(\eta + 2) - 3\eta \leq 0$$

and so

$$s(1 - \eta)^2[(s^2 - 3)\eta + 2s^2] \leq 0$$

therein. Similarly, for $0 \leq \eta \leq s \leq 1$ we have

$$(\eta^2 - 3)s + 2\eta^2 \leq s^2(s + 2) - 3s \leq 0$$

and the non-positivity of G thus also holds on this region.

Combining the above two cases we obtain $G \leq 0$ on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

For all $\eta \in [0, 1]$ consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |G(\eta, s)| \, ds &= - \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) \, ds \\ &= - \frac{1}{12} \left[\int_0^\eta s(1 - \eta)^2[(s^2 - 3)\eta + 2s^2] \, ds + \int_\eta^1 \eta(1 - s)^2[(\eta^2 - 3)s + 2\eta^2] \, ds \right] \\ &= \frac{\eta^4}{24} - \frac{\eta^3}{16} + \frac{\eta}{48} \\ &= \frac{1}{48} \eta(2\eta + 1)(\eta - 1)^2. \end{aligned}$$

If we apply calculus to the above quartic function then we see that it achieves its maximum value on $[0, 1]$ at

$$\eta^* = \frac{1 + \sqrt{33}}{16}$$

which may be substituted into the above quartic function to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\eta \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 |G(\eta, s)| ds &= \int_0^1 |G(\eta^*, s)| ds \\ &= \frac{39 + 55\sqrt{33}}{65536} < \frac{3}{500}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Our second result complements Theorem 3.1 by generating a new bound on the integral of $|\partial G/\partial \eta|$.

Theorem 3.2. *The Green's function G in (2.10) satisfies*

$$\int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} G(\eta, s) \right| ds < \frac{1}{25} =: \beta_1, \text{ for all } \eta \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. For all $\eta \in [0, 1]$ consider

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} G(\eta, s) \right| ds \\ &= \int_0^\eta \left| \frac{(\eta - 1)s((s^2 - 3)\eta + s^2 + 1)}{4} \right| ds + \int_\eta^1 \left| \frac{(1 - s)^2((\eta^2 - 1)s + 2\eta^2)}{4} \right| ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left[\int_0^\eta (1 - \eta)s(-(s^2 - 3)\eta + s^2 + 1) ds + \int_\eta^1 (1 - s)^2(-(\eta^2 - 1)s + 2\eta^2) ds \right] \\ &= -\frac{7}{36}\eta^5 + \frac{1}{3}\eta^4 - \frac{1}{3}\eta^3 + \frac{25}{144}\eta^2 + \frac{1}{48} \\ &= \frac{1}{144}(1 - \eta)(28\eta^4 - 20\eta^3 + 28\eta^2 + 3\eta + 3). \end{aligned}$$

Now, if we apply calculus to the above quintic function then we see that it achieves its maximum value on $[0, 1]$ at

$$\eta^* = \frac{(13378 + 70\sqrt{94137})^{2/3} + 32(13378 + 70\sqrt{94137})^{1/3} - 656}{70(13378 + 70\sqrt{94137})^{1/3}}$$

which may be substituted into the above quintic function to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\max_{\eta \in [0,1]} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} G(\eta, s) \right| ds \\ &\leq \frac{(-9228485\sqrt{94137} + 14747147607)(13378 + 70\sqrt{94137})^{1/3}}{19373188800000} + \frac{13592477}{360150000} \\ &\quad + \frac{(-2111935\sqrt{94137} + 317136861)(13378 + 70\sqrt{94137})^{2/3}}{19373188800000} \\ &< \frac{1}{25}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Our third result constructs a new bound on the integral of $|\partial^2 G/\partial \eta^2|$.

Theorem 3.3. *The Green’s function G in (2.10) satisfies*

$$\int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} G(\eta, s) \right| ds \leq \frac{9}{8} =: \beta_2, \text{ for all } \eta \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. For all $\eta \in [0, 1]$ consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} G(\eta, s) \right| ds \\ &= \int_0^\eta \left| \frac{s((s^2 - 3)\eta + 2)}{2} \right| ds + \int_\eta^1 \left| \frac{\eta(s^3 - 3s + 2)}{2} \right| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^\eta \frac{s(-(s^2 - 3)\eta + 2)}{2} ds + \int_\eta^1 \frac{\eta(s^3 - 3s + 2)}{2} ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \left(\eta^4 - 6\eta^2 + 2\eta - \frac{3}{2} \right) \eta. \end{aligned}$$

The above quintic function is strictly increasing on $[0, 1]$ and thus must achieve its maximum value on $[0, 1]$ at $\eta^* = 1$ which gives

$$\max_{\eta \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \eta^2} G(\eta, s) \right| ds \leq \frac{9}{8}.$$

□

Our final result constructs a new, sharp bound on the integral of $|\partial^3 G / \partial \eta^3|$.

