ORIGINAL CONTRIBUTIONS





Influence of Bariatric Surgery on Erectile Dysfunction—a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Piotr Małczak¹ · Michał Wysocki² · Magdalena Pisarska-Adamczyk³ · Jakub Strojek¹ · Hanna Rodak¹ · Ilie Lastovetskyi¹ · Michał Pędziwiatr¹ · Piotr Major¹

Received: 23 December 2022 / Revised: 22 March 2023 / Accepted: 28 March 2023 / Published online: 22 April 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

Abstract

Introduction Obesity is associated with a higher prevalence of various comorbidities including erectile dysfunction (ED). Bariatric surgery leads to weight loss and remission of weight-related diseases. The exact influence of bariatric treatment on ED is yet to be established; however, the number of papers on the subject is growing.

Methodology A systematic review with meta-analysis comparing erectile dysfunction before and after surgery was conducted according to PRISMA guidelines with a literature search performed in June 2022. Inclusion criteria involved (1) ED assessment using the International International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) and (2) longitudinal study design. Secondary endpoints involved hormonal changes and specific fields of IIEF.

Results An initial search yielded 878 records. Fourteen studies were included in the meta-analysis involving 508 patients. The quality of analyzed studies was moderate. Analysis showed significant differences in IIEF before and after surgery (Std. MD = 1.19, 95% CI 0.72 to 1.66, p < 0.0001). Testosterone after surgery is higher by 156.32 pg/ml (95% CI 84.78 to 227.86, p < 0.0001). There were differences in erectile function (MD:4.86, p < 0.0001), desire (MD: 1.21, p < 0.0001), intercourse satisfaction (MD: 2.16, p < 0.0001), and overall satisfaction (MD: 1.21, p = 0.003). There were no differences in terms of orgasms (MD: 0.65, p = 0.06).

Conclusion There are differences in ED before and after bariatric surgery. Patients achieve 19% more in the IIEF questionnaire showing improvement. Further studies, including multivariate regression models on large cohorts, are required to determine whether the surgery is an independent factor in alleviating ED.

Keywords Bariatric surgery · Erectile dysfunction · Meta-analysis

Key Points

• There are differences in erectile dysfunction after bariatric surgery

- Testosterone levels in males are higher after bariatric surgery
- Orgasm after surgery does not change significantly

Magdalena Pisarska-Adamczyk magdalenapisarska@interia.pl; magdalena.pisarska@uj.edu.pl

- ¹ 2nd Department of General Surgery, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Cracow, Poland
- ² Department of General Surgery and Surgical Oncology, Ludwik Rydygier Memorial Hospital in Cracow, Cracow, Poland
- ³ Department of Medical Education, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Cracow, Poland

Introduction

Obesity is a well-known condition associated with comorbidities such as diabetes, hypertension, or metabolic syndrome [1]. It also drastically impairs the quality of life which apart from general health is considered an important aspect of a patient's well-being [2]. There are many elements of health-related quality of life, one of which is sex life [3]. Males with obesity have a greater prevalence of erectile dysfunctions (ED) than patients with a body mass index (BMI) below 30 [4]. This can be caused by many reasons, both psychological and physical [5]. Patients with higher BMI may have lower self-esteem and may not be comfortable with their bodies, thus avoiding sexual contact. From a biological standpoint, an increased percentage of fatty tissue leads to a general state of inflammation, which can cause vascular insufficiency impairing blood flow into the penile. A higher percentage of fatty tissue increases aromatase activity, which converts testosterone causing its decrease. Combined, these issues may lead to erectile dysfunction [5]. Bariatric surgery is an established treatment method for long-term weight loss which also alleviates symptoms of diabetes, hypertension, and other weight-related comorbidities [6]. In recent years, the number of studies analyzing the potential effect of bariatric surgery on ED has been increasing. This study aimed to systematically review the available literature to assess erectile dysfunction before and after weight-loss surgery.

