



## Erratum to: Existence of solutions of scalar field equations with fractional operator

Norihisa Ikoma

### Erratum to: J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2017) 19:649–690 DOI 10.1007/s11784-016-0369-x

In the latter part of [2, Proposition 3.6 (i)], it is claimed that any weak solution of

$$(1 - \Delta)^\alpha u = f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N, \quad u \in H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^N)$$

decays faster than any polynomial. However, the proof there is valid only for positive solutions since the function  $g(x) := (f(u(x)) - (1 - \delta_0)u(x))_+$  may not be compactly supported.

We shall modify the proof and show the decay estimate of any weak solution of

$$(1 - \Delta)^\alpha u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N, \quad u \in H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^N). \quad (1)$$

**Proposition 0.1.** *Let  $f \in C(\mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$  satisfy  $|f(x, s)| \leq C(|s| + |s|^{2^*_\alpha - 1})$  for each  $(x, s) \in \mathbf{R}^N \times \mathbf{R}$  and*

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} \sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}^N} \frac{f(x, s)}{s} < 1. \quad (2)$$

*Then for every weak solution  $u$  of (1) and every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , there exists a  $C_{k,u}$  such that  $|u(x)| \leq C_{k,u}(1 + |x|)^{-k}$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^N$ .*

We first introduce the extension problem (see [1]):

$$\begin{cases} t^{1-2\alpha}(-\Delta_x + 1)w - (t^{1-2\alpha}w_t)_t = 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{R}_+^{N+1}, \\ w = u & \text{on } \partial\mathbf{R}_+^{N+1} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where  $\Delta_x = \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{x_i}^2$ . We also set

$$X^\alpha := \{w : \mathbf{R}_+^{N+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \mid \|w\|_{X^\alpha} < \infty\},$$

$$\|w\|_{X^\alpha}^2 := \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2\alpha} (|\nabla w|^2 + w^2) dX, \quad X := (x, t)$$

where  $\nabla = (\nabla_x, \partial_t)$ . Recall [2, Proposition 5.2]:

**Proposition 0.2.** (i) *There exists the trace operator  $\text{Tr} : X^\alpha \rightarrow H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^N)$ .*  
 (ii) *For each  $u \in H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^N)$ , there is a unique solution  $Eu \in X^\alpha$  of (3). In addition, there is a constant  $\kappa_\alpha > 0$  so that*

$$\kappa_\alpha \langle u, \text{Tr} w \rangle_\alpha = \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^{N+1}} t^{1-2\alpha} (\nabla(Eu) \cdot \nabla w + (Eu)w) dX$$

for every  $u \in H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^N)$  and  $w \in X^\alpha$ .

(iii) *For each  $u \in H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^N)$  and  $w \in X^\alpha$  with  $\text{Tr} w = u$ ,  $\kappa_\alpha \|u\|_\alpha^2 = \|Eu\|_{X^\alpha}^2 \leq \|w\|_{X^\alpha}^2$  hold.*

Now we prove Proposition 0.1:

*Proof of Proposition 0.1.* Let  $u$  be a weak solution of (1). By (2), we may choose  $s_0 > 0$  and  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that

$$\frac{f(x, s)}{s} < 1 - 2\delta_0 \quad \text{for any } (x, s) \in \mathbf{R}^N \times ([-s_0, s_0] \setminus \{0\}).$$

From the former part of the proof of [2, Proposition 3.6 (i)], we see that  $u \in C_b^\beta(\mathbf{R}^N)$  for any  $\beta \in (0, 2\alpha)$  and  $u(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, we may choose an  $R_0 > 0$  such that

$$|x| \geq R_0, \quad u(x) \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{f(x, u(x))}{u(x)} \leq 1 - \delta_0. \tag{4}$$

Denote by  $\chi_{R_0}(x)$  the characteristic function of  $B_{R_0}(0)$ . Notice that  $\chi_{R_0}(x)|f(x, u(x))| \in L^2(\mathbf{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N)$  is compactly supported. Let  $v$  be a unique solution of

$$(1 - \Delta)^\alpha v - (1 - \delta_0)v = \chi_{R_0}(x)|f(x, u(x))| \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N, \quad v \in H^\alpha(\mathbf{R}^N).$$

Then [2, Proposition 5.1] asserts that for any  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  there is a  $c_k > 0$  so that

$$0 < v(x) \leq c_k(1 + |x|)^{-k} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbf{R}^N.$$

Therefore, it suffices to prove  $-v(x) \leq u(x) \leq v(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^N$ .