Theorem 3.4. *The Green’s function G in (2.10) satisfies*

$$\int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \eta^3} G(\eta, s) \right| ds \leq \frac{5}{8} =: \beta_3, \text{ for all } \eta \in [0, 1]. \tag{3.4}$$

Our estimate is sharp in the sense it is the best result possible.

Proof. For all $\eta \in [0, 1]$ consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \eta^3} G(\eta, s) \right| ds \\ &= \int_0^\eta \left| \frac{s(s^2 - 3)}{2} \right| ds + \int_\eta^1 \left| \frac{(1 - s)^2(s + 2)}{2} \right| ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\eta s(s^2 - 3) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_\eta^1 (1 - s)^2(s + 2) ds \\ &= -\frac{1}{4} \eta^4 + \frac{3}{2} \eta^2 + \frac{3}{8} - \eta. \end{aligned}$$

The above function is increasing on $[0, 1]$ and so must achieve its maximum value on $[0, 1]$ at $\eta^* = 1$. Thus, we have

$$\max_{\eta \in [0, 1]} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \eta^3} G(\eta, s) \right| ds = \left[\int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial^3}{\partial \eta^3} G(\eta, s) \right| ds \right]_{\eta=1} = \frac{5}{8}$$

as claimed.

□

4. Existence, uniqueness and approximation

In this section, we formulate our main results regarding existence, uniqueness and approximation of solutions via fixed point methods under contraction mappings.

4.1. Metrics and spaces

Let us construct a metric in an appropriate metric space. Consider the set of real-valued functions that are defined on $[0, 1]$ and are thrice continuously differentiable therein. Denote this space by $C^3([0, 1])$. For functions $f, g \in C^3([0, 1])$, consider the following metric on $C^3([0, 1])$:

$$d(f, g) := \max_{i \in \{0,1,2,3\}} \left\{ W_i \max_{\eta \in [0,1]} |f^{(i)}(\eta) - g^{(i)}(\eta)| \right\}; \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$W_0 = 1, W_1 = \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1} = \frac{3}{20}, W_2 = \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_2} = \frac{2}{375}, W_3 = \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_3} = \frac{6}{625}. \tag{4.2}$$

It is well known that the pair $(C^3([0, 1]), d)$ form a complete metric space.

Let $R > 0$ be a constant and let ϕ be defined in (2.11). Our analysis will involve the following set

$$B := \left\{ (\eta, u, v, w, z) \in \mathbb{R}^5 : \eta \in [0, 1], |u - \phi(\eta)| \leq R, \right. \\ \left. |v - \phi'(\eta)| \leq \frac{20}{3}R, |w - \phi''(\eta)| \leq \frac{375}{2}R, |z - \phi'''(\eta)| \leq \frac{625}{6}R \right\}.$$

We note that our ϕ in (2.11) satisfies the following inequalities on $[0, 1]$:

$$|\phi| \leq 1, |\phi'| \leq 3/2, |\phi''| \leq 3, |\phi'''| \leq 3. \tag{4.3}$$

The following result establishes a critically important bound on parts of (1.1) and will be used in the proof of our main results. In particular, this bound will be of importance in establishing an invariance condition for a mapping between two balls.