Methods

Search Strategy

A search was conducted by three researchers (JS, HR, and IL) in June 2022. Medline, Embase, Cinahl, and Scopus were reviewed with language restricted to English and using the search terms "bariatrics," "sleeve gastrectomy," "gastric bypass," "sleeve resection" and combinations of these with "erectile dysfunction" and "impotence" using the Boolean operators "AND" and "OR." Reference lists of relevant publications were assessed for additional references. Furthermore, bibliographies from other systematic reviews or meta-analyses on the subject were searched. The search strategy for the OVID platform is presented in Supplementary File 1. Sleeve gastrectomy and gastric bypass were specifically included in the search strategy as they are the most commonly performed bariatric procedures. The systemic review is registered in the PROSPERO database under CRD42022340799.

Eligibility Criteria

A paper was included when (1) the study concerned adult patients who underwent bariatric surgery, (2) the study assessed erectile dysfunction using the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) Questionnaire, and (3) the study compared pre- and post-surgery results in a longitudinal design [7]. The exclusion criteria were (1) ED assessment using a different tool, (2) study design other than longitudinal, and (3) case-matched studies, reviews, and guidelines.

Outcome Measures

The primary outcome of this systematic review was erectile dysfunction assessed with a validated questionnaire. The most commonly used were IIEF (75-point scale) and its short iteration IIEF-5 (25-point scale) [8]. Secondary outcomes were hormone levels (testosterone, luteinizing hormone, and estradiol) and specific fields of the IIEF: erectile function, orgasm, desire, intercourse satisfaction, and overall satisfaction.

Study Selection and Data Extraction

Each of the records downloaded from searches was screened by at least two researchers independently (JS, HR, and IL). The selection was first based on titles and abstracts and then full texts. In case of disagreement, an attempt was made for reaching a consensus within the group. If no resolution was possible, an arbitrary decision was made by the third reviewer. The process of identifying relevant studies is summarized in the PRISMA flow diagram (Fig. 1). Data from included studies were extracted independently by two researchers to a prepared Excel sheet. When available, the following data were extracted: first author, year of publication, number of operated patients, type of surgery, assessment tool, and outcomes of interest (endpoint data).

Study Quality

The quality of included studies was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, which consists of three factors: patient selections, comparability of the study groups, and assessment of outcomes [9]. This was done in pairs by two review authors (HR, IL). Any disagreements were resolved by discussion or by involving another review author (PM).

Statistical Analysis

The analysis was performed using RevMan 5.4 (freeware from the Cochrane Collaboration). Statistical heterogeneity and inconsistency were measured using Cochran's Q tests and I^2 , respectively. When a study included medians and interquartile ranges, we calculated the mean \pm SD using a method proposed by Hozo et al. [10]. Otherwise, when the standard deviation was missing, it was derived as shown by Fu et al. [11]. Standardized mean difference (SMD) and mean difference (MD) with 95% CI are presented for quantitative variables using the inverse variance randomeffects method. Statistical significance was observed with a two-tailed 0.05 level for the hypothesis and with 0.10 for heterogeneity testing, while unadjusted *p*-values were reported accordingly. This study was performed according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews (PRISMA) guidelines and MOOSE consensus statement [12, 13].

Results

The initial search yielded 878 records. After removing duplicates, 488 titles and abstracts were reviewed. Finally, 14 studies were selected and included in the meta-analysis involving 508 patients [14–27]. Patients underwent Roux

Fig. 1 Study selection flow chart



en-Y gastric bypass, sleeve gastrectomy, gastric banding, banded gastric bypass, one-anastomosis gastric bypass, or biliopancreatic diversion. The follow-up period varied from 6 to 48 months. Three studies reported outcomes in IIEF-5, whereas the rest used IIEF. General characteristics of included studies and patients are presented in Table 1. The quality of included studies was moderate.

