



Correction to: Social support as a moderator of healthcare adherence and distress in long-term hematopoietic cell transplantation survivors

Kristina Holmegaard Nørskov¹ · Jean C. Yi² · Marie-Laure Crouch² · Allison Stover Fiscalini³ · Mary E. D. Flowers^{2,4} · Karen L. Syrjala^{2,4}

Published online: 7 April 2021
© Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature 2021

Correction to: J Cancer Surviv
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-020-00979-4>

The original version of the article has contained mistakes in Tables 2 and 4.

The authors regret that three negative signs are missing in Table 2 and in Table 4 two *p*-values are missing.

The corrected tables are given below.

The online version of the original article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11764-020-00979-4>

✉ Kristina Holmegaard Nørskov
Kristina.holmegaard.noerskov@regionh.dk

¹ Department of Hematology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet, Blegdamsvej 9, 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

² Clinical Research Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA, USA

³ University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

⁴ University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA

Table 2 Univariate relationship between hypothesized factors associated with distress and adherence

Variable	Health care adherence		Cancer and treatment distress	
	Test value	p value	Test value	p value
Covariates				
Gender	8.144 ^a	<0.001	3.320 ^a	0.001
Age (<40, 40–64, >65)	14.67 ^b	<0.001	3.048 ^b	0.048
Years post-transplant (<10, ≥10)	2.084 ^a	0.038	3.063 ^a	0.002
Income \$ (<40,000, ≥40,000)	4.266 ^a	<0.001	2.676 ^a	0.008
Education (<4 year college, ≥4 year college)	2.670 ^a	0.008	1.369 ^a	0.171
Marital status (married/partner, single/divorced, widowed)	3.302 ^a	<0.001	1.091 ^a	0.276
Donor source (autologous, allogeneic)	3.304 ^a	0.001	1.658 ^a	0.098
Urban/rural	1.907 ^a	0.057	0.021 ^a	0.983
Health stressors				
Comorbidity (<3, ≥3)	3.695 ^a	<0.001	4.735 ^a	<0.001
cGVHD (moderate/severe, mild/none)	2.683 ^a	0.009	5.196 ^a	<0.001
Support				
ESSI (continuous)	0.134 ^c	0.001	-0.340 ^c	<0.001
SAL (continuous)	0.139 ^c	<0.001	-0.310 ^c	<0.001
Coping process				
HSE (continuous)	0.166 ^c	<0.001	-0.404 ^c	<0.001

An association is measured using ^a independent t-test, ^b one-way ANOVA, ^c Pearson correlation. cGVHD chronic graft versus host disease, ESSI ENRICHED Social Support Instrument, SAL social activity log, HSE health self-efficacy

Table 4 Multiple linear regression analysis predicting cancer and treatment distress

	Block 1		Block 2		Block 3		Block 4	
	β	p value						
Covariates								
Gender (ref=male)	0.12	0.002	0.12	0.001	0.13	<0.001	0.13	<0.001
Age	-0.004	0.924	-0.05	0.202	-0.05	0.12	-0.009	0.794
Years post-transplant (≥10 years)	0.09	0.023	0.06	0.116	-0.06	0.108	0.07	0.047
Income (ref=≥40,000 \$)	0.12	0.003	0.08	0.033	0.03	0.432	-0.035	0.324
Donor source (ref=allogeneic)	0.05	0.21	0.1	0.01	0.06	0.091	0.09	0.014
Health stressors								
Comorbidity score>3 (ref=<3)			0.15	<0.001	0.16	<0.001	1.791	<0.001
CGVHD moderate-severe (ref=none/mild)			0.22	<0.001	0.18	<0.001	0.455	0.034
Social support moderators								
ESSI (continuous)*Comorbidity score>3 (ref=<3)					-0.567	<.001	-0.459	<0.001
ESSI (continuous)*CCGVHD moderate-severe (ref=none/mild)					0.047	0.7	0.173	0.236
SAL (continuous)*Comorbidity score>3 (ref=<3)					-0.408	<0.001	-0.37	<0.001
SAL (continuous)*CGVHD moderate-severe (ref=none/mild)					0.033	0.7	0.07	0.41
Coping process moderators								
HSE (continuous)*Comorbidity score>3 (ref=<3)							-0.883	<0.001
HSE (continuous)*CCGVHD moderate-severe (ref=none/mild)							-0.511	0.006
F for block	6.068	<0.001	12.599	<0.001	13.81	<0.001	15.792	<0.001
R ² for block	0.04		0.07		0.06		0.05	
F for full model							36.18	<0.001
R ² Δ for full model							0.22	

β, standardized regression coefficient. cGVHD chronic graft versus host disease, ESSI ENRICHED Social Support Instrument, SAL social activity log, HSE health self-efficacy

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.