Theorem 4.1. *Let*

$$h(u, v, w, z) := \mathcal{R}(vw - uz). \tag{4.4}$$

We claim that h is bounded on B by

$$M := |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{8125}{6}R^2 + \frac{4901}{12}R + \frac{15}{2} \right]. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. For $(\eta, u, v, w, z) \in B$ consider

$$\begin{aligned} |h(u, v, w, z)| &= |\mathcal{R}(vw - uz)| \\ &\leq |\mathcal{R}| (|v| |w| + |u| |z|) \\ &= |\mathcal{R}| [|(v - \phi'(\eta) + \phi'(\eta))| (|w - \phi''(\eta) + \phi''(\eta)|) \\ &\quad + (|u - \phi(\eta) + \phi(\eta)|) (|z - \phi'''(\eta) + \phi'''(\eta)|)] \\ &\leq |\mathcal{R}| [|(v - \phi'(\eta))| + |\phi'(\eta)|) (|w - \phi''(\eta)| + |\phi''(\eta)|) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &+ (|u - \phi(\eta)| + |\phi(\eta)|)(|z - \phi'''(\eta)| + |\phi'''(\eta)|) \\
 \leq &|\mathcal{R}| \left[\left(\frac{20}{3}R + \frac{3}{2} \right) \left(\frac{375}{2}R + 3 \right) + (R + 1) \left(\frac{625}{6}R + 3 \right) \right] \\
 = &|\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{8125}{6}R^2 + \frac{4901}{12}R + \frac{15}{2} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Above, we have repeatedly applied the triangle inequality and used the form of B and (4.3). □

Unfortunately, the function h is not globally Lipschitz in the sense of (4.6) on the whole of $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}^4$. A global Lipschitz state is a desirable condition in the theory and application of differential equations. However, by strategically restricting our attention to the subset B , the following result ensures that our h will be Lipschitz therein.

Theorem 4.2. *Let*

$$h(u, v, w, z) := \mathcal{R}(vw - uz).$$

For given $R > 0$ and \mathcal{R} , our h is Lipschitz on B in the sense that there are non-negative constants L_i (not all zero) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |h(u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) - h(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3)| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^3 L_i |u_i - v_i| \\
 &\text{for all } (\eta, u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3), (\eta, v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) \in B. \quad (4.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that h has bounded partial derivatives on B . As we will see, these bounds can then act as the Lipschitz constants L_i .

For all $(\eta, u, v, w, z) \in B$ consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial u} \right| &= |-\mathcal{R}z| = |\mathcal{R}||z - \phi'''(\eta) + \phi'''(\eta)| \\
 &\leq |\mathcal{R}|[|z - \phi'''(\eta)| + |\phi'''(\eta)|] \leq |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{625}{6}R + 3 \right] =: L_0. \quad (4.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

Also, we can also obtain the following inequalities on B via similar arguments

$$\left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial v} \right| \leq |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{375}{2}R + 3 \right] =: L_1 \quad (4.8)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial w} \right| \leq |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{20}{3}R + \frac{3}{2} \right] =: L_2 \quad (4.9)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} \right| \leq |\mathcal{R}| [R + 1] =: L_3. \quad (4.10)$$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &h(u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) - h(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3) \\
 = &\int_{v_0}^{u_0} \frac{\partial h}{\partial s}(s, v_1, v_2, v_3) ds + \int_{v_1}^{u_1} \frac{\partial h}{\partial t}(u_0, t, v_2, v_3) dt \\
 &+ \int_{v_2}^{u_2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial q}(u_0, u_1, q, v_3) dq + \int_{v_3}^{u_3} \frac{\partial h}{\partial p}(u_0, u_1, u_2, p) dp
 \end{aligned}$$

and since all partial derivatives are bounded on B we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} |h(u_0, u_1, u_2, u_3) - h(v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3)| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^3 \left| \int_{v_i}^{u_i} L_i \, dv \right| \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^3 L_i |u_i - v_i|. \end{aligned}$$

□

4.2. Contraction mapping approach

We will draw on the following fixed point theorem credited to Stefan Banach, see [25, Theorem 1.A]. It involves sufficient conditions under which a mapping will admit a unique fixed point, and generates a sequence that converges to this fixed point.

Theorem 4.3. *Let X be a nonempty set and let d be a metric on X such that (X, d) forms a complete metric space. If the mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies*

$$d(Tf, Tg) \leq \alpha d(f, g), \text{ for some } 0 < \alpha < 1 \text{ and all } f, g \in X; \quad (4.11)$$

then there is a unique $z \in X$ such that $Tz = z$. In addition, for any $z_0 \in X$ we have $d(z_n, z) \rightarrow 0$ where z_n is a recursively defined sequence defined via $z_{n+1} := Tz_n$.

We are now in a position to synthesize our previous results to form our main results.