The primary endpoint (IIEF score) was reported in all studies. Three authors reported results showing no significant differences before and after the surgery [14, 17, 28]. The analysis (Fig. 2) showed significant differences before and after surgery (Std. MD = 1.19, 95% CI 0.72 to 1.66, *p*

< 0.0001). The heterogeneity between the studies was very high ($I^2 = 91\%$). Sensitivity analysis showed that 6 studies were the cause of all heterogeneity, and when they were excluded, the result remained significant at *p*<0.0001. Additionally, we performed a subgroup analysis involving studies with a follow-up of 12 months (Fig. 3). Five studies were included with a total of 229 patients. The mean difference was 12.13 in favor of the post-surgery period (MD 12.13, 95% CI 7.88 to 16.38, *p* < 0.0001, $I^2 = 89\%$).

Testosterone levels were reported in 8 studies [14, 17, 19, 25–27, 29, 30]. Data were reported in various units and were unified in pg/ml. Sarwer et al. reported that surgery

Table 1 General characteristics of included studies

Author	Voor	Study type	Surgery type	Population	IIFE/IIFE 5	Eollow up	Quality NOS
	Icai	Study type	Surgery type	ropulation	HEI/HEI-5	(months)	Quality NOS
Reis [14]	2009	CS	RYGB	10	IIEF-5	24	7
Araujo [15]	2009	CS	Gastroplasty	21	IIEF	6	7
Ranasingh [16]	2010	CC	GB	34	IIEF	31.79	9
Mora [17]	2012	CS	SG, RYGB	39	IIEF	12	7
Sarwer [18]	2014	CS	RYGB	32	IIEF	48	7
Kun [19]	2014	CS	RYGB	39	IIEF-5	12	7
Efthymiou [20]	2014	CS	SG, RYGB, BPD-DS	30	IIEF	12	7
Groutz [21]	2016	CS	SG	53	IIEF	3	6
Aleid [22]	2016	CS	SG, RYGB, GB	30	IIEF	6	7
Oncel [23]	2020	CS	SG	40	IIEF-5	6	6
Fahmy [24]	2021	CS	SG	81	IIEF	12	6
Machado[25]	2021	CS	SG, RYGB	20	IIEF	18	6
Gokalp [<mark>26</mark>]	2021	CS	SG	31	IIEF	12	6
Sarhan [27]	2021	CS	SG, SAGB	48	IIEF	12	7

CC case-control study, CS cohort study, RYGB Roux-en-Y gastric bypass, IIEF International Index of Erectile Function, SG sleeve gastrectomy, GB gastric banding, SAGB single anastomosis gastric bypass, NOS Newcastle-Ottawa Scale

	After Surgery			Before Surgery			5	Std. Mean Difference	Std. Mean Difference		
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% CI Year	r IV, Random, 95% CI		
Araujo	65.76	5.9	21	58.95	9.75	21	7.0%	0.83 [0.20, 1.46] 2009)		
Reis	23	2.3	10	19.7	6.6	10	6.2%	0.64 [-0.26, 1.54] 2009)		
Ranasingh	48.17	25.34	34	51.36	22.17	34	7.4%	-0.13 [-0.61, 0.34] 2010) —		
Mora	61.21	14.1	39	54.85	16.59	39	7.5%	0.41 [-0.04, 0.86] 2012	2		
Efthymiou	61.3	11.6	30	43.69	12.21	30	7.1%	1.46 [0.89, 2.03] 2014	↓		
Kun	23.8	6.1	39	17.3	5.5	39	7.4%	1.11 [0.63, 1.59] 2014	↓		
Sarwer	53.7	11.6	32	46.8	12.21	32	7.3%	0.57 [0.07, 1.07] 2014	↓ <mark></mark>		
Aleid	60	11.6	30	35	13	30	7.0%	2.00 [1.38, 2.63] 2016	3		
Groutz	64.1	11.6	53	56.4	12.21	53	7.6%	0.64 [0.25, 1.03] 2016	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Öncel	26.57	3.33	40	20.08	5.44	40	7.3%	1.43 [0.93, 1.92] 2020) —		
Fahmy	59.6	11.6	81	51.9	12.21	81	7.7%	0.64 [0.33, 0.96] 2021			
Gokalp	67.5	2.25	31	56.5	5	31	6.7%	2.80 [2.09, 3.51] 2021	I		
Machado	67.5	7.4	20	62.3	7.4	20	7.0%	0.69 [0.05, 1.33] 2021	I		
Sarhan	59.95	4.08	48	42.94	4.67	48	6.8%	3.85 [3.16, 4.53] 2021	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Total (95% CI)			508			508	100.0%	1.19 [0.72, 1.66]	•		
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 0.71; Chi ² = 147.33, df = 13 (P < 0.00001); l ² = 91%											
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.98 (P < 0.00001)								-4 -2 0 2 4 Before Surgery After Surgery			