To this end, remark that  $u$  satisfies

$$(1 - \Delta)^\alpha u = \chi_{R_0}(x)f(x, u(x)) + (1 - \chi_{R_0}(x))f(x, u(x)) \quad \text{in } \mathbf{R}^N.$$

Setting  $U(x) := v(x) - u(x)$ , one finds that

$$(1 - \Delta)^\alpha U = \chi_{R_0}(x)\{|f(x, u(x))| - f(x, u(x))\} + \{- (1 - \chi_{R_0}(x))f(x, u(x)) + (1 - \delta_0)v(x)\}.$$

Now consider  $EU$  and  $(EU)_-$  where  $w_-(X) := \max\{0, -w(X)\}$ . It is easily seen that  $(EU)_- \in X^\alpha$  and  $\text{Tr}(EU)_- = U_-$ . Applying Proposition 0.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\kappa_\alpha \langle U, U_- \rangle_\alpha \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} t^{1-2\alpha} (\nabla EU \cdot \nabla (EU)_- + (EU)(EU)_-) \, dX = -\|(EU)_-\|_{X^\alpha}^2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_\alpha \langle U, U_- \rangle_\alpha &= \kappa_\alpha \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} [\chi_{R_0} \{|f(x, u)| - f(x, u)\} \\ &\quad + \{-(1 - \chi_{R_0})f(x, u) + (1 - \delta_0)v\}] U_- \, dx \\ &\geq \kappa_\alpha \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (1 - \chi_{R_0}) [-f(x, u) + (1 - \delta_0)v] U_- \, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

If  $U_-(x) > 0$  and  $|x| \geq R_0$ , then from  $u(x) > v(x) > 0$  and (4) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 - \chi_{R_0}(x)) [-f(x, u(x)) + (1 - \delta_0)v(x)] \\ &\geq (1 - \chi_{R_0}(x)) [-(1 - \delta_0)u(x) + (1 - \delta_0)v(x)] \\ &= (1 - \delta_0)(1 - \chi_{R_0}(x))U(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\kappa_\alpha \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (1 - \chi_{R_0}) [-f(x, u) + (1 - \delta_0)v] U_- \, dx \geq -(1 - \delta_0)\kappa_\alpha \|U_-\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{6}$$

Next, by the Plancherel theorem and Proposition 0.2, one sees

$$\kappa_\alpha \|U_-\|_{L^2}^2 = \kappa_\alpha \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} |\widehat{U_-}|^2 \, d\xi \leq \kappa_\alpha \|U_-\|_\alpha^2 \leq \|(EU)_-\|_{X^\alpha}^2.$$

Combining this with (4)–(6), we finally obtain

$$-\|(EU)_-\|_{X^\alpha}^2 \geq -(1 - \delta_0)\|(EU)_-\|_{X^\alpha}^2,$$

which implies  $(EU)_- \equiv 0$ , hence,  $U_- \equiv 0$  and  $u(x) \leq v(x)$ .

For the opposite inequality  $-v(x) \leq u(x)$ , we proceed similarly. Set  $V(x) := v(x) + u(x)$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\|(EV)_-\|_{X^\alpha}^2 &= \kappa_\alpha \langle V, V_- \rangle_\alpha \\ &\geq \kappa_\alpha \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (1 - \chi_{R_0}(x)) [f(x, u(x)) + (1 - \delta_0)v(x)] V_-(x) \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

If  $V_-(x) > 0$  and  $|x| \geq R_0$ , then  $u(x) < -v(x) < 0$ . Thus, by (4), one gets

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 - \chi_{R_0}(x)) [f(x, u(x)) + (1 - \delta_0)v(x)] \\ &\geq (1 - \chi_{R_0}(x)) [(1 - \delta_0)u(x) + (1 - \delta_0)v(x)] \\ &= (1 - \delta_0)(1 - \chi_{R_0}(x))V(x). \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the argument is same as the above and we obtain  $V_- \equiv 0$ . Thus,  $-v(x) \leq u(x)$  holds and we complete the proof.  $\square$

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP16K17623.

### References

- [1] Fall, M.M., Felli, V.: Unique continuation properties for relativistic Schrödinger operators with a singular potential. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **35**(12), 5827–5867 (2015)
- [2] Ikoma, N.: Existence of solutions of scalar field equations with fractional operator. *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **19**, 649–690 (2017)

Norihisa Ikoma

Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Institute of Science and Engineering

Kanazawa University

Kakuma

Kanazawa, Ishikawa 9201192

Japan

e-mail: [ikoma@se.kanazawa-u.ac.jp](mailto:ikoma@se.kanazawa-u.ac.jp)