Theorem 4.4. *If there is a $R > 0$ and \mathcal{R} such that*

$$|\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{8125}{6} R^2 + \frac{4901}{12} R + \frac{15}{2} \right] \frac{3}{500} \leq R \quad (4.12)$$

$$|\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{65}{4} R + \frac{4901}{2000} \right] < 1 \quad (4.13)$$

then the BVP (1.1), (1.2) admits a unique solution f with

$$(\eta, f(\eta), f'(\eta), f''(\eta), f'''(\eta)) \in B, \text{ for all } \eta \in [0, 1].$$

Proof. To avoid the repeated use of complicated constants and expressions we will draw on the notation defined earlier in this paper. Let the constants β_i be defined in (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4). Let the function h be defined in (4.4). Let the constants L_i be defined in (4.7), (4.8), (4.9), (4.10) and let M be defined in (4.5). Choose $R > 0$ to form B where R and \mathcal{R} satisfy (4.12) and (4.13). Based on the form (2.9), we define the operator $T : C^3([0, 1]) \rightarrow C^3([0, 1])$ by

$$(Tf)(\eta) := \int_0^1 G(\eta, s) \mathcal{R}(f'(s)f''(s) - f(s)f'''(s)) \, ds + \phi(\eta), \quad \eta \in [0, 1].$$

Consider the pair $(C^3([0, 1]), d)$ where the constants W_i in our d in (4.1) are defined in (4.2). Our pair forms a complete metric space.

Now, for the constant $R > 0$ and function ϕ in the definition of B , consider the following set $\mathcal{B}_R \subset C^3([0, 1])$

$$\mathcal{B}_R := \{f \in C^3([0, 1]) : d(f, \phi) \leq R\}.$$

Since \mathcal{B}_R is a closed subspace of $C^3([0, 1])$, the pair (\mathcal{B}_R, d) forms a complete metric space.

Consider the operator $T : \mathcal{B}_R \rightarrow C^3([0, 1])$ where we have restricted its domain. We wish to show that there exists a unique $f \in \mathcal{B}_R$ such that

$$Tf = f$$

which is equivalent to proving the BVP (1.1), (1.2) has a unique solution in \mathcal{B}_R . (Any solutions lying in $C^3([0, 1])$ will also lie in $C^4([0, 1])$ as repeatedly differentiating (2.9) will show.)

To prove that our T has a unique fixed point in \mathcal{B}_R , we show that the assumptions of Theorem 4.3 hold with $X = \mathcal{B}_R$.

Let us show the invariance condition $T : \mathcal{B}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_R$ holds. For $f \in \mathcal{B}_R$ and $\eta \in [0, 1]$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tf)(\eta) - \phi(\eta)| &\leq \int_0^1 |G(\eta, s)| |\mathcal{R}(f'(s)f''(s) - f(s)f'''(s))| ds \\ &\leq M \int_0^1 |G(\eta, s)| ds \\ &\leq M\beta_0 \\ &= |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{8125}{6}R^2 + \frac{4901}{12}R + \frac{15}{2} \right] \frac{3}{500}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tf)'(\eta) - \phi'(\eta)| &\leq \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} G(\eta, s) \right| |\mathcal{R}(f'(s)f''(s) - f(s)f'''(s))| ds \\ &\leq M \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} G(\eta, s) \right| ds \\ &\leq M\beta_1 \\ &= |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{8125}{6}R^2 + \frac{4901}{12}R + \frac{15}{2} \right] \frac{1}{25}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1} |(Tf)'(\eta) - \phi'(\eta)| &\leq M\beta_0 \\ &= |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{8125}{6}R^2 + \frac{4901}{12}R + \frac{15}{2} \right] \frac{3}{500}. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, via similar arguments, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tf)''(\eta) - \phi''(\eta)| &\leq M\beta_2, \\ &= |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{8125}{6}R^2 + \frac{4901}{12}R + \frac{15}{2} \right] \frac{9}{8}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tf)'''(\eta) - \phi'''(\eta)| &\leq M\beta_3; \\ &= |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{8125}{6}R^2 + \frac{4901}{12}R + \frac{15}{2} \right] \frac{5}{8}; \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_2} |(Tf)''(\eta) - \phi''(\eta)| &\leq M\beta_0 = |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{8125}{6}R^2 + \frac{4901}{12}R + \frac{15}{2} \right] \frac{3}{500}; \\ \frac{\beta_0}{\beta_3} |(Tf)'''(\eta) - \phi'''(\eta)| &\leq M\beta_0 = |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{8125}{6}R^2 + \frac{4901}{12}R + \frac{15}{2} \right] \frac{3}{500}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tf, \phi) &\leq \max\{M\beta_0, M\beta_0, M\beta_0, M\beta_0\} \\ &= M\beta_0 \\ &= |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{8125}{6}R^2 + \frac{4901}{12}R + \frac{15}{2} \right] \frac{3}{500} \\ &\leq R \end{aligned}$$