Fig. 2 Pooled estimates of the erectile dysfunction comparing before and after surgery. CI confidence interval, df degrees of freedom



Fig. 3 Pooled estimates of the IIEF with 12-month follow-up comparing before and after surgery. CI confidence interval, df degrees of freedom

	After Surgery			Before Surgery			Mean Difference			Mean Difference	
Study or Subgroup	Mean	SD	Total	Mean	SD	Total	Weight	IV, Random, 95% C	l Year	IV, Random, 95% CI	
Reis	700	80	10	340	130	10	11.0%	360.00 [265.39, 454.61]	2009		
Mora	508.01	161.9	39	256.36	121	39	12.4%	251.65 [188.22, 315.08]	2012		
Kun	472.32	92.16	39	391.68	75	39	13.2%	80.64 [43.35, 117.93]	2014		
Sarwer	495.5	185.8	32	317.1	112.1	32	11.9%	178.40 [103.22, 253.58]	2014		
Öncel	279	96.4	40	368	101.48	40	13.0%	-89.00 [-132.38, -45.62]	2020		
Machado	548.2	190.1	20	201	11.7	20	11.5%	347.20 [263.73, 430.67]	2021		
Gokalp	210.24	31.68	31	144	37.5	31	13.6%	66.24 [48.96, 83.52]	2021	+	
Sarhan	539	76	48	418	60	48	13.4%	121.00 [93.61, 148.39]	2021	-	
Total (95% CI)			259			259	100.0%	156.32 [84.78, 227.86]		•	
Heterogeneity: Tau² = 9740.44; Chi² = 175.69, df = 7 (P < 0.00001); l² = 96%									-		
Test for overall effect: Z = 4.28 (P < 0.0001)								-200 -100 0 100 200 Before surgery After surgery			

Fig. 4 Pooled estimates of testosterone levels comparing before and after surgery. CI confidence interval, df degrees of freedom

led to lower levels of testosterone; however, the remaining authors showed that the hormone concentration increased [29]. Overall, the analysis showed an increase in testosterone level following bariatric surgery (MD: 156.32, 95% CI 84.78 to 227.86, p < 0.0001, $I^2 = 96\%$) (Fig. 4).

LH was reported in 4 studies [14, 17, 25, 29]. None of the studies showed significant differences; however, after pooling the data, the analysis showed a significant increase in LH levels (mIU/ml) (MD: 0.66, 95% CI 0.04 to 1.27, p=0.04, $l^2 = 8\%$) (Supplementary file 2).

Estradiol was reported in 4 studies [14, 17, 25, 26]. Reis, Gokalp, and Machado reported a significant decrease in estradiol after surgery, whereas Mora et al. did not find any significant differences [17]. Analysis after pooling data showed no significant differences in estradiol levels before and after surgery MD (-10.64, 95% CI -22.44 to 1.16, p=0.08, $l^2 = 87\%$) (Supplementary file 3).

Erectile function was reported in 9 studies [17, 18, 21, 24–27, 31, 32]. Sarwer et al. and Machado et al. reported no significant differences whereas the remaining authors showed improvement after surgery [18, 25]. Analysis showed improvement in erectile function after surgery (MD: 4.86, 95% CI 4.10 to 5.63, p < 0.0001). The heterogeneity between the studies was low ($I^2 = 16\%$) (Supplementary file 4).

Orgasm was reported in 9 studies [15, 17, 21, 24–27, 29, 32]. The majority of authors showed no differences between

the analyzed groups; however, Sarhan et al. and Gokalp et al. presented data showing improvement after the surgery [26, 27]. Meta-analysis did not reveal any statistically significant differences before and after the surgery (MD: 0.65, 95% CI -0.02 to 1.32, p = 0.06, $l^2 = 88\%$) (Supplementary file 5).

Desire was reported in 9 studies [15, 17, 21, 24–27, 29, 32]. Analysis showed significant differences between before and after surgery, favoring the latter (MD: 1.21, 95% CI 0.61 to 1.82, p<0.0001, I^2 = 87%) (Supplementary file 6). Similar results of the analysis were found in intercourse satisfaction (MD: 2.16, 95% CI 1.44 to 2.88, p < 0.0001) and overall satisfaction (MD: 1.21, 95% CI 0.4 to 2.02, p = 0.003) (Supplementary files 7 and 8).

Discussion

This study aimed to assess erectile dysfunction before and after bariatric surgery. Patients with morbid obesity have a 1.5–3 times greater risk of developing erectile dysfunction in comparison to patients with normal BMI [33]. In general, our meta-analysis showed an improvement in ED when considering the total IIEF score; however, four studies showed no significant effect of the surgery [14, 17, 28, 31]. It is in line with the previous meta-analysis on the subject by Xu et al. and Liu et al., which reviewed the literature up to 2019 [34, 35]. Interestingly, one of

the studies included in our review, by Ranasinghe et al., showed results that the surgery did not improve ED but even made it slightly worse [16]. In contrast to previous systematic reviews, our study showed that there are no significant differences in orgasm. In turn, desire and overall satisfaction have been shown to improve significantly.

It is still controversial whether the increased prevalence of erectile dysfunction is caused by hormone disruptions, psychological issues, or vascular conditions. Testosterone levels are decreased by aromatase activity which is increased by the volume of fatty tissue [36, 37]. Our analysis showed that surgery and weight reduction lead to an increase in testosterone levels. An increase in LH additionally shows that the pituitary axis is affected as well. There are numerous psychological aspects affecting a patient's sexual life, which in general may lead to erectile dysfunction and impaired sexual satisfaction [38, 39]. Morbid obesity causes a higher risk of oxidative stress, which in turn may damage arteries and veins resulting in impaired penile blood flow [40].

Rather than one possible cause of ED alleviation following surgery, such as the increase in testosterone levels, it is a result of a combination of several factors leading to improved general health and mental health. Patients' sex life is an important aspect of quality of life following bariatric surgery. In our opinion, more emphasis should be placed on this particular disorder, and it should be considered obesityrelated comorbidity similar to diabetes or hypertension.

There are several limitations to this study. All of the included studies are observational longitudinal studies. Preferably analysis would involve randomized controlled trials comparing surgery with lifestyle interventions using a longitudinal design, but the number of such studies is scarce. Several of included studies have moderate quality; however, additional sensitivity analysis did not show any change with the removal of these studies. Another limiting factor is the heterogeneity in the operative procedure. Operations that are more malabsorptive may affect the hormonal system differently, resulting in an additional confounding factor.

Conclusion

There are differences in erectile dysfunction before and after bariatric surgery. Patients achieve 19% more in the IIEF questionnaire showing improvement. Testosterone levels after surgery are significantly higher. Further studies, including multivariate regression models on large cohorts, are required to determine whether the surgery is an independent factor in alleviating ED.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s11695-023-06572-9.