by assumption (4.12). Hence, for all $f \in \mathcal{B}_R$ we have $Tf \in \mathcal{B}_R$ so that $T : \mathcal{B}_R \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_R$.

Let us now show that T is contractive on \mathcal{B}_R with respect to d . For $f, g \in \mathcal{B}_R$ and $\eta \in [0, 1]$, consider

$$\begin{aligned} &|(Tf)(\eta) - (Tg)(\eta)| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |G(\eta, s)| |h(f(s), f'(s), f''(s), f'''(s)) - h(g(s), g'(s), g''(s), g'''(s))| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |G(\eta, s)| \left(\sum_{i=0}^3 L_i |f^{(i)}(s) - g^{(i)}(s)| \right) ds \\ &\leq \beta_0 \left(L_0 d(f, g) + L_1 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} d(f, g) + L_2 \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0} d(f, g) + L_3 \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_0} d(f, g) \right) \\ &= (L_0\beta_0 + L_1\beta_1 + L_2\beta_2 + L_3\beta_3) d(f, g) \\ &= |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{65}{4}R + \frac{4901}{2000} \right] d(f, g) \end{aligned}$$

where we have applied Theorem 4.2.

Similarly, we can show

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tf)'(\eta) - (Tg)'(\eta)| &\leq \beta_1 \left(L_0 + L_1 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} + L_2 \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0} + L_3 \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_0} \right) d(f, g); \\ |(Tf)''(\eta) - (Tg)''(\eta)| &\leq \beta_2 \left(L_0 + L_1 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} + L_2 \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0} + L_3 \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_0} \right) d(f, g); \\ |(Tf)'''(\eta) - (Tg)'''(\eta)| &\leq \beta_3 \left(L_0 + L_1 \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_0} + L_2 \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_0} + L_3 \frac{\beta_3}{\beta_0} \right) d(f, g). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for all $f, g \in \mathcal{B}_R$ we have

$$d(Tf, Tg) = \max_{i \in \{0,1,2,3\}} \left\{ W_i \max_{\eta \in [0,1]} |(Tf)^{(i)}(\eta) - (Tg)^{(i)}(\eta)| \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq (L_0\beta_0 + L_1\beta_1 + L_2\beta_2 + L_3\beta_3)d(f, g) \\ &= |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{65}{4}R + \frac{4901}{2000} \right] d(f, g). \end{aligned}$$

Due to our assumption (4.13) we see that T is a contractive map on \mathcal{B}_R .

Hence all of the conditions of Theorem 4.3 hold with $X = \mathcal{B}_R$. Theorem 4.3 is applicable and yields the existence of a unique fixed point to T that lies in $\mathcal{B}_R \subset C^3([0, 1])$. This solution is also in $C^4([0, 1])$ as can be verified by differentiating the integral equation (2.9). Thus we have equivalently shown that the BVP (1.1), (1.2) has a unique solution. \square

The question remains: when do the constraints (4.12) and (4.13) hold? The following result addresses this question by choosing a $R > 0$ that maximizes $|\mathcal{R}|$.