Declarations

Ethical Approval This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

Informed Consent Informed consent does not apply.

Conflict of Interest The authors declare no competing interests.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

References

- The GBD 2015 Obesity Collaborators. Health effects of overweight and obesity in 195 countries over 25 years. N Engl J Med. 2017;377(1):13–27.
- Ul-Haq Z, Mackay DF, Fenwick E, et al. Meta-analysis of the association between body mass index and health-related quality of life among adults, assessed by the SF-36. Obes. 2013;21(3):E322–7.
- Shamspour N, Assari S, Moghana Lankarani M. Relation between sexuality and health-related quality of life. In: Preedy VR, Watson RR, editors. handbook of disease burdens and quality of life measures. New York: New York, NY: Springer; 2010. p. 3457–73.
- Cheng JY, Ng EM. Body mass index, physical activity and erectile dysfunction: an U-shaped relationship from population-based study. Int J Obes (Lond). 2007;31(10):1571–8.
- Traish AM, Feeley RJ, Guay A. Mechanisms of obesity and related pathologies: androgen deficiency and endothelial dysfunction may be the link between obesity and erectile dysfunction. FEBS J. 2009;276(20):5755–67.
- Arterburn DE, Telem DA, Kushner RF, et al. Benefits and risks of bariatric surgery in adults: a review. JAMA. 2020;324(9):879–87.
- Rosen RC, Riley A, Wagner G, et al. The international index of erectile function (IIEF): a multidimensional scale for assessment of erectile dysfunction. Urology. 1997;49(6):822–30.
- Rosen RC, Cappelleri JC, Smith MD, et al. Development and evaluation of an abridged, 5-item version of the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF-5) as a diagnostic tool for erectile dysfunction. Int J Impot Res. 1999;11(6):319–26.
- Wells G, Shea B, O'Connell D, Peterson J, Welch V, Losos M, Tugwell P. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. 2013. https:// www.ohri.ca/programs/clinical_epidemiology/oxford.asp
- 10. Hozo SP, Djulbegovic B, Hozo I. Estimating the mean and variance from the median, range, and the size of a sample. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2005;5:13.
- Fu R, Vandermeer BW, Shamliyan TA, et al. Handling continuous outcomes in quantitative synthesis. In: Methods Guide for Effectiveness and Comparative Effectiveness Reviews. Oregon: AHRQ Publication; 2013.

- 12. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. Int J Surg. 2010;8(5):336–41.
- Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Metaanalysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA. 2000;283(15):2008–12.
- Reis L, Favaro WJ, Barreiro GC, et al. Erectile dysfunction and hormonal imbalance in morbidly obese male is reversed after gastric bypass surgery: a prospective randomized controlled trial. Int J Androl. 2010;33(5):736–44.
- 15. Araujo AAD, de Brito AM, de Novaes Lima Ferreira M, et al. Changes the sexual quality of life of the obeses submitted Fobi-Capella gastroplasty surgery. Modificacoes da qualidade de vida sexual de obesos submetidos a cirurgia de Fobi-Capella. 2009;36(1):42–8.
- 16. Ranasinghe W, Wright T, Attia J, et al. Effects of bariatric surgery on urinary and sexual function. BJU Int. 2011;107(1):88–94.
- Mora M, Aranda GB, de Hollanda A, et al. Weight loss is a major contributor to improved sexual function after bariatric surgery. Surg Endosc. 2013;27(9):3197–204.
- 18. Sarwer DB, Spitzer JC, Wadden TA, et al. Sexual functioning and sex hormones in men who underwent bariatric surgery. Surg Obes Relat Dis. 2015;11(3):643–51.
- Kun L, Di Jianzhong HX, et al. Significant improvement of erectile function after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery in obese Chinese men with erectile dysfunction. Obes Surg. 2015;25(5):838–44.
- Efthymiou V, Hyphantis T, Karaivazoglou K, et al. The effect of bariatric surgery on patient HRQOL and sexual health during a 1-year postoperative period. Obes Surg. 2015;25(2):310–8.
- Groutz A, Gordon D, Schachter P, et al. Effects of bariatric surgery on male lower urinary tract symptoms and sexual function. NeurourolUrodyn. 2017;36(3):636–9.
- Aleid M, Muneer A, Renshaw S, et al. Early effect of bariatric surgery on urogenital function in morbidly obese men. J Sex Med. 2017;14(2):205–14.
- Öncel HF, Salar R, Özbay E, et al. Changes in the sexual functions of male patients and their partners after obesity surgery. Andrologia. 2021;53(1):e13873.
- 24. Fahmy A, Abdeldaiem H, Abdelsattar M, et al. Impact of bariatric surgery on sexual dysfunction in obese men. Sex Med. 2021;9(2):100322.
- 25. Machado FP, Rhoden EL, Pioner SR, et al. Weight loss through bariatric surgery in men presents beneficial effects on sexual function, symptoms of testosterone deficiency, and hormonal profile. Sex Med. 2021;9(4):100400