Theorem 4.5. *For all*

$$|\mathcal{R}| < \frac{2000\sqrt{65}}{19500 + 4901\sqrt{65}} \approx 0.2732360884$$

the BVP (1.1), (1.2) has a unique solution lying in B with

$$R = 3\frac{\sqrt{65}}{325} \approx 0.07442084075.$$

Proof. Note that (4.12) and (4.13) are equivalent to

$$|\mathcal{R}| \leq \frac{R}{\left[\frac{8125}{6}R^2 + \frac{4901}{12}R + \frac{15}{2} \right] \frac{3}{500}} \tag{4.14}$$

$$|\mathcal{R}| < \frac{1}{\left[\frac{65}{4}R + \frac{4901}{2000} \right]}. \tag{4.15}$$

The two curves of the functions of R that make up the right-hand sides of the inequalities (4.14) and (4.15) intersect at

$$R = 3\frac{\sqrt{65}}{325} \approx 0.07442084075.$$

The value of these functions at their point of intersection is

$$\frac{2000\sqrt{65}}{19500 + 4901\sqrt{65}} \approx 0.2732360884 \tag{4.16}$$

and so, for values of $|\mathcal{R}|$ strictly less than (4.16), both of our inequalities (4.12) and (4.13) will hold. Thus, for these values of R and \mathcal{R} the conclusion of Theorem 4.4 holds. \square

Remark 4.1. The range

$$|\mathcal{R}| < \frac{2000\sqrt{65}}{19500 + 4901\sqrt{65}} \approx 2.732360884 \times 10^{-1}$$

in Theorem 4.5 improves the result in [22] for $\mathcal{R} > 0$ who established the existence of a unique solution for

$$0 < \mathcal{R} < \frac{-(72\sqrt{3} + 1) + \sqrt{(72\sqrt{3} + 1)^2 + 12\sqrt{3}(72\sqrt{3} - 24)}}{48(3\sqrt{3} - 1)}$$

$$\approx 4.005014 \times 10^{-2}.$$

We observe that our upper limit for \mathcal{R} is at least an order of magnitude higher than the result in [22].

Remark 4.2. Due to the rather small value of R in Theorem 4.5, the result can be interpreted as establishing the existence of a solution that uniquely lies within a thin strip, where the graph of function ϕ lies at the centre, and

$$|f(\eta) - \phi(\eta)| = \left| f(\eta) - \frac{1}{2}(3\eta - \eta^3) \right| \leq 3 \frac{\sqrt{65}}{325}, \quad \text{for all } \eta \in [0, 1].$$

Part of the significance with the small value of R can be related to the location of our solution. For small R we know that our solution cannot deviate “too much” from the known function ϕ .

Remark 4.3. Note that the conclusions of Theorem 4.4 and Theorem 4.5 say nothing about what might happen outside of the set B . Additional solutions may exist whose graphs are not completely contained in B .

Let us now pivot our attention to examine the approximation of solutions to (1.1), (1.2). The following results involve Picard iterants [20, Sec. 2] that will form approximations to the unique solution f of the BVP (1.1), (1.2). The following approximation results are a consequence of Theorem 4.3 holding for the operator T therein, see [25, Theorem 1.A].

Remark 4.4. Let the conditions of Theorem 4.5 hold. If we recursively define a sequence of approximations $f_n = f_n(\eta)$ on $[0, 1]$ via

$$f_0(\eta) := \phi(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}(3\eta - \eta^3)$$

$$f_{n+1}(\eta) := \int_0^1 G(\eta, s)\mathcal{R}(f'_n(s)f''_n(s) - f_n(s)f'''_n(s)) ds + f_0(\eta), \quad n=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

then:

- the sequence f_n converges to the solution f of (1.1), (1.2) with respect to the d metric and the rate of convergence is given by

$$d(f_{n+1}, f) \leq (L_0\beta_0 + L_1\beta_1 + L_2\beta_2 + L_3\beta_3)d(f_n, f)$$

$$= |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{65}{4}R + \frac{4901}{2000} \right] d(f_n, f)$$

- for each n , an *a priori* estimate on the error is

$$d(f_n, f) \leq \frac{(L_0\beta_0 + L_1\beta_1 + L_2\beta_2 + L_3\beta_3)^n}{1 - (L_0\beta_0 + L_1\beta_1 + L_2\beta_2 + L_3\beta_3)} d(f_1, \phi)$$

$$= \frac{[|\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{65}{4}R + \frac{4901}{2000} \right]]^n}{1 - |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{65}{4}R + \frac{4901}{2000} \right]} d(f_1, \phi)$$

- for each n , an *a posteriori* estimate on the error is

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(f_{n+1}, f) &\leq \frac{(L_0\beta_0 + L_1\beta_1 + L_2\beta_2 + L_3\beta_3)}{1 - (L_0\beta_0 + L_1\beta_1 + L_2\beta_2 + L_3\beta_3)} d(f_{n+1}, f_n) \\
 &= \frac{|\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{65}{4}R + \frac{4901}{2000} \right]}{1 - |\mathcal{R}| \left[\frac{65}{4}R + \frac{4901}{2000} \right]} d(f_{n+1}, f_n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.5. If we begin with f_0 then we can compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_1(\eta) &= -\frac{\eta}{280} (\mathcal{R}\eta^6 + (-3\mathcal{R} + 140)\eta^2 + 2\mathcal{R} - 420) \\
 f_2(\eta) &= -\frac{\eta}{8736000} \left[\left(\eta^{14} - \frac{300}{77}\eta^{13} - \frac{78}{11}\eta^{10} + \frac{390}{7}\eta^9 + \frac{65}{21}\eta^8 \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{780}{7}\eta^7 + \frac{1053}{49}\eta^6 - \frac{234}{7}\eta^4 + \frac{296027}{1617}\eta^2 - \frac{58496}{539} \right) \mathcal{R}^3 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{3640}{11}\eta^{10} - 2600\eta^9 - 650\eta^8 + 23400\eta^7 - \frac{14040}{7}\eta^6 + 8580\eta^4 \right. \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \left. - \frac{6190600}{77}\eta^2 + \frac{4107350}{77} \right) \mathcal{R}^2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + 46800(\eta^2 - 5)(-1 + \eta)^2(\eta + 1)^2\mathcal{R} + 4368000\eta^2 - 13104000 \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

One of the advantages in our method of approximation over that of perturbation techniques (eg, see Terrill’s [16]) is that there we have no constants of integration that need to be calculated and re-calculated with every step of the process. This leads to a much more streamlined and efficient sequence of approximations than have been available in the previous literature.

5. Opportunities and conclusion

Let us briefly identify some potential open problems for further research.

Two of our estimates in Sect. 2 are sharp, while the remaining two appear to be of a rougher nature. Is it possible to sharpen the bounds in Sect. 2? This would have the potential to further extend the range of \mathcal{R} under which (1.1), (1.2) would admit a unique solution.

Is it possible to sharpen the conditions (4.12) and (4.13), perhaps via the consideration of alternative metrics or sets? Once again, this would potentially enable an extension of the range of \mathcal{R} that would ensure uniqueness of solutions.

In this work we have aimed to provide a more complete theory of existence, uniqueness and approximation of solutions to the BVP from laminar flow in channels with porous walls. We advanced the current state of play via a contractive mapping approach and extended the range of Reynolds number under which a unique solution exists.

Funding Information Open Access funding enabled and organized by CAUL and its Member Institutions.

Data Availability Statement Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Open Access. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

References

- [1] Almuthaybiri, S.S., Tisdell, C.C.: Sharper existence and uniqueness results for solutions to fourth-order boundary value problems and elastic beam analysis. *Open Math.* **18**(1), 1006–1024 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1515/math-2020-0056>
- [2] Asghar, S., Mushtaq, M., Hayat, T.: Flow in a slowly deforming channel with weak permeability: an analytical approach. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **11**(1), 555–561 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2009.01.049>
- [3] Berman, A.S.: Laminar flow in channels with porous walls. *J. Appl. Phys.* **24**(9), 1232–1235 (1953). <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1721476>
- [4] Eckert, E. R.G., Donoughe, P.L.: Moore, Betty Jo. Velocity and friction characteristics of laminar viscous boundary-layer and channel flow over surfaces with injection or suction. Technical Note 4102. Washington: National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics, (1957). <http://hdl.handle.net/2060/19930084837>
- [5] Guo, H., Gui, C., Lin, P., Zhao, M.: Multiple solutions and their asymptotics for laminar flows through a porous channel with different permeabilities. *IMA J. Appl. Math.* **85**, 280–308 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1093/imamat/hxaa006>
- [6] Holbrook, N.M., Zwieniecki, M.: *Vascular Transport in Plants*. Elsevier Academic Press, Burlington, MA (2005). (9780080454238)
- [7] Hwang, T.-W., Wang, C.-A.: On multiple solutions for Berman's problem. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh: Sect. A Math.* **121**(3–4), 219–230 (1992). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0308210500027876>
- [8] Jensen, K.H.: *Slow Flow in Channels with Porous Walls*, pp. 10 (2012). [arXiv:1208.5423](https://arxiv.org/abs/1208.5423) [physics.flu-dyn]
- [9] LaBarbera, M.: Principles of design of fluid transport systems in zoology. *Science* **249**(4972), 992–1000 (1990). <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2396104>
- [10] Lu, C.L.: On the uniqueness of laminar channel flow with injection. *Appl. Anal.* **73**(3–4), 497–505 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036819908840793>