- 26. Gokalp F, Koras O, Ugur M, et al. Bariatric surgery has positive effects on patients' and their partners' sexual function: a prospective study. Andrology. 2021;9(4):1119–25.
- 27. Sarhan MD, Khattab M, Sarhan MD, et al. Impact of bariatric surgery on male sexual health: a prospective study. Obes Surg. 2021;31(9):4064–9.
- Ranasinghe WK, Wright T, Attia J, et al. Effects of bariatric surgery on urinary and sexual function. BJU Int. 2011;107(1):88–94.
- Sarwer DB, Spitzer JC, Wadden TA, et al. Sexual functioning and sex hormones in men who underwent bariatric surgery. Surg Obes Relat Dis. 2015;11(3):643–51.
- Oncel H, Salar R, Özbay E, et al. Changes in the sexual functions of male patients and their partners after obesity surgery. Andrologia. 2022.
- Araújo AA, Brito AM, Ferreira MD, et al. Changes the sexual quality of life of the obeses submitted Fobi-Capella gastroplasty surgery. Rev Col Bras Cir. 2009;36(1):42–8.
- Efthymiou V, Hyphantis T, Karaivazoglou K, et al. The effect of bariatric surgery on patient HRQOL and sexual health during a 1-year postoperative period. Obes Surg. 2015;25(2):310–8.
- Chitaley K, Kupelian V, Subak L, et al. Diabetes, obesity and erectile dysfunction: field overview and research priorities. J Urol. 2009;182(6 Suppl):S45–50.
- Liu S, Cao D, Ren Z, et al. The relationships between bariatric surgery and sexual function: current evidence based medicine. BMC Urol. 2020;20(1):150.
- Xu J, Wu Q, Zhang Y, et al. Effect of bariatric surgery on male sexual function: a meta-analysis and systematic review. Sex Med. 2019;7(3):270–81.
- Kelly DM, Jones TH. Testosterone and obesity. Obes Rev. 2015;16(7):581–606.
- Khodamoradi K, Khosravizadeh Z, Seetharam D, et al. The role of leptin and low testosterone in obesity. Int J Impot Res. 2022;34(7):704–13.
- Nimbi FM, Virginia C, Cinzia DM, et al. The relation between sexuality and obesity: the role of psychological factors in a sample of obese men undergoing bariatric surgery. Int J Impot Res. 2022;34(2):203–14.
- Kolotkin RL, Binks M, Crosby RD, et al. Obesity and sexual quality of life. Obes. 2006;14(3):472–9.
- 40. Maas R, Schwedhelm E, Albsmeier J, et al. The pathophysiology of erectile dysfunction related to endothelial dysfunction and mediators of vascular function. Vasc Med. 2002;7(3):213–25.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.