- [11] Proudman, I.: An example of steady laminar flow at large Reynolds number. *J. Fluid Mech.* **9**(4), 593–602 (1960). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S002211206000133X>
- [12] Robinson, W.A.: The existence of multiple solutions for the laminar flow in a uniformly porous channel with suction at both walls. *J. Eng. Math.* **10**(1), 23–40 (1976). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01535424>
- [13] Shih, K.-G.: On the existence of solutions of an equation arising in the theory of laminar flow in a uniformly porous channel with injection. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **47**(3), 526–533 (1987). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2101797>
- [14] Skalak, F.M., Wang, C.-Y.: On the nonunique solutions of laminar flow through a porous tube or channel. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* **34**(3), 535–544 (1978). <http://www.jstor.com/stable/2100952>
- [15] Skalak, Z.: An optimal regularity criterion for the Navier–Stokes equations proved by a blow-up argument. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **58**,(2021). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2020.103207>
- [16] Terrill, R.M.: Laminar Flow in a Uniformly Porous Channel. *Aeronaut. Q* **XV**, 299–310 (1964)
- [17] Terrill, R.: Laminar flow in a uniformly porous channel with large injection. *Aeronaut. Q.* **16**(4), 323–332 (1965). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0001925900003565>
- [18] Terrill, R.M., Shrestha, G.M.: Laminar flow through a channel with uniformly porous walls of different permeability. *Appl. Sci. Res.* **15**, 440–468 (1966). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00411577>
- [19] Timoshin, S.N., Thapa, P.: On-wall and interior separation in a two-fluid boundary layer. *J. Eng. Math.* **119**, 1–21 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10665-019-10016-8>
- [20] Tisdell, Christopher, C.: On Picard’s iteration method to solve differential equations and a pedagogical space for otherness. *Int. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technol.* **50**(5), 788–799 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2018.1507051>
- [21] Vajravelu, K., Sreenadh, S., Rajanikanth, K., Lee, C.: Peristaltic transport of a Williamson fluid in asymmetric channels with permeable walls. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **13**(6), 2804–2822 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2012.04.008>
- [22] Wang, C.A., Hwang, T.W., Chen, Y.Y.: Existence of solutions for Berman’s equation from laminar flows in a porous channel with suction. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **20**(2), 35–40 (1990). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0898-1221\(90\)90238-F](https://doi.org/10.1016/0898-1221(90)90238-F)
- [23] White, F.M.: Laminar Flow in Porous Ducts. PhD Thesis. Atlanta: Georgia Institute of Technology, (1959). <https://smartech.gatech.edu/handle/1853/16911>
- [24] Xinghong, P., Zijin, L.: Liouville theorem of axially symmetric Navier–Stokes equations with growing velocity at infinity. *Nonlinear Anal.: Real World Appl.* **56**, 103159 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nonrwa.2020.103159>
- [25] Zeidler, E.: *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its Applications I: Fixed-Point Theorems*. Translated from the German by Wadsack, P.R. New York: Springer Verlag (1986). ISBN: 978-0-387-90914-1
- [26] Zhang, Y., Rusak, Z., Wang, S.: Simulations of axisymmetric, inviscid swirling flows in circular pipes with various geometries. *J. Eng. Math.* **119**, 69–91 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10665-019-10019-5>

- [27] Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., Prosperetti, A.: Laminar flow past an infinite planar array of fixed particles: point-particle approximation, Oseen equations and resolved simulations. *J. Eng. Math.* **122**, 139–157 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10665-020-10052-9>

Saleh S. Almuthaybiri and Christopher C. Tisdell

School of Mathematics and Statistics

The University of New South Wales, UNSW

Sydney 2052

Australia

e-mail: s.almuthaybiri@qu.edu.sa;
cct@unsw.edu.au

Saleh S. Almuthaybiri

Department of Mathematics

College of Science and Arts in Uglat Asugour, Qassim University

Buraydah

Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Accepted: July 8, 2022.